Estimation of relative source locations from seismic amplitude: application to earthquakes and tremors at Meakandake volcano, eastern Hokkaido, Japan
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Abstract

Although seismic amplitudes can be used to estimate event locations for volcanic tremors and other seismic events with unclear phase arrival times, the precision of such estimates is strongly affected by site amplification factors. Therefore, reduction of the influence of site amplification will allow more precise estimation of event locations by this method. Here, we propose a new method to estimate relative event locations using seismic amplitudes. We use the amplitude ratio between two seismic events at a given station to cancel out the effect of the site amplification factor at that station. By assuming that the difference between the hypocentral distances of these events is much smaller than their hypocentral distances themselves, we derive a system of linear equations for the differences in relative event locations. This formulation is similar to that of a master event location method that uses differences in phase arrival times. We applied our new method to earthquakes and tremors at Meakandake volcano, eastern Hokkaido, Japan. Comparison of the hypocentral distributions of volcano-tectonic earthquakes obtained thereby with those obtained from phase arrival times confirmed the validity of our new method. Moreover, our method clearly identified source migration among three source regions in the tremor on 16 November 2008, consistent with previous interpretations of other geophysical observations in our study area. Our method will thus be useful for detailed analyses of seismic events whose onset times are ambiguous.

Keywords

Relative source location, Seismic amplitude, Volcano-tectonic earthquakes, Volcanic tremors, Tremor migration, Meakandake volcano
Estimating the location of seismic events is a fundamental step in seismological analyses. Phase arrival times are routinely used to locate earthquake hypocenters (e.g., Hirata and Matsu’ura 1987; Klein 2002). Several other techniques have been applied, some of which have dealt successfully with ambiguous arrival times. Seismic array observations (e.g., Rost and Thomas 2002) enable us to estimate wave propagation direction and apparent velocity by using waveform similarity (e.g., Capon 1969; Neidell and Taner 1971; Goldstein and Archuleta 1987), which can be used to estimate a waveform’s point of origin. When waveforms are not similar among stations, but seismogram envelopes are, differences in phase arrival times among stations can be estimated from seismogram envelope correlations, and source locations can be estimated from these arrival-time differences; this is known as the envelope correlation method (Obara 2002).

Seismic amplitude can also be used to estimate seismic event locations. The amplitude source location (ASL) method (e.g., Battaglia and Aki 2003; Battaglia et al. 2005; Kumagai et al. 2010) uses amplitude spatial decay for this purpose. Because the ASL method does not rely on phase arrival times, it is applicable to seismic events with unclear onset times, such as tremors. The ASL method has been applied to tremors and earthquakes within volcanoes (Battaglia 2003; Kumagai et al. 2011; Ogiso and Yomogida 2012; Kumagai et al. 2013a; Ogiso et al. 2015; Kurokawa et al. 2016; Ichihara and Matsumoto 2017; Walsh et al. 2017; Ichimura et al. 2018; Kumagai et al. 2019), pyroclastic flows (Yamasato 1997; Jolly et al. 2002), lahars or debris flows (Kumagai et al. 2009; Ogiso and Yomogida 2015; Doi and Maeda 2020), and snow avalanches (Pérez-Guillén et al. 2019). The ASL method has also revealed the source process of a large subduction zone earthquake (Kumagai et al. 2013b), the detailed distribution of shallow low-frequency earthquakes near a trench axis (Tamaribuchi et al. 2019). Because the ASL method uses observed seismic amplitudes, the appropriate correction of site amplification effects is important for the accuracy of event locations determined by this method (Kumagai et al. 2013a).

In addition to estimating absolute source locations, as discussed above, estimates of relative source location have been used to derive precise location distributions. The underlying concept for this techniques is the removal of common factors that affect location precisions. A joint hypocenter determination technique (e.g., Douglas 1967) includes site correction terms in a system of equations designed to simultaneously estimate relative event locations and site correction terms. A master event location method (Aoki 1974; Ito 1985; Frémont and Malone 1987) attributes differences between phase arrival
times of a reference event and other events to differences in their relative locations. When seismic
events occur in close proximity to one another, the errors arising from wave propagation path can
be canceled out by accounting for arrival-time differences. A double-difference earthquake location
algorithm (Waldhauser and Ellsworth 2000) is a novel approach to source-location estimation that uses
the differences of observed and theoretical arrival times between event pairs to minimize the influence
of an unmodeled heterogeneous velocity structure without any reference events. These techniques for
estimating relative source locations have revealed more detailed spatial characteristics of seismicities
than those by absolute location estimation methods.

