

**Evaluation of malaria preventive measures among adult patients attending the Bamendjou  
and Foumbot District hospitals of the West Region of Cameroon**

<sup>1</sup>Nfor Omarine Nlinwe (Ph.D) +237-670222093 ([omarinenlinwe@yahoo.ca](mailto:omarinenlinwe@yahoo.ca))

<sup>2</sup>Yengong Clinton Singong ([yengongclinton4@gmail.com](mailto:yengongclinton4@gmail.com))

<sup>2</sup>Tenkam Makamdoum Ruth Florentine ([rtenkam@gmail.com](mailto:rtenkam@gmail.com) )

**Authors' Affiliation:**

The University of Bamenda,  
Faculty of Health Sciences,  
Department of Medical Laboratory Science  
P.O Box 39 Bambili, Bamenda.  
North West Region, Cameroon

**Corresponding Author:**

Nfor Omarine Nlinwe (Ph.D)

Mobile: +237-670222093/+237-665911341

Email: [omarinenlinwe@yahoo.ca](mailto:omarinenlinwe@yahoo.ca)

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Although significant decrease in entomological and epidemiological indicators was  
3 reported in Cameroon since the introduction of insecticide treated bed nets, malaria prevalence  
4 remains high also in some parts of the West Region of Cameroon. Therefore this study was  
5 designed to evaluate malaria preventive measures among patients attending the Bamendjou and  
6 Foubot District hospitals of the West Region of Cameroon.

7 **Methods:** This was a cross sectional study carried out within a period of three months, from  
8 January to March 2020. Data was obtained using a structured questionnaire and laboratory  
9 analysis. The *CareStart*<sup>TM</sup> Malaria HRP2 (Pf) qualitative rapid diagnostic test was used for malaria  
10 diagnosis. The questionnaire was designed to collect information on respondent's socio  
11 demographic characteristics, and use of malaria preventive measures. Data was analyzed using  
12 descriptive statistics, regression analysis and Chi-square (and Fisher's exact) test.

13 **Results:** A total of 170 study participants were recruited in Foubot and 197 in Bamendjou.  
14 Malaria was significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ) more prevalent in Foubot (47.06%) than in Bamendjou  
15 (19.8%). In Foubot, nonuse of insect repellent spray ( $P = 0.0214$ ), insect repellent body cream  
16 ( $P = 0.0009$ ), mosquito spray ( $P = 0.0001$ ) and not draining stagnant water ( $P = 0.0004$ )  
17 predisposed to higher risk of malaria. But in Bamendjou, nonuse of insect repellent spray ( $P =$   
18  $0.0012$ ), long lasting insecticidal bed nets ( $P = 0.0001$ ), window and door nets ( $P = 0.0286$ ),  
19 predisposed to higher risk of malaria.

20 **Conclusions:** Malaria prevalence was high among the study participants especially in Foubot.  
21 Adequate follow-up to ensure effective execution of the recently launched third phase of LLINs  
22 distribution campaign in Cameroon is recommended. Additionally, Intergrated Vector

23 Management is required to ensure effective control of malaria transmission in Foubot and  
24 Bamendjou.

25 **Keywords:** Malaria: Preventive measures: Long lasting insecticidal nets: Insecticidal sprays: Risk

## 26 **Background**

27 The estimated yearly suspected malaria cases in Cameroon is 3.3-3.7 million in health services (1).

28 In Cameroon the main method of malaria prevention is the use of different types (e.g. PermaNet,

29 Olyset, Interceptor) of long-lasting insecticidal nets (LLINs) (2). There have been three free

30 distribution of ITNs/LLINs campaigns; in 2004-2005 (2 million ITNs), 2011(8 million LLINs)

31 and in 2015 (over 12 million LLINs) (1, 3). A national coverage is anticipated with the third mass

32 distribution campaign of LLINs launched in February 2019 (4). Significant decrease in

33 entomological and epidemiological pointers was reported in Cameroon since the introduction of

34 ITNs/LLINs (5, 6). But in the west region, a high prevalence ( 53.4%) of malaria was recently

35 reported among pregnant women in Fouban, a neighboring town to Foubot (7). It was reported

36 that increased access to impregnated mosquito bed nets is needed to reduce the risk of malaria

37 infection (7). With increase in coverage rates and correct usage, LLIN could greatly assist in

38 malaria elimination in Cameroon (5).

