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**Abstract**

The current study focuses on the photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol in acetonitrile under air bubbling conditions comparing titania-based materials, Cu-MOF, and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ as semiconductor photocatalysts. The catalysts were characterized by XRD, N$_2$ adsorption-desorption, FT-IR, Raman spectroscopy, and TEM. The photocatalytic benzyl alcohol conversion reached ~ 100% after exposing the four prepared catalysts to a 125W mercury lamp for up to 240 min. Benzaldehyde is formed with a moderate selectivity (after a reaction time of 60 min. ca. 30% over the titania-based catalysts 37%, 45% over Cu-MOF, and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$, respectively). The formation of electron-hole pairs at the surface of the semiconductor nanoparticles followed by oxidation reaction was the suggested mechanism. A first-order kinetic model was observed for the photocatalytic oxidation of the investigated alcohols, and the rate constants were calculated. According to preliminary research, decorating MOF linker by amine (MOF-NH$_2$) could improve visible-light harvesting, charge separation, and electron transport of the resulting catalyst, resulting in increased photocatalytic activity. The current work offers some direction for the development of MOF-based photocatalysts for organic synthesis.
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1. **Introduction:**

Environmental protection and energy efficiency are currently crucial and pressing issues for the entire world. Hence, more environmentally benign and energy-efficient processes are being developed. Photocatalytic processes using semiconductors can be considered an integral part of environmentally benign processing [1–3]. Selective photocatalytic conversion or partial photocatalysis can potentially be applied to the synthesis of fine chemicals [4,5], such as oxidation of aromatic alcohols to aromatic aldehydes [6,7], which are essential intermediates for the synthesis of numerous valuable chemicals [8]. For instance, the most simple aromatic aldehyde, benzoic acid [9], is used in various applications ranging from an industrial solvent to commercial food flavoring. Furthermore, benzaldehyde is an intermediate in the synthesis of different perfumes and dyes.

The photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol reaction has two typical by-products; benzoic acid and benzyl benzoate [10]. The benzoic acid is typically present at low concentration in the reaction medium (near or under detection limit) because benzoic acid may react with unconverted benzyl alcohol, resulting in the formation of benzyl benzoate [10]. Skupien et al. argued that benzyl benzoate is predominantly produced in the oxidative reaction under base conditions via the esterification of benzyl alcohol with benzaldehyde [11].

Titanium dioxide is a well-known photocatalyst, which has excellent potential as a tool in green organic synthesis [12,13], for instance, for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol oxidation to benzaldehyde [14]. The TiO$_2$ properties, particularly their crystalline phase [15], and the mode of adsorption of organic molecules on its surface are the main factors affecting selective photocatalytic activity [16,17]. In most photooxidation applications, anatase, pure or mixed with rutile, has a higher photocatalytic activity than pure rutile and brookite [18]. The activity is attributed to a higher density of localized states and consequent surface-adsorbed hydroxyl radicals and slower charge carrier recombination in anatase relative to rutile [19]. Indeed, the preparation of anatase–rutile mixed-phase TiO$_2$ (anatase and rutile phases in 4:1 ratio) such as commercial TiO$_2$ Degussa P25 exhibits the highest photocatalytic activity due to the synergistic effect between anatase and rutile, resulting in an effective separation of photo-induced...
electrons and holes [20]. Titania adsorb light in the UV range as the bandgap of anatase is 3.2 eV and rutile 3.0 eV. Shifting the absorption from UV light to visible light would increase solar light utilization since UV light accounts for only 4%. As a result, the presence of rutile in an anatase-forming mixture may be responsible for increasing the TiO$_2$ anatase phase towards light absorptivity in the visible range [21].

