

# Prevalence and Antibiotic Resistance Profile of *Salmonella* spp. in Broiler Carcasses From Dominant Poultry Production Areas in Bhutan

**Kinley Penjor Penjor** (✉ [kinleypenjor@moaf.gov.bt](mailto:kinleypenjor@moaf.gov.bt))

Bhutan Agriculture & Food Regulatory Authority, Thimphu

**Monu Gurung Gurung**

Bhutan Agriculture & Food Regulatory Authority, Thimphu

**Kamrul Islam Islam**

Children Health and Environment Program, Child Health Research Centre, The University of Queensland, South Brisbane 4101, Queensland, Australia

**Ricardo J. Soares Magalhaes Magalhaes**

UQ Spatial Epidemiology Laboratory, School of Veterinary Science, The University of Queensland, Gatton, 4343 QLD Australia

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Antibiotics, Antimicrobial resistance, Antimicrobial susceptibility test, Bhutan, Chicken carcass, Food-borne, Multidrug resistant, Prevalence, Salmonella

**Posted Date:** August 3rd, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-764457/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

# Background

*Salmonella* is an important zoonotic pathogen and its infections are considered among the most commonly and widely distributed food-borne illness reported worldwide. Poultry products have been identified as important sources of *Salmonella* infection to humans. While there have been reports of high prevalence of *Salmonella* and multidrug resistance in imported chicken meat in Bhutan, the safety of nationally produced broiler meat with regards to *Salmonella* contamination is not known. In the absence of national surveillance of *Salmonella* in food animals in Bhutan, this study assessed the prevalence of *Salmonella* and its serotypes in broiler chicken carcass in the dominant poultry production areas of the country and determine its antibiotic susceptibility patterns.

## Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in January to April 2016 in all five major commercial broiler meat producers and suppliers in Bhutan located in the Samphelling and Darla gewogs. Following a systematic random sampling method, 36 broiler carcasses were sampled from each of the five broiler commercial farms producing the total sample size of 180 samples. *Salmonella* was isolated and identified following the International Organization for Standardization methods (ISO 6579:2002). All *Salmonella* isolates were subjected to antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST) following the procedures of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) method.

## Results

The overall prevalence of *Salmonella* in broiler chicken meat samples was 12.78% (95% CI, 10.98–14.58). The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. was higher in farms of the Samphelling gewog compared to Darla gewog [14.81% (95% CI, 8.2.7–21.5) vs 9.72% (95% CI, 2.9–16.6) respectively]. Among 23 *Salmonella* isolates, the most prevalent serovar was *S. typhi* (73.9%). The *Salmonella* isolates showed high sensitivity to gentamicin (73.9% of isolates) followed by streptomycin (56.5%) and ampicillin (47.8%). The highest proportion of resistance was noted to tetracycline (95.6%), trimethoprim (86.9%), amoxicillin (65.2%), and ampicillin (47.8%). Among 23 *Salmonella* isolates, 60.87% (n = 14) were considered multidrug resistant and 7 patterns of multidrug resistance were discovered.

## Conclusion

The estimates of *Salmonella* prevalence and multidrug resistance in nationally produced commercial broiler chicken carcasses from the two main broiler meat producing areas in Bhutan, raises significant public health concerns highlighting the need for an integrated national *Salmonella* surveillance program across the poultry market chain.

## Background

Salmonellosis caused by non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica*, *Salmonella Paratyphi* and *Salmonella Typhi* have been recognized as a globally important foodborne illness of public health and economic significance in both humans and livestock (Westrell et al., 2009). It was estimated that poultry products such as eggs and fresh meat are the most commonly implicated animal products linked to human *Salmonellosis* (Control & Prevention, 2013), Pires et al., 2009) and have been identified as the main vehicles for *Salmonella* infection in humans (Authority, Prevention, & Control, 2018).

Salmonellosis is the third leading foodborne cause of death worldwide behind norovirus (120 million cases) and *Campylobacter* spp. (96 million cases) and the leading foodborne illness (7.6 million cases) in terms of global disease burden (WHO, 2015). The global deaths from the food borne illness due to non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* accounted for 59,000 deaths of the total 2,30,000 deaths due to foodborne diarrhoeal disease agents (WHO, 2015). Likewise, the same study reported *Salmonella typhi* as the major non-diarrhoeal causes of foodborne deaths (52000) globally (WHO, 2015). In 2010, the median global burden due to non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* was reported at 4,067,929 (95% CI, 2,486,092 – 6,271,290) Disability-Adjusted Live Years (DALYs) which translates to 78,707,591 (95% CI, 31,843,647 – 211,154,682) illness and 59,153 (95% CI, 36,341 – 89,045) deaths (Havelaar et al., 2015). In the South East Asia subregion, the median burden due to non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* was reported at 1,042,715 (95% CI, 225,416–2,824,443) DALYs which translates to 910 (95% CI, 89 – 4,760) DALYs per 100,000 population and ranked second behind African subregion (Havelaar et al., 2015). Similarly, the foodborne burden due to *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella paratyphi A* was reported at 250 illness and 58 illness per million population respectively in SEARO (Havelaar et al., 2015). The study on global burden of invasive nontyphoidal salmonellosis (iNTS) conducted in 2010, reported 3.4 million cases of iNTS per year which corresponds to 49 cases per 100,000 population (Ao et al., 2015).

