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Abstract

Background

Previous studies found that about 24% of the mild cognitive impairment (MCI) patients reverse to cognitively normal (CN) status. However, it is unclear which modifiable factors are associated with this reversion.

Method

We conducted a prospective community-based cohort study based on 2002-2018 Chinese Longitudinal Health Longevity Survey (CLHLS). Of 35,474 older adults from 22 provinces in China in the 5 waves of CLHLS, 7,422 eligible participants with MCI were included. Multivariable Cox regression with least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) penalty for variable selection was adopted to investigate the associations between reversion to CN and potential modifiable dietary/lifestyle, cardiometabolic, and psychological factors.

Results

Our analysis included 7,422 MCI participants [average age: 90.0 (SD 9.5) years]. Among these participants, 1,604 (21.6%) reversed from MCI to CN with a mean (SD) follow-up of 2.9 (1.8) years. Several dietary/lifestyle factors, including daily consumption of fresh fruits (Hazard Ratio [HR]: 1.28, 95% CI: 1.15 to 1.42; P < .001), engagement in reading (HR: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.00 to 1.54; P = .047), housework (HR: 1.21, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.35; P = .001), and mah-jong or other card games (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.39; P = .001), were positively associated with possibility of reversion. Cigarette smoking (HR: 0.92, 95% CI: 0.84 to 1.00; P = .041) and duration of alcohol drinking (HR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.94 to 0.99; P = .012) were negatively associated with possibility of reversion. None of the modifiable cardiometabolic and psychological factors was found to be significantly associated with reversion to CN. Difference was identified among different age and gender group.

Conclusion

This study identified several dietary/lifestyle factors associated with MCI reversion that may transfer into large-scale dementia prevention practices.

1. Introduction

Dementia is one of the major causes of mortality and disease burden in the world, with no effective medical treatment so far (1). Increasing significance has been attached to preventive interventions, especially among those who have developed mild cognitive impairment (MCI). A recent meta-analysis of
25 cohort studies reported that the overall reversion rate from MCI to CN was 24%, with a substantial discrepancy between clinic-based (14%) and community-based studies (31%) (2, 3). This discrepancy may indicate that community-dwelling individuals with no need to refer to memory clinics may have less severe symptoms and a better chance to recover from MCI. Therefore, interventions and health policies targeting community-dwelling MCI population may effectively prevent dementia. To achieve this, identifying modifiable factors associated with MCI reversion that potentially transfers into large-scale preventive practices is of key importance.

Much evidence has accumulated regarding modifiable factors associated with cognitive decline and dementia. Low education, smoking, heavy alcohol consumption, and lack of physical activities may increase the incidence of dementia (4), while Mediterranean diet may lower the risk (5). In terms of psychological factors, depression (6) and lack of social support (7) are associated with higher incidence of dementia. However, it is still unknown if modification of these risk factors could help cognition recovery in MCI patients.

Previous studies have identified several institutional and life-long modifiable factors associated with reversion from MCI to CN. Institutional factors increasing possibility of MCI reversion include younger age and no APOEe4 allele (8–10), and modifiable factors increasing possibility of MCI reversion include higher score on baseline cognitive functioning and functional activities, non-amnestic MCI (9, 10), higher education level, no hypertension, and no stroke (8). Although some of these factors are modifiable through life cycle, most of them cannot be changed among MCI patients, providing limited practical implications for dementia prevention in this population. Large multi-domain trials such as FINGER (11), MAPT (12) and PreDIVA (13) focusing on different multidomain lifestyle interventions have provided mixed results regarding whether these interventions targeting at-risk individuals are effective (14), probably because it is unclear which specific modifiable factors can make a difference among high-risk population.

To provide further evidence on effective prevention, this study investigated the associations between reversion from MCI to CN and potential modifiable factors, including dietary/lifestyle, cardiometabolic, and psychological factors after adjustment for the institutional factors.