39 Despite nation-wide sensitization campaigns (8), the disparity between possession and actual

40 usage has affected the performance of LLINs at the different epidemiological settings in Cameroon

41 (8-13). In Cameroon, door-to-door hang-up and behavior change communication (BCC) campaign

42 scaled up the use of bed nets from 75% to 92% after the campaign (4). During door-to-door mass

43 distribution of LLNs in Zambia, the practice of net hanging and face-to-face health education on

44 adequate use to prevent wear and tear of LLNs, increased its usage and coverage rates (14).

45 Therefore the effectiveness of LLINs could be well-maintained by evaluating its quality,  
46 sustainable usage, insecticidal persistence and efficacy with changing seasons. Indoor residual  
47 spraying and larviciding can effectively complement the existing malaria transmission control  
48 strategies (15). Also, the effect of hygiene and sanitation on the reduction of permanent mosquito  
49 breeding sites cannot be overemphasized. Routine epidemiological investigative activities are  
50 requested in order to monitor changes in malaria occurrence, mosquito biting, entomological  
51 inoculation rate and insecticide resistance (5). In Cameroon, the following anopheline species  
52 transmit malaria parasite: *An. moucheti*, *An. coluzzii*, *An. nili*, *An. funestus*, *An. arabiensis* and *An.*  
53 *gambiae* (5, 15). The performance of LLINs have been threatened by increase in carbamate,  
54 pyrethroid and DDT resistance in *An. gambiae*, *An. coluzzii*, *An. arabiensis* and *An. funestus* (5).

55 Malaria research uptake on preventive measures are fundamental in a socio-variable community  
56 like Cameroon (16). The investigation of combined preventive measures could provide valuable  
57 insights helpful in the update of control strategies. Moreover due to increase in insecticide  
58 resistance, the use of combined interventions is recommended in malaria hyper endemic areas (17).  
59 Even in areas with seasonal malaria parasite transmission, combining insecticide resistance sprays  
60 and LLINs has been shown to be helpful (17). In Cameroon, challenges associated with malaria  
61 control strategies could be effectively handled if considered according to defined local  
62 epidemiological settings. Varied malaria endemicity has been reported in different localities of the  
63 West region of Cameroon. For example Bamendjou is hypoendemic for malaria and has seasonal  
64 malaria parasite transmission (5). Whereas malaria transmission in Foubot is stable with most  
65 infections being asymptomatic (7). Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate malaria  
66 preventive measures among patients attending the Bamendjou and Foubot District hospitals of  
67 the West Region of Cameroon.

## 68 **Methods**

### 69 **Study Area**

70 The West region of Cameroon has a rainfall lasting about 8 months and is situated in the highland  
71 areas. Generally, this region has a temperate climate with a dominant grassland vegetation and  
72 average annual rainfall estimated at 1800 mm/year lasting for about eight months. The West region  
73 has an estimated population of 1.9 million and covers an area of 13,892 km<sup>2</sup>. Before the free LLINs  
74 campaigns, malaria prevalence in this region was estimated to be 25% in children (18, 19).  
75 Meanwhile after free LLINs campaigns malaria prevalence was estimated to vary from 9.3-22.4%  
76 (20, 21). In 2010, the entomological inoculation rate in this region was shown to fluctuate from  
77 62.8 to 90.5 infective bites/person/year (22). Whereas in 2018, entomological inoculation rate in  
78 the West region was 2.24 infective bites/person/month (22, 23).

