

Investigating the Effect of COVID-19 on Spiritual Health and Stress of Pregnant Women

Reza Jafari Nodoushan

Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services

Hadi alimoradi

Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services: Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services

Mahsa Nazari (✉ m.nazari@stu.ssu.ac.ir)

Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences and Health Services <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3224-7051>

Research

Keywords: COVID-19, Pandemic, Spiritual health, Pregnant Women, Stress, Premature delivery

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-74878/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Introduction: Stress is one of the effective factors in the occurrence of negative effects during pregnancy that can cause adverse outcomes such as preterm delivery and reduced intrauterine growth of the fetus in pregnant women. Therefore, one of the serious concerns during the COVID-19 Pandemic is the physical health and mental health of pregnant women. This study aimed to evaluate the physical health status with the spiritual and mental health of pregnant women during the COVID-19 Pandemic.

Methods: The study is a descriptive study in 2019-2020 and the samples were randomly selected from all pregnant women who referred to hospitals and private maternity centers before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic and performed all pregnancy and fetal health tests. It was performed on 560 pregnant female samples. The mental status of pregnant women was assessed using the DASS Spiritual Health and Stress Questionnaire. Data analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software (version 24).

Result: The results of this study showed that preterm birth, height, weight and head circumference of babies and lungs and respiratory status of children with mental health and stress levels of pregnant women during the corona is significant compared to the previous of corona ($p < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Increasing stress and decreasing the mental health of pregnant women during COVID-19 Pandemic can increase the influencing factors in preterm delivery and unhealthy birth.

1. Introduction:

In December 2019 release of a viral disease was reported in the city of Wuhan, China. The cause of this disease was a new and genetically modified virus from the family of coronaviruses called -SARS 2-CoV, which was named Covid-19 disease (1). The psychological effects of this viral disease on the mental health of people at different levels of society are of great importance (2). Some psychological disorders, including stress experienced by women during pregnancy, can have adverse effects on childbearing outcomes. Among the adverse consequences of preterm delivery and weight loss at birth is because preterm delivery is one of the undesirable consequences of increasing the mortality rate of unborn babies and pregnant women (3). At the beginning of 2020, many pregnant women due to epidemic COVID-19, in a state of quarantine exception to the living and the personal life and career and finances are changed and many of them due to fear and stress They have been quarantined in their homes for a long time due to infection and loss of fetus, and this can lead to affect women's emotional health. As depression and stress have been one of the symptoms of the prenatal period, but with the development of this viral disease, the adverse consequences of pregnant women and adverse infants were increased (4).

In recent years, most psychologists and specialists have emphasized the importance of spiritual health in the development and promotion of mental and emotional status of individuals and its necessity in individual and social life and consider it as one of the factors affecting the health of individuals. In defining spiritual health, the World Health Organization considers it as one of the influential dimensions of human life and the fourth basic dimension in promoting spirituality (5). In today's world, one of the

newest dimensions of health, apart from physical and mental health, is spiritual health. All aspects of health are meaningful in the form of spiritual health and lead to an increase in the quality of life (6). Spiritual health plays a very important role in determining the health status of people and causes symptoms such as depression, loneliness and meaninglessness in life. Spiritual health is one of the indicators related to stress relief and increasing the improvement score of a person's mental health (7).

