

Experience of Intravenous Sedation Increases Incidence of Caries in Patients With Dental Anxiety

Hanako Kawasaki

Nagasaki University: Nagasaki Daigaku

Naomi Tanoue (✉ t-naomi@nagasaki-u.ac.jp)

Nagasaki University Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6980-5179>

Terumi Ayuse

Nagasaki University Hospital: Nagasaki Daigaku Byoin

Shinji Kurata

Nagasaki University: Nagasaki Daigaku

Ichiro Okayasu

Nagasaki University: Nagasaki Daigaku

Takao Ayuse

Nagasaki University: Nagasaki Daigaku

Research article

Keywords: age factors, anesthesia, dental caries, DMF index, fear, intravenous sedation

Posted Date: July 7th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-672779/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the factors that influence the decayed, missing due to caries, and filled teeth (DMFT) index of patients with dental anxiety during dental treatment discontinuation.

Materials and Methods

A total of 110 patients who complained of fear and anxiety toward dental treatments and who re-visited following treatment discontinuation were enrolled in the study. Patient and dental data considered to be related to caries were digitally collected from medical and dental records. The decayed (D), missing (M), and filled (F) scores, and the DMFT index before and after discontinuation were compared using Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, and the influential factors were evaluated using the Poisson and multiple regression analyses.

Results

The D score and DMFT index increased significantly during the discontinuation period, and the F score decreased. There was no significant change in the M score. The change in the D score was influenced by the pre-discontinuation D score and the number of experiences of intravenous sedation, and the change in the F score was affected by the duration of treatment discontinuation, the DMFT index before discontinuation, and the number of experiences of intravenous sedation. The increase in the DMFT index was affected by the experience of intravenous sedation, the D and M scores, and the DMFT index before discontinuation.

Conclusion

Discontinuation of dental treatment was proven to increase the incidence of caries in patients with dental anxiety.

Clinical Relevance: Avoiding treatment discontinuation is crucial, particularly in patients treated using intravenous sedation.

Background

Dental fear in children is regarded as developmental normativity, but extreme fear can lead to dental anxiety (DA) or dental phobia (DP) in adults. Generally, extreme DA at a level that affects daily and/or social life can be regarded as DP [1]; however, the boundary between these conditions has not been clear. The prevalence of DA and/or DP (DADP) has been reported to be in the range of 4–30% [2–4].

Many patients with DADP experience fear and anxiety regarding dental treatments, irrespective of whether the procedure is painful. Patients with a particularly strong fear tend to avoid dental treatment

unless it is an emergency and are often unable to continue the dental treatment [5]; they often seek improvement of only the main symptoms, and discontinue treatments even in the middle of initial procedures. It inevitably takes a long time for patients with DADP to return for dental treatments after a treatment interruption [6], and their oral health may therefore continue to deteriorate during treatment disruption [7]. Only when their tooth pain and discomfort outweigh their fear and anxiety, patients with DADP return to the dental clinic [8]. Once the cause of the symptoms is eliminated, these patients again discontinue dental treatments because their fear again outweighs their willingness to comply. Thus, the outcome of the treatments remains inadequate.

Patients with DADP not only require a longer treatment time, but are also more sensitive to pain [9]. This can result in misdiagnosis or over-treating [1]. Thus, DADP, which causes various stresses for both patients and dentists, remains a troublesome condition [10].

Patients with DADP with little interest in oral hygiene are more likely to have social problems than those with good oral hygiene [11, 12] and are likely to have many carious teeth [13]. If such patients repeatedly discontinue treatment, the number of carious teeth will naturally increase, which greatly impairs the oral health and reduces the quality of life of these patients.

Clarifying the factors influencing dental changes in patients with DADP permits dentists to eliminate the factors deliberately and to provide disease-specific dental treatments. In addition, the identification of influential factors can help analyze the pathophysiology of patients with DADP. Consequently, we herein aimed to identify the factors in patients with DADP associated with their caries experience during dental treatment interruption. The decayed, missing, and filled tooth (DMFT) index of caries experience was the primary outcome of this study, and two main null hypotheses for this study were formulated: (1) there is no difference before and after interruption and (2) there are no risk factors involved.

