

Caffeine, Coffee, Tea and Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis: Systematic Review and Dose- Response Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies

Farzaneh Asoudeh

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Fatemeh Dashti

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Ahmad Jayedi

Semnan University of Medical Sciences

Amirhossein Hemmati

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Abdulmannan Fadel

Liverpool John Moores University

Hamed Mohammadi (✉ mohamadihd@gmail.com)

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Research Article

Keywords: rheumatoid arthritis, coffee, tea, caffeine

Posted Date: September 28th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-923469/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objective: Prospective cohort studies on coffee, tea and caffeine in relation to the risk of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have shown conflicting results. The aim of this study was to conduct a dose–response meta-analysis of cohort studies on the association between dietary caffeine, different types of coffee and tea consumption and the risk of RA.

Methods: PubMed/Medline, Scopus and EMBASE were searched up to July 2021 to identify relevant studies that had considered different types of coffee (caffeinated or decaffeinated), tea or caffeine exposure with RA as the main, or one of the, outcome(s). Two authors independently screened 742 publications. Finally, 5 prospective cohort studies were included in our meta-analysis. Pooled relative risks (RRs) were calculated by using a fixed-effects model. We also performed linear and non-linear dose-response analyses to examine the dose-response relations.

Results: Comparing extreme categories, we found a positive, significant association between coffee (RR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.04-1.62; $I^2 = 0\%$, $n = 5$) and decaffeinated coffee (RR: 1.89; 95% CI: 1.35-2.65; $I^2 = 38.1\%$, $n = 3$) consumption and risk of RA. One additional cup of coffee consumed per day was associated with an increased risk of RA by 6% (95% CI: 1.02-1.10; $I^2 = 0\%$). This increase in the risk of RA for one cup/d of decaffeinated coffee was 11% (95% CI: 1.05-1.18; $I^2 = 38$). No significant association was observed between caffeinated coffee, tea or caffeine intake and the risk of RA.

Conclusion: We found that a higher intake of coffee and decaffeinated coffee was associated with increased risk of RA. No significant association between caffeinated coffee, tea or caffeine intake and the risk of RA was observed.

Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a prevalent autoimmune disease diagnosed by joint function deterioration, erosion of cartilage and bone distraction, which can lead to daily activity dysfunction and decreased quality of life ¹. This condition may increase the risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and respiratory complications ². The incidence rate of RA in industrialized countries is 5 to 10 per 1000 persons ³. Patients who suffer from RA may experience symptoms including stiffness in the joints, puffy hands, weakness, fever and fatigue ⁴.

The etiology of RA is not clear; nevertheless, studies have demonstrated that genetic and environmental factors are the most common determining factors in the pathogenesis of RA ⁵. Environmental factors such as cigarette smoking, air pollution, occupational exposure, as well as dietary factors, are aligned with RA development ⁶. Among dietary factors, intake of red meat and alcohol consumption are positively associated with the risk of RA ^{7,8}, while higher consumption of fruits, vegetables, fish, as well as antioxidants, are inversely associated with the risk ⁹. Recently, the antioxidant properties of tea and coffee and their relation to the progression of RA has been taken into consideration ^{10,11}. Tea and coffee are rich in biologically active components including catechins, theaflavins, caffeine and chlorogenic acid (CGA), which have anti-inflammatory effects ^{12,13}. In this context, some studies have reported that coffee or tea consumption are related to RA development ^{14,15}, while others did not report any such association ^{15,16}.

A previous meta-analysis of two case-control and three cohort studies in 2014 ¹⁷ showed a significant association between coffee intake and the increased risk of RA in case-control studies, but no association was found in cohort studies. Moreover, there was no significant association for tea intake and RA incidence. The results of the previous meta-analysis were accompanied by some limitations, as they missed one study ¹⁷, and also no dose-response meta-analysis was performed. Furthermore, there was no evaluation of the type of coffee such as whether it was caffeinated or decaffeinated. Therefore, to provide updated evidence regarding the potential association of coffee and tea intake with RA risk, the present systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies examined the association of coffee (caffeinated and decaffeinated), caffeine or tea consumption with the risk of RA in the general population.

Methods

We reported the current meta-analysis by following the Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines ¹⁸.