One of the most important complications of preterm delivery in women is the birth of babies with inappropriate weight and outside the standard range. These babies suffer from unusual damage and unusual weight loss at birth and during their final development (8, 9). In developing countries and Iran, the prevalence of preterm labor is 25% and 34.9%, respectively (9). Pregnant women are exposed to stressful changes in the levels of their hormones, and these hormones cross the blood-brain barrier and affect the growth rate of the fetus and can harm the fetus and at birth or Growth weight or abnormal weight. Weight loss and sudden weight loss have reduced the safety of the baby's immune system and the release of neurotransmitters such as dopamine, serotonin, GABA and norepinephrine have also been reported in infants who have been stressed during the fetal period (10). One of the factors affecting the type of normal or premature birth in women is the amount of stress they receive during pregnancy and leads to disorders during and after childbirth in pregnant women such as depression and mood disorders and these effects on fetal health of infants (11), blood pressure(Chronic) (12), infections at the episiotomy site (13), increasing the likelihood of unplanned cesarean delivery. Therefore, due to the changes made during pregnancy, the need for psychological adjustment in women is essential (14). Stress is the most obvious sign in the behavior and clinical signs of pregnant women (15). Because pregnant women undergo many physical and psychological changes during pregnancy, their impact on different life stresses during this period increases (16). Pregnancy is one of the most stressful times for most women and is considered one of the most stressful times in a woman's life. The severity of stress in the last trimester is higher than in the first 6 months of pregnancy. Therefore, during this period, the chances of developing depression and anxiety - fear and stress increase and most pregnant women experience these mood and mental disorders (17).

Pregnancy and childbirth is an important issue in women's lives, and since women are mental health guarantees the mental health of the whole family and the baby that is born. In this research, the effect of Coronavirus on the rate of precocious and early delivery and stress in pregnant women who referred to Isfahan medical centers was investigated.

2. Methods:

2.1. Study population and design

In this descriptive research, 620 pregnant women were selected using cluster random sampling method. The study population included pregnant women referring to Isfahan Private and Medical Hospital in 2019 and 2020. A total of 560 pregnant women had referred to these centers in Mani and a hospital in Isfahan for prenatal and delivery care. The sampling method was as follows: first, 10 centers out of 15 health

centers were randomly selected by a simple lottery. The sample size was calculated based on a preliminary study with a reliability coefficient Confidence 95% ($\alpha = 0.05$) power of test 80% ($\beta = 0.2$) and the correlation coefficient formula was calculated. Questionnaires for people who could not read and complete the questionnaire were read and completed by health workers working in the centers. Not all people were eligible to participate in the study, and the study cost was considered for them, so that pregnant women were excluded from the study if they had a history of mental illness such as depression or inability to perform daily activities such as disability. In this study, three questionnaires of personal characteristics (age, level of education, occupation, gestational age, age of marriage), spiritual health and stress anxiety and depression DASS were used. To prevent interfering factors before completing the questionnaires removed the women that had, pregnant women with drug addiction, a history of heart disease and high-risk pregnancy, a history of visiting a psychiatrist or psychologist, and taking medication or hospitalization mental illness.

2.2. Questionnaires

2.2.1. Palutzian and Ellison Mental Health Questionnaire

One of the related questionnaires for spiritual health is a 20-item questionnaire in which two dimensions of health are examined (18). 10 questions of it belong to the dimension of religious health and 10 other questions examine the existential health of the individual. Religious and existential health questions are placed one by one in the questionnaire, and religious health begins with the individual's questions. The final score of this questionnaire is between 20-120 and it has a 6-point Likert scale. The final score of the questionnaire is divided into three distinct categories: 20–40, average 41–99 and high 120 – 100. This study has been studied by Farahani Nia in Iran (19). In this Seyedfatemi et al, validity spiritual health through credit Specific content and reliability through Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient was determined to be 82.0 (20).

2.2.2. Stress-Anxiety-Depression Questionnaire (DASS-21)

In 1995, Lavibund designed a 21-item, 3-item questionnaire to assess stress, anxiety, and depression. Each component of this questionnaire had 7 questions (21). In 2007 in Iran by Samani and Jokar, 21 DASS questionnaires were evaluated to determine validity and reliability. The scores of confidence limits for each component of the questionnaire including depression, anxiety and stress are 0.80, 0.76 and 0.77, respectively, with Cronbach's alpha coefficient variable for the same components in the above equations of 0.81, 0.74 and 0.78, respectively (22).