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are an attractive class of porous crystalline materials consisting of metal ions and poly-functional organic linkers that possess properties, such as high specific surface areas, large pore volumes, and structural flexibility and adaptability. These properties allow MOFs to be used in different applications, including adsorption and separation [22,23], heterogeneous catalysis [23–25], drug delivery [26], and sensing [27]. MOFs have recently also been used as photocatalysts due to their tunable properties for light absorption and the capability to incorporate various functional components in a single MOF material [28]. MOFs are regarded as semiconductor-like materials, with the metal cluster as the conduction band and the organic linker as the valence band [29]. Furthermore, for various photocatalytic reactions, the highly crystalline nature of MOFs results in a fast electron and energy transfer from the photoexcited MOFs to the active sites [30]. Cu-MOF was found as a UV-responsive photocatalyst because it is known to act like a wide-band semiconductor [31]. A convenient and viable method for tuning the optical characteristics of MOFs is to decorate the organic linkers or metal centers, therefore controlling the chemical and physical characteristics of photocatalysts at the molecular level. However, the photocatalytic efficiency of pure MOF is limited, so the decoration of linkers or metal centers with semiconductors and/or metal nanoparticles (NPs) is necessary [24]. The amine group (NH$_2$) linker decoration has shown a beneficial photocatalytic activity to selectively oxidize organic compounds [32–34]. The linker decorating may modify the bandgap energy of MOFs to a certain level; for example, stronger electron-donating substitutions such as amines dramatically lower the band gap, shifting the photo absorption edge from the ultraviolet to visible light area [35].

Here, we report on the selective photocatalytic aerobic oxidation of benzyl alcohol using TiO$_2$ (pure anatase), TiO$_2$ (anatase: rutile = 4:1), Cu-MOF, and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ catalysts under irradiation with visible light. In particular, we have focused on the mechanisms of the selective photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde and benzyl benzoate.
2. Experimental methodology

2.1. Material synthesis

*Preparation of nanoparticles TiO$_2$ by sol-gel method*

Titanium dioxide nano-catalysts were prepared by the sol-gel method using titanium tetra-isopropoxide, TTIP (97% Sigma-Aldrich). The used molar ratio 2:22.5:3 is for TTIP/isopropanol(i-PrOH)/modifier of pH by adding glacial acetic acid until (pH 3-4.5) or an ammonium hydroxide solution (ACS reagent, NH$_3$, 28.0-30.0 %) until (pH 7-9), respectively. The mixture was sonicated for 1 h, during which time partial hydrolysis and polymerization occurred, producing a transparent, homogeneous, and stable solution. After gelation for 24 h, the final gel material was dried overnight at 110 °C and subsequently calcined at 550 °C for 4 h to produce the desired TiO$_2$ photocatalyst. The nomenclature was designed according to the pH range: TiO$_2$ (A) in the acidic medium and TiO$_2$ (B) in the basic medium.

*Synthesis of Cu$_3$(BTC)$_2$.3H$_2$O*

1,3,5-Benzene tricarboxylic acid (BTC, 21 mmol) and Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$.3H$_2$O (12mmol) were dissolved in a mixture of 125 ml N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF), ethanol (C$_2$H$_5$OH) and deionized water (1:1:1) and sonicated for 15 min in a 300 ml glass jar. The jar is transferred into the microwave (700 Watt), and the sample was irradiated for 4 min. Blue crystals were formed upon cooling the mixture to room temperature. The product was washed three times with dimethylformamide (DMF) and subsequently with chloroform (CHCl$_3$) three times. The product was dried under vacuum at 100 °C overnight. The produced sample was coded Cu-MOF.

*Functionalization of Cu$_3$(BTC)$_2$.3H$_2$O by ethylene diamine (ED)*

Pristine Cu-MOF was dehydrated at 110 °C for 24 h before the functionalization. The dehydrated Cu-MOF (0.5 g) was suspended in 30 ml anhydrous toluene. Ethylenediamine (5 mmol) was added to this suspension, after which the suspension was refluxed for 12 h under continuous stirring [36]. The product was filtered off and
washed with deionized water. Eventually, the sample then dried at 110 °C overnight and coded MOF-Cu-NH₂.

2.2. Characterization methods

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis carried out with a PAN analytical X’PERT PRO using Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.540 Å) to investigate its crystal phase structure and crystalline domain size.