Search strategy

The study protocol is available at <https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO> (registration number CRD 42021227665). A systematic search of available studies was performed up to July 2021 from the online databases of PubMed/Medline, EMBASE and Scopus. The following text and MeSH heading search key words were used in our search strategy: (“Arthritis, Rheumatoid” OR rheumatoid OR “rheumatoid arthritis”) AND (“Camellia sinensis”) OR “Tea” OR “Coffee” OR “caffeine” OR “caffeinated”). There was no language or time restriction. References from included studies and previous reviews were also examined to avoid missing any publications.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The title and abstract of each study were reviewed by two independent authors (F.A and F.D) to identify potentially relevant studies. Studies with the following criteria were included: (i) all human prospective cohort studies that considered coffee, tea, caffeine or types of coffee (caffeinated or

decaffeinated) as the exposure and RA as the main or one of the secondary outcomes; (ii) publications in which effect sizes were reported in the form of odds ratio, rate or risk ratios, relative risk (RRs), or hazard ratios. Letters, comments, reviews, meta-analyses, ecological studies, animal studies and studies that were conducted on children, were excluded from our systematic review and meta-analysis.

Data extraction

Two independent investigators performed the literature research and data extraction, including the name of the first author, publication year, country, age range, sex, follow-up duration, number of cases or cohort size, exposure type, exposure assessment method, outcome assessment, comparison categories, relevant adjusted effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals and confounding variables adjusted for in the statistical analysis. When eligible studies reported several risk estimates, we extracted the fully adjusted effect sizes.

Data synthesis and analysis

We considered the RRs and their 95% CI as the effect size for reporting the results of the present meta-analysis. The reported HRs were considered equal to RRs¹⁹. We calculated the highest versus lowest estimates for the main analyses. Due to the low number of studies ($n \leq 5$), a fixed-effects meta-analysis was performed for combining study-specific results²⁰, using maximally adjusted RRs with 95% CIs²¹. The overall effect size was calculated with consideration of between-study heterogeneity. Cochran's Q test and I^2 ²² were used as indicators of between-study heterogeneity. I^2 values greater than 50% were considered as significant heterogeneity among studies²². Sensitivity analysis was conducted to find which particular study or group of studies affected the overall result by sequential exclusion of each study at a time. Publication bias was examined by visual inspection of funnel plot asymmetry and then formally assessed by Egger's asymmetry test²³ and Begg's test²⁴.

Linear dose-response meta-analysis was also performed to estimate the RRs per increment of one cup/d of coffee, caffeinated coffee, decaffeinated coffee and tea consumption, as well as per 200-mg/d increment of caffeine intake according to previous meta-analyses^{25,26}, by using generalized the least squares trend estimation method^{27,28}. Total number of subjects, number of cases or person-years and median intake for each level of the exposure were needed for the generalized least squares trend estimation method. For the studies that reported a range of coffee, caffeinated coffee, decaffeinated coffee, tea and caffeine intake, the midpoint of the upper and lower limits in each category was estimated as the corresponding dose. When the highest and lowest categories were open-ended, we assumed the length of these open-ended intervals to be equal to the adjacent intervals. To examine the potential nonlinear dose-response associations, we used random effects dose-response meta-analysis through restricted cubic splines with three knots at 10%, 50% and 90% percentiles of the distribution²⁹. P values for nonlinearity were estimated by testing the null hypothesis, in which the coefficient of the second spline was equal to zero. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata software version 14 (Stata Corp, College Station, Texas, USA) and a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Quality assessment of studies

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies³⁰. With the NOS method, a maximum score of nine could be assigned to a study. In the present analysis, quality scores greater than six indicated high quality studies and scores of six or less indicated low-quality studies. We evaluated the overall quality of the evidence by the NutriGrade score³¹. That is a tool to judge the quality of evidence presented by the meta-analysis of cohort studies and randomized controlled trials in nutrition research^{32,33}. This score includes eight components including 1) risk of bias; 2) precision of the estimate; 3) heterogeneity; 4) directness; 5) publication bias; 6) funding bias; 7) effect size; and 8) dose-response association. Total scores ranged from 0 to 10. The measurement score was estimated as follows: very low (0 to <4 points), low (4 to <6 points), moderate (6 to <8 points) or high (8 to 10 points) certainty of the evidence.

Results

Of 742 records identified, 284 studies were duplicates and 442 studies were excluded during the screening of title and abstract (Fig. 1). After reading the full texts of the remaining studies, 11 studies were excluded for the following reasons: the relevant exposure of interest was not reported ($n = 1$), the study outcome was not relevant ($n = 2$), review articles ($n = 2$), and irrelevant study design ($n = 6$) (**Supplementary Table 1**). Finally, five prospective cohort studies^{14-16,34,35} with a total of 266,985 participants were included for meta-analysis. The characteristics of the included studies are shown in Table 1. Total number of cases with RA were 1,018, varying from 69 to 480 in these studies. The studies were conducted from 2000 to 2019; three from the USA^{15,16,34}, one from Denmark³⁵ and one from Finland¹⁴. Age at the beginning of the studies ranged from 20 to 98 years, and the median duration of follow-up ranged from 5.3 to 19 years. In terms of exposure assessment, four studies had used food frequency questionnaires^{15,16,34,35} and one study used a self-questionnaire and interview for the exposure assessment¹⁴. All the included studies were of high quality, based on the NOS. Quality assessment of the studies is shown in Table 1.