Here, we propose a new method to estimate relative source locations that uses seismic amplitudes and
takes advantage of aspects of both the ASL method and the relative location methods. Our method uses
amplitude ratios between reference and other events at several stations to cancel out the effects of site
amplification. By assuming that subevents occur near a reference event, we derive a system of linear
equations for differences in relative location. We then estimate relative locations by solving the equations
with a standard least-squares method. In this paper, we first explain the formulation of our new method
and then apply it to volcano-tectonic (VT) earthquakes and tremors at Meakandake volcano, eastern
Hokkaido, Japan. To test the validity of our method, we then compare the hypocentral distribution
of VT earthquakes derived by our new method with those derived by two methods that determine
hypocenters from phase arrival times. Next, we demonstrate that the migration of the tremor locations
that we identified with our new method is clearer than that in the previous study (Ogiso and Yomogida
2012), and discuss the relationship between tremor source regions and other geophysical observations.

Theoretical background

In this section, we briefly review the ASL method and then present the theory that underpins our new
method of determining relative source locations from seismic amplitudes.

ASL method

When body waves propagate, the observed seismic amplitude $A_i(f)$ at a certain frequency $f$ at the $i$th
station can be represented as

$$A_i(f) = A_0(f) \frac{\exp(-B(f)r_i)}{r_i} S_i(f),$$

(1)
where $A_0(f)$ is the source radiation amplitude, $r_i$ the hypocentral distance between the source and the $i$th station, and $S_i(f)$ the site amplification factor at the $i$th station. $B(f)$ is defined as

$$B(f) = \frac{\pi f}{Q^\beta},$$

where $Q$ is the intrinsic attenuation factor and $\beta$ the velocity of the medium, or the average $S$-wave velocity in general. If we assume the source location for a certain event, we can calculate the source radiation amplitude $A_0(f)$ as

$$A_0(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{A_i(f)}{S_i(f)} r_i \exp(B(f)r_i),$$

where $N$ is the number of observations. Using equations (1) and (3), we calculate the normalized residual $R$ as

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ A_i(f)/S_i(f) - A_0(f) \exp(-B(f)r_i)/r_i \right\}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ A_i(f)/S_i(f) \right\}^2}.$$
are the hypocentral distances from the ith station to the jth and kth events, respectively. We define the difference of the hypocentral distances of the jth and kth events $\Delta r_{jk}$ as

$$\Delta r_{jk} = r_{ik} - r_{ij}, \quad (6)$$

and, substituting equation (6) into (5) gives

$$\frac{A_j^i(f)}{A_k^i(f)} = \frac{A_0^j(f)}{A_0^k(f)} \exp(-B(f)\Delta r_{jk}) \left(1 + \frac{\Delta r_{jk}}{r_{ij}}\right). \quad (7)$$

Here we assume that the sources of the jth and kth events are near each other so that $\Delta r_{jk}$ becomes much smaller than the hypocentral distances $r_{ij}$ and $r_{ik}$. Taking the natural logarithm of both sides of equation (7) and approximating $\ln(1 + \Delta r_{jk}/r_{ij})$ as $\Delta r_{jk}/r_{ij}$, equation (7) becomes

$$\ln \frac{A_j^i(f)}{A_k^i(f)} \approx \ln \frac{A_0^j(f)}{A_0^k(f)} + B(f)\Delta r_{jk} + \frac{\Delta r_{jk}}{r_{ij}}. \quad (8)$$

Similar to the formulation of Aoki (1974) for a master event location method using differences of phase arrival times, we can approximate $\Delta r_{jk}$ to be

$$\Delta r_{jk} \approx n_j^i \cdot \Delta x_k, \quad (9)$$

where $n_j^i$ is the unit vector representing the takeoff angle and azimuth from the jth event to the ith station, and $\Delta x_k$ is the location vector of the kth event relative to the jth event. After substituting equation (9) into (8), we rewrite equation (8) into the following matrix form for standard least-square inversion:

$$d = Gm, \quad (10)$$

where $d$ represents the data vector consisting of $\ln(A_j^i/A_k^i)$, $m$ is the model vector consisting of $\ln(A_0^j/A_0^k)$ and $\Delta x_k$, and $G$ is the kernel consisting of the coefficients of the model vector in the right-hand side of equation (8). We can solve equation (10) by a standard least-squares method if we have more than four observations for each event. Our formulation is essentially the same as that of the master event location method using differences of phase arrival times (Aoki 1974), except we use seismic amplitudes rather than arrival times.