79 Foubot, located at Latitude 5<sup>0</sup> 30'00"N, Longitude 10<sup>0</sup> 37'59"E and average altitude 1071m has  
80 an equatorial climate with two climatic seasons and four ecologically dry months. Foubot covers  
81 an area of 579 km<sup>2</sup> with an estimated population of 77,130 inhabitants and located 25km from the  
82 West Regional headquarter, Bafoussam. The main economic activity of more than 84% of the  
83 inhabitants of Foubot remains agriculture. The rest of the inhabitants practice agriculture as a  
84 secondary activity (24). Bamendjou, located at Latitude 5<sup>0</sup> 23'55.99"N, Longitude 10<sup>0</sup> 18'60.00"E  
85 and average altitude 1595m has an equatorial climate and an average rainfall of 1500mm, usually  
86 lasting 9 months (March to November). Bamendjou covers an area of 197 km<sup>2</sup> with an estimated  
87 population of 34,269 inhabitants and located 15km from the West Regional headquarter,  
88 Bafoussam. The main economic activity of the inhabitants of Bamendjou is agriculture and animal  
89 husbandry. The Bamendjou council signed a contract with a hygiene and sanitation company since

90 2018 for the cleaning and maintenance of the city center and also received a national award for its  
91 role in promoting good governance (25).

## 92 **Study Design/study participants**

93 This is a cross sectional study carried out for three months, starting from January to March 2020.  
94 The inclusion criteria for the study was all adult ( $\geq 18$  years) patients attending the Bamendjou and  
95 Foubot District hospitals within the study period and who were sent to the laboratory for a  
96 malaria test. Patients who gave their consent by signing the informed consent were consecutively  
97 enrolled into the study within the study period.

98 The sample size calculation was done using the following formula:

99  $N = z^2pq/d^2$  (26). Where:

100  $z^2 = (1.96)^2$

101  $p$  (previous malaria prevalence) = 29% (0.29) (9).

102  $q = (1 - 0.224)$

103  $d^2 = (0.05)^2$

104  $N$  = minimum sample size (316).

## 105 **Ethical Consideration**

106 The ethical clearance for this study was gotten from the Ethical Review Committee of the  
107 University of Bamenda. The ethical clearance numbers are 2020/0148H/UBa/IRB and  
108 2020/0142H/UBa/IRB for data collection in Foubot and Bamendjou respectively. Signed  
109 informed consent was acquired from those who accepted to be enrolled in the study.

## 110 **Data Collection**

111 Data was obtained using a structured questionnaire and laboratory analysis. The *CareStart*<sup>TM</sup>  
112 Malaria HRP2 (Pf) qualitative rapid diagnostic test was used for malaria diagnosis, using about  
113 5µL of capillary blood collected by a finger prick (27). The questionnaire was designed to collect  
114 information on respondent's socio demographic characteristics, and use of malaria preventive  
115 measures. The socio demographic characteristics were; sex, age, marital status, educational level,  
116 religion, internal displacement status, monthly income and occupation. The preventive measures  
117 under consideration were; use of LLINs, use of window and door nets, use of insect repellent spray,  
118 draining stagnant water, killing mosquito with a broom, use of mosquito coil, use of insect repellent  
119 body cream, use of mosquito candles and use of mosquito spray. Nonuse of malaria preventive  
120 measures by study participants, were considered exposed to malaria.

#### 121 **Data Analysis**

122 Baseline characteristics of malaria preventive measures and socio demographic factors of patients  
123 with or without malaria were determined using excel. The base line characteristics include sums  
124 and mean percentages. Amongst patients in Foubot and Bamendjou communities, the difference  
125 in malaria occurrence and socio demographic characteristics were determined using independent  
126 t-test. Regression analysis was used to evaluate the association between malaria incidence and  
127 sociodemographic factors. Malaria occurrence was considered the dependent variable and socio  
128 demographic factors, the independent variables. A fourfold ( $2 \times 2$ ) contingency table displaying  
129 the frequency distribution for each malaria preventive measure was entered into Graph Pad Prism  
130 version 8.2.1. In each of the four cells, the contingency table had frequencies for use and nonuse  
131 of preventive measures by both the negative and positive malaria cases. Chi-square (and Fisher's  
132 exact) test was used to determine the relative risk, attributable risk, odds ratio and likelihood ratio  
133 of malaria occurrence in malaria exposed patients. The sensitivity and specificity for the prediction  
134 of risk of malaria in exposed patients was also determined by Chi-square (and Fisher's exact).

135 Results were determined at 95% Confidence level. Graph Pad Prism version 8.2.1 was used for all  
 136 statistical analysis.