2. Methods

2.1 Study population

The study analyzed data derived from the Chinese Longitudinal Health Longevity Survey (CLHLS). The CLHLS is a population-based prospective cohort study investigating factors that influence older adults’ health, longevity, and mortality. Six waves of data were collected through multi-stage cluster sampling in 2002, 2005, 2008, 2011, 2014 and 2018. All centenarians were invited and interviewed in the 801 randomly selected cities or counties. Older adults aged 65-79, 80-89, and 90-99 years in the same cluster were also invited to be investigated to match with each centenarian by sex and age, so that the four age
groups (65-79, 80-89, 90-99, 100 and above) were comparable. New participants were recruited in the follow-up surveys to maintain a stable sample size. A detailed description of study design and assessment of data quality has been published elsewhere (15). Of the 35,474 participants in the first 5 waves, 8,840 were screened to have MCI. We further excluded 9 people aged below 65, 40 with hospital-diagnosed dementia, and 1,369 with only baseline data. In the end, 7,422 MCI participants were included and a detailed enrolment flowchart can be found in Figure 1.

2.2. Cognitive Assessment

The validated Chinese version of Mini-Mental State Examination (CMMSE) (16) was conducted by trained health practitioners. The MMSE was widely applied in epidemiological studies to measure the cognitive function of older adults for its simplicity (17). It mainly included five domains: orientation, registration, attention and calculation, recall, and language. MCI was defined as CMMSE score between 18 and 23 (18, 19), and the year of the first MCI diagnosis (2002-2014) was set as the baseline for each participant. All living MCI participants included in this study had at least one follow-up assessment (2005-2018), and reversion was defined as an MCI participant reaching 24 or above in the follow-up CMMSE test for the first time (18, 19). As a reliable change in MMSE should be at least two to four points, we added an additional restriction of MMSE change ≥ 4 points to the definition of MCI reversion (20). Sensitivity analysis was also conducted for other thresholds (≥ 2, & ≥ 3).

2.3. Potentially Modifiable factors

Several potential modifiable dietary/lifestyle, cardiometabolic and psychological factors were assessed at baseline. To generate practical implications, only factors that are still modifiable after MCI diagnosis were selected as exposure variables in this study, while certain lifelong modifiable variables that can no longer be changed in later age were excluded. For example, alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking were included as modifiable factors, while education, which can no longer be changed in later life, is regarded as an institutional factor.

2.3.1. Dietary/lifestyle factors

A simplified food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) is used to measure the dietary habits and patterns: the type of staple food (rice, wheat, corn vs others) and its intake in 50 grams, frequency of fresh fruits and vegetables intake (daily vs sometimes or never), frequency of meat, fish, eggs, legume, salt-preserved vegetable, sugar, tea, and garlic (almost daily vs sometimes or never). Lifestyle habits mainly included physical exercise, current alcohol consumption, current cigarette smoking (yes vs no), duration of alcohol consumption (years), duration of cigarette smoking (years), frequency of cigarette smoking (times/day) and leisure activities, including doing housework, having personal outdoor activities, doing garden work, reading newspaper or books, raising domestic animals, playing mah-jong or other card games, watching TV / listening to the radio, and participating social activities (almost daily vs occasionally or never).

2.3.2. Cardiometabolic factors
The following variables were used to measure cardiometabolic factors: body mass index (BMI, kg/m$^2$), systolic blood pressure (Hg mm), diastolic blood pressure (Hg mm), and heart rate (beats/min). BMI was calculated with measured weight and inferred height from measured knee height due to the lack of unified questions about measured height (21).

### 2.3.3. Psychological factors

The variables about personality were constructed by two self-rated variables about life satisfaction (satisfied vs unsatisfied) and loneliness (lonely vs not lonely). For life satisfaction, “very good”, “good”, or “fine” were defined as “satisfied”; otherwise, it was defined as “unsatisfied”. For loneliness, if subjects always or often felt lonely, they were defined as “lonely”; otherwise, they were defined as “not lonely”.

