The Predictive Power of State-Level Health Care Utilization for Unintentional Fatal Fall Rates

Matthew Gordon Crowson (matthew.g.crowson@gmail.com)
Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9950-0985

Jason A. Beyea
Queen's University School of Medicine

Justin Cottrell
University of Toronto

Faisal Kamali
Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary

Giovanni Lampasona
University of Sherbrooke: Universite de Sherbrooke

James E. Saunders
Dartmouth Medical School: Dartmouth College Geisel School of Medicine

Richard F Lewis
Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary

Research article

Keywords: falls, geriatric safety, quality improvement

Posted Date: August 9th, 2021

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-790265/v1

License: ☺ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Read Full License
Abstract

Objective

To examine the predictive power of state-level care utilization and longitudinal trends in mortality from unintentional falls amongst different demographic and geographic strata.

Study Design

Nationwide, retrospective cohort study.

Setting

Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) database.

Methods

The exposure was death from an unintentional fall as determined by the United States Centers for Disease Control. Outcomes included aggregate and trend crude and age adjusted death rates. Health care utilization, reimbursement, and cost metrics were also compared.

Results

Over 2001 to 2018, 465,486 total deaths due to unintentional falls were recorded with crude and age-adjusted rates of 8.42 and 7.76 per 100,000 population. Comparing age-adjusted rates, males had a significantly higher age-adjusted death rate (9.89 vs. 6.17; p < 0.00001), but both male and female annual age-adjusted mortality rates are expected to rise (Male: +0.25 rate/year, $R^2 = 0.98$; Female: +0.22 rate/year, $R^2 = 0.99$). There were significant increases in death rates commensurate with increasing age, with the adults aged 85 years or older having the highest aggregate (201.1 per 100,000) and trending death rates (+8.75 deaths per 100,000/year, $R^2 = 0.99$). Machine learning algorithms using health care utilization data were accurate in predicting state-level age-adjusted death rates.

Conclusion

In the United States from 2001 through 2018, older adults carried the highest death rate from unintentional falls and this rate is forecasted to accelerate. Machine learning models have high accuracy in predicting state-level age-adjusted mortality rates from health care utilization data.

Introduction

Falls are the leading cause of fatal and nonfatal injuries among adults over 65 years old. In a cohort from the United States in 2014, 28.7% of adults aged 65 years or older reported falling at least once in the past year which resulted in approximately 29 million fall events. Of those adults who fell, 37.5% required medical care as a result of the fall. Analogous studies from other countries such as China and the
Netherlands have also reported a high risk of fall and subsequent mortality in older adults.\textsuperscript{2,3} Numerous risk factors for falls have been identified and include the presence of gait and balance disorders, medical co-morbidities, neurologic disorders, cognitive function, and environmental hazards.\textsuperscript{4–12} The economic burden for direct medical cost of injuries and deaths from falls is substantial with annual state-level costs eclipsing billions of dollars.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Given the high prevalence of falls and associated mortality, significant interest in falls prevention, awareness, and public health programming have emerged. Guidelines from the American and British Geriatrics Societies\textsuperscript{15}, the Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths, and Injuries (STEADI) initiative from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC)\textsuperscript{16,17}, and various grassroots efforts to promote exercise and home safety interventions\textsuperscript{18–20} have been deployed to help curb falls rates. Prior research using administrative data has characterized demographics and falls mortality data over time periods when these public health programs have been implemented, demonstrating a persistent increase in fall mortality rates.\textsuperscript{21,22}

The increase in fall mortality rates is likely multifactorial. With a lack of key drivers identified to explain rising rates of death from falls, accurate predictive modelling can be challenging, hindering evidence-based health resource and policy efforts. This study investigates the predictive power of machine learning models trained on state-level health care utilization intensity metrics for producing accurate predictions of age-adjusted death rates derived from the Dartmouth Atlas Project. To our knowledge, no studies have combined a longitudinal analysis with predictive analytics to characterize the trends in death rates in demographic and geographic strata. Improved predictive power, and knowledge gained from identifying health care utilization patterns that influence fall mortality rates can inform enhanced falls prevention strategies. Lastly, the economic burden of mortality from unintentional falls is estimated.