The specific surface area was measured from the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at liquid nitrogen temperature (-196ºC) using Quanta-chrome Nova 3200 S automated gas sorption apparatus. Before such measurements, all samples were degassed overnight at 150°C and evacuated (1.3 x 10⁻³ Pa).

Raman spectra of as-prepared TiO₂ (A and B) catalysts were evaluated from 10 to 2000 cm⁻¹ at room temperature using SENTERRA Dispersive Raman Microscope (Bruker) equipped with a diode Nd: YAG laser at 532 nm with a maximum laser power of 60 mW on the sample. A

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectrum of the sample was recorded in the transmission mode between 500-4000 cm⁻¹ with an FTIR spectrometer Perkin Elmer (model spectrum one FT-IR spectrometer, USA). Samples were prepared using the standard 1% KBr pellets.

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was conducted to image the morphology of the surface and structure of the catalysts using JEOL 2010 F, Japan, at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. A small amount of the prepared catalyst was diluted in 10 ml ethanol and sonicated for 30 min. A few drops of the suspension were placed on a covered copper grid and photographed.

The UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) of the samples over a range of 200–800 nm were recorded by UV-2600 (Shimadzu, Japan) spectrophotometer with BaSO₄ as a reference.

2.3. Photocatalytic conversion reaction of benzyl alcohol
The catalytic conversion of benzyl alcohol was carried out in a Pyrex cylindrical double-walled immersion well reactor equipped with a 125W mercury lamp (200-420 nm, main wavelength at 365 nm) as a light source. Benzyl alcohol was dissolved in acetonitrile (500 mg/L, optimized concentration). Experiments were performed in 150 ml of the stock solution and catalyst concentration of 1 g/L for four hours under the light while bubbling air (25 mL/min) through the suspension. The system was cooling by water circulation at 25 °C during the experiments was performed at constant stirring. The homogenous suspension inside the reactor was vigorously stirred (1100 rpm) at 30°C. The reaction solution was equilibrated in the dark for 30 min before each photooxidation reaction. Approx. 3 mL samples were collected directly from the photoreactor at specific time intervals. The concentrations of the organic product compounds (benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde, benzoic acid, and benzyl benzoate) were analyzed via GC.

The Conversion (X) and selectivity (S) were determined as follows:

\[ X(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{C_{BA,t}}{C_{BA,0}}\right) \times 100\% \quad (1) \]

\[ S(\%) = \frac{C_{Bx,t}}{C_{BA,0} - C_{BA,t}} \times 100\% \quad (2) \]

Where \( C_{BA0} \) is the initial concentration of benzyl alcohol, and \( C_{BA} \) and \( C_{Bx} \) are the concentration of benzyl alcohol and benzaldehyde, benzoic acid, or benzyl benzoate, respectively, at a specific irradiation time of the photocatalytic reaction.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalysts characterizations

The XRD patterns of the synthesized titania are shown in Figure 1. The mass fractions of anatase and rutile was evaluated from the reflection of anatase (101) at \( 2\theta = 25.48^\circ \) and the (110) reflection of rutile at \( 2\theta = 27.58^\circ \) can be estimated from [37]:

\[ \chi_{\text{rutile}} = \frac{I_{\text{rutile}}}{I_{\text{rutile}} + 0.79 \cdot I_{\text{anatase}}} \quad (3) \]

where, \( \chi_{\text{rutile}} \) is the weight fraction of rutile in the TiO\(_2\) and \( I_{\text{rutile}} \), and \( I_{\text{anatase}} \) are the intensities of the X-ray diffraction lines for rutile and anatase, respectively. On the other
hand, the size of the crystalline domain of each of the phases is calculated by using Scherrer’s equation (4):

$$ D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} $$

(4)

Where, $D$ is the crystallite thickness, $\lambda$ is X-ray wavelength, $\beta$ is FWHM (full width at half max), and $\theta$ is Bragg angle.