Bhutan is largely an agrarian economy with 79% of its population engaged in agriculture and livestock farming (NSB, 2017). Approximately, 46% of households in Bhutan rear poultry for producing eggs and chicken (NSB, 2017). Since 2013, Bhutan has achieved 100% self-sufficiency in egg production (DoL, 2018). Yet, Bhutan still imports large volumes of chicken meat primarily from India to meet national consumer demand. In 2018, Bhutan imported more than 1500MT of chicken meat to meet the national consumer demand (RSD, 2018). Reports from Bhutan's neighbouring countries Bangladesh and India have reported increasing outbreaks of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* strains as the result of consumption of poultry and their products in humans (Mahmud et al., 2011; Shrestha et al., 2017). To reduce the incidence of *Salmonellosis* in poultry, antibiotics are used either for disease prophylaxis or for

the treatment of sick poultry (Helke et al., 2017). In Bhutan legislation prohibits the use of antibiotics in feed as a growth promoter. The Drug Regulatory Authority (DRA), and Bhutan Agriculture & Food Regulatory Authority (BAFRA), Bhutan carries out regular inspections and monitoring of the feed plant to ensure antibiotics are not used by the feed manufacturers. Vaccines and antibiotics for poultry diseases are administered by livestock extension workers and are given free of cost by the government. However, anecdotal reports indicate that poultry farmers in bordering regions have access to antibiotics across the border and use them as prophylactics.

A study conducted in 2007 in imported chicken carcasses in Bhutan reported 13% prevalence of *Salmonella* with *Salmonella* enteritidis as the most prevalent serotype (84.62%) compared to *Salmonella* typhimurium (15.38%) (Dahal et al., 2007). Based on the findings of this study, new provision was added to the legislation which requires importers to mandatorily produce sanitary certificates from the Export Inspection Council (EIC) in India certifying that chicken meat is safe and good quality. While a regulatory framework for the control and prevention of Salmonellosis in imported poultry meat into Bhutan is presently enforced, the safety of locally produced chicken meat remains a public health concern as no studies have been conducted to establish *Salmonella* prevalence in nationally produced poultry. Evidence on the epidemiology of *Salmonella* in domestically produced chicken meat in Bhutan, including data on antibiotic resistance patterns of *Salmonella* isolates, will help improve the understanding of occurrence and burden of *Salmonellosis* at food animal level and subsequently leverage the need for routine monitoring and surveillance in poultry.

This study was carried out to assess the prevalence of *Salmonella* and its serotypes in broiler chicken meat in high poultry production areas in Bhutan and investigate antibiotic sensitivity profiles of recovered *Salmonella* poultry serotypes. The findings will help inform the national *Salmonella* control plan to avert the public health burden associated with *Salmonella* infection.

## Results

### Farm and region level prevalence estimates of *Salmonella* contamination of broiler carcasses

Out of a total of 180 samples examined, the prevalence of *Salmonella* in broiler meat from the two major areas of broiler meat producer and supplier in Bhutan was 12.78% (95% CI, 10.98–14.58). The difference in prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. between Samphelling gewog and Darla gewog was 5.09% (95% CL, 1.66–8.52). The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in Samphelling gewog 14.81% (95% CI, 8.2.7–21.5) was higher than in Darla gewog 9.72% (95% CI, 2.9–16.6).

Table 1  
Relationship between farm-level salmonella prevalence, serovars and resistance profiles and farm-level biosecurity indicators

Broiler Commercial Farm	No. of samples collected	Prevalence	Salmonella Serovar		Resistance pattern	Total biosecurity score	Farm size	Number of years in operation	Disinfectant use	Source of poultry feed	Source of DOCs
			<i>S. typhi</i> (%)	<i>S. paratyphi B</i> (%)							
Farm A	36	13.89	5(13.9)	-	2	16	2100	7	Bleaching Powder	India	Bhutan
Farm B	36	11.1	3 (8.3)	1(2.7)	3	14	2000	1	Bleaching Powder	Feed plant	Bhutan
Farm C	36	19.44	4(11.1)	3(8.3)	3	14	2050	4	Bleaching Powder	Feed plant	India
Farm D	36	11.1	3 (8.3)	1(2.7)	2	16	2000	7	KM04	Feed plant	Bhutan
Farm E	36	8.3	2(5.5)	1(2.7)	2	15	2550	9	Bleaching Powder	Feed plant	India

Similarly, between the farms sampled, *Salmonella* prevalence was the highest in farm C 19.44% (7 isolates) followed by farm A 13.89% (5 isolates) and farm B & D 11.1% (4 isolates) and farm E 8.3% (3 isolates) (Table 1). Farm C had one of the lowest biosecurity scores (14), one of lowest population sizes (2,050 head of poultry), was relatively recent operation (4 years) and imports DOC from India. There was no apparent relationships between farm-level seroprevalence and biosecurity indicators (Table 1). Among the 23 *Salmonella* isolates, two *Salmonella* serovars were identified. The *S. typhi* 73.9% (17 isolates) serovar was found more predominant than *S. paratyphi B* 26.1% (6 isolates). both the *Salmonella* serovars were prevalent in all farms in the study areas except for farm A (Table 1).

### Antibiotic susceptibility profile of *Salmonella* isolates

Our results demonstrate that the majority of *Salmonella* isolates were found sensitive to gentamycin 73.91% (17/23 isolates) and streptomycin 56.52% (13/23 isolates) (Table 2). On the contrary, *Salmonella* isolates showed high resistance to tetracyclines 95.65% (22/23 isolates), trimethoprim 86.96% (20/23 isolates) and amoxicillin 65.20% (15/23 isolates). Overall, 60.87% (14/23 isolates) of the 23 isolates were considered multidrug resistant and 7

patterns of multidrug resistance were established. About 95.65% (22/23 isolates) of the *Salmonella* isolates showed resistance to one or more antibiotics as shown in Fig. 1. Between serovars *S. typhi* and *S. paratyphi B* showed 94.1% (16/23 isolates) and 100% (isolates) resistance to one or more antibiotics respectively.