Table 1
Characteristics of included studies

Author (year, Country)	Age, gender	Follow up	Cases/ cohort size	Exposure assessment (items)	Exposure type	Outcome assessment	Contrast	RR (95% CI), Highest Versus Lowest	Covariates	NOS
Lamichhane et al. (2019, USA)	50–79 women	6 y	185/76,853	FFQ	Coffee Tea Caffeine Caffeinated coffee Decaffeinated coffee	self-reported RA and DMARD	≥ 4 cups/d vs none ≥ 4 cups/d vs none ≥ 242.96 mg/d vs ≤ 57.53 mg/d ≥ 4 cups/d vs none ≥ 4 cups/d vs none	1.29 (0.84–1.98) 1.78 (0.83–3.82) 1.83 (1.07–3.15) 1.37 (0.84–2.23) 1.76 (0.92–3.36)	age, race/ethnicity, marital status, smoking history, alcohol use, use of hormone therapy, education, BMI	8
Pedersen et al. (2007, Denmark)	50–64 Both	5.3 y	69/56,691	FFQ	Coffee	ACR criteria	per 200 g/d	1.10 (0.99–1.21)	age, gender, tobacco smoking, education	8
Miklus et al. (2002, USA)	55–69 women	12 y	158/31,336	FFQ (127)	Coffee Tea Caffeine Caffeinated coffee Decaffeinated coffee	ACR criteria	≥ 4 cups/d vs none > 3 cups/d vs none > 376.5 mg/d vs < 29.1 mg/d ≥ 4 cups/d vs none ≥ 4 cups/d vs none	1.56 (0.80–3.06) 0.35 (0.13–0.97) 0.94 (0.58–1.52) 0.98 (0.60–1.61) 2.44 (1.52–3.89)	age, marital status, smoking history, alcohol use, age at menopause, and use of hormone replacement therapy	8

Abbreviations: FFQ: food frequency questionnaire; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; DMARD: disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; BMI: body mass index; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Author (year, Country)	Age, gender	Follow up	Cases/ cohort size	Exposure assessment (items)	Exposure type	Outcome assessment	Contrast	RR (95% CI), Highest Versus Lowest	Covariates	NOS
Karlson et al. (2003, USA)	34–59 Women	19 y	480/83,124	FFQ	Coffee Tea Caffeine Caffeinated coffee Decaffeinated coffee	ACR criteria	≥ 4 cups/d vs 0 cup/d > 3 cups/d vs 0 cup/d > 700 mg /d vs < 142 mg/d ≥ 4 cups/d vs 0 cup/d ≥ 4 cups/d vs 0 cup/d	1.2 (0.9–1.7) 1.1 (0.7–1.8) 1.00 (0.8–1.4) 1.1 (0.8–1.6) 1.1 (0.5–2.2)	age, alcohol use, smoking, BMI, age at menarche, age at first birth, parity, breastfeeding, oral contraceptive use, use of hormone replacement therapy	6
Heliovaara et al. (2000, Finland)	20–98 Both	17 y	126/18981	Self-questionnaire and interview	Coffee	Record linkage Medical record	10 cups/d vs 0 cup/d	3.95 (0.89–17.51)	Age, sex	9

Abbreviations: FFQ: food frequency questionnaire; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; DMARD: disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; BMI: body mass index; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

Association between coffee consumption and risk of RA

Five studies had examined the association between coffee intake and subsequent risk of RA^{14–16,34,35}. Pooled effect sizes for the highest versus lowest category of coffee intake indicated a positive statistically significant association with risk of RA (RR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.04–1.62; Fig. 2A). There was no statistically significant between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0.0\%$; $P = 0.61$). Sensitivity analysis showed that removing each particular study at a time, did not affect the summary effects. We observed some evidence of publication bias using Begg's test ($P = 0.05$) and Egger's test ($P = 0.02$). There was a significant positive association such that an additional cup of coffee per day was correlated with a 6% increase in the risk of RA (RR: 1.06; 95% CI: 1.02–1.10; Fig. 3A). Non-linear dose response analysis showed a positive monotonic relationship between coffee intake and risk of RA ($P_{\text{nonlinearity}} = < 0.01$; Fig. 4A). The quality of the evidence was rated as moderate based on the NutriGrade score (Supplementary Table 2).