### 137 Results

138 A total of 367 patients were recruited for the study with a total malaria prevalence of 32.43%  
 139 (119/367). Malaria was significantly ( $P < 0.0001$ ) more prevalent in Foubot (47.06%) than in  
 140 Bamendjou (19.8%). The female to male ratios were 1.33:1 and 4.27:1 in Foubot and Bamendjou  
 141 respectively. There were significant differences in the distribution of gender, age, marital status,  
 142 educational level, religion, internal displacement status and occupation, among the study  
 143 participants in Foubot and Bamendjou (Table 1).

144 **Table 1: Socio demographic data of study participants in Foubot and Bamendjou**

|                   | Study area          | Foubot      |             |             | Bamendjou   |             |            |         |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------|
|                   | Diagnostic test     | RDT Pos (%) | RDT Neg (%) | Total (%)   | RDT Pos (%) | RDT Neg (%) | Total (%)  | P value |
|                   | Number Examined     | 80 (47.06)  | 90 (52.94)  | 170         | 39 (19.8)   | 158 (80.20) | 197        | <0.0001 |
| Sex               | Females             | 55 (68.75)  | 42(46.67)   | 97 (57.06)  | 30(76.92)   | 128(81.01)  | 158 (80.2) | <0.0001 |
|                   | Males               | 25 (31.25)  | 48(53.33)   | 73 (42.94)  | 9(23.08)    | 30(18.99)   | 39 (19.8)  |         |
| Age (yrs)         | 18-30               | 30(37.5)    | 30(33.33)   | 60 (35.29)  | 11(28.21)   | 29(18.35)   | 40 (20.3)  |         |
|                   | 31-40               | 25 (31.25)  | 30(33.33)   | 55 (32.35)  | 10(25.64)   | 57(36.08)   | 67 (34.01) | 0.0008  |
|                   | 41-50               | 15 (18.75)  | 20(22.22)   | 35 (20.59)  | 9(23.08)    | 44(27.85)   | 53 (26.9)  |         |
|                   | >50                 | 10(12.5)    | 10(11.11)   | 20 (11.76)  | 9(23.08)    | 28(17.72)   | 37 (18.78) |         |
| Marital Status    | Single              | 50(62.5)    | 50(55.56)   | 100 (58.82) | 18(46.15)   | 60(37.97)   | 78 (39.59) |         |
|                   | Married             | 30(37.5)    | 35(38.89)   | 65 (38.24)  | 15(38.46)   | 72(45.57)   | 87 (44.16) | 0.0019  |
|                   | Widow/Widower       | 0           | 0           | 0           | 6(15.38)    | 24(15.19)   | 30 (15.23) |         |
|                   | Divorced            | 0           | 5(5.56)     | 5 (2.94)    | 0           | 2(1.27)     | 2 (1.02)   |         |
| Educational level | No formal education | 0           | 5(5.56)     | 5 (2.94)    | 4(10.26)    | 22(13.92)   | 26 (13.2)  |         |
|                   | Primary             | 10(12.5)    | 30(33.33)   | 40 (23.53)  | 10(25.64)   | 42(26.58)   | 52 (26.4)  | <0.0001 |
|                   | Secondary level     | 55 (68.75)  | 30(33.33)   | 85 (50%)    | 19(48.72)   | 78(49.37)   | 97 (49.24) |         |