The XRD pattern of titania synthesized under acidic conditions $\text{TiO}_2$(A) consists of 82.6 wt.-% anatase and 17.4 wt.-% rutile forms, while XRD pattern for the titania synthesized under basic conditions $\text{TiO}_2$(B) showed that it was pure anatase. The behavior can be explained by considering the effect of pH: in a strong acidic medium, rutile dominates over anatase and brookite due to the change of surface properties [38]. In other words, the resistance of anatase transformation to rutile is stronger in basic medium than in acidic medium at the same calcination temperature (550 °C) [39]. The average size of the anatase crystalline domain according to Scherrer’s equation in $\text{TiO}_2$(A) 16.8 nm (using the reflection at $2\theta = 25.48^\circ$); the average size of the rutile domain was similar (15.2 nm determined using the reflection at $2\theta = 27.58^\circ$). The crystalline domain of anatase in the sample synthesized under basic conditions ($\text{TiO}_2$(B)) was slightly smaller (13nm). The difference in the average size of the crystalline domain is related to the growth mechanism: the preparation of $\text{TiO}_2$ from (TTIP) included two major steps, viz. hydrolysis, followed by condensation, which is also compatible with the preparation of silica from tetraethoxy [40]. The hydrolysis is acid catalyzed, whereas the condensation reaction seems to be catalyzed. This means that the number of monomers is large under acidic conditions, and at basic conditions, the rate of crystal growth is large. Smaller crystals are more prone to sinter thus resulting after calcination at 550 °C in a material with larger sizes of the crystalline domains.
Figure 1: XRD patterns for TiO$_2$(A) and TiO$_2$(B).

Figure 2 displays the XRD pattern of the simulated, Pristine Cu-BTC and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$. Materials Studio 7.0 software was used to build the XRD pattern for the simulated Cu-BTC [41]. Obviously, all the synthesized sample diffraction peaks coincide with the simulated one and imply that the produced Cu-BTC is highly purified and crystalline [41]. Additionally, the XRD patterns of the Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ sample perfectly resemble the simulated pattern, indicating that the preparation procedure did not alter the framework’s topology or texture. However there are some slight variations of the Bragg intensities at $2\theta = 14^\circ$, $16^\circ$ and $40^\circ$ were produced by structural damage [37,41].

Figure 2: XRD patterns of simulated Cu-MOF [41], pristine Cu-MOF and (d) Cu-MOF- NH$_2$. 
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The N\textsubscript{2} adsorption/desorption isotherms and pore size distribution were evaluated to characterizing the behavior of the prepared TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts Fig. (S1- S2) and the data present in Table (1). All catalysts show the classical type (IV) isotherm (IUPAC classification). The hysteresis loops of both prepared catalysts are at high relative pressure (0.47-0.93 \(P/P^0\)), thus indicating mesoporous materials [43]. The titania sample prepared under basic conditions, TiO\textsubscript{2}(B), has a higher specific surface area (82 m\textsuperscript{2}/g) and a higher pore volume (0.17 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g) than titania prepared under acidic conditions, TiO\textsubscript{2}(A) (\(S_{BET} = 65\) m\textsuperscript{2}/g and \(V_{pore} = 0.13\) cm\textsuperscript{3}/g), which seems to be related to the smaller crystalline domains obtained in TiO\textsubscript{2}(B) compared to TiO\textsubscript{2}(A).

The N\textsubscript{2} adsorption/desorption isotherms and pore size distribution of Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF- NH\textsubscript{2} are shown in Fig. (S3- S4), and the data is present in Table (1). Cu-MOF has a Type (I) isotherm, a feature of microporous substances without a significant contribution of meso and macroporosity. In comparison, the sample Cu-MOF- NH\textsubscript{2} shows a type(IV) isotherm with mesoporous substances. The BET surface area decreases from 1405 to 54 m\textsuperscript{2}/g after NH\textsubscript{2} grafting, which indicates that the pores become inaccessible upon modification with ethylenediamine. This is further exemplified by decreasing the total pore volume from 0.95 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g Cu-MOF to 0.14 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g Cu-MOF- NH\textsubscript{2}. That is in agreement with the previously reported data [36].