Association between caffeinated coffee consumption and risk of RA

Three studies reported on the association between caffeinated coffee intake and subsequent risk of RA^{15,16,34}. Pooled effect sizes of the highest versus lowest category of caffeinated coffee intake showed no significant association with the risk of RA (RR: 1.13; 95% CI: 0.88–1.44), without evidence of between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$; $P = 0.62$; Fig. 2B). In sensitivity analysis, we found that no study affected the overall effects. Also, there was no evidence of publication bias by Begg's test ($P = 0.60$) and Egger's test ($P = 0.87$). There was no significant association between one cup of caffeinated coffee in a day and risk of RA (RR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.97–1.06; Fig. 3B). Non-linear dose response analysis showed no significant relationship between caffeinated coffee intake and risk of RA ($P_{\text{nonlinearity}} = 0.78$; Fig. 4B). On the basis of the NutriGrade score, the quality of the evidence was rated as low (Supplementary Table 2).

Association between decaffeinated coffee consumption and risk of RA

Three studies assessed the relationship between decaffeinated coffee intake and subsequent risk of RA^{15,16,34}. Pooled summary estimates for the highest versus lowest category of decaffeinated coffee intake showed a positive association with the risk of RA (RR: 1.89; 95% CI: 1.35–2.65; Fig. 2C). We observed low evidence of between-study heterogeneity ($I^2 = 38.1\%$, $P = 0.19$). Sensitivity analysis showed that removal of the study by Miklus *et al.* changed the significant summary effects to nonsignificant (RR: 1.43; 95% CI: 0.88–2.34). There was no evidence of publication bias by Begg's test ($P =$

0.18) and Egger's test ($P = 0.17$). We found a positive association between one cup of decaffeinated coffee and risk of RA. Each additional cup of decaffeinated coffee per day was associated with an 11% increased risk of RA (RR: 1.11; 95% CI: 1.05–1.18; Fig. 3C). Non-linear dose response analysis revealed a significant, positive relationship between decaffeinated coffee intake and risk of RA ($P_{\text{nonlinearity}} = < 0.001$; Fig. 4C). The quality of the evidence was rated as moderate based on the NutriGrade score (Supplementary Table 2).

Association between caffeine consumption and risk of RA

Three studies reported on the association between caffeine intake and subsequent risk of RA^{15,16,34}. Combining effect sizes for the highest versus lowest category of caffeine intake showed no significant association with the risk of RA (RR: 1.09; 95% CI: 0.88–1.36; Fig. 2D). There was low evidence of heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 43.2\%$; $P = 0.17$). Sensitivity analysis indicated that exclusion of any particular study from the analysis did not alter the pooled effect sizes. No publication bias was found based on Begg's test ($P = 0.12$) and Egger's test ($P = 0.58$). There was no significant association between a 200 mg increment of caffeine intake in a day and the risk of RA (RR: 1.01; 95% CI: 0.95–1.07; Fig. 3D). Also, non-linear dose response analysis showed no significant relationship ($P_{\text{nonlinearity}} = 0.77$; Fig. 4D). Based on the NutriGrade score, the quality of the evidence was rated as low (Supplementary Table 2).

Association between tea consumption and risk of RA

Three studies had examined the association between tea intake and risk of RA^{15,16,34}. Pooled effect sizes for the highest versus lowest category of tea intake showed no significant association between tea intake and risk of RA (RR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.73–1.53; Fig. 5A), with significant heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 69.1\%$; $P = 0.03$). Furthermore, sensitivity analysis found that the overall effect size did not depend on a single study. There was no evidence of publication bias by Begg's test ($P = 0.60$) and Egger's test ($P = 0.70$). There was no statistically significant association between one cup of tea per day and risk of RA (RR: 1.04; 95% CI: 0.94–1.16; Fig. 5B). Also, non-linear dose-response analysis did not reveal any association ($P_{\text{nonlinearity}} = 0.61$; Fig. 6). Using the NutriGrade score, the quality of the evidence was found to be low (Supplementary Table 2).

Discussion

RA is a chronic autoimmune inflammatory disease that leads to inflammation and joint pain that might affect other organs. Dietary components can affect the severity or improvement of the disease symptoms. Numerous studies have shown that coffee and tea consumption could increase inflammatory markers in these patients. On the other hand, a number of other studies have revealed the protective role of coffee and tea in RA. As a result, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis on relevant cohorts to summarize the findings of these studies. The results of our systematic review and meta-analysis of five cohort studies showed a significant association between coffee intake and the risk of RA. We found a similar positive association between decaffeinated coffee intake and the risk of RA. We did not observe significant associations between caffeine, caffeinated coffee and tea intake with the risk of RA.