Table 2  
Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from raw broiler meat samples tested by disc diffusion method

Antimicrobial agent	No. of isolates tested	Antibiogram pattern of <i>Salmonella</i> Spp.		
		Resistant (%)	Intermediate (%)	Susceptible (%)
Amoxicillin	23	15(65.2)	-	8(38.5)
Ampicillin	23	11(47.8)	1(4.4)	11(47.8)
Gentamicin	23	3(13)	3(13)	17(74)
Streptomycin	23	2(8.7)	8(34.8)	13(56.5)
Tetracycline	23	22(95.7)	1(4.3)	-
Trimethoprim	23	20(87)	-	3(13)

Table 3  
Antimicrobial resistance patterns for different farms

Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns	Commercial Broiler Farms				
	Farm A	Farm B	Farm C	Farm D	Farm E
no_pattern	-	1	-	-	-
TET_TMP	2	-	5	3	-
TET_AMX	-	1	-	-	-
TET_TMP_AMP	3	-	-	-	-
TET_TMP_AMX	-	1	-	-	1
TET_TMP_AMX_AMP	-	1	1	-	-
TET_TMP_AMX_AMP_STR	-	=	1	-	2
TET_TMP_AMX_AMP_GEN	-	-	-	1	-

Note: AMX-amoxicillin, AMP-ampicillin, GEN-gentamicin, STR-streptomycin, TET-tetracycline, TMP-trimethoprim

## Discussion

Our research improves the evidence base on *Salmonella* epidemiology in commercially produced poultry in Bhutan by estimating the overall prevalence of *Salmonella* in broiler meat from the two major producer and supplier of broiler meat areas in Bhutan. Apart from our study only two other studies were available in the published literature from Bhutan on *Salmonella* in the animal sector; one in imported chicken meat and another in nationally produced beef (Dahal, Ellerbrog, & Poosaran, 2007; Dorjee, 2011). Our investigations identified two *Salmonella* serovars, *S. typhi* 17 (73.9%) and *S. paratyphi B* 6 (26.1%) and that among 23 *Salmonella* isolates, 60.87% were multidrug resistant and as high as 6 patterns of multidrug resistance were recorded. The detection of *Salmonella* in nationally produced broiler meat and the presentation of high proportion of multidrug resistant *Salmonella* indicates the need to further investments into the farm to fork approach to *Salmonella* control in Bhutan.

The *Salmonella* prevalence in raw broiler meat estimated in this study (13%) is comparable to that of *Salmonella* prevalence in imported chicken meat in Bhutan (Dahal et al., 2007) and to that reported prevalence of *Salmonella* in beef in Bhutan (15.8%) (Dorjee, 2011). Our results are also in line with studies conducted in Japan (Iwabuchi et al., 2011) and in Egypt (Hassanain et al., 2011) where a prevalence of 12.2% was reported in retail chicken products and broiler chicken respectively. However, our results are much lower to studies reported from other countries in the South Asian region, where the prevalence of *Salmonella* was estimated as high as 65.5% in frozen chicken meat (Parvin et al., 2020), and 38.7% in broiler farm (Mridha et al., 2020) in Bangladesh, 33.3% in chicken carcass (Balakrishnan, Sangeetha, & Dhanalakshmi, 2018) in India, and 57% in chicken meat in Nepal (Bohara, 2017) were reported. Also, in Vietnam and China, the prevalence of *Salmonella* in chicken carcasses was (48.7%) (Ta et al., 2014) and 43.3% (Wang et al., 2013) respectively. The varying prevalence of *Salmonella* in chicken meat between sampled commercial farms could be attributed to varying on-farm biosecurity measures applied and hygiene practices at the production system (Manoj & Singh, 2015). Other studies have shown that cleaning equipment

and disposing of dead birds as significant risk factors for *Salmonella* detection in farms. The study by (Wang et al., 2013) concluded that the variation of prevalence of *Salmonella* between farms could be attributed to the farm chicken breed.

Differences in the prevalence between the study farms can be attributed to differences in bird density as higher bird density has been noted in previous studies to be associated with increased odds of detection of positive *Salmonella* (Donado-Godoy et al., 2012). In Bhutan, commercial poultry (broiler and layer) are reared in deep litter production system and depending on the size of the farms, farmers usually have more than two poultry shed and each shed has the capacity of more than 1000 birds. All five commercial farms had the farm size between 2,000–2,500 birds and follow all-in all-out system. The source of poultry could also pose a biosecurity risk for the introduction of *Salmonella* into a flock. In our study broiler day old chicks used by these farms were generally sourced in Bhutan and supplied by the National Poultry Research and Development Center (NPRDC) which is run by the Department of Livestock under the Ministry of Agriculture & Forest, Bhutan. However, during periods of national shortage of DOCs, farmers resort to importing DOCs directly from hatcheries in India which are registered with BAFRA, Bhutan. The majority of the poultry farmers use feeds which are manufactured within the country, however, few poultry farmers procure feed directly from India. As per the farm biosecurity survey conducted by BAFRA in 2018, the poultry commercial farms had good farm biosecurity practices with clean water source, proper rodent control, proper fencing, proper documentation of visitor, treatment, feed and vaccination. In Bhutan, commercial broiler farms have a designated slaughter facility at the farm. The slaughter facility has minimum equipment for sticking, defeathering, evisceration and are carried out in same shed but separated by partition wall to avoid cross contamination.

In addition, to husbandry systems other factors could be implicated in the variation in prevalence observed in our study. As per (Wang, 2016), the difference between *Salmonella* prevalence in our study and others reported in the literature could be due to difference in the study design particularly number of samples collected, sample collection period, sample type, sampling procedures and method of detection used. Studies conducted in China, Greece and the USA described that prevalence and concentration of *Salmonella* in chicken was relatively higher in summer and spring than winter and autumn (Rodriguez et al., 2006; Zdragas et al., 2012; Wang, 2016).