The Raman spectra of the prepared TiO\textsubscript{2}(A) and TiO\textsubscript{2}(B) are shown in (Fig. 2) at the frequency range of 100–1000 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. In TiO\textsubscript{2}(A) catalyst prepared in acidic medium, both peaks at 418.8 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 609 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are observed, corresponding to the \(E_g\) and \(A_{1g}\) vibrational modes of rutile TiO\textsubscript{2}. On the other hand, four peaks appearing at 149.1 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(E_g\) main peak, 398.6 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(B_{1g}\)), 516.2 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(A_{1g}+B_{1g}\)), and 637.3 cm\textsuperscript{-1} (\(E_g\)) are observed in TiO\textsubscript{2}(B) samples which correspond to the symmetric modes for anatase phase of TiO\textsubscript{2} crystal [44]. This result agrees with the XRD.
The FT-IR experiment was carried out to investigate the intermolecular interactions between ED molecules and MOFs. Figure 4 shows the FT-IR of the pristine Cu-MOF and the Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ samples. It is noticed that the spectral band for both samples displays high homogeneity, and the major peaks of both samples resemble well with the published FTIR spectra for Cu-MOF [45]. The FTIR patterns showed absorption bands at 3368 and 2940 cm$^{-1}$ due to ν(O–H) of the absorbed water and ν(CH$_2$) vibrations, and absorption at 3500–3000 cm$^{-1}$ due to ν(OH) or ν(NH). The vibrational peaks between 2999 and 2850 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to the C-H stretching vibrations in both materials. However, some variations are noticed, and by comparing with the FTIR of ethylene diamine [46], the spectrum of the Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ shows new absorption peaks at 3305 and 3215 cm$^{-1}$ which can be assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibration bond of the N-H [36] and at 1033 and 1550 cm$^{-1}$ which can be attributed to C-N group [47].
The TEM photographs obtained for the current of both TiO$_2$ samples prepared at acidic and basic mediums are shown in Fig. 5(a and b). It was observed that both samples exhibit particles mainly in the form of rhombohedral and hexagonal prisms with random shapes rather than regular spherical particles. Furthermore, the TEM image of TiO$_2$(A) shows the appearance of spotty sharp rings indicative of polycrystalline materials with is for the presence of the rutile phase.

The inset images for the selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED) of TiO$_2$ prepared, there is the five SAED rings represent anatase phase indexed as (101), (004), (200), (105), and (211) (JCPDS no, 21-1272) in TiO$_2$(A & B). Furthermore, in the TiO$_2$ (A) catalyst, the appearance of one ring represents the rutile phase indexed as (110) in addition to five rings of anatase.

Figure 5(c and d ) shows the TEM images of Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF- NH$_2$. Cu-MOF has a distinct morphology, whilst the morphology of Cu-MOF -NH$_2$ seems to be an embedded material with small particles in a matrix. Zhong and his team attributed the morphological change to solvent squeezing during the reflux processes [36].
Figure 5: TEM image of (a) TiO$_2$(A), (b) TiO$_2$(B) inside: selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED), (c) Cu-MOF and (d) Cu-MOF-NH$_2$

### 3.2. Photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol

Before the benzyl alcohol oxidation, the alcohol may adsorb on the surface of the catalysts. The adsorption was investigated with all prepared samples by exposing the catalyst to benzyl alcohol solution in the dark (500 mg benzyl alcohol per gram of solid; see Figure 6 and Table 1).
Table (1): Average of the crystalline domain, BET-surface area, pore volume and the adsorption capacity properties of the photocatalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>D_{ord}^{[a]} nm</th>
<th>S_{BET}^{[b]} m² g⁻¹</th>
<th>V_p^{[b]} cm³ g⁻¹</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity^{[c]} %</th>
<th>Adsorption capacity/m²^{[d]}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (A)</td>
<td>(16.2 An &amp; 15.2 Ru)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂ (B)</td>
<td>13 An</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-MOF</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-MOF-NH₂</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Average size of the crystalline domain as determined using the Scherrer equation. (An: anatase and Ru: rutile phases)
[b] Surface area (S_{BET}) and pore volume (V_p) from BET-isotherm.
[c] Fraction of benzyl alcohol adsorbed from a benzyl alcohol solution ([Benzyl alcohol] = 500 mg/L solid content: 1 g/L)
[d] Adsorption capacity/m² = Adsorption capacity % /surface area