Materials And Methods

This retrospective observational study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Nagasaki University Hospital, Japan (application No. 19081931-2). Passive consent was obtained by means of an opt-out option on the website and bulletin board of the hospital. This article follows the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.

Patients

This study examined a cohort of patients aged 15–89 years who were treated at the Department of Special Care Dentistry, Nagasaki University Hospital, Nagasaki, Japan, between January 1, 2009 and August 31, 2019, and who had complained of fear of dental treatments during their initial medical interview. Study participants were identified based on the dental records in the database of the department. All patients with DADP with permanent dentition who re-visited the hospital for dental treatment after self-decided discontinuation of treatment were included. The exclusion criteria were

incomplete dental records and intellectual disabilities or dementia with an inability to recognize the importance and value of dental treatment. Data were digitally extracted and subsequently collated in an Excel file (Microsoft® 365 Excel for Mac).

Data collection

A total of 147 patients were enrolled initially, of whom 10 patients diagnosed with intellectual disabilities, 2 patients with dementia, and 25 patients lacking dental records were excluded. Consequently, 110 patients were included.

The collected data included both patient and dental data. Patient data included sex, age of the patient at the initial visit, presence or absence of the gagging reflex, medical history of asthma and diabetes, discontinuation duration (months) until re-visit, whether they visited other conventional dental clinics during the discontinuation period, experience of nitrous oxide and oxygen inhalation or intravenous sedation, and number of experiences of intravenous sedation. Dental data included the total number of residual teeth at the last consultation date before discontinuation and the DMFT index at the last consultation date and at the time of re-visiting for evaluation. A tooth was considered as decayed (D) if a carious lesion was found, as missing (M) if the reason for loosening the tooth was caries, and as filled (F) if there was a restoration in the tooth. Information regarding their experience during the discontinuation period was obtained from a questionnaire at the time of the re-visit.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the JMP pro v.15 software package (SAS Institute Japan, Tokyo, Japan). Because the data for the D, M, F scores and the DMFT index did not show a normal distribution (using the Shapiro–Wilk test), the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for those comparisons before and after discontinuation. Differences between groups were regarded as significant if $p < 0.05$.

With respect to the items for which a significant difference was found in the comparison between the two groups, the amount of change before and after discontinuation was calculated in each patient and analyzed using multivariate analyses following Fisher's exact tests to avoid multicollinearity. Poisson regression analysis, for which the offset variable was the number of residual teeth before treatment discontinuation, was selected when all the scores after the discontinuation were higher than before (in cases of a positive value only). If the amount of change contained negative values, analysis of covariance was performed. For both analyses, stepwise backward selection was used for variable selection.

Results

All patients in this study either self-reported that they could not be treated normally or brought a referral letter stating the fact. Some patients had complained of a fear of needles. Table 1 shows the distribution of the patient and dental data collected. Female patients accounted for 70.9% of the total study sample and were more than twice the number of male patients. Approximately one-fifth of the patients had a gag

reflex. Half of the patients had experience of dental treatment with intravenous sedation, which was higher than that of nitrous oxide and oxygen inhalation. After both skilled dental anesthesiologists and attending dentists had diagnosed the urgency and need for intravenous sedation via an interview for all patients, intravenous sedation was performed. Most patients did not visit any other dental office during the treatment discontinuation period. Overall, 48.2% of patients discontinued treatment for 1 year or longer.