The two *Salmonella* serovars detected in our study were *S. typhi* and *S. paratyphi* B. Among two serovars, the *S. typhi* was found more prevalent 73.9% (17 isolates) than *S. paratyphi* B 26.1% (6 isolates). *S. typhi* and *S. paratyphi* B were also recovered from chicken carcass in Egypt (El-Aziz, 2013), Indonesia (Kusumaningrum and Dewanti-Hariyadi, 2012), and India (Murugkar et al., 2005), broiler farm in Bangladesh (Akond et al., 2012; Barua et al., 2013), and eggs in Nepal (Singh et al., 2010). Both the *Salmonella* serovars fall in typhoid subcategory of *Salmonella* meaning it infects a very narrow range of hosts including humans (Hendriksen et al., 2011; Maka & Popowska, 2016) and are usually associated with higher number of fatal cases (Wang et al., 2019). These serovars do not present poultry as a reservoir but rather an indication of contamination during the slaughter processes at different points of the production line (Newell et al., 2010). The predominant *Salmonella* serovar reported in Asia region were *S. typhimurium* (15.34%) and *S. enteritidis* (69.84%) in China in chicken meat (Zhu et al., 2017), *S. typhimurium* (15.38%) in Bhutan in imported chicken (Dahal et al., 2007), and *S. typhimurium* (22.2%) in chicken meat in Nepal (Singh, Yadav, Singh, & Bharti, 2010). A meta-analysis study on the worldwide epidemiology of *Salmonella* serovar in animal based food reported *S. typhimurium* as the most prevalent and disseminated serovar worldwide (Ferrari et al., 2019). The other serovar reported globally were *S. pullorum*, *S. gallinarum*, *S. enteritidis*, and *S. enteric* in chicken (Ozbezy and Ertas, 2006; Rayamajhi et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2010; Mahmud et al., 2011; Ta et al., 2014; Al-Salauddin et al., 2015).

Our results also indicate a high proportion of *Salmonella* isolates were sensitive to gentamycin 73.91% (17 isolates) and streptomycin 56.52% (13 isolates) but high resistance to tetracyclines 95.65% (22 isolates), trimethoprim 86.96 (20 isolates) and amoxicillin 65.20 (15 isolates). Our study findings were in consistent with the findings of (Murugkar et al., 2005) which labelled doxycycline, ampicillin, amoxicillin and tetracycline resistant to *Salmonella* isolates but, sensitive to norfloxacin, enrofloxacin, gentamicin and ciprofloxacin. In the Asia region, high resistance of *Salmonella* was reported for tetracycline (97.14%) and chloramphenicol (94.28%), in chicken farm in Bangladesh (Alam et al., 2020; Mridha et al., 2020), trimethoprim sulfamethoxazole (70.3%) and tetracycline (54.3%) in chicken meat in Myanmar (Moe et al., 2017), sulphonamide compounds (98.9 %) and tetracycline (96.9 %) in chicken carcass in Nepal (Goncuoglu, Ormanci, Uludag, & Cil, 2016). The emergence of high resistance of *Salmonella* to tetracycline, amoxicillin and trimethoprim antimicrobials in the study may be contributed to indiscriminate use of these antimicrobials in poultry production as growth promotion, prophylactic and therapeutic purposes (Nourouzi, Mirzaii, & Norouzi, 2004) and Bhutan is no exception. As per the drug distribution report of Bhutan, 2018, the tetracycline trimethoprim was largely distributed for the poultry use. The consistent reports of high resistance of *Salmonella* to tetracycline, trimethoprim and amoxicillin antimicrobials in several studies conducted globally raise serious public health concern since these antimicrobials are used as first line drugs for human and animals. Our study also observed that 95.65% (22 isolates) of the *Salmonella* isolates showed resistance to one or more antibiotics with six antibiotic resistance patterns and 60.87% of multidrug resistance. A study conducted by (Dahal et al., 2007) in imported frozen chicken in Bhutan, also reported *Salmonella* resistance to one or more drugs antimicrobials namely; nalidixic acid (96.15%), amoxicillin (11.54%) and cephalexin (5.77%). A widespread multidrug resistance of *Salmonella* was reported in chicken eggs in Nepal (Singh et al., 2010), broiler chicken at slaughter house in China (Zhu et al., 2017) and broiler farm in Bangladesh (Mridha et al., 2020). Recognizing the role of meat in *Salmonella* transmission to humans added by reports of high prevalence of *Salmonella* and multidrug resistance from the two main producer and supplier of broiler meat areas in Bhutan, altogether, it raises serious public health concern which require immediate action.

The findings of our study need to be interpreted in light of the fact that within the five commercial broiler farms that fulfilled the study's inclusion criteria, we collected samples from the same batch of birds in the farm to get the required sample size. While we employed a cluster sampling weight to adjust the sample size calculation, birds in the batch are usually raised in same production system.

In this study we present the first estimates of *Salmonella* prevalence and antibiotic resistance profiles in nationally produced broiler meat in the dominant broiler production and supply areas in Bhutan. These findings should serve as an important resource for national *Salmonella* control program managers to plan the design of farm-level surveillance to help reduce the human risk of Salmonellosis at the country level.

## Materials And Methods

### Study setting and sampling frame

A cross-sectional study was conducted from January to April 2016 to assess the prevalence of *Salmonella* and its serotypes in broiler chicken meat in high poultry production areas in Bhutan and to investigate their antibiotic susceptibility profile. The two major areas that produce and supply commercial chicken broilers in the country – the gewogs of Samphelling and Darla located in the Chukha district – were selected for this investigation (DoL, 2015). The study focused on sampling chicken broilers produced in commercial poultry enterprises. For the purpose of the study, commercial chicken broiler farms were defined as farms which have more than 2,000 birds with a permanent shed. As per the sampling frame, there were a total of five commercial broiler farms in both the study areas fulfilling the commercial farm definition. All five commercial broiler farms (Farm A, B, C, D and E) were included in the study. These enterprises were run by different farmers under different production management. We collected farm biosecurity management data including number of years in operation, presence of perimeter fencing, presence of disinfection points, presence of biosecurity sign boards, presence of visitor logbook, source country of DOCs, vaccination and deworming protocols, mortality and treatment record, source of feed, treatment of water, presence of a bio pit, utilisation of own farm equipment, use of PPE (ie. gloves, mouth covers, boots and apron), presence of meat processing facility, cleaning and disinfection protocols (animal shed, farm disinfection, disinfectant use, premise clean, drainage clean). The information on farm biosecurity management was collected by the lab technicians using pretested questionnaires. The filing of questionnaires involved an interview, observations and validation methods.

