

Inventory of leaf and flower odorants in plants associated with the life cycle of Japanese *Papilio* butterflies

Takashi A. Inoue (✉ inoueatptalent@yahoo.go.jp)

Tokyo City University

Hitomi Otani

Tokyo City University

Kinuko Niihara

Tokyo City University

Tatsuya Fukuda

Tokyo City University

Research Article

Keywords: Papilio, plant volatile, dynamic–headspace, thermal–desorption, gas chromatography–mass spectrometry

Posted Date: September 22nd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-810589/v2>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The odorants of eight Japanese mainland native species (*Citrus x deliciosa*, *Zanthoxylum ailanthoides*, *Z. schinifolium*, *Z. piperitum*, *Phellodendron amurense*, *Orixa japonica*, *Skimmia japonica*, and *Boenninghausenia albiflora*), one tropical species (*Euodia meliifolia*), and one invasive species (*Ruta graveolens*) of the Rutaceae family and three Japanese mainland native species (*Angelica keiskei*, *Heracleum lanatum*, *Anthriscus sylvestris*, and one invasive species (*Foeniculum vulgare*) of the Apiaceae family were analyzed using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry with dynamic–headspace and thermal–desorption methods. These plants are hostplants to Japanese *Papilio* butterflies. Herein, these 14 plants were classified into six major groups based on the odorant volatiles, which did not correspond to the current phylogenetic classification. Similarly, floral odorant analysis of the six plant species (*Clerodendrum trichotomum*, *Cayratia japonica*, *Robinia pseudoacacia*, *Lonicera japonica*, *C. deliciosa*, *Z. ailanthoides*) visited by *Papilio* butterflies for nectaring, revealed the presence of linalool in all the flowers. Floral volatiles in *C. deliciosa* and *Z. ailanthoides* exhibited moderate resemblance to their respective leaf volatiles. Interestingly, our results in *C. trichotomum* was not in complete agreement with previous reports, emphasizing the need for newer methods of extraction and analysis.

Introduction

During oviposition, female butterflies hit the leaf surface of the plant with their forelegs, in a behavior called “drumming” [1]. The leaf surface is injured by spines present on the ventral surface of the female foreleg tarsus [2] and leaf fluid is released from the wound. [3] first showed the female butterflies sense the substances in the leaf fluid using their tarsal contact chemosensilla to determine whether the plant is a preferred hostplant for oviposition. Ovipositional stimulants perceived by contact chemosensilla have been extensively examined in *Papilio troilus* [4], *P. polyxenes* Fabricius [5–6], *P. xuthus* [7–8], *P. maackii* [9], *P. bianor* [7, 10–11], *P. polytes* [12], and *P. protenor* [7, 13–15]. Oviposition–inhibiting substances have been identified for *P. xuthus*, *P. polytes*, and *P. protenor* [9, 16–17]. Among those substances, phellamurin contained in *P. amurense* stimulates the oviposition in *P. maackii*, but inhibits it in *P. protenor* [9]. Moreover, genes encoding the chemosensory and odorant–binding proteins are identified in *P. xuthus* [18–19].

Although drumming behavior is commonly observed during oviposition, we have often observed that *Papilio* butterflies oviposit without contacting the plant leaves with their forelegs, furthermore, butterflies sometimes oviposit their eggs on non–hostplants or objects adjacent to hostplants [20]. These behaviors could be attributed to plant volatile substances, which may excite the sensitive olfactory receptors of the butterflies. In fact, butterflies are known to locate the hostplant habitats by following olfactory cues and consecutively identifying the hostplant by taste cues. This has been demonstrated in *P. demoleus* [21], *P. polyxenes* [22–24], *P. machaon* in Japan [23], *P. troilus* [23], *P. cresphontes* [25], *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* [26]. The volatile components released by hostplants of *Papilio indra* occurring in Rocky Mountains were recently analyzed [27–28]. Beside of them, plant volatiles of Australasian Rutaceae plants were analyzed [29–30], and the relationship between plant volatiles and oviposition behavior of Japanese two Leptocircini species was already examined [31–32].