**Methods**

**Data Sources.** The CDC Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS) database was mined for mortality event data attributed to an 'unintentional fall' mechanism (available at: https://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html). The CDC compiles mortality data from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), and their own annual mortality data. The NCHS mortality data are based on International Classification of Disease codes (ICD-10). The ICD-10 code corpus includes specific codes for types of disease, medical procedures, and causes of death. The underlying cause of death examined in this study, 'unintentional falls,' was isolated from the annual mortality data to determine the numbers of attributable deaths. Other variables mined from WISQARS included binned age, sex, race, and geographic features for the deceased. No limits were placed on age, sex, race, or geographic case parameters.

Age-adjusted rates were utilized in this study to draw comparisons between demographic and geographic groups. The population data is from the U.S. Census Bureau in concert with the NCHS. The population estimates were used by NCHS to calculate mortality rates based on the death data.
The WISQARS database enables the calculation of estimated direct medical and lifetime work-related costs resulting from death events indexed to 2010 national injury and fatality data. Direct medical costs refer to the medical cost associated with the fatal fall event. Lifetime work loss cost figures were derived from lost wages, benefits, and self-provided household services accounting for age (i.e., the lifetime work loss cost is higher for a younger individual).

Data from The Dartmouth Atlas Project was obtained as part of our predictive analysis of health care intensity (available at: https://www.dartmouthatlas.org/). The Dartmouth Atlas Project analyzes how medical resources are allocated and used throughout the United States. Medicare and Medicaid data are used to characterize national, regional, and local health care markets. We mined state-level discharge rates (medical, surgical, hip fractures), care events during the last 6 months of life (hospital admissions, intensive care unit admissions), and claims-based Medicare reimbursements (inpatient/hospital, outpatient care, skilled nursing facility, home health, hospice, physician, and total Medicare reimbursements) over the period of 2001–2017.

**Descriptive Analyses.** Aggregate statistics were tabulated. Sub-analyses of fatal fall events relevant to sex, race, age, and state were performed. Means and variance were calculated where appropriate. Tests of normality were performed to select the appropriate statistical algorithm for sex (i.e. Student’s T-Test for the male versus female age-adjusted death rates) and race (e.g. Wilcoxon for the race group pairwise age-adjusted death rate tests). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05, and the p-value was adjusted to account for multiple pairwise tests.

**Predictive Analytics.** Linear regression analyses were performed on the longitudinal sex, age, race, and state data over 2001–2018 to produce estimates on age-adjusted fall rates. State-based measures of health care resource distribution and utilization from the Dartmouth Atlas Project were used to predict age-adjusted falls rates. To achieve this prediction, state-based age-adjusted death rates were combined with the Dartmouth Atlas Project data and trained on several machine learning algorithms. Prior to training, the data were transformed, and missing data was imputed using k-nearest neighbor imputation. To produce an accurate prediction estimate, we employed repeated 10-fold cross-validation resampling with 10 repeats. Repeated cross-validation involves splitting the data into k-folds then repeating the cross-validation. The final model accuracy is taken as the mean from the number of repeats. Within each algorithm’s training loop, hyperparameters were optimized using an automated grid search. All statistical analyses were completed in the R programming language (R Core Team, Vienna, Austria; packages: caret, psych, tidyverse, ggplot2). This analysis was completed using publicly available aggregated data sourced from the CDC and The Dartmouth Atlas, and thus local formal institutional review board review not required.

**Results**

Spanning 2001 to 2018, the WISAQRS database reported 465,486 total deaths due to unintentional falls with crude and age-adjusted rates of 8.42 and 7.76 per 100,000 population, respectively.
**State-Level Health Care Utilization Analysis.** Mean annual age-adjusted death rates from falls varied from a high of 15.3 (Wisconsin) to a low of 3.9 (Alabama) per 100,000 population over 2001–2018 (Supplemental Table 1). Estimates of annual change in death rates ranged from 0.70 (Oklahoma) to 0.03 (Georgia).