Table 1
Description of the study sample (N = 110)

Independent variable	N	%	95% CI ¹		
Patient Data					
Sex					
Male	32	29.09	21.42	to	38.17
Female	78	70.91	61.83	to	78.58
Age at initial visit (years)					
Age 1 (15–39 years)	39	35.46	27.14	to	44.75
Age 2 (40–54 years)	34	30.91	23.04	to	40.07
Age 3 (55–89 years)	37	33.64	25.49	to	42.89
Gagging Reflex					
Without gagging reflex	87	79.09	70.57	to	85.64
With gagging reflex	23	20.91	14.36	to	29.43
Asthma					
Without asthma	101	91.82	85.18	to	95.64
With asthma	9	8.18	4.36	to	14.82
Diabetes Mellitus (DM)					
Without DM	101	91.82	85.18	to	95.64
With DM	9	8.18	4.36	to	14.82
Discontinuation duration (month)					
Duration 1 (1–12)	57	51.82	42.58	to	60.94
Duration 2 (13–24)	30	27.27	19.82	to	36.26
Duration 3 (≥ 25)	23	20.91	14.36	to	29.43
Practitioner involvement					
No	108	98.18	93.61	to	99.50
Yes	2	1.82	0.50	to	6.39
Experience of IS ²					

¹ Confidence Interval; ² Nitrous Oxide/Oxygen Inhalation Sedation; ³ Intravenous Sedation; ⁴ D: decayed, M: missing, F: filled, DMFT index: decayed missing filled teeth index

Independent variable	N	%	95% CI ¹		
No	96	87.27	79.77	to	92.27
Yes	14	12.73	7.74	to	20.24
Experience of IVS ³					
No	55	50.0	40.82	to	59.18
Yes	55	50.0	40.82	to	59.18
Number of IVS ³ experienced					
IVS 1 (0)	55	50.00	40.82	to	59.18
IVS 2 (1–10)	48	43.64	34.74	to	52.96
IVS 3 (11–)	7	6.36	3.12	to	12.56
Dental data					
Number of residual teeth before discontinuation					
0–10	9	8.18	4.36	to	14.82
11–20	30	27.27	19.82	to	36.26
21–28	71	64.55	55.25	to	72.86
D, M, F Scores and DMFT index ⁴ before discontinuation					
D 1 (0)	46	41.82	33.03	to	51.16
D 2 (1–5)	51	46.36	37.33	to	55.65
D 3 (≥ 6)	13	11.82	7.04	to	19.18
M 1 (0)	31	28.18	20.62	to	37.22
M 2 (1–5)	35	31.82	23.85	to	41.01
M 3 (≥ 6)	44	40.00	31.33	to	49.34
F 1 (0–5)	22	20.00	13.60	to	28.43
F 2 (6–10)	34	30.91	23.04	to	40.07
F 3 (≥ 11)	54	49.09	39.94	to	58.30

¹ Confidence Interval; ² Nitrous Oxide/Oxygen Inhalation Sedation; ³ Intravenous Sedation; ⁴ D: decayed, M: missing, F: filled, DMFT index: decayed missing filled teeth index

Independent variable	N	%	95% CI ¹		
DMFT 1 (0–10)	16	14.55	9.16	to	22.33
DMFT 2 (11–20)	51	46.36	37.33	to	55.65
DMFT 3 (≥ 21)	43	39.09	30.49	to	48.43
D, M, F Scores and DMFT index after discontinuation					
D 1 (0)	13	11.82	7.04	to	19.18
D 2 (1–5)	74	67.27	58.05	to	75.33
D 3 (≥ 6)	23	20.91	14.36	to	29.43
M 1 (0)	31	28.18	20.62	to	37.22
M 2 (1–5)	35	31.82	23.85	to	41.01
M 3 (≥ 6)	44	40.00	31.33	to	49.34
F 1 (0–5)	31	28.18	20.62	to	37.22
F 2 (6–10)	34	30.91	23.04	to	40.07
F 3 (≥ 11)	45	40.91	32.18	to	50.25
DMFT 1 (0–10)	12	10.91	6.35	to	18.10
DMFT 2 (11–20)	55	50.00	40.82	to	59.18
DMFT 3 (≥ 21)	43	39.09	30.49	to	48.43
¹ Confidence Interval; ² Nitrous Oxide/Oxygen Inhalation Sedation; ³ Intravenous Sedation; ⁴ D: decayed, M: missing, F: filled, DMFT index: decayed missing filled teeth index					

Figure 1 shows a comparison of the D, M, F scores and the DMFT index from before and after treatment discontinuation. The D score and DMFT index increased significantly after discontinuation, whereas the F score decreased. There was no significant difference in the M score.