Papilio butterflies are also closely associated with many nectaring flowers. While studies have suggested that *Papilio* butterflies search nectaring flowers using visual information [33–34], they sometimes procure nectar from flowers of inconspicuous plants such as *C. japonica* and *Z. ailanthoides*. Thus, assuming that *Papilio* butterflies use olfactory information to locate nectaring flowers, we prepared an inventory of volatile substances from the flowers that are often visited by *Papilio* butterflies.

We hypothesized that the hostplant detection and flower–searching of Japanese *Papilio* species other than *P. machaon* could also be attributed to plant volatile substances. To prove this, we attempted to identify and prepare an inventory of volatile substances of their hostplants and flowers.

Results

Volatiles in the host plant leaves

The analysis of leaf volatiles of *Papilio* butterfly hostplants using Method-1 is shown in Table 1. We detected a total of 62 volatiles in the 14 plant species studied. Based on the number and types of volatiles detected, these hostplants plants were roughly divided into six groups. Group A consisted of *C. deliciosa*, *F. vulgare*, and *A. keiskei*. The characteristic of this group was that the number of detected compounds was 11–18, mostly terpenes, and alcohols, ketones, and aldehydes are not detected. Group B consisted of *B. albiflora*, *O. japonica*, *P. amurense*, and *Z. ailanthoides*. The number of compounds was 6–11, and included β -caryophyllene among sesquiterpenes (except for *Z. ailanthoides*), along with other monoterpenes. Group C consisted of *Z. schinifolium*, *Z. piperitum*, and *S. japonica*. The number of compounds was 10–14, predominantly monoterpenes containing toluene (except β -caryophyllene in *Z. schinifolium*, and the sesquiterpene cubebene in *S. japonica*). Group D consisted of only *R. graveolens*. This species contained 18 different types of compounds containing furan. Group E consisted of *H. lanatum* and *A. sylvestris*. This group contained 10–12 compounds, predominantly monoterpenes, along with alcohols, ketones, and sesquiterpenes. Group F consisted of *E. meliifolia* that contained alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, and only β -caryophyllene among terpenes.

A comparison of the volatiles of *A. keiskei* analyzed by the Methods-1 and -2 is shown in Table 2. Although low-boiling point compounds were generally detected in both methods, our Method-2 failed to detect high-boiling point compounds, such as isocaryophyllene, elixene, germacrene D, or germacrene B.

Volatiles of the flowers

The analysis of volatile substances in the flowers of six species often visited by *Papilio* butterflies are shown in Table 3. We detected a total of 45 volatiles. These plants were divided into two groups, G and H. The former consisted of *C. trichotomum*, *C. japonica*, *R. pseudoacacia*, and *L. japonica*, whereas the latter consisted of *C. deliciosa* and *Z. ailanthoides*. Group G species contained mainly aldehydes, ketones, and alcohols, whereas those of group H species contained mainly monoterpenes, with the floral volatiles partially resembling the leaf volatiles. Although the flowers of *C. japonica* and *Z. ailanthoides* appear physically similar, the volatiles did not correspond between the species. Linalool was detected in all the plants. Unlike leaves, no sesquiterpenes were detected in flowers. The result of *C. trichotomum* have been reported before [35] and are summarized in Table 4 together with our results this time.

The use of plant parts in the present study complies with international, national and/or institutional guidelines.

Discussion

The analysis of plant volatiles from the leaves revealed unexpected results, mainly because their detection did not correlate with either the phylogenetic classification or the hostplant-preference of *Papilio* species. Interestingly, β -myrcene was detected in 12 species (except *R. graveolens* and *E. meliifolia*), *d*-limonene in ten species, and β -caryophyllene in nine species. These three substances are contained at least in one of the 14 plants examined this time, except for *R. graveolens*, thus, these substances might be associated with the oviposition behavior of the *Papilio* species. Since *R. graveolens* is not an indigenous plant in Japan, it may be necessary to consider the relationship between this plant and Japanese *Papilio* species from a different perspective.

According to our observation in Tsukuba city, the grouping of *F. vulgare* together with *C. deliciosa*, *O. japonica*, and *S. japonica* was supported by the observation that female *P. machaon* sometimes oviposit on these plants (not published).