Of the six machine learning algorithms tuned & trained to predict the age-adjusted death rates based on the States’ Dartmouth Atlas data, the Elastic Net (Enet) algorithm was the worst performer (Supplemental Table 2; Supplemental Figure S1). Using the trained Cubist model, the most influential variable in the prediction was hospital admissions in the last six months of life (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Rank</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Influence Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hospital Admissions, Last 6 Months of Life</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Medicare Reimbursements for Hospice</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Medicare Hospitalizations for Hip Fractures</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ICU Admissions, Last 6 Months of Life</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Medicare Reimbursements for Skilled Nursing Facilities</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU: intensive care unit

**Sex Analysis.** Over the entire study period, the overall crude and age-adjusted death rates from falls for males were 8.72 and 9.89 per 100,000 population, respectively. For females, the overall crude and age-adjusted death rates from falls were 8.14 and 6.17 per 100,000 population, respectively. Comparing age-adjusted rates, males had a significantly higher age-adjusted death rate from falls (9.89 vs. 6.17; \(p < 0.00001\)). Linear regression modelling (Age-Adjusted Rate = \(\beta Year + \alpha\)) on longitudinal data (Fig. 1) determined that both male and female annual age-adjusted falls mortality rates are expected to rise (Male: +0.25 rate/year, \(R^2 = 0.98\); Female: +0.22 rate/year, \(R^2 = 0.99\)).

**Age Analysis.** Adult falls mortality rates compiled from 2001–2018 demonstrated significant increases between age strata commensurate with increasing age (Fig. 2). Linear regression analysis on the longitudinal data demonstrated that age strata above 55 to 59 are predicted to have growth in annual death rates (Table 2).
Table 2
Adult death rates from falls per 100,000 over 2001–2018 by age strata with linear regression predictions of annual death rate change.

| Age Group | Mean Death Rate Per 100,000 (SE) | Range | Regression Estimate (β) | t value | Pr (>|t|) | R² |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------|-------------------------|---------|----------|-----|
| 20–24     | 0.7 (0.02)                       | 0.5–0.9 | -0.02                   | -0.3    | 0.75     | 0.71|
| 25–29     | 0.7 (0.02)                       | 0.6–0.9 | -0.01                   | -0.2    | 0.84     | 0.46|
| 30–34     | 0.8 (0.02)                       | 0.7–0.9 | 0.00                    | 0.0     | 0.99     | 0.001|
| 35–39     | 1.1 (0.02)                       | 0.9–1.2 | -0.01                   | -0.2    | 0.83     | 0.28|
| 40–44     | 1.6 (0.03)                       | 1.3–1.8 | -0.02                   | -0.4    | 0.65     | 0.66|
| 45–49     | 2.4 (0.04)                       | 2.0–2.7 | -0.02                   | -0.4    | 0.73     | 0.27|
| 50–54     | 3.4 (0.07)                       | 2.7–3.8 | 0.05                    | 1.1     | 0.28     | 0.68|
| 55–59     | 4.6 (0.2)                        | 3.3–5.6 | 0.12                    | 2.6     | 0.009    | 0.91|
| 60–64     | 6.4 (0.2)                        | 4.9–8.0 | 0.17                    | 3.6     | 0.0004   | 0.97|
| 65–69     | 9.9 (0.3)                        | 7.8–12.5| 0.26                    | 5.5     | < 0.00001| 0.96|
| 70–74     | 18.0 (0.6)                       | 12.3–22.2| 0.49                    | 10.4    | < 0.00001| 0.93|
| 75–79     | 36.3 (1.4)                       | 25.1–44.7| 1.09                    | 23.0    | < 0.00001| 0.95|
| 80–84     | 74.8 (3.1)                       | 50.0–91.7| 2.46                    | 51.8    | < 0.00001| 0.97|
| 85+       | 201.1 (11.0)                     | 124.1–270.5| 8.74                    | 184.2   | < 0.00001| 0.997|

Pr: probability; R²: coefficient of determination. Bold font emphasizes p < 0.05.