Figure 6: Adsorption of benzyl alcohol adsorbed from a benzyl alcohol solution ([Benzyl alcohol] = 500 mg/L solid content: 1 g/L) for prepared catalysts in the dark (equilibration time: 30 min).

The data indicates that TiO₂ (B) (pure anatase) has a higher capacity for adsorption than TiO₂ (A) (a mix of anatase and rutile), this is due to the following:

(a) The larger surface area and the smaller average size of the crystalline domains in TiO₂ (B) would result in a higher adsorption capacity for benzyl alcohol on its surface. However, the increase is stronger than expected based on the increase in the surface area. The surface hydroxyl group density increases with increasing surface area [7], which may result in the enhanced adsorption of benzyl alcohol on TiO₂(B) in comparison to TiO₂(A).

(b) The adsorption capacity of rutile is lower than that of anatase for organic compounds (e.g., benzyl alcohol) [48], [49].
Similarly, both Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ displayed a high capacity for adsorption owing to the porous-like heterostructure. However, the measured adsorption capacity of Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ is about double the measured adsorption capacity of the unmodified Cu-MOF, despite the much smaller surface area and pore volume. The average pore diameter of Cu-MOF is much smaller than the average pore diameter of Cu-MOF-NH$_2$, which may induce diffusion limitations in the uptake measurement and equilibrium may not have been attained in a contacting time of 30 minutes.

The photooxidation of benzyl alcohol was monitored on currently prepared catalysts TiO$_2$(A), TiO$_2$(B), Cu-MOF, and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ as a function of time under irradiation with visible light emitted from the mercury lamp at room temperature in the presence of O$_2$. This reaction was completed within 240 min in acetonitrile solution as a solvent. Figure 7 shows that all catalysts exhibit good catalytic performance in the photooxidation of benzyl alcohol with benzaldehyde and benzyl benzoate as the main products. The only observed products were benzaldehyde and benzyl benzoate (see Fig. 8 and Fig. 9).

Figure 7: Photooxidations of benzyl alcohol were performed on currently prepared catalysts TiO$_2$(A), TiO$_2$(B), Cu-MOF, and NH$_2$-Cu-MOF as a function of time under irradiation with visible light emitted from the mercury lamp at room temperature in the presence of O$_2$. (150 ml of 500 mg/L Benzyl alcohol and 1 g/L of catalyst; bubbling air, 25 mL/min).

Extrapolating the conversion time graph indicates that the intercept is less than zero. Hence, the conversion as a function of time is increasing strongly in the first 15 minutes of reaction (t<15 minutes), which is characteristic for an autocatalytic reaction. This may be caused by the conversion of the product, benzoic acid, with the the reactant,
benzyl alcohol, enhancing the rate of benzyl alcohol conversion via the creation of benzyl benzoate [50]. It should be noted that we did not observe the formation of benzoic acid from the photocatalytic oxidation (as it was at a low concentration (near or under GC detection limit) [51].

Increasing the reaction time (up to 240 min) increases the benzyl alcohol conversion with a modest increase in the selectivity for benzyl aldehyde and accordingly a modest decrease in the selectivity for benzyl benzoate. This is not accompanied by an increase in the formation of benzoic acid, and hence the decrease in the selectivity towards benzyl benzoate is not due to the reverse esterification of benzoic acid with benzyl alcohol. Thus, the decrease in benzyl benzoate selectivity is attributed to an increase in the rate of formation of benzaldehyde at the increased conversion of benzyl alcohol as the reaction period increases.

