Serosurvey of hepatitis A virus and E virus infection among municipal sweepers working in the largest city in the south of Iran
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Abstract

Background

Given the importance of Hepatitis A (HAV) and Hepatitis E (HEV) infection in careers like street sweeping, this study was conducted to determine the exposure rate in urban solid waste collectors/sweepers in the south of Iran.

Materials and methods

In this cross-sectional study, the sera samples of 385 waste collectors/sweepers from all districts of Shiraz, southern Iran, were collected. A questionnaire was used to gather data on their demographic and occupational characteristics, as well as their awareness of viral hepatitis disease. The rate of HAV and HEV sero-prevalence was determined by commercial ELISA kits.

Results

All partisans were male with a mean age of 41 ± 8 years. ELISA assay showed that all of participants were positive for anti-HAV Ab. Moreover, 62 out of 385 (16.1%) subjects were positive for anti-HEV IgG Ab. Also, statistical analysis showed that the frequency of IgG Ab against HEV among the age group of 20–30, 31–40, 41–50 and over 50 years old was 4.5%, 10.1%, 17.4%, and 36.7% that was statistically significant (P < 0.001). Based on the work experience, current and previous jobs, residency, personal hygiene and knowledge status of hepatitis disease and transmission, the results showed that there was no statistically significant difference between anti-HEV positive and negative sweepers (p>0.05).

Conclusion

Our results indicated that the frequency of anti-HAV and HEV IgG among sweepers is slightly higher than the normal population; it does not seem that garbage collecting/sweeping career could be a significant risk factor for HAV and HEV infection.

Background

Hepatitis A (HAV) and Hepatitis E (HEV) infections are still worldwide concerns of acute hepatitis. They are often transmitted focally and orally and cause self-limiting hepatic infections with no sequels(1). The global disparity in HAV and HEV prevalence depends on the degree of hygiene and health, plus factors such as socioeconomic status(2).

HAV is mainly transmitted through contaminated food or water or by spreading from person to person (3). In addition to enhancing sanitation and injecting HAV vaccine, the prevalence of viruses in the
developed society follows a downward trend, but the infection rate tends to rise (4, 5). However, there is evidence that in developing countries the number of people infected with HAV has remain high (2). In one study, the anti-HAV Ab was found in 99.2% of the people > 30 years old in Fars, Iran (6). Moreover, a study from Shiraz indicated that the rate of seroprevalence was 68% among 15-year-old subjects (7). The prevalence of HAV infection is mainly affected by general hygiene, especially with regard to toilet facilities, water supplies, and food preparation methods, indicating living standards and socioeconomic status (8). Many studies have indicated that some jobs are at higher risk of HAV and HEV infections due to the path of transmission. Some workers such as military personnel, social workers and health workers are more at risk of being infected with these viruses due to frequent contaminants, water, and sewage. In particular, sweepers could be more at risk of infection of HAV since they are in daily contact with contamination (9).

HEV is spread through 2 different patterns including contaminated water and eating undercooked meat with a zoonosis cycle (10, 11). The main route of HEV infection is consumption of contaminated water. HEV has a different serological worldwide pattern which reaches 15–20% in the developing countries, but it is still about 5% in the developed countries (12, 13). Iran, as one of the Middle East countries, is an endemic area for HEV infections, despite the frequent reports of various seroprevalence rates in different provinces. The previous studies have reported that the prevalence of HEV is about 10% with a maximum and minimum of 46% and 1%, respectively (14, 15). A recent study shows that HEV infections are still endemic herein (16). Raw sewage and water supplies contain HEV isolates; therefore, street sweepers could be at risk of HEV infection (17). So far, however, few studies have shown a prevalence of 45 percent HEV infection in street sweepers, no research has revealed the prevalence of HEV infection among Iranian street sweepers in highly congested areas (18). Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the seroprevalence of HAV and HEV in waste collectors of Shiraz, southern Iran.

**Methods**

**Patients and blood sampling**

This is a cross-sectional study on waste collectors in Shiraz, the biggest city in the south of Iran. A total of 385 sweepers from all 10 districts of Shiraz city were randomly enrolled. They have consented to participate in study before filing the questionnaire file. Their blood samples were collected and sera were isolated. All sampling stages were performed on participants who signed the written consent forms. The study was approved based on the ethical standards of the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, with the code number of IR.SUMS.MED.REC.1389.259. Five mL blood sample was collected from each patient. The sera were separated from the whole blood by centrifugation at 3000 RPM, and the separated sera were stored at − 20°C until use. The questionnaire contained questions on demographic characteristics, sanitation status at work, wearing mask and/or gloves, hand washing, and other factors.