In the present study, we found that higher coffee intake was associated with an increased risk of RA, which is consistent with the case-control study by Pedersen *et al.* who found a significant, positive association between coffee intake and the risk of RA³⁶. The possible mechanism by which coffee may be associated with the increased risk of RA is related to the effect of coffee on the level of inflammatory markers. Zampelas *et al.*, in a cohort study, found that IL-6 increased among those drinking more than 4 cups per day (28). However, there are studies that report conflicting results. Some studies have shown that coffee can exert anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects due to compounds such as caffeine, cafestol, chlorogenic acid and trigonelline³⁷. This theory has been supported in some observational studies. The Nurses' Health Study II showed a negative association between coffee consumption and c-reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor alpha and other inflammatory markers³⁸.

Another mechanism for significant association between coffee intake and an increased risk of RA may be the lack of controlling for confounding variables such as physical activity, smoking, and other lifestyle-related factors. Studies have shown that people who consume higher amounts of coffee are more likely to be a smoker^{39,40}. Smoking is a risk factor for increased levels of inflammation and diseases such as RA⁴¹. Our findings revealed that increased caffeine consumption was not related to an increase in risk of RA. Thus, besides caffeine, other chemical ingredients that are used in the growing or preparation of coffee may be responsible for this finding.

With regards to caffeinated coffee, we found no significant association between its intake and the risk of RA. Some studies have demonstrated that people who drank caffeinated coffee had higher levels of IL-6 compared to those consuming no coffee^{42,43}. On the other hand, Wedick *et al.*, in a clinical trial, have shown that caffeinated coffee can increase adiponectin levels^{43,44}. Some studies have pointed out that adiponectin can play a pro-inflammatory role in the pathophysiology of autoimmune disease such as RA through stimulating the secretion of inflammatory mediators^{45,46}.

We also found a positive association between decaffeinated coffee consumption and the risk of RA. The mechanism involved seems to be the method of extracting caffeine from coffee by direct application of industrial solvents including benzene, acetone, ammonium hydroxide, sulfuric acid, ethyl acetate, methylene chloride, chloroform, ether, alcohol, trichloroethylene and carbon tetrachloride⁴⁷. Chronic ingestion of solvent residues, even small

quantities, could result in connective tissue disorders such as scleroderma, lupus, and RA⁴⁸. Additionally, decaffeinated coffee has a smaller antioxidant capacity than regular coffee⁴⁹.

In our study, there was no significant relationship between tea consumption and the risk of RA. However, a case-control study in Iran showed an inverse association between tea consumption and the risk of RA⁵⁰. Similarly, Jin *et al.*, in a cross-sectional study on RA patients, found an inverse association between high tea consumption (> 750 mL/day) and disease severity in patients with RA⁵¹. A number of studies have also shown that tea consumption could have a beneficial effect on inflammatory factors which is due to catechins and other flavonoids^{52,53}. The null findings observed in our study may be due to the insufficient prospective cohort studies examining the association between tea intake and the incidence of inflammation and RA. Also, the included studies did not report the risk of RA according to the type of tea. Although the exact role of different types of tea in the pathogenesis of RA remains unknown, some publications have indicated that green tea has an immunomodulatory effect and, thus, can protect against RA⁵⁴. Furthermore, Rambod *et al.*, in a case-control study, showed that green tea intake was correlated with a 35% decreased risk of RA⁵⁵. On the other hand, there are studies that have indicated that black tea intake was not associated with the level of inflammatory markers^{56–58}. Given that all types of tea are processed differently, this may lead to changes in chemical ingredients and finally different properties¹⁵.

We did not observe a significant relationship between caffeine intake and the risk of RA. Our finding is in agreement with a previous systematic review on clinical trials indicating that caffeine has no definite role in short-term inflammatory responses and these pathways are not clearly understood⁵⁹. However, a cross-sectional study found a statistically significant reduction in disease activity and cytokine levels in systemic lupus erythematosus patients who had higher intakes of caffeine⁶⁰. It was shown that caffeine metabolites, such as xanthine and theobromine, have antioxidant activities and decrease hydroxyl radicals⁶¹. Also, it is believed that caffeine has an adenosine antagonist effect and can induce a decrease in cytokine production⁶².

The present study has some strengths that should be acknowledged. Unlike previous meta-analyses, we performed a dose-response analysis which has provided more precise results than the highest versus lowest analysis. Also, we assessed the association of different types of coffee (caffeinated vs. decaffeinated) with RA which revealed their different effects on RA. Moreover, the included studies were a cohort with a relatively large sample size which increased the generalizability of the findings and statistical inferences.