2.2.3. General Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

One of the effective questionnaires in the field of self-efficacy is Sheerer Scale questionnaire (23). The Self-Efficacy Questionnaire has 17 questions that are used as 5-point Likert and reverse (24) The highest and in fact the quorum for this questionnaire is 85 and the minimum is 17 Narrative in Iran. Its reliability was obtained by internal consistency and the new test of 0.83 (25).

2.3. Data analysis

The questionnaires were completed by pregnant women after explaining the objectives of the research and the satisfaction of the samples with the guidance of the questioner and in the form of a self-report. Data were analyzed using SPSS software (Version 24) and analysis the variance. The significance level of this study was considered to be 0.05.

3. Results:

In this study, the selected age group with the highest percentage (41.78%) includes 25–30 years. Among the types of jobs in women, those who worked at home had the highest percentage (90.5%). In terms of education level, about half of the women had primary education. Most women in this study were in the last months of pregnancy and their average age at marriage was 25–30 years (52.4%).

Also In the results, the mean score of spiritual health of pregnant women 99.13 ± 14.21 compared to the total score of 560 shows that about 43.3% of women had a normal with average score level of spiritual health and about 10.3% shows increasing level of spiritual health and the others appeared with a lowering level of spiritual health.. Also, the results of the study showed that the average score of religious health (51.3 ± 7.75) is higher than existential health (47.8 ± 7.40). The relationship between contextual and demographic variables and spiritual health was studied unilaterally and the results are listed in Table (Table 1).

Based on Scheffe statistical method, the score of spiritual health in terms of occupation, a month of pregnancy, and age of marriage was a significant difference. The use of the Scheffe test showed that there was a significant difference in spiritual health with housewives, self-employed people ($p = 0.05$). Also, spiritual health in people with a marriage age of more than 30 years was significantly different from that of people less than 25 years of age was higher ($p < 0.001$).

The result shows that the mean self-efficacy score in pregnant women 63.7 ± 8.84 ., 50.5%. the ranking of the subjects had low self-efficacy, 45% had moderate self-efficacy and 4.50% had high self-efficacy. The effect of demographic variables on self-efficacy was investigated using a one-way analysis of variance (Table 1).

Table 1
distribution of (Mean \pm SD) of self-efficacy, spiritual health and stress in pregnant women in terms of demographic variables during the corona epidemic

Demographic variable		Percentage	Efficacy Mean \pm SD	Spiritual health Mean \pm SD	Stress Mean \pm SD
Age (years)	Less than 25	22.11%	63.52 \pm 5.48	95.6 \pm 5.48	40.23 \pm 5.48
	25–30	41.78%	63.81 \pm 8.45	98.52 \pm 8.45	38.5 \pm 8.45
	More than 30	36.11%	62.35 \pm 7.49	96.5 \pm 7.49	40.5 \pm 7.49
education	illiterate	15.38%	66.5 \pm 3.25	90.2 \pm 3.25	51.2 \pm 3.25
	elementary	15.32%	64.2 \pm 2.25	101 \pm 2.25	55.3 \pm 2.25
	Diploma	57.8%	64.8 \pm 3.12	97.8 \pm 3.12	52.6 \pm 3.12
	University	11.50%	63.8 \pm 4.15	99.7 \pm 4.15	51.3 \pm 4.15
Job	housewife	90.5%	60.5 \pm 3.47	94.3 \pm 3.47	48.9 \pm 3.47
	Employee	5.6%	61.4 \pm 3.69	97.7 \pm 3.69	45.6 \pm 3.69
	Free	3.9%	62.3 \pm 4.12	94.6 \pm 4.12	47.8 \pm 4.12
Month of pregnancy	First quarter	17.9%	61.8 \pm 7.85	93.2 \pm 7.85	50.2 \pm 7.85
	second quarter	33.6%	62.5 \pm 6.35	95.2 \pm 6.35	52.3 \pm 6.35
	Third quarter	48.5%	63.4 \pm 6.14	99.12 \pm 6.14	55.6 \pm 6.14
Marriage age (years)	Less than 25	31.3%	62.78 \pm 8.6	104.13 \pm 8.6	54.2 \pm 8.6
	25–30	52.4%	62 \pm 8.1	101.6 \pm 8.1	55.2 \pm 8.1
	More than 30	16.3%	62.83 \pm 9.3	99.17 \pm 9.3	54.1 \pm 9.3