The corresponding model covariance matrix $S_m$ is

$$S_m = (G^T S_d^{-1} G)^{-1}, \quad (11)$$

where $S_d$ is the data covariance matrix. In the following analysis, we first calculate the variance of data residuals using all events except the reference event. Assuming that errors in the data are independent
each other, we construct a diagonal data covariance matrix with the variance of data residuals to obtain $S_m$ by equation (11). Because we adopt a common value for variance for all data, the estimation errors of relative source locations derived by equation (11) become the same for all events.

Ichihara and Matsumoto (2017) estimated relative locations of volcanic tremor events using seismic amplitude ratios. They calculated amplitude ratios among several stations to eliminate the source radiation amplitude term ($A_0$ in equation 1) and conducted a grid search to find optimal tremor source locations. It appears that the approach of Ichihara and Matsumoto (2017) estimates relative source locations, because the site amplification terms and intrinsic attenuation factor they used were adjusted to the reference source location. Nevertheless, their principle formulation follows the original ASL method. In contrast, we attribute the amplitude ratio between two events at each station to the relative difference between their locations. Our approach relies on the assumption that the difference between the hypocentral distance of a reference event and a subevent is much smaller than their hypocentral distances, which is not required in the formulation of Ichihara and Matsumoto (2017). Our formulation thus has two advantages over theirs. First, we avoid uncertainties in estimating site amplification factors. Second, our fundamental formulation (equation 8) has a simple linear form, so that we can estimate not only relative source locations, but also the errors on those estimations by using a standard least-squares method.

**Data and analysis**

Meakandake volcano (eastern Hokkaido, northern Japan; Fig. 1a) has three active craters: Naka-machineshiri, Pon-machineshiri, and Mt. Akanfuji. The eruptive history of Meakandake (Japan Meteorological Agency 2013) shows that its most recent eruption was a phreatic eruption in November 2008 at the 96-1 crater, on the southeastern edge of the Pon-machineshiri crater (Ishimaru et al. 2009). Many earthquakes and tremors were observed before and during the 2008 eruptive period (Ogiso and Yomogida 2012; Japan Meteorological Agency 2013). In this study, we used seismograms of VT earthquakes and volcanic tremors recorded during the 2008 activity at Meakandake by five stations (Fig. 1a) operated by the Sapporo Regional Volcano Observation and Warning Center (RVOWC) of the Japan Meteorological Agency. Vertical-component short-period (natural period 1 s) seismometers were deployed at stations V.PMNS and V.NSYM, and three-component short-period (1 s) seismometers were deployed at the other three stations. Each seismogram was digitized at a sampling rate of 0.01 s.
In this study, we used 1-D velocity and attenuation structure of S-waves shown in Fig. 1b. The velocity structure was derived by trial-and-error approach at Sapporo RVOWC, which has been used there for routine hypocenter determinations since August 2017 (Okuyama, 2020, personal communication). The attenuation structure we used is that of Kumagai et al. (2019), which they used in their application of the ASL method at Nevado del Ruiz volcano (Colombia). We conducted a ray shooting in a spherical coordinate (e.g., Aki and Richards 1980, Chapter 13) to derive $n_j$ in equation (9).

To validate the source locations of the VT earthquakes determined by our new method by comparing them with those determined from phase arrival times, we selected 45 earthquake events that occurred near the 96-1 crater between 1 and 10 November 2008 for which the Sapporo RVOWC had determined hypocenters from phase arrival times. We manually picked up the arrival times of P-waves at all five stations and those of S-waves at stations V.MEAB and V.MNDK (Fig. 2). Note that because the phase arrivals for these earthquakes were clearly evident on all of the seismograms, any event location method using phase arrival times would be suitable for further analysis of this seismic activity. We calculated source locations by three methods: (a) absolute hypocenter estimation from phase arrival times with the HYPOMH algorithm (Hirata and Mats'u'ura 1987), (b) master event location estimation (Aoki 1974) using differences of P-wave arrival times, and (c) our new method of estimating relative source locations from seismic amplitudes. The reference event we used for the latter two methods was an earthquake at 21:30 (Japan Standard Time; JST) on 7 November 2008 (latitude 43.3829°, longitude 144.0093°, depth $-0.40$ km). This reference location was originally determined from the phase arrival times and the HYPOMH algorithm. We selected this reference event because its epicenter was at the centroid of all of the hypocenters derived from the phase arrival times. The P-wave velocity structure we used for the HYPOMH algorithm and master event method was $\sqrt{3}$ times larger than S-wave velocity structure shown in Fig. 1b. For our method of relative source location, we applied a 5–10 Hz bandpass filter to the data of each seismogram, and calculated the root-mean-square (RMS) amplitude of the vertical component within a time window extending for 10 s after the P-wave arrival (Fig. 2). We set frequency $f$ in equation (2) to 7.5 Hz.