### 2.4. Institutional Factors (Covariates)

The socioeconomic information on age, gender (female vs. male), ethnic group (other minorities vs Han), current residence area (urban vs. rural), co-residence status (with household members vs alone or in an institute), current marital status (married vs. unmarried), years of education and occupation before 60 years (agriculture vs non-agriculture) were collected at participants’ baseline interview. The disability of older adults was measured with self-reported visionary function (good vs poor), chewing ability (good vs poor), score of activities of daily living (ADL) and instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). The self-reported history of illness included diabetes, heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, and pneumonia (BEPA), and pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) were also included.

### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Multivariable cox regression with least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) for variable selection was implemented to select variables and investigate their associations with reversion (22). By minimizing the residual sum of squares plus a shrinkage penalty of lambda multiplied by the sum of absolute values of the coefficients, the LASSO model performs variable selection in that it restricts some of the coefficients to be exactly zero. It has been found in simulation study that LASSO is more accurate and provides a more stable final model than the traditional variable selection methods, such as stepwise (23). We first generated hypotheses by using LASSO to select potential modifiable factors; the multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression was then applied to estimate their hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval. The proportional hazard assumption was tested with the Schoenfeld residuals. To reduce the potential bias from missing values, multiple imputation was implemented to acquire completed dataset with the method of random forest in MICE (24). We conducted several sensitive analyses to check the robustness of the main results: 1) using stepwise (back-n-forth) Cox regression model to select variables; 2) applying an additional restriction of MMSE change ($\geq 2$, & $\geq 3$ points) to our definition of reversion; 3) using listwise deletion to handle missing data; 4) using the modified Fine and Gray competing risks method to address the possibility that those who died could have developed dementia had they lived longer. Subgroup analyses by age groups (65-79, 80-89, 90-99 and 100+ years old) and sex were conducted. All statistical analysis was conducted using R 3.4.3 for Windows.
3. Results