|                      |                        |            |           |             |           |            |             |         |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------|---------|
|                      | Higher education       | 15 (18.75) | 25(27.78) | 40 (23.53)  | 6(15.38)  | 16(10.13)  | 22 (11.17)  |         |
| Religion             | Christian              | 50(62.5)   | 35(38.89) | 85 (50)     | 36(92.31) | 141(89.24) | 177 (89.85) |         |
|                      | Moslem                 | 25(31.25)  | 55(61.11) | 80(47.06)   | 0         | 3(1.2)     | 3 (1.52)    | <0.0001 |
|                      | Others                 | 5(6.25)    | 0         | 5 (2.94)    | 3(7.69)   | 14(8.86)   | 17 (8.63)   |         |
| Displacement Status  | An IDP?                | 20(25.0)   | 15(16.67) | 35 (20.59)  | 1(2.56)   | 14(8.86)   | 15 (7.61)   | 0.0003  |
|                      | Not an IDP             | 60(75.0)   | 75(83.33) | 135 (79.41) | 38(97.44) | 144(91.14) | 182 (92.39) |         |
| Monthly Income (frs) | low (<30000)           | 40(50.0)   | 50(55.56) | 90 (52.94)  | 28(71.79) | 123(77.85) | 151 (76.65) |         |
|                      | medium 30000 - 250000) | 35(43.75)  | 40(44.44) | 75 (44.12)  | 9(23.08)  | 33(20.89)  | 42 (21.32)  | 0.0527  |
|                      | High >250000           | 5(6.25)    | 0         | 5 (2.94)    | 2(5.13)   | 2(1.27)    | 4 (2.03)    |         |
| Occupation           | Civil Servants         | 5(6.25)    | 0         | 5(2.94)     | 8(20.51)  | 17(10.76)  | 25(12.69)   |         |
|                      | Business               | 5(6.25)    | 5(5.56)   | 10(5.88)    | 2(5.13)   | 22(13.92)  | 24(12.18)   | 0.0035  |
|                      | Farmer                 | 30(37.5)   | 34(37.78) | 64(37.65)   | 11(28.21) | 49(31.01)  | 60(30.46)   |         |
|                      | Others                 | 40(50.0)   | 51(56.67) | 91(53.53)   | 18(46.15) | 70(44.30)  | 88(44.67)   |         |

145

146 There were no significant association between the sociodemographic factors and malaria incidence  
147 in Bamendjou. However in Foubot, being a female ( $P = 0.0001$ ), Christianity ( $P < 0.0001$ ),  
148 increased educational level ( $P < 0.04$ ) and decreased monthly income ( $P < 0.0001$ ) were  
149 significantly associated with the likelihood of malaria (table 2).

150 **Table 2: Summary of Regression Analysis on socio-demographic data**

|                   | Foubot  |             | Bamendjou |         |
|-------------------|---------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Variable          | t       | P value     | t         | P value |
| Intercept         | 3.529   | 0.0005*     | 0.9429    | 0.3469  |
| Sex               | 3.93    | 0.0001***   | 0.3365    | 0.7369  |
| Age               | 0.9931  | 0.3222      | 0.1962    | 0.8447  |
| Marital Status    | 1.281   | 0.2019      | 0.5281    | 0.598   |
| Religion          | 6.075   | <0.0001**** | 0.3396    | 0.7345  |
| Educational level | 2.071   | 0.04*       | 0.1516    | 0.8796  |
| Occupation        | 0.06659 | 0.947       | 0.01536   | 0.9878  |
| Are you an IDP?   | 0.5037  | 0.6152      | 1.182     | 0.2387  |
| Monthly Income    | 3.133   | 0.0021**    | 0.9842    | 0.3263  |

151

152 In Foubot, the most used preventive measures were LLINs (79.41%) and window and door nets  
153 (70.59%). Meanwhile the least was to kill mosquitoes with a broom (23.53%), mosquito candles

154 (26.47%) and mosquito sprays (26.47%). In Bamendjou, the most used preventive measures were  
 155 window and door nets (87.82%) and the least were insect repellent body cream (8.63%), mosquito  
 156 candles (13.2%) and mosquito sprays (15.23%) (Table 6).

157 **Table 3: Preventive measures used by the study participants for malaria control**