For comparison of the calculated volcanic tremor locations, we selected tremors that occurred on 16 and 17 November 2008. Ogiso and Yomogida (2012) applied the ASL method to these events and identified segmentation in their source regions. The duration time of 15 November tremor was about 30 min (Ogiso and Yomogida 2012). Following the approach of Ogiso and Yomogida (2012), we divided the first 18
min of the tremor into three phases (Fig. 3a) and estimated source locations for each phase. During
17 and 18 November, small-amplitude, long-duration tremors were observed intermittently (Ogiso and
Yomogida 2012, Fig. 18). Because amplitude ratios among stations did not change significantly during
these intermittent tremors (Ogiso and Yomogida 2012, Fig. 21), we estimated tremor locations from
11:00 to 12:00 (JST) on 17 November (Fig. 3b). Because the velocity and attenuation structures we
used (Fig. 1b) differed from the simple structures used by Ogiso and Yomogida (2012), we analyzed the
locations of these tremors by both the ASL method and our new relative source location method. The
process we used to prepare amplitude data was similar to that used for analysis of volcanic earthquakes,
apart from the length of the time window. After applying a 5–10 Hz bandpass filter, we calculated the
time series of RMS amplitudes from the vertical-component seismogram at each station within a 30-s time
window that we shifted by 15 s for each calculation. We then used these time series of RMS amplitudes
for both the ASL and our relative source location methods. For the ASL method, we used the same
amplification factors as those estimated by Ogiso and Yomogida (2012) using the coda normalization
method, and performed a grid search with 0.001° increments of latitude and longitude and a 0.1 km depth
increment. For our relative source location method, we set the reference location at latitude 43.378°,
longitude 144.005° and 0.1 km depth, which was the tremor location from 01:05:05 to 01:05:35 (JST) on
16 November, as estimated by the ASL method in this study.

Results and discussions

VT earthquakes

Comparison of the hyopcenter distributions of VT earthquakes estimated by the three considered source-
location methods (Fig. 4) shows that the focal region derived from phase arrival times extends about 0.5
km horizontally and 1.2 km vertically (Fig. 4a), and that derived by our relative source location method
extends about 0.7 km horizontally and 1.0 km vertically (Fig. 4c). The focal region derived by the master
event method on the basis of differences of P-wave arrival times (Fig. 4b) had the smallest extent among
three results: about 0.2 km horizontally and 0.6 km vertically. We note that the absolute source locations
by the master event method and our relative source location method (Figs. 4b and 4c) depended on the
location of the selected reference event.

The choice of attenuation structure affected the extent of the focal region for our relative source location
method. Equation (8) indicates that an observed amplitude ratio can be decomposed into the ratio of
the source radiation amplitude and the difference in relative source location. Variable $B$ in equation (8) (i.e., the intrinsic attenuation factor in the region of the reference event) determines the contribution of the difference between relative locations to the observed amplitude ratio. If $Q$ is large, the contribution of the difference between relative locations becomes small and the distribution of relative source locations widens. In contrast, the relative source locations converge toward zero with a small value of $Q$. Ideally, the attenuation structure around the target focal region should be evaluated independently by some other method, such as simultaneous inversion of the source, attenuation and site amplification terms (e.g., Oth et al. 2011; Nakano et al. 2015). Use of a detailed 3-D heterogeneous attenuation structure around a source region (e.g., Matsumoto et al. 2009) would greatly improve the precision of our source location method.