Figure 8: Selectivity of benzaldehyde over currently prepared catalysts as a function of reaction time (150 ml of 500 mg/L benzyl alcohol and 1 g/L of catalyst; bubbling air, 25 mL/min; Hg-lamp).
Figure 9: Selectivity of benzyl benzoate over currently prepared catalysts as a function of reaction time (150 ml of 500 mg/L benzyl alcohol and 1 g/L of catalyst; bubbling air, 25 mL/min; Hg-lamp).

The performance difference between the catalysts TiO$_2$(A) and TiO$_2$ (B) for the photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol in terms of activity and selectivity is negligible (see Figs. 7-9), despite the difference in the phase composition and the available surface area. The obtained activity and selectivity may be attributed to:

1. The generation of non-selective •OH radicals can be avoided in acetonitrile (CH$_3$CN) as a solvent [52], and therefore the complete oxidation to CO$_2$ can be minimized [53].
2. Superoxide anion radicals of •O$_2^-$ are generated from O$_2$ according to the valence band potential of the catalysts. Basic •O$_2^-$ removes protons from benzyl alcohol to form alkoxide anions. Alkoxide radicals are produced from alkoxide anions when they come into contact with a photogenerated hole. Then, the alkoxide reacts with another •O$_2^-$ and hole, releasing another proton from the hydroxymethyl moiety and forming a carbon radical [54].
3. Carbon radicals may also be formed during the photocatalytic reaction when benzyl alcohol molecules react with photogenerated holes, followed by reducing Ti (IV) to Ti (III) via electron transfer.
4. A swift reaction of carbon radicals and chemisorbed oxygen atoms cause fast oxidation of benzaldehyde to benzoic acid and subsequent esterification to benzyl benzoate (the solvent plays a critical role as a moderately polar solvent...
and basic medium for driving the reaction toward the production of benzyl benzoate [11]).

The performance of Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF-NH₂ in the photooxidation of benzyl alcohol yielding benzyl aldehyde and benzyl benzoate is quite different (especially at the beginning of the reaction up to 60 min). Cu-MOF-NH₂ exhibits a higher catalytic activity and selectivity towards benzaldehyde formation.

The reaction kinetics of the selective photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol to benzaldehyde are investigated to understand the intrinsic activities of the catalysts. The conversion in the first 60 minutes of the reaction is seemingly independent of the conversion, and hence the initial rates were determined from the slope of the conversion-time graphs.

\[-r_{benzyl\ alcohol} = C_0 \cdot \left(\frac{dx}{dt}\right)_{initial} = -\left(\frac{dc}{dt}\right)_{initial}\] (3)

With \(C_0\), the initial concentration of benzyl alcohol and \(X\) the conversion of benzyl alcohol. The initial activity of the titania catalysts (TiO₂(A) and TiO₂(B)) are virtually the same (initial rate of 4.6 and 4.7 mmol/g/min, respectively). The catalysts Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF-NH₂ have a higher initial rate of reaction of 6.1 and 9.1 mmol/g/min. The different reactivity of the MOFs compared to titania may be attributed to the change in the catalytic active surface area and the difference in the band gap between the materials.