The criteria for hostplant selection in female *P. xuthus* and *P. helenus*, both of which lay their eggs on *C. deliciosa*, *Z. ailanthoides*, and *E. meliifolia*, could not be explained by the composition of plant volatiles; namely, *E. meliifolia* shared no common volatiles with *C. deliciosa* and *Z. ailanthoides*, except for β -caryophyllene that was not detected in *Z. ailanthoides*.

The odor of *E. meliifolia* leaves is similar to that of apples fruits, this is completely different from that of other Rutaceae plants in Japan. In fact, hexanal and 2-hexenal, detected in *E. meliifolia* but not in the other 12 species (only detected from *R. graveolens* other than *E. meliifolia*), are detected from apples [36]. Thus, these substances might be also associated with the oviposition behavior of the *Papilio* species. Similarly, cubebene, detected in *Z. piperitum* and *S. japonica*, is found in *Piper cubeba* (Piperaceae) [37], but not in other plants examined in this study. In fact, in our observation, seeds and leaves of *Z. piperitum* are used as “Japanese pepper” and *S. japonica* has an odor similar to pepper (not published). These results suggest the existence of other key plant volatiles responsible for oviposition behavior in *Papilio* species, but were not detected in our current study. [38] also suggested that volatiles released from the foliar extract of host plants enhance the landing rates of gravid *Polygonia c-album* (Nymphalidae) females, but do not stimulate oviposition. The hostplant selection system of *Papilio* and other butterflies based on plant volatiles is more complicated than previously expected, and cannot be elucidated exclusively on the basis of scent components in plants. Further analysis of the components in leaves is warranted to address this.

Although the six nectaring plants were divided into two groups on the basis of their floral volatiles, linalool was detected in all the species; thus, linalool may be a key substance inducing nectaring behavior of *Papilio* butterflies. Our current study revealed an important issue, as shown in Table 4. This table compares the results of a previous study [35] with ours. In the previous study, almost all volatiles with a comparatively low-boiling point were not detected. The authors in their study methods describe, “The compounds trapped by the absorption tube were eluted with 2 ml of diethyl ether for pesticide residue analysis. The eluate was concentrated to the limit by the passing of N₂ across its surface.” Thus, in their study, low-boiling point volatiles were lost during concentration. In contrast, our study was unable to detect the four esters observed in their study. Therefore, those esters might have appeared during concentration in that study. Thus, our current study emphasizes the need to reanalyze plant volatiles using latest methods to identify novel candidates.

Similarly, benzaldehyde was not detected in the flowers of all the six species we examined; however, [37] detected it in *C. trichotomum*. Benzaldehyde is known to induce a proboscis extensional reaction in six Japanese Nymphalinae species [39]. Among these six butterflies, we have earlier confirmed this behavior in *Vanessa indica* [20]. Thus, Nymphalinae and Papilioninae butterflies may respond to same substances differently. Additionally, it was interesting to note the difference in volatiles determined by Method-1 and -2 in the same plant (*A. keiskei*). Hence, our study highlights that different method of extraction and analysis may be necessary to obtain a comprehensive profile of the plant volatiles involved in oviposition behavior of butterflies. We already obtained the results the relationship between some of these plant volatiles and reaction of *Papilio* butterflies to these volatiles, we will show these in our other article.

Methods

Mature leaves of *C. x deliciosa*, *Z. ailanthoides*, *Z. schinifolium.*, *Z. piperitum*, *P. amurense*, *O. japonica*, *S. japonica*, *E. meliifolia*, *R. graveolens*, *F. vulgare*, *A. keiskei*, and *H. lanatum*, were collected from plants cultured in the garden of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan. *C. deliciosa*, *R. graveolens*, *F. vulgare*, and *A. keiskei* leaves were procured from local gardening stores, *E. meliifolia* was replanted from Ishigaki Island of the Nansei Islands, and other plants originated from the forest where the butterflies for our experiments were collected. Selection criteria of these plants included the following: the plant is (1) distributed in most areas of mainland Japan (except *E. meliifolia*, which occurs only in Kyushu, Shikoku, and south-end of Honshu), (2) abundant, and (3) the major hostplant of at least one *Papilio* species occurring in mainland Japan. Although *R. graveolens* and *F. vulgare* are alien species in Japan, the former is used by many Rutaceae-using *Papilio* species, whereas the latter is used extensively by *P. machaon* in most of the Northern Hemisphere.