In spite of these strengths, this study has some limitations which should be taken into account when interpreting the results. First, our study included a small number of publications that weakened the interpretation of the relationships in the meta-analyses. Second, the results are subject to residual confounding because of the cohort design of the included studies. Third, most of the included studies used a food frequency questionnaire to evaluate dietary intake and, therefore, measurement error and misclassification of the study participants in terms of exposure were inevitable. Finally, the size of the cup of coffee and tea in the entire study was not determined, which could influence the observed associations.

Conclusion

Subject to the limitations such as low number of studies and weak statistical power, we observed a positive association between coffee, decaffeinated coffee intake and the risk of RA. However, no statistically significant associations were observed between caffeinated coffee, caffeine or tea consumption with the incidence of RA. More experimental and observational studies are needed to substantiate such findings.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest:

All authors declare no potential personal or financial competing interests.

Author's contribution:

FA, FD and AH contributed to the conception, design literature search and manuscript drafting. AJ and AF contributed to the design, statistical analyses, and interpretation of data. HM contributed to the conception, design, data interpretation and drafting of the manuscript. HM supervised the study. Final manuscript was approved by all authors approved prior to submission.

Funding:

None

References

1. Scott, D. L., Wolfe, F. & Huizinga, T. W. Rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet (London, England)*, **376**, 1094–1108 (2010).

2. England, B. R., Thiele, G. M., Anderson, D. R. & Mikuls, T. R. Increased cardiovascular risk in rheumatoid arthritis: mechanisms and implications. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)* **361** (2018).
3. Essouma, M., Nkeck, J. R., Endomba, F. T., Bigna, J. J. & Ralandison, S. Epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *Systematic reviews*, **9**, 1–4 (2020).
4. Rindfleisch, A. J. & Muller, D. Diagnosis and management of rheumatoid arthritis. *American family physician*, **72**, 1037–1047 (2005).
5. McInnes, I. B. & Schett, G. The pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *New England Journal of Medicine*, **365**, 2205–2219 (2011).
6. Hoovestol, R. A. & Mikuls, T. R. Environmental exposures and rheumatoid arthritis risk. *Current rheumatology reports*, **13**, 431 (2011).
7. Oliver, J. E. & Silman, A. J. Risk factors for the development of rheumatoid arthritis. *Scandinavian journal of rheumatology*, **35**, 169–174 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03009740600718080> (2006).
8. VanEvery, H. *et al.* Alcohol Consumption and Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis among Chinese Adults: A Prospective Study. *Nutrients*, **13**, <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13072231> (2021).
9. Skoczyńska, M. & Świerkot, J. The role of diet in rheumatoid arthritis. *Reumatologia*, **56**, 259–267 <https://doi.org/10.5114/reum.2018.77979> (2018).
10. Serafini, M., Ghiselli, A. & Ferro-Luzzi, A. In vivo antioxidant effect of green and black tea in man. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, **50**, 28–32 (1996).
11. Natella, F., Nardini, M., Giannetti, I., Dattilo, C. & Scaccini, C. Coffee drinking influences plasma antioxidant capacity in humans. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, **50**, 6211–6216 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf025768c> (2002).
12. de Mejia, E. G., Ramirez-Mares, M. V. & Puangpraphant, S. Bioactive components of tea: cancer, inflammation and behavior. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, **23**, 721–731 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2009.02.013> (2009).
13. Tajik, N., Tajik, M., Mack, I. & Enck, P. The potential effects of chlorogenic acid, the main phenolic components in coffee, on health: a comprehensive review of the literature. *European journal of nutrition*, **56**, 2215–2244 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00394-017-1379-1> (2017).
14. Heliövaara, M. *et al.* Coffee consumption, rheumatoid factor, and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*, **59**, 631–635 (2000).
15. Lamichhane, D. *et al.* Coffee and Tea Consumption in Relation to Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Cohort. *JCR: Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*, **25**, 127–132 (2019).
16. Karlson, E. W., Mandl, L. A., Aweh, G. N. & Grodstein, F. Coffee consumption and risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthr. Rheum*, **48**, 3055–3060 (2003).
17. Lee, Y. H., Bae, S. C. & Song, G. G. Coffee or tea consumption and the risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a meta-analysis. *Clinical rheumatology*, **33**, 1575–1583 (2014).
18. Stroup, D. F. *et al.* Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting., **283**, 2008–2012 (2000).
19. Symons, M. & Moore, D. Hazard rate ratio and prospective epidemiological studies. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, **55**, 893–899 (2002).
20. Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. & Rothstein, H. R. *Introduction to meta-analysis* (John Wiley & Sons, 2011).
21. Jackson, D., Riley, R. & White, I. R. Multivariate meta-analysis: potential and promise. *Statistics in medicine*, **30**, 2481–2498 <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4172> (2011).
22. Higgins, J. P., Thompson, S. G., Deeks, J. J. & Altman, D. G. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, **327**, 557–560 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557> (2003).
23. Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, **315**, 629–634 <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.315.7109.629> (1997).
24. Begg, C. B. & Mazumdar, M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias., **50**, 1088–1101 (1994).
25. Shah, S. A. *et al.* Impact of Acute Energy Drink Consumption on Blood Pressure Parameters: A Meta-analysis. *The Annals of pharmacotherapy*, **50**, 808–815 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028016656433> (2016).
26. Qi, H. & Li, S. Dose-response meta-analysis on coffee, tea and caffeine consumption with risk of Parkinson's disease. *Geriatrics & gerontology international*, **14**, 430–439 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12123> (2014).
27. Orsini, N., Bellocco, R. & Greenland, S. Generalized least squares for trend estimation of summarized dose–response data. *The stata journal*, **6**, 40–57 (2006).
28. Berlin, J. A., Longnecker, M. P. & Greenland, S. Meta-analysis of epidemiologic dose-response data. *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)*, **218–228**(1993).
29. Harrell, F. E. Jr., Lee, K. L. & Pollock, B. G. Regression models in clinical studies: determining relationships between predictors and response. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, **80**, 1198–1202 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/80.15.1198> (1988).
30. Wells, G. A. *et al.* (Oxford, 2000).
31. Schwingshackl, L. *et al.* Perspective: NutriGrade: a scoring system to assess and judge the meta-evidence of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies in nutrition research. *Advances in Nutrition*, **7**, 994–1004 (2016).
32. Galbete, C., Schwingshackl, L., Schwedhelm, C., Boeing, H. & Schulze, M. B. Evaluating Mediterranean diet and risk of chronic disease in cohort studies: An umbrella review of meta-analyses. *European journal of epidemiology*, **33**, 909–931 (2018).