The band gap for titania is ca. 3.0-3.2 eV. Figure 10 shows the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of Cu-MOF and Cu-MOF-NH₂. The optical absorption edge for Cu-MOF is estimated at 320 nm, and the calculated optical band gap of Cu-MOF is 3.9 eV based on the relationship \(E_g = \frac{1240}{\lambda}\) [35,58]. This implies that Cu-MOF will absorb a smaller fraction of the light emitted by the mercury lamp compared to titania catalysts. This would imply a low reactivity of this material in the photocatalytic conversion of benzyl alcohol, but the much higher surface area countere this.
A linker decoration such as an amine modifies the bandgap, which moves the absorption energy from the ultraviolet to the visible region. The amino decoration acts as an auxochromic and bathochromic group in the aromatic ring, leading to the absorption wavelength shift of Cu-MOF [23]. Thus, introducing NH$_2$-groups reduces the bandgap from 3.9 to 2.6 eV, i.e., a redshift of absorption edges, this is following the predictions by Sliva et al. [56], who proved that inserting NH$_2$ in UiO-66 decreased the band gap due to changes in the linker and the nonbonding oxygen near the metalloid cluster. The emitting radiation of the 125W mercury lamp is in the range of 200 to 420 nm [57]. Therefore, only the light from 200 to 320 nm can be utilized for pristine Cu-MOF. For the Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ catalyst, the light from 200 to 420 nm can be utilized. Furthermore, the maximum emission of the mercury lamp at 365 nm, i.e., the light source's intensity, is the strongest at around 365 nm, allowing for greater light utilization [28]. Therefore, the Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ can absorb more light than the pristine Cu-MOF. As a result, the amine linker decoration improved light utilization capability, which resulted in increased activity for the photocatalytic conversion of benzyl alcohol despite the decrease in the surface area.

MOFs can be used as conventional semiconductor photocatalysts that can be excited when irradiated to create electrons and holes [32]. Decoration of MOF linker by NH$_2$ can result in electron-rich clouds, which produce (e$^-\cdot$h$^+$) pairs. It is suggested that accelerated electron migration and the high photocatalytic activity are obtained for Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ due to an amine group adjacent to the organic linker. The electron is placed in the Cu-oxo clusters, whereas the hole is located in the amino terephthalate unit,
specifically, the amino group, which further enhances the electron transfer pathway in photo-excited Cu-MOF-NH$_2$ [49,50]. Liu et al. [51] showed that that ZIF-8 MOF showed significantly higher photocatalytic activity in the photocatalytic reduction of Cr(IV) compared to titania due to the high Cr(VI) adsorption property of ZIF-8 and more efficient charge transfer compared to pristine TiO$_2$ beads.

The MOF may absorb incident photons under visible light irradiation. The photogenerated carriers then migrate to the MOF surface and take part in the redox reaction. The adsorbed oxygen is reduced to superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$) by photogenerated electrons, and the photogenerated holes (h$^+$) on the MOF surface induce benzyl alcohol to release protons directly under the help of (O$_2^-$) which results in benzaldehyde. The absence of benzoic acid in the GC analysis indicates that, once benzoic acid is formed (reaction (4)), it reacts directly with benzyl alcohol, which is existing in a much higher concentration, forming benzyl benzoate (reaction (5)).

\[
MOFs + hv \rightarrow MOFs (h^+ + e^-) \quad (1)
\]
\[
e^- + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^- \quad (2)
\]
\[
C_6H_5CH_2OH + \frac{1}{2} O_2^- \rightarrow C_6H_5CHO + H_2O \quad (3)
\]
\[
C_6H_5CHO + \frac{1}{2} O_2^- \rightarrow C_6H_5COOH + H_2O \quad (4)
\]
\[
C_6H_5COOH + C_6H_5CH_2OH \rightarrow C_6H_5COOC_6H_5 + H_2O \quad (5)
\]

**Conclusion**

Photocatalytic oxidation of benzyl alcohol using titania-based materials, Cu-MOF, and Cu-MOF-NH$_2$, with a mercury lamp in aerated acetonitrile, has been carried out used for selective oxidation to the corresponding carbonyl compounds. The performance of different titania was virtually identical despite differences in the phase composition and surface area. The Cu-MOF was more active than titania, although the effectiveness of light adsorption from the mercury lamp on these materials is less than on titania; the increased activity is attributed to the much higher surface area of this material which
may compensate for the reduced light absorption. Modification of Cu-MOF with ethylene diamine allows for absorption of light at much higher wavelengths, thus increasing light absorption efficiency. This resulted in an increased activity despite a strong reduction in the surface area. These results made MOFs and functioned MOFs to be promising materials for exceptional chemical preparation.
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