Flowers from *C. trichotomum* (Lamiaceae), *C. japonica* (Vitaceae), *R. pseudoacacia* (Fabaceae), *L. japonica* (Caprifoliaceae), *C. deliciosa*, and *Z. ailanthoides* were collected from Tsukuba city in their respective flowering seasons. Identification of these plants were done by Inoue TA according to abundant illustration books published in Japan.

For the identification of volatile substances in the leaves and flowers, all plant specimens were collected around noon, when the production of plant volatiles is expected to be the maximal in the day. Samples were transferred to the Showa Denko Materials Techno Service laboratory and stored at -40 °C until further analysis.

Analysis of plant volatiles (Method– 1)

The leaf volatiles were subjected to gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) on an Agilent system consisting of a model 7890 gas chromatograph, a model 5977 mass–selective detector (EIMS, electron energy of 70 eV), and an Agilent ChemStation data system (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Volatiles in the leaf were trapped into an odorant–collecting cartridge (Tenax TA, GL Sciences, Tokyo, Japan) and subjected to GC using a newly developed non–solvent method known as dynamic–headspace and thermal–desorption system (Gerstel, Überhausen, Germany) with COMPS2XLxt multi–purpose sampler. The GC column was a DB–VRX column (Agilent, USA) with a film thickness of 1.44 µm, length of 60 m, and internal diameter of 0.25 mm. The carrier gas was helium, with a flow rate of 2.1 mL/min. The GC oven temperature was regulated as follows: 40°C initial temperature held for 3 min; increased at 5°C/min to 260°C and held for 8 min. Leaf samples (0.2 g) were placed into 20 mL vials and measured by the dynamic–headspace technique. Mass–selective detector was set at 230°C. The volatiles were identified by comparing their MS fragmentation patterns to those in the MS library (NIST14 database).

Analysis of volatiles from *A. keiskei* (Method–2)

A. keiskei leaves were dried at 40 °C, crushed to a powder, and the volatiles from 0.2 g of this powder were trapped at room temperature = 22°C for 24 h using an odorant–collecting cartridge (RCC18; GL Science). RCC18 was dipped in acetone (Wako, Fujifilm, Ôsaka, Japan) to extract volatiles that were then analyzed on a GC–MS QP2010 system (Shimadzu, Japan). The GC column was a DB–5MS column (Agilent, USA) with a film thickness of 0.25 µm, length of 30 m, and internal diameter of 0.25 mm. The carrier gas was helium. The GC oven temperature was regulated as follows: 40°C initial temperature held for 2 min; increased at 5°C/min to 240°C and held for 5 min. Mass–selective detector temperature was set at 250°C. The volatiles were identified by comparing their MS fragmentation patterns to those in the MS library (NIST14 database). This analysis was performed as bachelor thesis of Otani.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Mr. Naoto Hirose, Mr. Takeshi Takezawa, and Mr. Masahiro Andoh, of Showa Denko Materials Techno Service Co., Ltd. for performing the GC–MS analysis, and Prof. Fumio Yokohari and Prof. Hidehiro Watanabe who assisted in the chemical analysis.

This work was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant numbers 18K06393 and 21K06324).