Race Analysis. Over the study period, the mean age-adjusted death rates from falls for subjects identifying as non-Hispanic and American Indian were 8.63 (std. error: 0.28), White 8.25 (std. error: 0.37), Asian/Pacific islander 4.86 (std. error: 0.13), and Black 3.88 (std. error: 0.09) per 100,000 population (Supplemental Table 3). Comparing between racial groups, annual death rates from falls were significantly different (adjusted p < 0.0001) except for ‘White’ versus ‘American Indian’ (adjusted p = 0.46) and ‘American Indian/Hispanic’ versus ‘Black/Hispanic’ (adjusted p = 0.49). Linear regression analysis determined that all but Black/Hispanic groups were predicted to have significant growth in annual falls mortality rates.
**Cost Data.** Per fatal fall event, the direct medical costs were $26,689.98 USD, and a lifetime work loss cost of $296,677.74 USD indexed to 2017 prices. Over the 2001–2017 study period, the mean annual direct medical cost was $671,419,471. (std dev. 178,449,053.) and mean annual lifetime work loss cost was $7,463,294,941. (std dev. 1,983,584,776.) (Supplemental Table 4). The total aggregate direct medical costs for 427,656 fatal fall events was $11,414,131,000.00 USD. The total lifetime work loss cost was $126,876,014,000.00.

**Discussion**

In this Centers of Disease Control mortality database study covering 2001–2018, the number of unintentional fall deaths exceeded 450,000 with a combined medical and lifetime work loss cost of over $138 billion dollars. Disparities in death rates exist between sex, racial, ethnic, and age groups with older adults bearing the brunt of the highest death rates. Geographic variability in death rates also exists with state-level health care resource utilization intensity being predictive of age-adjusted death rates. Given the predictive power of health care intensity and persistently high death rates in older adults that continued to accelerate over the study period, the findings of this study suggest that enhanced public health initiatives to curb fatal falls in older adults should be considered.

Our findings of significant state-level variation in fall mortality reinforces the geographic context of health outcomes. Specifically, there is a nearly four-fold difference in mortality between the states with the highest (Wisconsin) and lowest (Alabama) death rates. Regional variation in health outcomes is a complex interplay of health care access, resources, and determinants of health. To our knowledge, our analysis is among the first to further explore state-level variation in mortality from unintentional falls using health care resource utilization and reimbursement data. Regional health care utilization intensity has been correlated with health outcomes ranging from efficacy in chronic disease management for Medicare patients\(^{23}\), outcomes following major surgery\(^{24}\), and variation in surgical care at end-of-life\(^{25}\). The predictive power of state-level intensity of health care utilization and reimbursement for falls mortality introduces the possibility of system-level levers for policy influence. Further work to investigate the relationship between health care intensity and falls mortality may uncover precise targets for systematic efforts to reduce death rates.

For adult deaths due to an unintentional fall, several demographic factors were associated with higher trends in annual death rates. Among the most striking was the relationship between death rates and age. In older adults, the aggregate longitudinal data suggested an exponential increase in death rates by increasing age, that persists after accounting for aging demographic trends. The oldest age strata, 85 years and older, was predicted to observe an accelerated increase in death rate. Our finding that death rates from falls is higher in older adults reinforces several prior analyses that have demonstrated similar trends.\(^{26,27,3,22,2,1,28,21}\) One possible explanation for the increasing rates of fall mortality is improved treatment of other major drivers of mortality such as stroke, heart disease, and cancer. Despite successful immediate treatment, resulting frailty and polypharmacy will subsequently increase the number of patients at risk for fall. The predictive influence of recent hospital admissions and hospice utilization...
seen in our study compliments this theory. Risk factors for falls in older adults are well established and include disparate factors such as gait/balance disturbance, polypharmacy, hypotension, frailty, cognitive decline, degenerative neurologic disorders, visual impairment, limitations in daily activity, environmental hazards and a history of prior falls.\textsuperscript{4–6,29,7,30,8–12} Further research can now be targeted to identify the drivers of high yield care utilization and subsequent falls for comprehensive intervention.