Table 2 shows the results of the Poisson regression analysis investigating the factors influencing the increase in the D score. The change in the D score was influenced by the D score remaining before discontinuation and the number of experiences of intravenous sedation. The odds ratios (ORs) were 1.04 and 1.08, respectively.

Table 2
Results of Poisson regression analysis for changes in D¹ score

Independent Variable	<i>p</i> -value	OR ²	95% CI ³		
Discontinuation duration (month)	0.1184	1.00	1.00	to	1.01
D ¹ Scores before discontinuation	0.0189*	1.04	1.01	to	1.07
Experience of IVS ⁴	0.6810	0.96	0.80	to	1.16
Experience number of IVS ⁴	0.0029*	1.08	1.03	to	1.12
¹ Decayed; ² Odds Ratio; ³ Confidence Interval; ⁴ Intravenous Sedation					
* <i>p</i> < 0.05					

As the change in the F score included negative values, analysis of covariance was performed. Table 3 shows the results of the analysis of covariance for the F score. The duration of discontinuation (months), the DMFT index before treatment discontinuation, and the number of experiences with intravenous sedation significantly affected the results. The effect of the discontinuation period was the greatest: the longer the discontinuation period, the smaller the number of filled teeth.

Table 3
Results of analysis of covariance for changes in F¹ score

Independent Variable	β	SE ²	t	<i>p</i> -value	95% CI ³		
Age at initial visit [15–54/55–89 years]	-0.27	0.17	-1.56	0.1208	-0.61	to	0.07
Discontinuation duration (month)	-1.64	0.44	-3.78	0.0003*	-2.51	to	-0.78
DMFT ⁴ index before discontinuation	-1.20	0.31	-3.90	0.0002*	-1.80	to	-0.59
Experience number of IVS ⁵	-1.08	0.52	-2.07	0.0408*	-2.11	to	-0.05
¹ Filled; ² Standard Error; ³ Confidence Interval; ⁴ Decayed, missing, and filled teeth; ⁵ Intravenous Sedation							
* <i>p</i> < 0.05							

Table 4 indicates the Poisson regression analysis results of the DMFT index. The change in the DMFT index was significantly affected by the D and M scores before discontinuation, the DMFT index before

discontinuation, and the experience with intravenous sedation. The OR of 2.92 for the M score before discontinuation was higher than that for other variables.

Table 4
Results of Poisson regression analysis for changes in DMFT¹ index

Independent Variable	<i>p</i> -value	OR ²	95% CI ³		
D Scores before discontinuation	< 0.0001*	1.17	1.10	to	1.25
M Scores before discontinuation	< 0.0001*	2.92	2.74	to	3.12
DMFT index before discontinuation	< 0.0001*	0.87	0.82	to	0.92
Experience of IS ⁴	0.0535	0.68	0.43	to	1.01
Experience of IVS ⁵	0.0047*	1.49	1.13	to	2.00
¹ Decayed, missing, and filled teeth; ² Odds Ratio; ³ Confidence Interval; ⁴ Nitrous Oxide/Oxygen Inhalation Sedation; ⁵ Intravenous Sedation					
* <i>p</i> < 0.05					

Discussion

In this study, we sought to identify the factors influencing changes in the dental condition among patients with DADP during periods of treatment discontinuation. We found that the D score and the DMFT index increased, whereas the F score significantly decreased during the treatment discontinuation period. The factors influencing the change in the D score were the pre-discontinuation D score and the number of experiences of intravenous sedation. Those affecting the change in the F score were the duration of treatment discontinuation, the DMFT index before discontinuation, and the number of experiences of intravenous sedation. The increase in the DMFT index during treatment discontinuation was also affected by the experience of intravenous sedation, as well as by the D and M scores and the DMFT index before discontinuation. Overall, discontinuation of dental treatment was proven to increase caries in patients with DA.