Author contributions

H.O. conceived the experiment, T.A.I. and K.N. conducted the experiments, T.F. analyzed the results. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Competing interests

None

Data availability

Accept

References

1. Ilse, D. New observations on responses to colours in egg-laying butterflies. *Nature*, **25**, 544–545 (1937).
2. Inoue, T. A. Morphology of foretarsal ventral surfaces of Japanese Papilio butterflies and relations between these morphology, phylogeny and hostplant preferring hierarchy. *Zoolog. Sci*, **23**, 169–189 (2006).
3. Roessingh, P., Städler, E., Schöni, R. & Feeny, P. Tarsal contact chemoreceptors of the black swallowtail butterfly *Papilio polyxenes*: responses to phytochemicals from host- and non-host plants. *Physiol. Entomol*, **16**, 485–495 (1991).
4. Carter, M., Feeny, P. & Haribal, M. An oviposition stimulant for spicebush swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio troilus*, from leaves of *Sassafras albidum*. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **25**, 1233–1245 (1999).
5. Feeny, P., Sachdev, K., Rosenberry, L. & Carter, M. Luteolin 7-O-(6"-O-malonyl)- β -D-glucoside and trans-chlorogenic acid: oviposition stimulants for the black swallowtail butterfly., **27**, 3439–3448 (1988).
6. Carter, M., Sachdev-Gupta, K. & Feeny, P. Tyramine from the leaves of wild parsnip: a stimulant and synergist for oviposition by the black swallowtail butterfly. *Physiol. Entomol*, **23**, 303–312 (1998).
7. Abe, H., Teramoto, Y. & Ichinosé, T. Relationship between host plant ranges of the three Papilionid butterflies and oviposition-inducing contact chemicals in their host plants. *Appl. Ent. Zool*, **16**, 493–496 (1981).
8. Ohsugi, T., Nishida, R. & Fukami, H. Multi-component system of oviposition stimulants for a Rutaceae-feeding swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio xuthus* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool*, **26**, 29–40 (1991).
9. Honda, K., Ômura, H., Chachin, M., Kawano, S. & Inoue, T. A. Synergistic or antagonistic modulation of oviposition response of two swallowtail butterflies, *Papilio maackii* and *P. protenor*, to *Phellodendron amurense* by its constitutive prenylated flavonoid, phellamurin. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **37**, 575–581 (2011).
10. Ono, H., Nishida, R. & Kuwahara, Y. Oviposition stimulant for a Rutaceae-feeding swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio bianor* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae): hydroxycinnamic acid derivative from *Orixa japonica*. *Appl. Entomol. Zool*, **35**, 119–123 (2000).
11. Ono, H., Nishida, R. & Kuwahara, Y. A dihydroxy- γ -lactone as an oviposition stimulant for the swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio bianor*, from the rutaceous plant, *Orixa japonica*. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem*, **64**, 1970–1973 (2000).
12. Nakayama, T., Honda, K. & Ômura, H. Hayashi, N. Oviposition stimulants for the tropical swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio polytes*, feeding on a rutaceous plant, *Toddalia asiatica*. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **29**, 1621–1634 (2003).
13. Ichinosé, T. & Honda, H. Ovipositional behavior of *Papilio protenor demetrius* Cramer and the factors involved in its host plants. *Appl. Entomol. Zool*, **13**, 103–114 (1978).
14. Honda, K. Flavanone glycoside as oviposition stimulants in a papilionid butterfly, *Papilio protenor*. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **12**, 1999–2010 (1986).
15. Honda, K. Identification of host-plant chemicals stimulating oviposition by swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio protenor*. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **16**, 325–337 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01021768> (1990).
16. Nishida, R., Ohsugi, T., Fukami, H. & Nakajima, S. Oviposition deterrent of a Rutaceae-feeding swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio xuthus*, from a non-host rutaceous plant, *Orixa japonica*. *Agric. Biol. Chem*, **54**, 1265–1270 (1990).
17. Nakayama, T. & Honda, K. Chemical basis for differential acceptance of two sympatric Rutaceous plants by ovipositing females of a swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio polytes* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae)., **14**, 199–205 (2004).
18. Ozaki, K., Utoguchi, A., Yamada, A. & Yoshikawa, H. Identification and genomic structure of chemosensory proteins (CSP) and odorant binding proteins (OBP) genes expressed in foreleg tarsi of the swallowtail butterfly *Papilio xuthus*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol*, **38**, 969–976 (2008).
19. Ozaki, K. *et al.* A gustatory receptor involved in host plant recognition for oviposition of a swallowtail butterfly, doi: 10.1038/ncomms1548 (2011).
20. Yokohari, F. *et al.* Olfactory reception of the *Papilio* butterflies on their antenna. Original presentation video published by studio Ace-Enterprise and Pretties. (2017).