The depth distributions of source locations differ slightly among the three results. The source-depth distribution derived from phase arrival times seems to have a mean of about 0.25 km (Fig. 4a). That derived by the master event method shows most of the sources to be concentrated at about 0 km depth (Fig. 4b). In contrast, the source-depth distribution derived by our relative source location method (Fig. 4c) shows two clusters: the one at about at 0 km and the other at $-0.5$ km depth. Comparison of this depth distribution to that of the relative source locations derived by the master event method suggests that the shallow source locations derived by our new location method (around $-0.5$ km depth; Fig. 4c) may not be actual ones. This apparent depth segmentation may be due to two different focal mechanisms. Because the onsets of P- and S-waves for these events were clearly evident in seismograms, their radiation patterns should not be isotropic. If the focal mechanisms of the two events were similar to each other, their spatial distributions of seismic amplitude should also be similar, so the amplitude ratios of the two events well reflect the differences between their source radiation amplitudes and their relative source locations (i.e., equation 5). For events with different focal mechanisms, the amplitude ratios would be dependent on the azimuth of each station. Under such circumstances, equation (5) no longer holds and the results obtained by our new relative source location method may be erroneous. Distortion or reduction of radiation patterns due to multiple scattering of seismic waves (Takemura et al. 2009; Kobayashi et al. 2015) has important ramifications for the ability of our method to stably locate seismic events with different focal mechanisms, as is also the case for the ASL method (Morioka et al. 2017). If the focal mechanisms among events are similar to each other, the relative source locations estimated by seismic amplitude ratios will be equally as reliable as the absolute source locations estimated from phase
arrival times, regardless of the scattering properties of the medium.

**Tremors of 16 and 17 November**

We now compare the distribution of source locations of volcanic tremors estimated by our method with that estimated by the ASL method. We assumed that the tremors on 16 and 17 November had no clear azimuthal dependency in their spatial distributions of seismic amplitudes. Tremors, which have relatively continuous signals, should be less affected by differences in focal mechanism than the dominant P- and S-wave arrivals of VT earthquakes.

There is a clear southwest to northeast horizontal trend of source locations in all four results (Fig. 5), but their horizontal extents differ. The horizontal extent of source locations obtained using the ASL method are from 1.0 km (Fig. 5c) to 1.5 km (Fig. 5a) whereas those obtained using our new method are from 0.8 km (Fig. 5d) to 1.0 km (Fig. 5b); thus, our method located the tremor sources in more compact regions.

Ogiso and Yomogida (2012) found that the tremor locations on 16 November migrated among phases 1, 2, and 3, and that the spatial distribution of phase 3 of the 16 November tremor overlapped those of the following intermittent long-duration tremors on 17 to 18 November. The tremor locations we estimated by the ASL method (Figs. 5a and 5c) show similar characteristics as those of Ogiso and Yomogida (2012, Figs. 15 and 20). They interpreted the location differences of the tremor on 16 November represented downward migration of the tremor source during phases 1 and 2, and that the source region of phase 3 connected the source regions of phases 1 and 2 with those of the following intermittent tremors.

The relative source locations estimated by our new method (Figs. 5b and 5d) show similar overall characteristics to those estimated by the ASL method (Figs. 5a and 5c), but the detail of the spatial relationships of source regions for the three phases of tremor on 16 November differ as follows (Figs. 5a and 5b). Our method showed the source region of the phase 1 tremor to be more tightly concentrated on the western flank of Mt. Akanfuji, with those of phases 2 and 3 a little farther to the northeast of the phase 1 source region, but not extending as far to the northeast as those estimated by the ASL method. In particular, the source region of the phases 2 and 3 overlapped each other. The source region of the tremor of 17 November estimated by our new method was the east of the source regions of the tremor of 16 November, and there was no overlap of the source regions of the events on those two days.