#### Sampling methods and procedures.

The sample size for the prevalence survey was calculated using the epi tool formula (Sergeant, 2009):

$$n = (Z^2 \times P(1-P)) / e^2$$

where Z: is the value from standard normal distribution corresponding to desired confidence level (for 95% CI), P: is expected true proportion, e: is desired precision. [At 95% CI,  $\alpha$ : 5%] and sample size was calculated as  $n = 180$ .

During the slaughter time poultry are pooled at the slaughter facility and are slaughtered by trained workers following hygienic practices. Depending on the market demand, the slaughter period of the bird will vary from farm to farm. Usually, the birds are slaughtered between 40–50 days of rearing from the day-old chick (DOC). Since all five farms in the study follow all-in all-out system, only one production cycle of the broiler birds was considered and 36 samples each from one farm was collected in each visit. The schedule of sample collection was tied with the slaughter date of the broilers in a farm and were collected over 5 weeks period (i.e. one visit every week). Following a systematic random sampling method, 36 healthy broiler carcasses from each of the five broiler commercial farms in Samphelling and Darla gewogs were selected after the evisceration and before packaging resulting in a total sample size of 180 samples. From the selected broiler carcasses, a breast muscle portion weighing approximately 30g was collected in a sterile plastic sachet by the trained laboratory technicians. The samples were stored at below 4°C temperature in cool box and were transported to the laboratory within 8 hours from the time of sample collection. During the sample collection time the additional information on farm size, farm biosecurity status, source of feed, water and DOC, and management practices was also collected.

#### Isolation and Identification

Samples were analysed at the National Food Testing Laboratory which is accredited to ISO/IEC 1705. For the culture of *Salmonella*, the International Organization for Standardization methods (ISO 6579:2002) (Anonymous, 2002) was followed.

A finely cut pieces of 25 grams of chicken breast was mixed with 225 ml of buffered peptone water and was shaken for 2 minutes. The mixture was incubated at 37°C ± 1°C for 18 ± 2 hours. After the incubation period, a loop-full of material from the Rappaport-Vassiliadis soya (RVS) broth and Muller Kauffmann tetrathionate novobiocin (MKTTn) was transferred and streaked separately onto the Brilliant green Phenol Red Lactose Sucrose agar and Xylose lysine deoxycholate (XLD) agar separately and were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The plates were incubated in an inverted position and observed for the growth of typical *Salmonella* colonies.

Identification of *Salmonella* was performed on six biochemical confirmation tests namely, Triple sugar agar (TSI agar), Urea agar, L-lysine decarboxylation medium, Voges-Proskauer reaction, Methyl red reaction, and indole reaction. After biochemical confirmation, all *Salmonella* positive isolates were transferred into half-strength NA in 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes and stored at 4°C.

### Antimicrobial susceptibility

Antibiotic sensitivity testing for identified *Salmonella* isolates was carried out using the disc diffusion method described in Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (Cockerill, Clinical, & Institute, 2012). A total of six antimicrobials used in the disc diffusion method including Ampicillin (10mcg/disc), Amoxicillin (30 mcg/disc), Tetracycline (30mcg/disc), Streptomycin (10mcg/disc), Trimethoprim (5 mcg/disc) and Gentamicin (10 mcg/disc). These

antimicrobials were selected since they are commonly used in poultry industries in Bhutan. The results were interpreted using CLSI methods (Cockerill et al., 2012). The multidrug resistance for this study is defined as resistance to three or more antimicrobial classes (Falagas, Koletsis, & Bliziotis, 2006; Kallen, Hidron, Patel, & Srinivasan, 2010; Paterson & Doi, 2007)

## Statistical analyses

Sample laboratory results and farm-level biosecurity data were entered into Microsoft Excel, version 2016. The data were then transferred into the WHONET offline database. Prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. was calculated from the total number of samples tested and the prevalence of *Salmonella* serovars was calculated from the total number of positive *Salmonella* spp. isolated. Confidence intervals for a single proportion and difference in proportions were estimated using the statsmodels library in python3.

The sensitivity and resistance to different antimicrobials of *Salmonella* spp. positive isolates were presented as a proportion. The epidemiological data analyses of antibiogram patterns were managed using WHONET which is an analytical tool that facilitates understanding of the local epidemiology of microbial populations and selection of antimicrobial agents (Agarwal, Kapila, & Kumar, 2009).

Each farm-level biosecurity indicator was scored a value of "1" when the response represented an adequate biosecurity practice and "0" when the response was less adequate. A total farm-level biosecurity score was estimated as the sum of all responses to the questionnaire. Results were compared descriptively against estimates of prevalence.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

### Consent for publication

Not applicable

### Availability of data and materials

The authors confirm that all the raw data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary materials.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### Authors' contributions

#### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Bhutan Agriculture & Food Regulatory Authority, Thimphu

<sup>2</sup>UQ Centre for Clinical Research, faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Herston, QLD, 4029, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Children Health and Environment Program, Child Health Research Centre, The University of Queensland, South Brisbane 4101, Queensland, Australia

<sup>4</sup> UQ Spatial Epidemiology Laboratory, School of Veterinary Science, The University of Queensland, Gatton, 4343 QLD Australia

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the National Food Testing Laboratory, Yusipang Thimphu for helping in the collection of samples and for providing the facilities for carrying out this research work.

### References

1. Agarwal, A, Kapila, K, & Kumar, S. (2009). WHONET software for the surveillance of antimicrobial susceptibility. Medical Journal Armed Forces India, 65(3), 264–266.