21. Saxena, K. N. & Goyal, S. Host-plant relations of the Citrus butterfly *Papilio demoleus* L.: orientational and ovipositional responses. *Ent. Exp. Appl*, **24**, 1–10 (1978).
22. Feeny, P., Städler, E., Åhman, I. & Carter, M. Effects of plant odor on oviposition by the black swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio polyxenes* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *J. Insect. Behav*, **2**, 816–827 (1989).
23. Baur, R. & Feeny, P. Comparative electrophysiological analysis of plant odor perception in female of three *Papilio* species., **5**, 26–36 (1994).
24. Baur, R., Feeny, P. & Städler, E. Oviposition stimulants for the black swallowtail butterfly: Identification of electrophysiologically active compounds in carrot volatiles. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **19**, 919–937 (1993).
25. Fadamiro, H., Chen, L., Akotsen–Mensah, C. & Setzer, W. N. Antennal electrophysiological responses of the giant swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio cresphontes*, to the essential oils of *Zanthoxylum clava–herculis* and related plants. *Chemoecology* **20**, 25–33(2010).
26. Mercader, R. J., Stelinski, L. L. & Scriber, J. M. Differential antennal sensitivities of the generalist butterflies *Papilio glaucus* and *Papilio canadensis* to host plant and non-host plant extract. *J. Lepid. Soc*, **62**, 84–88 (2008).
27. Beauchamp, P. S. *et al.* Essential oil composition of six *Lomatium* species attractive to Indra swallowtail butterfly (*Papilio indra*): principal component analysis against essential oil composition of *Lomatium dissectum* var. *multifidum*. *J. Esent. Oil Res*, **21**, 535–542 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2009.9700238> (2009).
28. Dev, V. *et al.* Eggett, D. Essential oil composition of nine Apiaceae species from western United States that attract the female Indra Swallowtail butterfly (*Papilio indra*). *Biochem. Syst. Ecol*, **38**, 538–547 (2010).
29. Ghisalberti, E. L. Phytochemistry of the Australian Rutaceae: *Boronia*, *Eriostemon* and *Phebalium* species, [doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(97\)00571-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(97)00571-2) (1998).
30. Kotoky, R. *et al.* Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of essential oil from fruit of *Micromelum integerrimum* (Buch.- Ham. ex DC.) Wight & Arn. ex M. Roem. *Nat. Prod. Res*, **33**, 3573–3576 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2018.1483923> (2019).
31. Li, J., Wakui, R., Tebayashi, S. & Kim, C. S. Volatile attractants for the common bluebottle, *Graphium sarpedon nipponum*, from the host, *Cinnamomum camphora*. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem*, **74**, 1987–1990 (2010).
32. Nakayama, T. & Honda, K. An Oviposition Stimulant for a Magnoliaceae-Feeding Swallowtail Butterfly, *Graphium doson*, from its Primary Host Plant, *Michelia compressa*. *J. Chem. Ecol*, **45**, 926–933 ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-2187-89343 nAff4 (2019).
33. Kelber, A. & Pfaff, M. True Colour Vision in the Orchard Butterfly, *Papilio aegaeus*., **86**, 221–224 (1999).
34. Kinoshita, M., Shimada, N. & Arikawa, K. Color vision of the foraging swallowtail butterfly *Papilio xuthus*. *J. Experimental Biology*, **202**, 95–102 (1999).
35. Miyake, T., Yamaoka, R. & Yahara, T. Roral Scents of Hawkmoth-Pollinated Flowers in Japan. *J Plant Res*, **111**, 199–205 (1998).
36. Kakiuchi, N. *et al.* Composition of volatile compounds of apple fruits in relation to cultivars. *J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci*, **55**, <https://doi.org/10.2503/jjshs.55.280> (1986).
37. Ohta, Y., Sakai, T. & Hirose, Y. Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons from the oil of cubes a Cubebese and b Cubebese. *Tetrahedron Lett*, **51**, 280–289 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0040-4039\(00\)70179-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0040-4039(00)70179-1) (1966).
38. Mozūraitis, R. *et al.* Volatiles released from foliar extract of host plant enhance landing rates of gravid *Polygonia c-album* females, but do not stimulate oviposition. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **158**, (2015). doi.org/10.1111/eea.12405
39. Ômura, H. & Honda, K. Behavioral and electroantennographic responsiveness of adult butterflies of six nymphalid species to food–derived volatiles., **19**, 227–234 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-009-0024-8> (2009).