Of 7,422 MCI participants aged 90.0 years old on average, 2584 (34.8%) were male, 6848 (92.3%) were Han people, 2866 (38.6%) were urban residents, 157 (2.1%), 537 (7.2%), 382 (5.1%), 682 (9.2%) and 34 (0.5%) reported a history of diabetes, heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, BEPA and TB, respectively. 1,604 (21.6%) experienced reversion from MCI to CN with average follow-up of 2.86 years. A summary of the baseline characteristics of the reversed and non-reversed MCI participants can be found in Table 1.
Table 1
Baseline characteristics for the study participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Reversion (n = 1604)</th>
<th>Non-reversion (n = 5818)</th>
<th>Total (n = 7422)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up Duration, mean (SD)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.8)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Covariates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>82.7 (9.2)</td>
<td>92.0 (8.6)</td>
<td>90.0 (9.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male (%)</td>
<td>633 (39.5)</td>
<td>1951 (33.5)</td>
<td>2584 (34.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE(points), mean (SD)</td>
<td>21.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>20.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>20.9 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnic group, Han (%)</td>
<td>1493 (93.1)</td>
<td>5355 (92.0)</td>
<td>6848 (92.3)</td>
<td>79 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current residence area, Urban (%)</td>
<td>578 (36.0)</td>
<td>2288 (39.3)</td>
<td>2866 (38.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with household members (%)</td>
<td>1238 (77.2)</td>
<td>4643 (79.8)</td>
<td>5881 (79.2)</td>
<td>7 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>1.0 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.1 (2.5)</td>
<td>48 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupations, Non-agriculture (%)</td>
<td>396 (26.4)</td>
<td>1685 (29.0)</td>
<td>2081 (28.0)</td>
<td>115 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current marital status, Married (%)</td>
<td>594 (37.0)</td>
<td>1012 (17.4)</td>
<td>1606 (21.6)</td>
<td>10 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visionary function, Good (%)</td>
<td>1363 (85.0)</td>
<td>4462 (76.7)</td>
<td>5825 (78.5)</td>
<td>12 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chew ability, Good (%)</td>
<td>646 (40.3)</td>
<td>1609 (27.7)</td>
<td>2255 (30.4)</td>
<td>31 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of cigarette smoking (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>54.7 (16.9)</td>
<td>56.8 (20.7)</td>
<td>56.3 (19.9)</td>
<td>498 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of alcohol drinking (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>49.9 (19.9)</td>
<td>55.3 (23.9)</td>
<td>54.1 (23.2)</td>
<td>537 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL score (points), mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>0.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>60 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IADL score (points), mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.9)</td>
<td>9 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes, Yes (%)</td>
<td>43 (2.7)</td>
<td>114 (2.0)</td>
<td>157 (2.1)</td>
<td>395 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; BEPA: bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, pneumonia; TB: pulmonary tuberculosis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Reversion (n = 1604)</th>
<th>Non-reversion (n = 5818)</th>
<th>Total (n = 7422)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of heart disease, Yes (%)</td>
<td>128 (8.0)</td>
<td>409 (7.0)</td>
<td>537 (7.2)</td>
<td>373 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of cerebrovascular disease, Yes (%)</td>
<td>69 (4.3)</td>
<td>313 (5.4)</td>
<td>382 (5.1)</td>
<td>363 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of BEPA Yes (%)</td>
<td>140 (8.7)</td>
<td>542 (9.3)</td>
<td>682 (9.2)</td>
<td>342 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of TB, Yes (%)</td>
<td>8 (0.5)</td>
<td>26 (0.4)</td>
<td>34 (0.5)</td>
<td>378 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Modifiable Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness. Lonely (%)</td>
<td>143 (8.9)</td>
<td>562 (9.7)</td>
<td>705 (9.5)</td>
<td>140 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life satisfaction, Satisfied (%)</td>
<td>1455 (90.7)</td>
<td>5353 (92.0)</td>
<td>6808 (91.7)</td>
<td>25 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staple food (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>1001 (62.4)</td>
<td>3553 (61.1)</td>
<td>4554 (61.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>351 (21.9)</td>
<td>1183 (20.3)</td>
<td>1534 (20.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>48 (3.0)</td>
<td>198 (3.4)</td>
<td>246 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>204 (12.7)</td>
<td>883 (15.2)</td>
<td>1087 (14.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of staple food (50 grams), mean (SD)</td>
<td>6.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.5)</td>
<td>5.58 (2.6)</td>
<td>249 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of fresh fruit, Daily (%)</td>
<td>463 (28.9)</td>
<td>1700 (29.2)</td>
<td>2163 (29.1)</td>
<td>3 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of fresh vegetable, Daily (%)</td>
<td>1361 (84.9)</td>
<td>4885 (84.0)</td>
<td>6246 (84.1)</td>
<td>5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of meat, Daily (%)</td>
<td>431 (26.9)</td>
<td>1900 (32.7)</td>
<td>2331 (31.4)</td>
<td>8 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of fish, Daily (%)</td>
<td>171 (10.7)</td>
<td>701 (12.0)</td>
<td>872 (11.7)</td>
<td>7 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of egg, Daily (%)</td>
<td>525 (32.7)</td>
<td>2182 (37.5)</td>
<td>2707 (36.5)</td>
<td>8 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; BEPA: bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, pneumonia; TB: pulmonary tuberculosis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Reversion (n = 1604)</th>
<th>Non-reversion (n = 5818)</th>
<th>Total (n = 7422)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intake of legume (%)</td>
<td>394 (24.6)</td>
<td>1619 (27.8)</td>
<td>2013 (27.1)</td>
<td>11 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of salt-preserved vegetable, Daily (%)</td>
<td>390 (24.3)</td>
<td>1237 (21.3)</td>
<td>1627 (21.9)</td>
<td>12 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of sugar, Daily (%)</td>
<td>294 (18.3)</td>
<td>1379 (23.7)</td>
<td>1673 (22.5)</td>
<td>10 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of tea, Daily (%)</td>
<td>450 (28.1)</td>
<td>1350 (23.2)</td>
<td>1800 (24.3)</td>
<td>11 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake of garlic, Daily (%)</td>
<td>293 (18.3)</td>
<td>991 (17.0)</td>
<td>1284 (17.3)</td>
<td>11 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current alcohol drinker (%)</td>
<td>313 (19.5)</td>
<td>787 (13.5%)</td>
<td>1100 (14.8)</td>
<td>18 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol drinking (50 grams), mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.8)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>478 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker (%)</td>
<td>306 (19.1)</td>
<td>934 (16.1)</td>
<td>1240 (16.7)</td>
<td>15 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking (times/day), mean (SD)</td>
<td>9.6 (7.4)</td>
<td>9.1 (8.0)</td>
<td>9.3 (7.8)</td>
<td>434 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing exercise (%)</td>
<td>454 (28.3)</td>
<td>1248 (21.5)</td>
<td>1702 (22.9)</td>
<td>26 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing housework, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>995 (62.0)</td>
<td>1952 (33.6)</td>
<td>2947 (39.7)</td>
<td>5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having Personal outdoor activities, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>920 (57.4)</td>
<td>2524 (43.4)</td>
<td>3444 (46.4)</td>
<td>2 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing garden work, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>150 (9.4)</td>
<td>301 (5.2)</td>
<td>451 (6.1)</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading books / newspaper, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>113 (7.0)</td>
<td>270 (4.6)</td>
<td>383 (5.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raising domestic animals, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>439 (27.4)</td>
<td>840 (14.4)</td>
<td>1279 (17.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playing cards / mah-jong, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>141 (8.8)</td>
<td>247 (4.2)</td>
<td>388 (5.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching TV / listening to the radio, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>933 (58.2)</td>
<td>2523 (43.4)</td>
<td>3456 (46.6)</td>
<td>2 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joining social activities, Almost daily (%)</td>
<td>54 (3.4)</td>
<td>111 (1.9)</td>
<td>165 (2.2)</td>
<td>5 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; BEPA: bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, pneumonia; TB: pulmonary tuberculosis.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Reversion (n = 1604)</th>
<th>Non-reversion (n = 5818)</th>
<th>Total (n = 7422)</th>
<th>Missing (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (Hg mm), mean (SD)</td>
<td>137.2 (20.8)</td>
<td>134.8 (20.1)</td>
<td>135.3 (20.3)</td>
<td>124 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (Hg mm), mean (SD)</td>
<td>81.9 (12.0)</td>
<td>82.0 (12.7)</td>
<td>82.0 (12.6)</td>
<td>132 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min), mean (SD)</td>
<td>73.7 (9.1)</td>
<td>73.6 (9.4)</td>
<td>73.6 (9.3)</td>
<td>130 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)</td>
<td>19.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>18.7 (3.2)</td>
<td>18.9 (3.3)</td>
<td>311 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. MMSE: Mini-mental state examination; ADL: Activities of daily living; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; BEPA: bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, pneumonia; TB: pulmonary tuberculosis.