**Elisa Test For Detection Of Virus Exposure**
In order to investigate the exposure rate of the participants to HAV and HEV viruses, we evaluated the total antibody against them by commercial Enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay kits (Dia.Pro. Milano, Italy), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Index values for seropositivity in each test were calculated based on the instructions of the kits.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (version 16.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). In addition to the descriptive test, crosstab test and Chi-square test were used to compare different variables and find out the association of two-way tables. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

All 385 street sweepers were men with a mean age of 40.98 ± 8.437 years old (Table 1). Surprisingly, all individuals who were positive for IgG Ab against HAV indicated evidence of past infection with HAV. Therefore, no more statistical analysis regarding the HAV and regarding demography and sanitation status at work was performed.
Table 1
Demographic, occupational characteristics and awareness about viral hepatitis disease status of the recruited subjects and relative seropositivity to HEV among municipal sweepers working in the largest city in the south of Iran

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th></th>
<th>Negative subjects</th>
<th></th>
<th>Positive subjects</th>
<th></th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjects</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>95.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50&lt;</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service in Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>79.95</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>20.05</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 5</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4()</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>living place</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>83.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>35(</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of washing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hands and face</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 2</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manner of washing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hands and face</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only water</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soap + Water</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask usage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreover, the results indicated that 16.1% (62/385) of street sweepers were positive for IgG Ab against HEV. The mean age of street sweepers that were positive and negative for IgG Ab against HEV were 46.31 ± 8.338 and 39.95 ± 8.073 years respectively that was statistically significant (p<0.01).

Also, statistical analysis showed that the frequency of IgG Ab against HEV among the age group of 20–30, 31–40, 41–50 and over 50 years old were 4.5%, 10.1%,17.4%, and 36.7% that was statistically significant (P < 0.001), with an increasing trend. Based on the sweeper’s work experience, the results among anti-HEV seropositive individuals indicated that 16.9% (52/307) of street sweepers had five or more than five years, and 13% (10/77) had less than five years of work experience in all professions, and the difference was not significant (p > 0.05).

According to the relationship between the sweepers’ current and previous jobs, 16.7% (52/307) of anti-HEV seropositive street sweepers had been working for more than five years as a sweeper; 11.8% (6/51) of them had previous work experience the same as the current job, and 19% (4/21) had unrelated previous work experience. As to the sweeper’s living place, 16.4% (55/336) of the anti-HEV seropositive street sweepers lived in urban areas and 14.3% (5/35) in rural areas.

One of the parameters considered in this study was anti-HEV seropositive individuals’ personal hygiene. The results revealed that 16.7% (48/288) of them washed their face and hands twice or more, and 18.2% (4/22) did so fewer than twice, and the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.78). Besides, 15.8% (3/19) of street sweepers who washed their face and hands only with tap water and 17.1% (55/321) of those who used water plus soap were anti-HEV seropositive. Another parameter was mask usage during sweeping and anti-HEV seropositivity. 19.4% (21/108) rarely used masks, and 14.9% (41/276) always used masks; however, it was not statistically significant (p = 0.2). Based on municipal district division, the anti-HEV seroprevalence between street sweepers was 10 (27.8%) for district 1, 7(24.1%) for district 2, 8 (18.6%) for district 3, 4 (8.9%) for district 4, 6 (15.4%) for district 5, 4 (13.3%) for district 6, 7 (15.9%) for district 7, 4 (9.8%) for district 8, 6 (12.8%) for district 9, and 6 (19.4%) for district 10. The highest prevalence of anti-HEV IgG was detected in municipal street sweepers in districts 1 (27.8%) and 2 (24.1%), and the lowest prevalence was discovered in districts 4 (8.9%) and 8 (9.8%).

In addition, another point addressed in this study was the status of knowledge about hepatitis disease and transmission. The analysis of the questionnaire showed that out of all sweepers in terms of transmission routes of hepatitis viruses 15% (9/60) from HEV seropositive and 13.3% (42/316) from HEV seronegative group were aware of the correlation between needle sticking, drug abusing, and tattooing and hepatitis transmission. Also, 13.3% (8/60) seropositive and 11.7% (37/316) seronegative group knew about blood transfusion and hepatitis viruses infection, 6.7% (4/60) from seropositive, and 8.2% (26/316) seronegative group.
from seronegative group knew about hepatitis vaccination. None of the seropositive street sweepers had information about possible available treatment for hepatitis diseases, whereas it was 0.6% (2/316) for seronegative ones.

**Discussion**

Given the importance of hepatitis in international communities, understanding the exposure rate in some careers would not only contribute to global prevention, but also highlight their importance as possible risk factors. In the current study, for the first time, the seroprevalence of hepatitis E and A viruses was investigated among Shiraz municipal district street sweepers, the largest city in the south of Iran.

Previous studies reported that the seroprevalence of HAV among adults (around 30 years old) in Iran and Fars province was over 82.6% (19) and 99% (6), respectively, which was much similar to our study that revealed a 100% rate among street sweepers.