2. Akbar, A., Anal, A.K., 2013. Prevalence and antibiogram study of Salmonella and Staphylococcus aureus in poultry meat. *Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine* 3, 163–168.
3. Akond, M.A., Shirin, M., Alam, S., Hassan, S., Rahman, M.M., Hoq, M., 2012. Frequency of drug resistant Salmonella spp. isolated from poultry samples in Bangladesh. *Stamford Journal of Microbiology* 2, 15–19.
4. Alam, Shanzida Binte, Mahmud, Muket, Akter, Rafiya, Hasan, Mahadi, Sobur, Abdus, Nazir, KHM, . . . Rahman, Marzia. (2020). Molecular Detection of Multidrug Resistant Salmonella Species Isolated from Broiler Farm in Bangladesh. *Pathogens*, 9(3), 201.
5. Al-Salauddin, A.S., Hossain, M.F., Dutta, A., Mahmud, S., Islam, M.S., Saha, S., Kabir, S.L., 2015. Isolation, identification, and antibiogram studies of Salmonella species and Escherichia coli from boiler meat in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Basic & Clinical Pharmacology* 4, 1000.
6. Anonymous, 2002. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs—horizontal method for the detection of Salmonella spp.
7. Ao, Trong T, Feasey, Nicholas A, Gordon, Melita A, Keddy, Karen H, Angulo, Frederick J, & Crump, John A. (2015). Global burden of invasive nontyphoidal Salmonella disease, 2010. *Emerging infectious diseases*, 21(6), 941.
8. Authority, European Food Safety, Prevention, European Centre for Disease, & Control. (2018). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2017. *EFSa Journal*, 16(12), e05500.
9. Balakrishnan, S, Sangeetha, A, & Dhanalakshmi, M. (2018). Prevalence of Salmonella in chicken meat and its slaughtering place from local markets in Orathanadu, Thanjavur district, Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology studies*, 6(2), 2468–2247.
10. Bangtrakulnonth, A., Pomreongwong, S., Pulsrikarn, C., Sawanpanyalert, P., Hendriksen, R.S., Wong, D.M.L.F., Aarestrup, F.M., 2004. Salmonella serovars from humans and other sources in Thailand, 1993–2002. *Emerging infectious diseases* 10, 131.
11. Barua, H., Biswas, P.K., Olsen, K.E., Shil, S.K., Christensen, J.P., 2013. Molecular characterization of motile serovars of Salmonella enterica from breeder and commercial broiler poultry farms in Bangladesh. *PloS one* 8.
12. Bäumler, A.J., Hargis, B.M., Tsois, R.M., 2000. Tracing the origins of Salmonella outbreaks. *Science* 287, 50–52.
13. Brenner, F., Villar, R., Angulo, F., Tauxe, R., Swaminathan, B., 2000. Salmonella nomenclature. *Journal of clinical microbiology* 38, 2465–2467.
14. Bohara, Madan Singh. (2017). Bacteriological quality of broiler chicken meat sold at local market of Kanchanpur district Nepal. *International Journal of Life Sciences and Technology*, 10(9), 79.
15. Cockerill, Franklin R, Clinical, & Institute, Laboratory Standards. (2012). Performance standards for antimicrobial disk susceptibility testing: approved standard: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards.
16. Control, Centers for Disease, & Prevention. (2013). OutbreakNet, foodborne outbreak online database. [www.cdc.gov/foodborneoutbreaks/Default.aspx](http://www.cdc.gov/foodborneoutbreaks/Default.aspx) (accessed June 2, 2011).
17. Dahal, N., Ellerbroek, L., Poosaran, N., 2007. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella in imported chicken carcasses in Bhutan. *National Cent Anim Health* 1, 1–92.
18. Deckert, A., Gow, S., Rosengren, L., Leger, D., Avery, B., Daignault, D., Dutil, L., Reid-Smith, R., Irwin, R., 2010. Canadian integrated program for antimicrobial resistance surveillance (CIPARS) farm program: results from finisher pig surveillance. *Zoonoses and public health* 57, 71–84.
19. Dinçer, A.H., Baysal, T., 2004. Decontamination techniques of pathogen bacteria in meat and poultry. *Critical reviews in microbiology* 30, 197–204.
20. DoL, 2015. Annual Livestock Statistics 2015. II, 318.
21. DoL, 2018. Annual Livestock Statistics 2018. 327.
22. Donado-Godoy, P., Gardner, I., Byrne, B.A., Leon, M., Perez-Gutierrez, E., Ovalle, M., Tafur, M., Miller, W., 2012. Prevalence, risk factors, and antimicrobial resistance profiles of Salmonella from commercial broiler farms in two important poultry-producing regions of Colombia. *Journal of food protection* 75, 874–883.
23. Donoghue, D.J., 2003. Antibiotic residues in poultry tissues and eggs: human health concerns? *Poultry science* 82, 618–621.
24. El-Aziz, D.M.A., 2013. Detection of Salmonella typhimurium in retail chicken meat and chicken giblets. *Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine* 3, 678.
25. Falagas, Matthew E, Koletsi, Patra K, & Bliziotis, Ioannis A. (2006). The diversity of definitions of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and pandrug-resistant (PDR) Acinetobacter baumannii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of medical microbiology*, 55(12), 1619–1629.
26. Fries, R., 2002. Reducing Salmonella transfer during industrial poultry meat production. *World's Poultry Science Journal* 58, 527–540.
27. Ferrari, Rafaela G, Rosario, Denes KA, Cunha-Neto, Adelino, Mano, Sérgio B, Figueiredo, Eduardo ES, & Conte-Junior, Carlos A. (2019). Worldwide epidemiology of Salmonella serovars in animal-based foods: a meta-analysis. *Applied and environmental microbiology*, 85(14), e00591-00519.
28. Goncuoglu, Muammer, Ormanci, F Seda Bilir, Uludag, Murat, & Cil, Guzin Iplikcioglu. (2016). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of salmonella spp. and Salmonella Typhimurium in broiler carcasses wings and liver. *Journal of Food Safety*, 36(4), 524–531.
29. Hammerum, A.M., Heuer, O.E., Emborg, H.-D., Bagger-Skjøt, L., Jensen, V.F., Rogues, A.-M., Skov, R.L., Agersø, Y., Brandt, C.T., Seyfarth, A.M., 2007. Danish integrated antimicrobial resistance monitoring and research program. *Emerging infectious diseases* 13, 1633.
30. Hassanain, N., Siam, M., Hamed, O., Salman, M., 2011. Incidence of antibiotic resistant salmonella species in food producing animals and human contacts. In, *Proceedings of the XV International Society for Animal Hygiene (ISAH) Congress in Vienna, Austria*, 77–80.