Table 2

Investigating between spiritual health and its dimensions with Independent variables during the Covid - 19 epidemic

Independent variables	The correlation coefficient(r)	The coefficient of determination(r ²)	Beta	B	CI 95%	p
Spiritual health	0.788	0.628	0.767	0.485	0/430 -0/535	< 0.001
Existential health	0.793	0.654	0.741	0.541	0/834- 1/036	< 0.001
Religious health	0.799	0.457	0.625	0.418	0/665- 0/893	< 0.001
Stress the first of three months of pregnancy	0.655	0.415	0.589	0.456	0/717- 0/905	< 0.45
Stress the second of three months of pregnancy	0.722	0.521	0.625	0.415	0/610- 0/835	< 0.05
Stress the third of three months of pregnancy	0.781	0.615	0.714	0.589	0/865- 0/1003	< 0.001
Significance level of the test $p < 0.05$						

This result showed that self-efficacy score was significantly different according to age, time of marriage, and month of pregnancy. The use of the Scheffe test showed that the self-efficacy score in women over 30 years of age was significantly higher than women under 25 years of age ($p = 0.024$). Also, the rate of self-efficacy in the second trimester of pregnancy was significantly higher. Significance was higher than self-efficacy in the first quarter ($p = 0.014$).

The independent and dependent variables in this study are spiritual health and self-efficacy, respectively. Because of the heterogeneity between the independent and dependent variables, the preferred statistical method is simple linear regression. Kolmogorov test showed that the dependent variable was normal ($p = 0.046$) (Table 2).

The relative frequency of stress and its related components in pregnant women is shown in Table 3. Of 560 pregnant women, 35.31% were in the upper range, 44.64% were women in the moderate stress range, 25% were in the high range, and during the coronavirus epidemic. Between stress and level of education ($P < 0.05$) employment ($P < 0.05$) significant difference was achieved, while between stress and socioeconomic status ($P = 1.03$) and age ($P = 0.85$) was not Significant.

Table 3
Investigating the frequency distribution of pregnancy outcomes in women and infants during the outbreak of Covid-19

Variable	type of Variable	percent	Significant level(P)
Preterm delivery	Normal	69.46%	P < 0.05
	unusual	30.54%	P = 0.
High stress	anxiety	31.6%	P < 0.05
	depression	22.4%	P = 0.064
moderate stress	anxiety	15.65%	P < 0.05
	depression	13.32%	P = 0.079
Low stress	anxiety	8.9%	P < 0.05
	depression	8.18%	P = 0.065
employment	housewife	90.5%	P < 0.05
	Employee	5.4%	P = 0.01
	Free	4.1%	P = 0.01
education	illiterate	18.55%	P < 0.001
	elementary	20.55%	P = 0.064
	Diploma	50.6%	P < 0.05
	University	10.3%	P = 0.079
socioeconomic status	bad	30.78%	P = 0.075
	good	48.86%	P < 0.05
	Excellent	20.36%	P = 0.089
age of pregnancy	less than 25	26.89%	P = 0.85
	25–30	55.68%	P < 0.05
	more than 30	17.43%	P = 0.056
Birth weight of baby	Normal	41.1%	P < 0.045
	unusual	58.9%	P < 0.05
Height of baby birth	Normal	46.23%	P < 0.05
	unusual	53.77%	P < 0.045
Around the head of baby birth	Normal	55.6%	P < 0.05