Eventually, 30 variables were selected by multivariable Cox regression with elastic net penalty. Age, gender, ethnic group, education, current marital status, visionary function, chew ability, duration of alcohol drinking, heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, BEPA, TB, MMSE, ADL and IADL score were selected as the institutional factors (covariates). A detailed information about their hazard ratios (HR) on reversion from MCI to CN can be found in Figure 1s.

Figure 2 displays the HRs of selected modifiable variables from multivariate Cox regression model. Compared with those who sometimes or never had fresh fruits, participants with daily fresh fruit intake were associated with increasing rate of MCI reversion (HR: 1.28, 95% CI: 1.15-1.42). Those who had daily meat intake were less likely to reverse (HR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.80-0.99) than those who sometimes or never consume meat. Apart from the above dietary factors, the type of staple food (rice, wheat, corn vs others) and its intake in 50 grams, daily intake of fresh vegetable, egg, salty-preserved vegetable and tea were also selected by the model, but no significant associations were found. Cigarette smoking (HR: 0.92, 95% CI: 0.84 to 1.00; P = .041) and duration of alcohol drinking (HR: 0.97, 95% CI: 0.94 to 0.99; P = .012) were negatively associated with reversion. Doing housework daily (HR: 1.21, 95% CI: 1.08-1.35), reading books or newspaper daily (HR: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.00-1.54) and playing cards or mah-jong daily (HR: 1.23, 95% CI: 1.08-1.39) were associated with higher possibility of reversion than those who did occasionally or never. Raising domestic animals and watching TV/ listening to radio daily were selected by the model but were not significantly associated with reversion of MCI. According to the results of Schoenfeld residual test in Tables 1s, the proportional-hazards assumptions of each variable were not violated in this study.