31. Havelaar, Arie H, Kirk, Martyn D, Torgerson, Paul R, Gibb, Herman J, Hald, Tine, Lake, Robin J, . . . Gargouri, Neyla. (2015). World Health Organization global estimates and regional comparisons of the burden of foodborne disease in 2010. *PLoS medicine*, 12(12), e1001923.
32. Helke, K.L., McCrackin, M., Galloway, A.M., Poole, A.Z., Salgado, C.D., Marriott, B.P., 2017. Effects of antimicrobial use in agricultural animals on drug-resistant foodborne salmonellosis in humans: A systematic literature review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* 57, 472–488.
33. Herikstad, H., Motarjemi, Y., Tauxe, R., 2002. Salmonella surveillance: a global survey of public health serotyping. *Epidemiology & Infection* 129, 1–8.
34. Hendriksen, Rene S, Vieira, Antonio R, Karlslose, Susanne, Lo Fo Wong, Danilo MA, Jensen, Arne B, Wegener, Henrik C, & Aarestrup, Frank M. (2011). Global monitoring of Salmonella serovar distribution from the World Health Organization Global Foodborne Infections Network Country Data Bank: results of quality assured laboratories from 2001 to 2007. *Foodborne pathogens and disease*, 8(8), 887–900.
35. Hoelzer, K., Switt, A.I.M., Wiedmann, M., 2011. Animal contact as a source of human non-typhoidal salmonellosis. *Veterinary research* 42, 34.
36. Iwabuchi, E., Yamamoto, S., Endo, Y., Ochiai, T., Hirai, K., 2011. Prevalence of Salmonella isolates and antimicrobial resistance patterns in chicken meat throughout Japan. *Journal of food protection* 74, 270–273.
37. Kallen, Alexander J, Hidron, Alicia I, Patel, Jean, & Srinivasan, Arjun. (2010). Multidrug resistance among gram-negative pathogens that caused healthcare-associated infections reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network, 2006–2008. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 31(5), 528–531.
38. Kiehlbauch, J.A., Hannett, G.E., Salfinger, M., Archinal, W., Monserrat, C., Carlyn, C., 2000. Use of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards guidelines for disk diffusion susceptibility testing in New York state laboratories. *Journal of clinical microbiology* 38, 3341–3348.
39. Kusumaningrum, H., Dewanti-Hariyadi, R., 2012. Multidrug resistance among different serotypes of Salmonella isolates from fresh products in Indonesia.
40. Lestari, S.I., Han, F., Wang, F., Ge, B., 2009. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella serovars in conventional and organic chickens from Louisiana retail stores. *Journal of food protection* 72, 1165–1172.
41. Mahmud, M.S., Bari, M.L., Hossain, M.A., 2011. Prevalence of Salmonella serovars and antimicrobial resistance profiles in poultry of Savar area, Bangladesh. *Foodborne pathogens and disease* 8, 1111–1118.
42. Majowicz, S., Musto, J., Scallan, E., Angulo, F., Kirk, M., O'Brien, S., Jones, T., Fazil, A., Hoekstra, R., International Collaboration on Enteric Disease “Burden of Illness” Studies. 2010. The global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. *Clin Infect Dis* 50, 882–889.
43. Majowicz, S.E., Musto, J., Scallan, E., Angulo, F.J., Kirk, M., O'Brien, S.J., Jones, T.F., Fazil, A., Hoekstra, R.M., Studies, I.C.o.E.D.B.o.I., 2010. The global burden of nontyphoidal Salmonella gastroenteritis. *Clinical infectious diseases* 50, 882–889.
44. Maharjan, M., Joshi, V., Joshi, D.D., Manandhar, P., 2006. Prevalence of Salmonella species in various raw meat samples of a local market in Kathmandu. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1081, 249–256.
45. Maka, L, & Popowska, Magdalena. (2016). Antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella spp. isolated from food. *Roczniki Państwowego Zakładu Higieny*, 67(4).
46. Manoj, J, & Singh, MK. (2015). SinghYP (2015). The role of poultry in food borne salmonellosis and its public health importance. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci*, 3(9), 485–490.
47. Mridha, D, Uddin, MN, Alam, B, Akhter, AHMT, Islam, SKS, Islam, MS, . . . Kabir, SML. (2020). Identification and characterization of Salmonella spp. from samples of broiler farms in selected districts of Bangladesh, *Veterinary World*, 13 (2): 275–283.
48. Moe, Aung Zaw, Paulsen, Peter, Pichpol, Duangporn, Fries, Reinhard, Irsigler, Herlinde, Baumann, Maximilian PO, & Oo, Kyaw Naing. (2017). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella isolates from chicken carcasses in retail markets in Yangon, Myanmar. *Journal of food protection*, 80(6), 947–951.
49. Murugkar, H., Rahman, H., Kumar, A., Bhattacharyya, D., 2005. Isolation, phage typing & antibiogram of Salmonella from man & animals in northeastern India. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 122, 237.
50. Newell, Diane G, Koopmans, Marion, Verhoef, Linda, Duizer, Erwin, Aidara-Kane, Awa, Sprong, Hein, . . . Scheutz, Flemming. (2010). Food-borne diseases—the challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. *International journal of food microbiology*, 139, S3-S15.
51. Nourouzi, Jamileh, Mirzaii, M, & Norouzi, M. (2004). Study of Lactobacillus as probiotic bacteria.
52. NSB, 2017. Population and Housing Census of Bhutan. 1510.
53. Organization, W.H., 2004. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations. Vitamin and mineral requirements in human nutrition 2.
54. Organization, World Health. (2015). WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007–2015: World Health Organization.
55. Ozbey, G., Ertas, H., 2006. Salmonella spp. isolation from chicken samples and identification by polymerase chain reaction. *Bulgarian Journal of Veterinary Medicine* 9, 67–73.
56. Parvin, Mst Sonia, Hasan, Md Mehedi, Ali, Md Yamin, Chowdhury, Emdadul Haque, Rahman, Md Tanvir, & Islam, Md Taohidul. (2020). Prevalence and Multidrug Resistance Pattern of Salmonella Carrying Extended-Spectrum  $\beta$ -Lactamase in Frozen Chicken Meat in Bangladesh. *Journal of Food Protection*, 83(12), 2107–2121.
57. Paterson, David L, & Doi, Yohei. (2007). Editorial commentary: a step closer to extreme drug resistance (XDR) in gram-negative bacilli. *Clinical infectious diseases*, 1179–1181.