In general, the proportion of females who experience anxiety regarding dental treatment is high [14–16] and the degree of anxiety tends to decrease with increasing age [17]. Jeddy et al. reported that most patients with DADP were under 40 years of age [18]. In the present study, majority of the study participants were females, which was consistent with other reports, but the participants spanned all generations. Regarding the caries experience in the discontinuation period, patient sex and age did not significantly affect the increase in caries. Instead, other patient and dental variables were proven to be influential.

Discontinuation of treatment increased the D score or the DMFT index and decreased the F score. The decrease in the F score indicates the development of secondary caries around a restoration. There was no change in the M score owing to treatment discontinuation. This was probably because the patient's anxiety was too strong to permit highly invasive treatment, such as tooth extraction. Therefore, most changes in the DMFT index in patients with DADP consisted of an increase in the D score and a decrease in the F score.

We found that patients with higher D and M scores before treatment discontinuation were at a high risk of an increased DMFT index following discontinuation. The OR was particularly high for the M score variable (2.92), which may be due to the worse oral environment and hygiene of patients who had experienced many tooth extractions. In contrast, the higher the pre-discontinuation DMFT index, the lower the risk of caries. The intact tooth substance might be less exposed in the oral cavity in patients with a high pre-discontinuation DMFT index, owing to the many prosthetic treatments performed previously.

As a patient-related variable, the experience or frequency of intravenous sedation influenced the increase in caries during discontinuation of treatment. Once a patient with DADP experienced the anxiolytic, sedative, and amnestic effects of intravenous sedation [19], he or she may more easily permit dental treatment under intravenous sedation and the consciousness of caries management may decrease. According to Coolidge et al., [20] patients who had experienced dental treatment under intravenous sedation had significantly more untreated teeth than those who had not.

Although intravenous sedation is recommended for reducing body movements of patients with disabilities considering its reliable sedative effect [21], regular use in patients with DADP should be avoided, owing to its psychological dependence. Although the level of DA has a stronger influence than patient demand on the clinician's decision-making [22], there is a lack of guidance on the assessment of anxiety among patients by dentists. Few dentists can accurately evaluate the DA levels for deciding whether to use intravenous sedation. DA scales, such as Corah's Dental Anxiety Scale [23], Kleinknecht's Dental Fear Survey [24], and the Index of Dental Anxiety and Fear [25], are reported to be useful for patient screening. Experience-based judgment and decision regarding drug behavior therapy, such as in this study, may lead to over-selection of patients.

Discontinuation of dental treatment must be avoided, as it significantly increases caries, particularly in patients who had previously been treated using intravenous sedation. Patient education is necessary for the continuation of treatment, and dentists should explain the negative effects of treatment interruptions, understand the patient's psychology, and employ an empathetic approach to help arrest any downward spiral of the disease [26]. Patient psychosocial factors (such as treatment costs, awareness of necessity for treatment, communication) are associated with the extension of interruption [27]. A good relationship between the patient and the dentist can lead to effective communication, which can reduce the patient's anxiety and fear toward dental treatment and maintain the motivation to undergo dental treatment [28–30].

Even when performing dental treatment under intravenous anesthesia based on an accurate diagnosis, training patients to undergo dental treatment without pharmacological interventions is preferred. For example, cognitive behavioral therapy is effective against psychosomatic problems, such as DA [31]. Further, strategic approaches, such as individual systematic desensitization, group therapy, and modeling methods, which are derived from social learning theory, are reported to be quite effective in patients mildly or moderately impaired [32]. A combination of dental treatment and psychological intervention results in withdrawal from drug behavior therapy in patients with DADP; thus, the risk of caries due to treatment discontinuation could be reduced.