Variable	type of Variable	percent	Significant level(P)
	unusual	44.4%	P < 0.045

4. Discussion:

The corona virus (COVID-19) has challenged people of all ages in whole of the world. One of the most important concerns of Covid-19 is in pregnant women and newborns (26). Pregnancy is most important periods of every woman's life and it is considered as stressful and challenging periods for women (27). Most of the pregnant women have moderate and high spiritual health scores, which is acceptable due to the high level of religious beliefs in Iran. according to most studies conducted in Iran, in the normal state of spiritual health of women was in a high proportion, but in the conditions of Covid-19, the desirability of spiritual health in women has decreased.

The study of Rezaei et al In Iran also reported a similar result, including high levels of spiritual health in Iranian women (28), In the study of Mehrabi et al., mean of the spiritual health rank in women with breast cancer and infertile reported to be 95 and 97.7%, respectively (29). The results of McCobry's study with the present study have a significant and direct relationship with spiritual health and age, level of education (30).

According to the study published by Adegbola, self-efficacy shows a positive and significant relationship with spirituality and somehow supports the results of the study that spiritual health is related to the religious and existential components of health (31) Study by Rex et al. He showed that performing religious and spiritual duties such as prayer is effective in increasing the level of self-belief and self-confidence and can even increase the level of self-efficacy (32). Also, the present study showed that spiritual health can predict self-efficacy. In this study, spiritual health and its dimensions were able to predict self-efficacy and the existing health had the highest effect on predicting self-efficacy of pregnant women. This result is consistent with the results of the Syed Imam study on the health status of students, which showed that it predicts spiritual health, self-efficacy, and self-esteem(33).

the definition of Pregnancy Expressed as a stressful period time for women, and caused the fear, stress among pregnant women and increased fear and anxiety due to acute respiratory disease Quid 19 reduces spiritual health, so this study with the present results Has consistent (34). Complications of labor are different for each woman, but in most of them, there was a high degree of stress and anxiety, leading to the birth of babies with special conditions like premature birth, low birth weight, nausea, and vomiting (27, 35). To confirm the results of the present study, we can refer a study that conducted by Durankuş in 2020 and shown the Covid-19 increases rate of depression, stress in pregnant women, so the amount of stress and depression During the COVID - 19 increased (35, 36).

Facing a coronavirus epidemic has affected all members of society, especially pregnant women, stress and psychological problems and prevents the birth of healthy babies because most mothers experience premature birth due to quarantine conditions and increased stress, and their children. They are not

natural. Finally, with increasing stress, the spiritual health of pregnant women decreases even in the religious and Islamic population of Iran, and this study needs to be reviewed worldwide to eliminate cultural influences as an intervening factor.

5. Conclusion:

The aim of research represent majority of pregnant women in the time of COVID-19 epidemic had little spiritual health, and on the other hand, spiritual health and its dimensions were able to introduce self-efficacy as a known factor for behavior. Therefore, it is necessary to study more about the effects of spiritual health on the self-efficacy of pregnant women around the world to examine the effectiveness of the role of self-efficacy and spiritual health in stress changes in the COVID 19 viral crisis.

Abbreviations

DASS:

GEQ: General Self-Efficacy Questionnaire

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate:

This study was approved by the Research Center of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences in Yazd in 2020

Consent for publication:

All authors agree to publish the article.

Availability of data and material:

The data that support the analysis of this study (Analysis codes and outputs) are available on request from the corresponding author (M.Nazari). The raw data are not publicly available due to institutional restrictions.

Funding:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial.

Competing interests:

The authors stated that they had no known financial interests or competitive personal relationships that may appear to affect the work reported in this article. There is no conflict of material or spiritual interest between the paper authors.