The stepwise Cox regression model selected 16 variables in the final model (Table 2s in Appendix). Of the 8 selected modifiable factors, intake of fresh fruit, intake of meat, doing housework, and playing cards/mah-jong were significantly associated with MCI reversion. No significant association was found between reading books/newspaper and MCI reversion in this model. By applying an additional restriction
of MMSE change (≥ 2 & ≥ 3) points) to our definition of reversion, we generated two slightly different models (figure 2s & figure 3s). In these models, daily intake of fresh fruit, daily doing housework daily and daily playing mah-jong or other card games were consistently found to be significantly associated with reversion. By using the listwise deletion to deal with missing value, the associations of daily intake of fresh fruit, doing housework daily and playing cards or mah-jong daily with reversion are also found to be significant (Figure 4s). Results from the modified Fine and Gray model that accounts for competing risks of mortality also demonstrated similar results (Figure 5s).

The sub-group analysis by age group (Table 3s in Appendix) indicated that daily intake of fresh fruit and daily doing housework might only be beneficial for MCI patients between 65 and 89 years old. For those who were 100 years old and above, daily watching TV/listening to the radio was positively associated with MCI reversion. In the sub-group analysis by gender (Table 4s in Appendix), daily fruit intake was significantly associated with reversion among female, but not among male; doing housework was significantly associated with reversion among male, but not among female.

4. Discussion

Previous studies on MCI reversion mostly investigated the influence of non-modifiable factors (eg. gender, age, genetics) and life-long factors that can no longer be changed in later age (eg. baseline cognitive functioning, education, co-morbidity, etc.). This study identified several dietary/behavioral factors significantly associated with higher possibility of MCI reversion, including daily consumption of fresh fruits, daily engagement in reading, housework, and mah-jong or other card games. Cigarette smoking and duration of alcohol consumption were identified as risk factors impeding MCI reversion. These factors were extracted from people's daily life in the studied context, and can potentially be transferred into cost-effective preventive practices.

To increase practical implication of our research findings, we analyzed the specific effect of each single activity on cognitive improvement among population with different demographic characteristics. Three activities, housework, reading books or newspaper, and playing cards or mah-jong, were positively associated with MCI reversion, respectively. These findings provide evidence that cognitive stimulation not only protects cognitive functioning from declining (25–28), but may also help those who already develop MCI achieve reversion to CN. It is noteworthy that, although doing housework was associated with MCI reversion, no significant association was found between outdoor activities and MCI reversion. This may indicate that productive activities contribute more to cognitive functions than physical activities among Chinese older adults (29). A previous national longitudinal study in China has found that interacting with friends, playing mah-jong or other games, infrequently providing help to others and doing sport were beneficial for cognitive functioning among normal middle-aged and older adults (30). Both studies identified the beneficial effect of cognitive games and social interactions, which can be easily transferred into community-based dementia prevention programs.
In terms of dietary factors, frequent consumption of meat was found to be negatively associated MCI reversion, probably explained by high volume of saturated fats in meat (31). Previous research has found significant association between intake of saturated fats and incident Alzheimer's disease (32), and such findings also apply to MCI reversion. However, previous studies have identified a negative association between high habitual fruit intake and cognitive health (33, 34), while frequent fruit consumption was found to be positively associated with MCI reversion in our study. In contrast, no significant association was found between frequent vegetable intake and MCI reversion. Effect of a single food on cognitive health can be attenuated by existent dietary patterns (35). A plausible explanation for the difference between our findings and previous results may be that vegetable is widely popular in Chinese diet and extra consumption of it may not have additional benefits. The negative effect of fruit on cognitive health found in previous research is mostly caused by extra sugar intake, while excessive sugar consumption is not as prevalent in Chinese diet, attenuating the negative impact of fruit. The benefit of fruit consumption may be explained by abundant Vitamin C intake, which was found to have protective effect on cognitive health (36). Future studies investigating healthy diet need to take into consideration the cultural differences of the research contexts. Cigarette smoking was identified as a risk factor negatively associated with MCI reversion, in consistence with previous research which found it to be a risk factor for dementia incidence (37). Previous studies investigating the effect of alcohol on cognitive health mostly focused on quantity of alcohol consumption and have yielded mixed results (38–40). This study found that duration of alcohol consumption was negatively associated with possibility of MCI reversion, providing a new direction for research on alcohol consumption and cognitive health.