This difference between the spatial relationships of the source regions of tremors estimated by the ASL method and our new method may partly reflect to the uncertainty of the site amplification correction in the ASL method. If the site amplification factor at one station differed greatly from its true value,
the source radiation amplitude (equation 3) would also differ from its true value, and the ASL method
would then estimate an incorrect source location. If there were many observations (stations), an error in
site amplification factor at a single station would be suppressed because equation (3) uses the average of
site-corrected amplitudes at all stations. However, the smaller the number of observations (we used only
five stations), the larger the influence of each observation on the location estimated by the ASL method.
In contrast, our relative source location method minimizes the effect of such errors in site amplification
corrections for estimation of source locations. The difference between the source locations derived by
the ASL and those derived by our new method demonstrate the ability of our method to cancel out the
uncertainties of site amplification factors when there are few stations.
Takahashi et al. (2018) found a region of low resistivity located beneath the northwest flank of Mt. Akan-
fuji from audio-frequency magnetotelluric observations, which corresponds to the source regions we iden-
tified for three tremor phases on 16 November (Fig. 5b). On the basis of broadband seismic observations,
Aoyama and Oshima (2015) retrieved the change of tilt that they attributed to the opening of a vertical
crack in the volcanic edifice. To explain the change of tilt, Takahashi et al. (2018) proposed a model
in which upward heat convection caused the rapid flow of heat into the low resistivity zone. Migration
of the source location, as estimated by the ASL method for the tremor of 16 November, appears to be
inconsistent with the model of Takahashi et al. (2018): the descent of the source location between phases
1 and 2 conflicts with the upward heat transfer of their model. In contrast, the relative locations in this
study by applying our new method are consistent with their model: the source region of the phase 1 is
consistent the activation of volcanic fluids in the low resistivity zone, and the ascent of source locations
between phases 2 and 3 is consistent to the rapid transfer of heat from depth.
The locations of the sources of the intermittent tremors (on 17 November) remains controversial. The
locations we estimated by the ASL method (Fig. 5c) indicate that the source region of the intermittent
tremors partially overlaps that of the phase 3 of the tremor on 16 November (Fig. 5a), whereas our new
relative source location method separates the source regions of the two tremors (Figs. 5b and 5d). Based
on the source locations estimated by our method, there may have some mechanism by which the two
source regions were linked, although no geophysical evidence to support this link has yet come to light.
Future improvements in geophysical observation networks around active volcanic craters and greater
precision in the location of tremor sources will likely help us to understand the cause of such separations
of source regions.
Conclusions

We have presented a new method to estimate relative source locations from seismic amplitudes. The method is similar to the conventional master event method except that it uses event amplitude ratios instead of phase arrival times. Our method avoids the uncertainties inherent in the estimation of site amplification factors by using amplitude ratios between two events at a common station. We assume that for two events near to each other, the observed amplitude ratios can be attributed to the ratio of source radiation amplitude and the difference of relative source location in the form of standard least-squares inversion. As a result, we can use to estimate not only relative source locations but also their errors. The relative source locations of VT earthquakes estimated by our new method showed that using seismic amplitude ratios enabled us to derive a hypocentral distribution similar to that determined by the conventional absolute source location method using phase arrival times. We applied our new method to estimate relative source locations for volcanic tremors recorded at Meakandake volcano on 16 and 17 November 2008, and identified clear segmentation of source clusters and migration of tremor source locations. The observed migration is consistent with other geophysical observations, such as the resistivity structure and changes in tilt determined from broadband seismic data. Our new method of source location from event amplitude data will be useful for detailed analyses of seismic events whose onset times are ambiguous.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: (a) Regional location map (below) and topographic map (above) of Meakandake volcano showing the locations of the five seismic stations (inverted triangles) used in this study. Topographic contour interval is 50 m. (b) One-dimensional S-wave velocity (left) and attenuation (right) structures used in this study. The origin of the depth is mean sea level.

Figure 2: Waveforms recorded at five stations for a VT earthquake that occurred at 21:30 on 7 November 2008. Vertical and N-S components are shown in black and red, respectively. Gray solid and dashed lines denote P- and S-wave arrival times, respectively. The black double-headed arrows indicate the time windows used to calculate RMS amplitudes.

Figure 3: Vertical component of tremor waveforms recorded at station V.MEAB on (a) 16 November and (b) 17 November 2008. We estimated tremor locations for the waveforms shown in red in (b). Note that both waveforms were decimated without anti-aliasing filtering to reduce the file size of the figure.

Figure 4: Plan and vertical cross-sectional views of the hypocenter distributions of VT earthquakes from 1 to 10 November 2008 derived from (a) phase arrival times and the HYPOMH algorithm, (b) the master event method using differences of P-wave arrival times, and (c) our relative source location method. In panels (b) and (c), estimated location errors are shown in the lower corners. In the plan views, inverted triangles denotes seismic stations, and elevation contours are at 50 m intervals.

Figure 5: Locations of the tremors of (a, b) 16 and (c, d) 17 November as estimated by (a, c) the ASL method and (b, d) our new location method. In (a) and (b), the red, green, and blue stars indicated source locations for the three phases indicated by the same colors in Fig. 3a.