Authors' contributions:

H.A participated in the study design, analysis, and wrote the manuscript. H.A & RJ also conducted data collection. M.NZ also participated in the preparation of the draft of the paper, revised the draft of the manuscript, and supervised the project. M.NZ also conducted data analysis. All authors participated in the development of the manuscript and data interpretation. R.J participated in the study design, interpretation of findings, and also wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgment:

The authors gratefully acknowledge Isfahan Private Hospital for their assistance in our access to cognitive data. We also acknowledge Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences because of administrative support of the work.

References

1. Zhao S, Chen H. Modeling the epidemic dynamics and control of COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Quantitative Biology*. 2020;1-9.
2. Li S, Wang Y, Xue J, Zhao N, Zhu T. The impact of COVID-19 epidemic declaration on psychological consequences: a study on active Weibo users. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2020;17(6):2032.
3. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *The lancet*. 2008;371(9606):75-84.
4. Grigoriadis S, Graves L, Peer M, Mamisashvili L, Tomlinson G, Vigod SN, et al. Maternal Anxiety During Pregnancy and the Association With Adverse Perinatal Outcomes: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Journal of clinical psychiatry*. 2018;79(5).
5. Organization WH. Promoting mental health: concepts, emerging evidence, practice: a report of the World Health Organization, Department of Mental Health and Substance Abuse in collaboration with the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation and the University of Melbourne: World Health Organization; 2005.
6. Allahbakhshian M, Jaffarpour M, Parvizi S, Haghani H. A survey on relationship between spiritual wellbeing and quality of life in multiple sclerosis patients. (پژوهش‌های علمی و پژوهشی) zahedan journal of research in medical sciences. 2010;12(3):29-33.
7. Oman D, Hedberg J, Downs D, Parsons D. A Transcultural Spiritually Based Program to Enhance Caregiving Self-Efficacy: A Pilot Study. *Complementary health practice review*. 2003;8(3):201-24.
8. Makhoul IR, Awad E, Tamir A, Weintraub Z, Rotschild A, Bader D, et al. Parental and perinatal factors affecting childhood anthropometry of very-low-birth-weight premature infants: a population-based survey. *Acta Pædiatrica*. 2009;98(6):963-9.

9. Marks KA, Reichman B, Lusky A, Zmora E, Network IN. Fetal growth and postnatal growth failure in very-low-birthweight infants. *Acta Paediatrica*. 2006;95(2):236-42.
10. Senturk V, Abas M, Berksun O, Stewart R. Social support and antenatal depression in extended and nuclear family environments in Turkey: a cross-sectional survey. *BMC psychiatry*. 2011;11(1):48.
11. Karaçam Z, Ançel G. Depression, anxiety and influencing factors in pregnancy: a study in a Turkish population. *Midwifery*. 2009;25(4):344-56.
12. NIKRAHAN GR, KAJBAF MB, NOURI A, ZAREAN E, NAGHSHINEH E. ASSESSMENT OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PERSONALITY TRAITS, COPING STYLES WITH STRESS AND STRESS LEVEL IN PREGNANT WOMEN. *IRANIAN JOURNAL OF OBSTETRICS, GYNECOLOGY AND INFERTILITY*. 2011;14(5):-.
13. Mulder EJ, Robles de Medina PG, Huizink AC, Van den Bergh BR, Buitelaar JK, Visser GH. Prenatal maternal stress: effects on pregnancy and the (unborn) child. *Early human development*. 2002;70(1-2):3-14.
14. Hamilton JG, Lobel M. Types, patterns, and predictors of coping with stress during pregnancy: examination of the Revised Prenatal Coping Inventory in a diverse sample. *Journal of psychosomatic obstetrics and gynaecology*. 2008;29(2):97-104.
15. Ganji T, Einipour Z, Hosseini F. The relationship of pre-term delivery and stressful life events during pregnancy. *Iran Journal of Nursing*. 2009;22(57):77-86.
16. DARESHOURI MZ, Bosaknejad S, Sarvghad S. A survey on the effectiveness of stress management training with cognitive-behavioral group therapy approach on state/trait anxiety, pregnancy anxiety and mental health of primiparous women. 2013.
17. Sharp H, Hill J, Hellier J, Pickles A. Maternal antenatal anxiety, postnatal stroking and emotional problems in children: outcomes predicted from pre-and postnatal programming hypotheses. *Psychological medicine*. 2015;45(2):269-83.
18. Paloutzian RF, Ellison CW. Loneliness, spiritual well-being and the quality of life. *Loneliness: A sourcebook of current theory, research and therapy*. 1982:224-37.
19. Farahaninia M, Abbasi M, Givarry A, Haqqani H. Spiritual health of nursing students and their views on spirituality and spiritual care of patients. *Iran Journal of Nursing*. 2005;18(44):7-14.
20. Seyedfatemi N, Rezaei M, Givari A, Hoseini F. Pray for the spiritual health of cancer patients. *Payesh J*. 2006;5(4):295-304.
21. Asghari A, Saed F, Dibajnia P. Psychometric properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21) in a non-clinical Iranian sample. *Int J Psychol*. 2008;2(2):82-102.
22. Samani S, Jokar B. Validity and reliability short-form version of the Depression, Anxiety and Stress. 2001.
23. Sherer M, Maddux JE, Mercandante B, Prentice-Dunn S, Jacobs B, Rogers RW. The self-efficacy scale: Construction and validation. *Psychological reports*. 1982;51(2):663-71.