The sub-group analysis investigated how the association between modifiable factors and MCI reversion varies across age and gender. In general, the identified factors have more significant impact on the younger groups (65-89) compared to the older group (90 and above). Particularly, daily fruit intake and daily housework were significantly associated with MCI reversion among people between 65 and 90, but not among those who were above 90. In contrast, watching TV/listening to radio daily, as a passive activity, was only significantly associated with higher possibility of reversion among people above 100 years old. This difference may be explained by the impact of aging on neuroplasticity: younger people may more easily increase neuroplasticity and achieve cognitive improvement through lifestyle changes, while older people may have less opportunity to reverse and need to depend on external mental stimulation (41). In terms of gender difference, doing housework was significantly associated with higher possibility of reversion among male, but not among female. This difference might be caused by cultural norms that require women to routinely do housework, and therefore more frequent involvement does not provide extra stimulation.

Three large-scale RCTs, respectively FINGER (11), MAPT (12), and PreDIVA (13), were conducted to prevent dementia through lifestyle interventions, and only FINGER found positive effects. Which specific factors contributed to these effects, however, were not further studied. This study further analyzed the effect of each specific modifiable factor on cognitive reversion and identified several potential protective factors. Several other studies found some modifiable factors contributing to MCI reversion. A study of 396 MCI participants in Japan found that driving a car, using a map to travel to unfamiliar places, reading
books or newspapers, taking cultural classes, attending meetings in the community, participating in hobbies or sports activities and engaging in fieldwork or gardening are all beneficial to cognitive recovery (25). It is noteworthy that the results were only significant in the imputed data which augmented the original sample size from 396 to 37,893 by multiple imputation, rendering the conclusions questionable. Moreover, this study ignored nutritional, cardiometabolic and psychological factors, providing limited guidance on health habits. Two other studies of similar sample size and research design were conducted in Australia (26) and Korea (27). Both studies recommended engagement in social activities for people with MCI, but activities were only measured by number and frequency, leaving the effect of specific activities unknown. Great cultural differences exist in the types of activities researched, and the measurement of activity participation also varies. Such difference compromises the generalizability of these findings in a different context.

This is by far the largest and most comprehensive cohort study on the modifiable factors associated with reversion from MCI to CN. We only included factors that were still modifiable when patients had already suffered from MCI. Therefore, findings of this study can be transferred to practice of secondary prevention of dementia. Moreover, variable selection method was widely used to generate data-driven research hypothesis (42). This study integrated both theory-driven and data-driven methods to generate research hypotheses. We first came up with many research hypotheses by comprehensively reviewing previous study on dementia. Then we used a new variable selection method, LASSO instead of traditional stepwise (back-n-forth) method to avoid multicollinearity in the final model. In sensitivity analysis, we found stepwise (back-n-forth) method failed to detect one significant modifiable factor because it usually provides less stable results than LASSO. The large sample size of this study also allowed us to draw robust conclusions among young old, octogenarians, nonagenarians, and centenarians, providing detailed information of dementia prevention for each age group.

5. Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, the sample and the modifiable factors were mostly from the context in China, rendering the results less generalizable across the world. Also, the participants in this study are comparatively old (mean age=90.0 years). It did not affect the internal validity of this study, but generalization of reversion rates to the entire older population should be cautious. Second, in this study, MCI was measured by MMSE, and its sensitivity in screening MCI is lower than Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA). However, MMSE still has a comparable performance on detection of MCI with 0.62 sensitivity and 0.87 specificity in Chinese population (43). Thirdly, most of the clinical diseases adjusted as confounding factors were self-reported; participants were asked whether diseases had been diagnosed by a doctor. The study identified several modifiable factors that may help MCI patients recover, but those who reverted may have higher risk for future cognitive decline (10). Further studies should explore modifiable factors that may keep the older adults remain at CN status. Carrying apolipoprotein E (APOE) may attenuate or even offset the potential positive effects of the modifiable factors (44), but the current study could not test the attenuation effect of genetics for lack of genetic information. Fourth, our study could not cover all modifiable factors, although we assessed all available modifiable factors collected in
CLHLS. Last, due to the possibility of reverse causality, the results should also be interpreted with caution. Participants who were able to conduct healthy activities may have less severe symptoms and therefore, higher chance of reversion.

6. Conclusion

The current study identified several modifiable factors among the Chinese MCI population, potentially leading to cost-effective nation-wide interventions. Facilitating people suffering from MCI to build a cognition-friendly lifestyle can be a new strategy for dementia prevention. Randomized controlled trials are needed in the future to determine the effectiveness of these lifestyle interventions.

Abbreviations

ADL: activities of daily living

APOE: apolipoprotein E

BEPA: bronchitis, emphysema, asthma, and pneumonia

BMI: body mass index

CI: confidence interval

CLHLS: Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey

CN: cognitively normal

FFQ: food frequency questionnaire

HR: Hazard Ratio

IADL: instrumental activities of daily living

LASSO: Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator

MCI: mild cognitive impairment

MMSE: Mini-mental State Examination

MOCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment

SD: standard deviation

TB: pulmonary tuberculosis
Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

The Chinese Longitudinal Healthy Longevity Survey (CLHLS) was approved by the Institutional Review Board, Duke University (Pro00062871), and the Biomedical Ethics Committee, Peking University (IRB00001052–13074). Permissions are required to access the raw data from the CLHLS. This study obtained the CLHLS data by submitting a data use agreement, which was approved by Zheng Zhenzhen, Executive Associate Director of Center for Healthy Aging and Family Studies, Peking University.

Consent for publication:

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The analytical dataset used in this study is a publicly available dataset released by the CLHLS. Information about the data source and available data are found at https://opendata.pku.edu.cn/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.18170/DVN/XRV2WN. Researchers can obtain these data after submitting a data use agreement to the CLHLS team.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding: This work was supported by the Strategic Priority CAS Project (Grant No.XDB38040200), Guangdong Philosophy and Social Science Fund (GD21YSH06), Shenzhen Philosophy and Social Science Fund (Grant No. SZ2020C015), Shenzhen Science and Technology Fund (Grant No. 20200805164059001), and the Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (Grant No. KQTD20190929172835662JCYJ20180703145002040). The funding body had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Author’s contribution

Dr. Bingyu Li and Dr. Feng Sha are responsible for the research design, methodology development, discussion and manuscript writing. Mr. Ziyi Zhao contributed greatly to the data cleaning, data analysis and discussion. Dr. Zhirong Yang and Ms. Chang Wei provided important comments and suggestions.

Acknowledgements

Data used in this analysis were provided by the CLHLS study, which was coordinated by the Center for Healthy Ageing and Development Studies, Peking University. The authors would like to thank CLHLS participants and researchers for their contributions to this important study.
References


Figures

Figure 1

Flowchart of data cleaning
Figure 2

The hazard ratio (95% CI) and p-values for selected modifiable variables from Cox regression with LASSO method (MMSE change $\geq 4$ as additional restriction).

**Supplementary Files**

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- Appendix1014.docx