33. Neuenschwander, M. *et al.* Role of diet in type 2 diabetes incidence: umbrella review of meta-analyses of prospective observational studies. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, **366**, l2368 (2019).
34. Mikuls, T. R. *et al.* Coffee, tea, and caffeine consumption and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: results from the Iowa Women's Health Study. *Arthr. Rheum*, **46**, 83–91 (2002).
35. Pedersen, M. *et al.* Diet and risk of rheumatoid arthritis in a prospective cohort. *The Journal of rheumatology*, **32**, 1249–1252 (2005).
36. Pedersen, M. *et al.* Environmental risk factors differ between rheumatoid arthritis with and without auto-antibodies against cyclic citrullinated peptides. *Arthritis research & therapy*, **8**, R133 (2006).
37. Frost-Meyer, N. J. & Logomarsino, J. V. Impact of coffee components on inflammatory markers: A review. *Journal of Functional Foods*, **4**, 819–830 (2012).
38. Schulze, M. B. *et al.* Dietary pattern, inflammation, and incidence of type 2 diabetes in women-. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, **82**, 675–684 (2005).
39. Treloar, H. R., Piasecki, T. M., McCarthy, D. E. & Baker, T. B. Relations Among Caffeine Consumption, Smoking, Smoking Urge, and Subjective Smoking Reinforcement in Daily Life. *J Caffeine Res*, **4**, 93–99 <https://doi.org/10.1089/jcr.2014.0007> (2014).
40. Swanson, J. A., Lee, J. W. & Hopp, J. W. Caffeine and nicotine: a review of their joint use and possible interactive effects in tobacco withdrawal. *Addictive behaviors*, **19**, 229–256 (1994).
41. Chang, K. *et al.* Smoking and rheumatoid arthritis. *International journal of molecular sciences*, **15**, 22279–22295 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms151222279> (2014).
42. Daneschvar, H. L., Smetana, G. W., Brindamour, L., Bain, P. A. & Mukamal, K. J. Impact of coffee consumption on physiological markers of cardiovascular risk: a systematic review. *The American Journal of Medicine*(2020).
43. Wedick, N. M. *et al.* Effects of caffeinated and decaffeinated coffee on biological risk factors for type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrition journal*, **10**, 93 (2011).
44. Kubota, N. *et al.* Disruption of adiponectin causes insulin resistance and neointimal formation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, **277**, 25863–25866 (2002).
45. Choi, H. M., Doss, H. M. & Kim, K. S. Multifaceted Physiological Roles of Adiponectin in Inflammation and Diseases. *International journal of molecular sciences*, **21**, 1219 (2020).
46. Lee, Y. H. & Bae, S. C. Circulating adiponectin and visfatin levels in rheumatoid arthritis and their correlation with disease activity: A meta-analysis. *International journal of rheumatic diseases*, **21**, 664–672 (2018).
47. Ramalakshmi, K. & Raghavan, B. Caffeine in coffee: its removal. Why and how? *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, **39**, 441–456 (1999).
48. Garabrant, D. H. & Dumas, C. Epidemiology of organic solvents and connective tissue disease. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*, **2**, 5 (1999).
49. Parras, P., Martínez-Tomé, M., Jiménez, A. & Murcia, M. Antioxidant capacity of coffees of several origins brewed following three different procedures. *Food chemistry*, **102**, 582–592 (2007).
50. Mosalmanzadeh, N. *et al.* Major dietary patterns and food groups in relation to rheumatoid arthritis in newly diagnosed patients. *Food Science & Nutrition*, **8**, 6477–6486 (2020).
51. Jin, J. *et al.* Tea Consumption Is Associated with Decreased Disease Activity of Rheumatoid Arthritis in a Real-World, Large-Scale Study. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, **76**, 54–61 (2020).
52. Lin, Y. L. & Lin, J. K. (-)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate blocks the induction of nitric oxide synthase by down-regulating lipopolysaccharide-induced activity of transcription factor nuclear factor- κ B. *Molecular pharmacology*, **52**, 465–472 (1997).
53. Ludwig, A. *et al.* The tea flavonoid epigallocatechin-3-gallate reduces cytokine-induced VCAM-1 expression and monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, **316**, 659–665 (2004).
54. Kim, H. R. *et al.* Green tea protects rats against autoimmune arthritis by modulating disease-related immune events. *The Journal of nutrition*, **138**, 2111–2116 <https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.108.089912> (2008).
55. Rambod, M., Nazarinia, M. & Raieskarimian, F. The impact of dietary habits on the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study. *Clinical rheumatology*, **37**, 2643–2648 (2018).
56. De Maat, M., Pijl, H., Kluft, C. & Princen, H. Consumption of black and green tea has no effect on inflammation, haemostasis and endothelial markers in smoking healthy individuals. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, **54**, 757–763 (2000).
57. Hodgson, J. *et al.* Effects of regular ingestion of black tea on haemostasis and cell adhesion molecules in humans. *European journal of clinical nutrition*, **55**, 881–886 (2001).
58. Widlansky, M. E. *et al.* Effects of black tea consumption on plasma catechins and markers of oxidative stress and inflammation in patients with coronary artery disease. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, **38**, 499–506 (2005).
59. Paiva, C. *et al.* Consumption of coffee or caffeine and serum concentration of inflammatory markers: A systematic review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, **59**, 652–663 (2019).
60. Orefice, V. *et al.* Caffeine intake influences disease activity and clinical phenotype in systemic lupus erythematosus patients. *Lupus*, **29**, 1377–1384 (2020).