To date, various public health initiatives have been developed targeting falls risk prevention in older adults in the form of clinical algorithms, prevention guidelines, and targeted mobility interventions\textsuperscript{20,17,19,18,16,15}. In particular, the aging vestibular system in the role of falls in older adults has been a specific focus.\textsuperscript{31–39} Despite these efforts, the longitudinal data suggests that mortality from unintentional falls is a persistent and growing public health issue. Data availability for fall prevention utilization is currently limited in existing databases, preventing a similar process of modelling that was utilized in this study. While waiting for the data to become more readily available, immediate research is warranted to analyze the quality and effectiveness of fall prevention efforts scaled to state-level adoption.

Further to the age-dependent mortality rate trends, comparison of age-adjusted death rates from falls to sex and race demographic data also demonstrated significant differences. Comparing sex, males had a significantly higher age-adjusted death rate from falls, but both sexes were predicted to have an annual increase in death rates. This finding is in support of other work from historical cohorts that demonstrated male sex is associated with higher death rates from falls.\textsuperscript{40,2} Comparisons between racial groups demonstrated that ‘White’ and ‘American Indian’ had the highest aggregate death rates over the study period. This result reproduces prior findings that the ‘White’ and ‘American Indian’ race groups tend to have the highest mortality rates.\textsuperscript{1,21,8} Several confounders might explain sex and inter-racial differences such as access to care or reporting bias. Among different races, the circumstances of the fall event may be different in terms of the location and time of day.\textsuperscript{41–43} In the case of sex, females are more likely to report a fall, seek care for a fall, or discuss falls prevention with their health care provider.\textsuperscript{44} It is plausible that openly discussing falls and falls prevention may enable earlier intervention to prevent a future fatal fall. Causal mechanisms underlying these relationships are not clear and worthy of further study.

If the total combined medical and work life loss costs due to unintentional falls over the study period were compared against the gross domestic productivity of entire nations, the economic figure would equate to the world’s 58th largest economy in 2019.\textsuperscript{45} Other works have reproduced that costs related to falls in older adults eclipses the billions mark annually in the United States.\textsuperscript{13,14,45} Beyond the meaningful benefit of reducing avoidable mortality from unintentional falls, the economic burden provides a strong financial incentive and return-on-investment argument for expansion of nationally organized falls prevention programming. In support of this concept, one study demonstrated that a multifactorial fall prevention intervention was cost effective compared to standard care for community-dwelling adults, particularly for adults aged 75 years and older.\textsuperscript{46}
This study has important limitations that need mentioning. Principally, this study is a descriptive study of aggregate statistics. The CDC WISQARS database does not include clinical context for the fatal fall events. Falls can occur for several reasons ranging from stumbling or a transient loss of balance to contributions from vestibular, neurologic, cardiovascular, ophthalmologic, or pharmacologic disorders. Furthermore, variation in coding practices and behaviors between states, and over time, ascribing fall as the cause of mortality could result in erroneous differences. To inform effective public health policy, further study of the top causes of unintentional falls will be necessary. Second, the conclusions from our analyses are anchored to assumptions in the quality of the administrative data. We assume that that deaths from unintentional falls were coded correctly with a fall being the principal mechanism of death. There is likely a degree of error in coding as determining an exact and principal cause of death can be difficult to ascertain in patients with complex medical histories and co-morbidities. Third, the incidence of fatal falls is directly associated with the quality of reporting. It is plausible that the increased efforts to report fatal falls over time is, to some degree, contributing to the increase in deaths attributable to unintentional falls.\textsuperscript{47}

Over 2001 to 2018, over 450,000 people died from an unintentional fall with an economic cost exceeding $138 billion dollars. State-level health care utilization is predictive of age-adjusted falls mortality. Older adults carried the highest death rate, and this rate is forecasted to accelerate. Further study of the relationship between health resource utilization and mortality from unintentional falls may inform enhanced public health policy efforts to mitigate the growing risk of death from unintentional falls in older adults.
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Figures

Figure 1

Annual United States age-adjusted falls mortality rate per 100,000 population over 2001-2018.
Figure 2

Death rates from falls in adults over 2001-2018. Error bars reflect standard error. Multiple paired comparisons between age strata were completed using Wilcoxon tests with adjusted p-values. ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001
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