58. Peruzi, Maria Francesca, Capuano, Federico, Proroga, Yolande Thérèse Rose, Cristiano, Daniela, Carullo, Maria Rosaria, & Murru, Nicoletta. (2020). Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing for Salmonella Serovars Isolated from Food Samples: Five-Year Monitoring (2015–2019). *Antibiotics*, 9(7), 365.
59. Pires, S.M., de Knegt, L., Hald, T., 2011. Estimation of the relative contribution of different food and animal sources to human Salmonella infections in the European Union. *EFSA Supporting Publications* 8, 184E.
60. Rasschaert, G., Herman, L., Messens, W., De Zutter, L., Heyndrickx, M., 2007. Molecular epidemiology of Salmonella and Campylobacter contamination during poultry production. *Towards a Safer Food Supply in Europe*, 58.
61. Rayamajhi, N., Jung, B.Y., Cha, S.B., Shin, M.K., Kim, A., Kang, M.S., Lee, K.M., Yoo, H.S., 2010. Antibiotic resistance patterns and detection of blaDHA-1 in Salmonella species isolates from chicken farms in South Korea. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 4760–4764.
62. Rodriguez, A., Pangloli, P., Richards, H.A., Mount, J.R., DRAUGHON, F.A., 2006. Prevalence of Salmonella in diverse environmental farm samples. *Journal of food protection* 69, 2576–2580.
63. RSD, 2018. Statistics on import of major food items from India. 102.
64. Sergeant, E., 2009. Epitools epidemiological calculators. AusVet Animal Health Services and Australian Biosecurity Cooperative Research Centre for Emerging Infectious Disease.
65. Shrestha, A., Bajracharya, A.M., Subedi, H., Turha, R.S., Kafle, S., Sharma, S., Neupane, S., Chaudhary, D.K., 2017. Multi-drug resistance and extended spectrum beta lactamase producing Gram negative bacteria from chicken meat in Bharatpur Metropolitan, Nepal. *BMC research notes* 10, 574.
66. Singh, Sangeeta, Yadav, Ajit Singh, Singh, Satyendra Mohan, & Bharti, Priyanka. (2010). Prevalence of Salmonella in chicken eggs collected from poultry farms and marketing channels and their antimicrobial resistance. *Food Research International*, 43(8), 2027–2030.
67. Singh, S., Yadav, A.S., Singh, S.M., Bharti, P., 2010. Prevalence of Salmonella in chicken eggs collected from poultry farms and marketing channels and their antimicrobial resistance. *Food Research International* 43, 2027–2030.
68. Ta, Y.T., Nguyen, T.T., To, P.B., Pham, D.X., Le, H.T.H., Thi, G.N., Alali, W.Q., Walls, I., Doyle, M.P., 2014. Quantification, serovars, and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella isolated from retail raw chicken meat in Vietnam. *Journal of food protection* 77, 57–66.
69. Wang, J., Wu, H., Song, M., Li, F., Zhu, J., Xi, M., Wang, X., Xia, X., Meng, J., Yang, B., 2013. Prevalence and quantitative detection of Salmonella in retail raw chicken in Shaanxi, China. *Journal of food protection* 76, 1958–1962.
70. Wang, S., 2016. Development of a method for optimal detection of emerging disease incursions: a thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at Massey University, Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. Massey University.
71. Wang, Xuchu, Biswas, Silpak, Paudyal, Narayan, Pan, Hang, Li, Xiaoliang, Fang, Weihuan, & Yue, Min. (2019). Antibiotic resistance in Salmonella Typhimurium isolates recovered from the food chain through National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring System between 1996 and 2016. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 985.
72. Westrell, T., Ciampa, N., Boelaert, F., Helwigh, B., Korsgaard, H., Chriél, M., Ammon, A., Mäkelä, P., 2009. Zoonotic infections in Europe in 2007: a summary of the EFSA-ECDC annual report. *Eurosurveillance* 14, 19100.
73. Zdragas, A., Mazaraki, K., Vafeas, G., Giantzi, V., Papadopoulos, T., Ekateriniadou, L., 2012. Prevalence, seasonal occurrence and antimicrobial resistance of Salmonella in poultry retail products in Greece. *Letters in applied microbiology* 55, 308–313
74. WHO. (2015). WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007–2015: World Health Organization.
75. Zhu, Yuanting, Lai, Haimei, Zou, Likou, Yin, Sheng, Wang, Chengtao, Han, Xinfeng, .. Zhou, Kang. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in Salmonella strains isolated from broiler chickens along the slaughtering process in China. *International journal of food microbiology*, 259, 43–51.
76. Zhu, Yuanting, Lai, Haimei, Zou, Likou, Yin, Sheng, Wang, Chengtao, Han, Xinfeng, .. Zhou, Kang. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in Salmonella strains isolated from broiler chickens along the slaughtering process in China. *International journal of food microbiology*, 259, 43–51.

## Figures




Figure 1

Percentage Resistance of Salmonella serovar recovered from raw broiler meat to six antimicrobial drugs