In this study, the appropriateness of applying intravenous sedation was determined based on experience and not on objective indicators. In the 2021 International Classification of Diseases, phobia is classified under the clinical modification diagnosis code of F40.232 for fear and other medical care. For the diagnosis of DP, mental health professionals must use clinical skills and judgment. For example, the list of diagnostic criteria found in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition [33], is useful. However, in the present study, the participants were selected using only the chief complaint at the time of the first visit, and they had not been diagnosed with DP by mental health specialists. The degree or subject of fear was not clearly indicated, as this study is retrospective, which could contribute to a research bias.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that discontinuation of dental treatment causes an increase in incidence of caries in patients with DADP. It is necessary to avoid such treatment discontinuation, particularly in patients previously treated using intravenous sedation, and to avoid the use of intravenous sedation to prevent psychological dependence and other detrimental effects.

Declarations

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethics approval

This retrospective observational study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Nagasaki University Hospital, Japan (application No. 19081931-2). Passive consent was obtained by means of an opt-out option on the website and bulletin board of the hospital.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The present examination was supported in part by a grant from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology's (MEXT) "Initiative for the Implementation of the Diversity Research

Environment (Advanced Type).”

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the following researchers for their contributions to this study: N. Magata, and K. Yamaguchi- Komeyama.

References

1. Zinke A, Hannig C, Berth H (2018) Comparing oral health in patients with different levels of dental anxiety. *Head Face Med* 14:25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13005-018-0182-4>
2. Armfield JM (2010) The extent and nature of dental fear and phobia in Australia. *Aust Dent J* 55:368-377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1834-7819.2010.01256.x>
3. Hill KB, Chadwick B, Freeman R, O’Sullivan I, Murray JJ (2013) Adult Dental Health Survey 2009: relationships between dental attendance patterns, oral health behaviour and the current barriers to dental care. *Br Dent J* 214:25-32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2012.1176>
4. Svensson L, Hakeberg M, Wide Boman U (2016) Dental anxiety, concomitant factors and change in prevalence over 50 years. *Community Dent Health* 33:121-126. http://dx.doi.org/10.1922/CDH_3694Svensson06
5. Armfield JM, Heaton LJ (2013) Management of fear and anxiety in the dental clinic: a review. *Aust Dent J* 58:390-407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.12118>
6. Wiener RC (2015) Dental fear and delayed dental care in Appalachia-West Virginia. *J Dent Hyg* 89:274-281.
7. Armfield JM, Stewart JF, Spencer AJ (2007) The vicious cycle of dental fear: exploring the interplay between oral health, service utilization and dental fear. *BMC Oral Health* 7:1. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-7-1>
8. Crego A, Carrillo-Díaz M, Armfield JM, Romero M (2014) From public mental health to community oral health: the impact of dental anxiety and fear on dental status. *Front Public Health* 2:16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014.00016>
9. Davey GC (1989) Dental phobias and anxieties: evidence for conditioning processes in the acquisition and modulation of a learned fear. *Behav Res Ther* 27:51-58. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(89\)90119-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(89)90119-8)
10. Appukuttan DP (2016) Strategies to manage patients with dental anxiety and dental phobia: literature review. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dent* 8:35-50. <https://doi.org/10.2147/ccide.s63626>