As the second step, this study showed that 16.1% of the investigated cases were positive for anti-HEV Ab. The primary idea has suspected a higher rate, more significant than the normal population, due to common route of transmission (fecal-oral) and exposure of this particular group to sewage, contaminated water, and poor occupational hygiene, especially when eating and drinking at work. A study carried out by Asaei et al. in Shiraz reported the sero-prevalence of 13.4% for total anti-HEV among the healthy population (20). Recently, it has been reported that 10.4% (26/151) of HIV infected patients in Fars Province were positive for anti-HEV Ab(20).

Sweeping and collecting garbage are among the careers in which the spread of oral-fecal infection is more common. A recent study in India indicated a higher concentration of fecal pollution, as marked by E.coli detection, at the end of the daily work activities (21). Another study on sweepers has indicated that they are at high risk of infection with *Toxocara* infection. Therefore, oral-fecal contamination by several microorganisms circulating among humans in this way is common in the mentioned group (22). In Egypt, parasitic infestations including Entameba histolytica and *Hymenolepis* nana were also detected more significantly in stool analysis street sweepers than university employees (23). The findings of Kretchy et al. revealed that solid waste collectors should be provided with proper protective measures and sanitation facilities, and they should be trained on hygienic behaviors to prevent oral-fecal contamination by food/water-borne contaminants (24).

In the case of HEV, few studies have been evaluated to determine its sero-prevalence among street sweepers or waste collectors. In 2003, Vadiya et al. showed that 56.5% of sewage workers were anti-HEV IgG positive; this is significantly higher exposure rate than the relevant normal population. Therefore, a career with direct contact with sewage or sewage-contaminated materials can be considered a risk factor (25). In addition, a study by Farshadpour et al. has shown a significant difference between the prevalence of HEV antibodies and the living place (26). Meanwhile, the study of Saffar et al. in 2009 revealed that the most significant relationship between seroprevalence of this virus and living place was in rural areas (27).
In our study, 16.4% of street sweepers lived in rural areas, and 14.3% of those who lived in rural areas were HEV seropositive. However, no significant association was found.

In a noble finding, the study revealed that 6.7% of seropositive and 8.2% of seronegative individuals were aware of hepatitis vaccination although no significant association was reported. On the other hand, 0.6% of seronegative and none of the seropositive street sweepers were aware of the treatment of hepatitis with no statistically significant association (20). Vaidya and Asaei's studies revealed that the seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG is directly related to age in sewage collectors, which is in accordance with our results. The seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in street sweepers was 4.5% in the age range of 20 to 30 years, 10.1% in 31 to 40 years, 17.4% in 41 to 50 years, and 36.7% in sweepers over 50 years, which indicates a significant relationship between aging and increase in anti-HEV seropositivity among the sweepers (12, 24).

Also, in Vaidya's study, a significant increase of anti-HEV IgG was reported in those in contact with urban garbage for more than 5 years (67.6%) compared to those who had worked for less than 5 years (38.9%) (25). In the present study, the anti-HEV IgG seroprevalence was 16.9% among people with 5 or over 5 years of working, while this figure was 13% in people with less working duration; however, no statistically significant relationship was observed between the HEV seroprevalence and the working duration.

Although sanitation such as hand washing has been recommended in most articles as an effective way for public health (28, 29), we did not find a relationship between the seroprevalence of HEV and hand washing. The present study also confirmed that 17.1% of street sweepers who washed their hands with soap and water and 15.8% of those who used only water to wash their hands and faces were positive for anti-HEV IgG. However, it is clearly indicated that sanitation status makes this population more vulnerable to infection by food/waterborne microorganisms, as demonstrated previously (24).

Also, 16.7% of street sweepers who washed their hands and face more than 2 times during and after work and 18.3% of those who did so fewer than 2 times were positive, respectively. However, no significant relationship was found between the sero-prevalence of anti-HEV and the number and method of washing hands and faces. On the other hand, Dahiya et al. pointed out the importance of using mask to prevent HEV infection which is transmitted through the mouth (30). In the present study, 14.9% of street sweepers who always used mask during sweeping and 19.4% of those who rarely did so were HEV seropositive. However, no significant difference was found between the seroprevalence of anti-HEV and wearing face mask.

The absence of molecular assays to find the status of virus genome among the individuals and non-sweeper men in the control group was a limitation of the present study. In conclusion, although our results showed that 100% and 16.1% of street sweepers were positive for anti-HAV and HEV IgG Ab respectively, which was slightly higher than the normal population. However, it does not seem that garbage collecting/sweeping career is significant risk factors of HAV and HEV infection.
Conclusion

Our results indicated that the frequency of anti-HAV and HEV IgG among sweepers is slightly higher than the normal population; it does not seem that garbage collecting/sweeping career could be a significant risk factor for HAV and HEV infection.
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