Table 1

Due to Table size, Table 1 is available in the Supplementary Files section.

Tables 2-4

Table 2. Comparison of detected substances from *A. keiskei* between Method– 1 and Methode– 2 in this study

Substance	Method 1	Method 2
α Thujene	0	
α Pinene		0
β Myrcene	0	0
α Phellandrene?	0	
3 Carene?	0	0
2 Carene?	0	
<i>p</i> Cymene	0	0
β Phellandrene	0	
γ Terpinene ?	0	0
Terpinolene ?	0	
β Caryophyllene	0	
3,7(11)-Selinadiene	0	
Isocaryophyllene		0
Elixene		0
Germacrene D		0
Germacrene B		0

Table 3. List of volatile substances detected from flowers examined in this study

RT	Plant Substance	<i>Clerodendrum trichotomum</i>	<i>Cayratia japonica</i>	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	<i>Lonicera japonica</i>	<i>Citrus deliciosa</i>	<i>Zanthoxylum ailanthoides</i>
10.80	Methyl acetate	0					
12.49	2-Butanone	0					
14.34	3-Methyl-Butanal		0				
14.77	1-Penten-3-ol		0	0			
15.43	2-Pentanone	0	0	0	0		
15.70	3-Pentanone		0	0			
15.93	2-Ethylfuran			0			
10.80	3-Methyl-1-Butanol	0	0				
12.49	2-Methyl-1-Butanol	0					
17.73	1-Pentanol		0	0			
19.50	2-Hexanone		0				
19.47	Hexanal	0	0	0			
21.17	3-Hexen-1-ol	0	0	0	0		
21.69	2-Hexen-1-ol / 1-Hexanol	0	0	0	0	0	0
22.91	2-Heptanone		0		0		
24.29	α Thujene						0
24.77	α Pinene						0
25.90	1-Octene-3-ol	0					
26.11	2-3-Octanedione		0				
26.14	Sabinene						0
26.25	3-Octanone	0		0			
26.34	3-Octanol	0		0			
26.40	β Myrcene						0
26.40	β Pinene					0	
27.27	α Phellandrene						0
27.67	C10?						0
27.84	Z β Ocimene						0
28.04	<i>p</i> Cymene				0		
28.11	<i>d</i> Limonene					0	0
28.28	Cyneol						0
28.44	<i>E</i> β Ocimene					0	0
29.04	γ Terpinene ?					0	0

29.46	α Thujenol						0
30.00	Terpinolene ?					0	0
30.05	Linalool	0	0	0	0	0	0
30.35	Cymene?					0	
31.20	AlloOcimene						0
31.86	Sabinol						0
31.93	LimoneneMonooxide or Citroneral					0	
32.19	Verbenol						0
33.54	Terpineol						0
33.57	Terpineol				0	0	0
34.17	Azulene			0			
34.75	Verbenone						0
38.07	?						0

Table 4. Comparison of detected substances from *C. trichotomum* between [35] and our current study

Substance	Results [35]	Our results
Methyl acetate		0
2-Butanone		0
2-Pentanone		0
3-Methyl-1-Butanol		0
2-Methyl-1-Butanol		0
Hexanal		0
3-Hexen-1-ol		0
2-Hexen-1-ol / 1-Hexanol		0
1-Octene-3-ol		0
BenzAldehyde	0	
3-Octanone		0
3-Octanol		0
Linalool	0	0
Benzyl Acetate	0	
Methyl Benzoate	0	o
Methyl Salicylate	0	o

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Table1.doc](#)