24. Barati S. Assessment simple and multiple relationships between self-esteem and self-Efficacy and academic performance of students in the third year of the new system of secondary city of Ahvaz [MSc thesis]. Ahvaz: University of Chamran. 2000:38-40.
25. Purtaghi G, Pakpour A. Happiness, self-efficacy and academic achievement among students of baqiyatallah university of medical sciences. *Journal of medical education development*. 2014;7(13):45-56.
26. Li R, Yin T, Fang F, Li Q, Chen J, Wang Y, et al. Potential risks of SARS-Cov-2 infection on reproductive health. *Reproductive BioMedicine Online*. 2020.
27. Fakari FR, Simbar M. Coronavirus Pandemic and Worries during Pregnancy; a Letter to Editor. *Archives of Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2020;8(1).
28. Rezaei M, Seyedfatemi N, Hosseini F. Spiritual well-being in cancer patients who undergo chemotherapy. *Hayat*. 2008;14.
29. Mehrabi T, alijanpoor aghamaleki m, hosseiny rs, ziraki dana a, safaee z. A study on the relationship between spiritual well-being and quality of life in infertile women referred to infertility centers in Isfahan. *Journal of Urmia Nursing And Midwifery Faculty*. 2014;12(7):562-7.
30. McCoubrie RC, Davies AN. Is there a correlation between spirituality and anxiety and depression in patients with advanced cancer? *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2006;14(4):379.
31. Adegbola M. Spirituality, self-efficacy, and quality of life among adults with sickle cell disease. *Southern online journal of nursing research*. 2011;11(1).
32. Reicks M, Mills J, Henry H. Qualitative study of spirituality in a weight loss program: Contribution to self-efficacy and locus of control. *Journal of nutrition education and behavior*. 2004;36(1):13-9.
33. Imam SS, Nurullah AS, Makol-Abdul PR, Rahman SA, Noon HM. Spiritual and psychological health of Malaysian youths. *Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion*, Volume 20: Brill; 2009. p. 85-101.
34. Ayora AF, Soler LM, Gasch AC. Analysis of two questionnaires on quality of life of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease patients. *Revista Latino-Americana de Enfermagem*. 2019;27.
35. Durankuş F, Aksu E. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and depressive symptoms in pregnant women: a preliminary study. *The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*. 2020:1-7.
36. Wu Y-T, Zhang C, Liu H, Duan C-C, Li C, Fan J-X, et al. Perinatal Depression of Women Along with 2019 Novel Coronavirus Breakout in China. 2020.