11. De Jongh A, Schutjes M, Aartman IHA (2011) A test of Berggren's model of dental fear and anxiety. *Eur J Oral Sci* 119:361-365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2011.00843.x>
12. Moore R, Brødsgaard I, Rosenberg N (2004) The contribution of embarrassment to phobic dental anxiety: a qualitative research study. *BMC Psychiatry* 4:10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244x-4-10>
13. DeDonno MA (2012) Dental anxiety, dental visits and oral hygiene practices. *Oral Health Prev Dent* 10:129-133.
14. Domoto P, Weinstein P, Kamo Y, Wohlers K, Fiset L, Tanaka A (1991) Dental fear of Japanese residents in the United States. *Anesth Prog* 38:90-95.
15. Liddell A, Locker D (1997) Gender and age differences in attitudes to dental pain and dental control. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol* 25:314-318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1997.tb00945.x>
16. Fuentes D, Gorenstein C, Hu LW (2009) Dental anxiety and trait anxiety: an investigation of their relationship. *Br Dent J* 206:E17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2009.253>
17. Marya CM, Grover S, Jnaneshwar A, Pruthi N (2012) Dental anxiety among patients visiting a dental institute in Faridabad, India. *West Indian Med J* 61:187-190.
18. Jeddy N, Nithya S, Radhika T, Jeddy N (2018) Dental anxiety and influencing factors: a cross-sectional questionnaire-based survey. *Indian J Dent Res* 29:10-15. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijdr.ijdr_33_17
19. Corcuera-Flores J-R, Silvestre-Rangil J, Cutando-Soriano A, López-Jiménez J (2016) Current methods of sedation in dental patients - a systematic review of the literature. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 21:e579-e586. <https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.20981>
20. Coolidge T, Irwin SP, Leyster KA, Milgrom P (2012) Determinants of receiving intravenous sedation in a sample of dentally-fearful patients in the USA. *SAAD Dig* 28:52-60.
21. Dougherty N (2009) The dental patient with special needs: a review of indications for treatment under general anesthesia. *Spec Care Dentist* 29:17-20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-4505.2008.00057.x>
22. Hunt O, McCurley N, Dempster M, Marley J (2011) Patient anxiety and IV sedation in Northern Ireland. *Br Dent J* 210:575-579. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2011.483>
23. Corah NL (1969) Development of a dental anxiety scale. *J Dent Res* 48:596. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345690480041801>
24. Kleinknecht RA, Klepac RK, Alexander LD (1973) Origins and characteristics of fear of dentistry. *J Am Dent Assoc* 86:842-848. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1973.0165>
25. Armfield JM (2010) Development and psychometric evaluation of the Index of Dental Anxiety and Fear (IDAF-4C+). *Psychol Assess* 22:279-287. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018678>

26. Kani E (2014) Helping anxious patients. *Dent Health* 53:32-34.
27. Shahid M, Freeman R (2019) What is the function of psychosocial factors in predicting length of time since last dental visit? A secondary data analysis. *Int Dent J* 69:369-375.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/idj.12483>
28. Freeman R (1999) Barriers to accessing and accepting dental care. *Br Dent J* 187:81-84.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4800208>
29. Hally J, Freeman R, Yuan S, Humphris G (2017) The importance of acknowledgement of emotions in routine patient psychological assessment: The example of the dental setting. *Patient Educ Couns* 100:2102-2105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2017.05.005>
30. Yuan S, Freeman R, Hill K, Newton T, Humphris G (2020) Communication, trust and dental anxiety: a person-centred approach for dental attendance behaviours. *Dent J (Basel)* 8:118.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/dj8040118>
31. Matsuoka H, Chiba I, Sakano Y, Toyofuku A, Abiko Y (2017) Cognitive behavioral therapy for psychosomatic problems in dental settings. *Biopsychosoc Med* 11:18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13030-017-0102-z>
32. Peltier B (2009) Psychological treatment of fearful and phobic special needs patients. *Spec Care Dentist* 29:51-57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-4505.2008.00062.x>
33. American Psychiatric Association (2013) Anxiety Disorders. In: *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*, 5th ed. pp 189-202. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596.dsm05>

Figures




Figure 1

Comparison of D (decayed), M (missing), and F (filled) scores and the DMFT index before and after discontinuation of treatment owing to dental anxiety/dental phobia. a; D score, b; M score, c; F score, d; DMFT index. Abbreviations: BD; information on the last treatment before discontinuation, AD; information on the re-visit after discontinuation.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1csv.csv](#)