61. Azam, S., Hadi, N., Khan, N. U. & Hadi, S. M. Antioxidant and prooxidant properties of caffeine, theobromine and xanthine. *Med. Sci. Monit*, **9**, BR325–BR330 (2003).
62. Valdez, R. C. *et al.* Correlation between serum caffeine levels and changes in cytokine profile in a cohort of preterm infants. *The Journal of pediatrics*, **158**, 57–64 (2011). e51

Figures




Figure 1

Study selection process.




Figure 2
Forest plots showing relative risks of developing rheumatoid arthritis for highest versus lowest categories of (A) coffee intake, (B) caffeinated coffee intake, (C) decaffeinated coffee intake, and (D) caffeine intake.




Figure 3

Forest plots showing linear dose-response associations for each one cup/day increase of (A) coffee intake, (B) caffeinated coffee intake, (C) decaffeinated coffee intake, and (D) each 200 mg/d increase of caffeine intake with risk of rheumatoid arthritis.




Figure 4
Nonlinear dose-response associations of (A) coffee intake, (B) caffeinated coffee intake, (C) decaffeinated coffee intake, (D) caffeine intake and risk of rheumatoid arthritis.




Figure 5
Forest plots showing relative risks of developing rheumatoid arthritis for (A) highest versus lowest categories of tea intake and (B) linear dose-response association for each one cup/day increase of tea intake.




Figure 6

Nonlinear dose-response association of tea intake and risk of rheumatoid arthritis.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementaryfile.docx](#)