|                                  | Foumbot Community               |                                 |                     | Bamendjou Community             |                                  |                       |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                  | RDT Pos<br>(%), N=80<br>(47.06) | RDT Neg<br>(%), N=90<br>(52.94) | Total (%),<br>N=170 | RDT Pos<br>(%), N= 39<br>(19.8) | RDT Neg<br>(%), N=158<br>(80.20) | Total (%),<br>N = 197 |
| Use of LLINs                     | 60 (75)                         | 75 (83.33)                      | 135<br>(79.41)      | 30 (76.92)                      | 45 (91.77)                       | 75 (38.07)            |
| Use of window<br>and door nets   | 55 (68.75)                      | 65 (72.22)                      | 120<br>(70.59)      | 30 (76.92)                      | 143 (90.51)                      | 173 (87.82)           |
| Using insect<br>repellent spray  | 30 (37.5)                       | 50 (55.56)                      | 80 (47.06)          | 10 (25.64)                      | 87 (55.06)                       | 97 (49.24)            |
| Draining<br>stagnant water       | 40 (50)                         | 69 (76.67)                      | 109<br>(64.12)      | 20 (51.28)                      | 90 (56.96)                       | 110 (55.84)           |
| Killing mosquito<br>with a broom | 20 (25)                         | 20 (22.22)                      | 40 (23.53)          | 18 (46.15)                      | 50 (31.65)                       | 68 (34.52)            |
| Using mosquito<br>coil           | 25 (31.25)                      | 35 (38.89)                      | 60 (35.29)          | 10 (25.64)                      | 28 (17.72)                       | 38 (19.29)            |
| Insect repellent<br>body cream   | 15 (18.75)                      | 39 (43.33)                      | 54 (31.76)          | 3 (7.69)                        | 14 (8.86)                        | 17 (8.63)             |
| Use of Mosquito<br>candle        | 25 (31.25)                      | 20 (22.22)                      | 45 (26.47)          | 3 (7.69)                        | 23 (14.56)                       | 26 (13.2)             |
| Use of Mosquito<br>sprays        | 10 (12.5)                       | 35 (38.89)                      | 45 (26.47)          | 5 (12.82)                       | 25 (15.82)                       | 30 (15.23)            |

158  
 159 In Foumbot, nonuse of insect repellent spray, insect repellent body cream, mosquito spray and not  
 160 draining stagnant water, were all significantly associated with increased relative risk, attributable  
 161 risk, odds ratio and likelihood ratio. Nonuse of these preventive measures were equally  
 162 significantly associated with good sensitivity and specificity for the prediction of risk of malaria,  
 163 but for nonuse of mosquito spray, with a poor specificity (38.89%) (Table 4).

164 **Table 4: Risk of malaria occurrence among exposed study participants in Foumbot**

| Variable                               | Relative Risk<br>(95% CI) | Attributable risk<br>(95% CI) | Odds ratio<br>(95% CI) | Sensitivity<br>(95% CI) | Specificity<br>(95% CI) | LR   | P-value   |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-----------|
| Non-use of LLINs                       | 1.29<br>0.88 to 1.76      | 0.13<br>-0.07 to 0.31         | 1.67<br>0.81 to 3.65   | 25<br>16.81 to 35.48    | 83.33<br>74.31 to 89.63 | 1.5  | 0.1898    |
| Non-use of window and door nets        | 1.09<br>0.76 to 1.5       | 0.04<br>-0.13 to 0.21         | 1.82<br>0.62 to 2.22   | 31.25<br>22.15 to 42.07 | 72.22<br>62.20 to 80.42 | 1.23 | 0.7362    |
| Non-use of insect repellent spray      | 1.48<br>1.07 to 2.1       | 0.18<br>0.02 to 0.33          | 2.08<br>1.11 to 3.82   | 62.5<br>51.55 to 72.31  | 55.56<br>45.27 to 65.38 | 1.41 | 0.0214*   |
| Not draining stagnant water            | 1.79<br>1.31 to 2.43      | 0.29<br>0.12 to 0.43          | 3.29<br>1.72 to 6.29   | 50<br>39.3 to 60.7      | 76.67<br>66.95 to 84.2  | 2.14 | 0.0004*** |
| Not Killing mosquito with a broom      | 0.92<br>0.66 to 1.37      | 0.04<br>-0.14 to 0.22         | 0.86<br>0.41 to 1.79   | 75<br>64.52 to 83.19    | 22.22<br>14.87 to 31.85 | 0.96 | 0.7191    |
| Non-use of mosquito coil               | 1.2<br>0.86 to 1.74       | 0.08<br>-0.08 to 0.23         | 1.4<br>0.75 to 2.68    | 68.75<br>57.93 to 77.85 | 38.89<br>29.47 to 49.22 | 1.13 | 0.3366    |
| Non-use of insect repellent body cream | 2.02<br>1.32 to 3.26      | 0.29<br>0.11 to 0.42          | 3.31<br>1.63 to 6.68   | 81.25<br>71.34 to 88.29 | 43.33<br>33.58 to 53.64 | 1.43 | 0.0009*** |
| Non-use of mosquito candle             | 0.79<br>0.58 to 1.12      | 0.12<br>-0.05 to 0.3          | 0.63<br>0.31 to 1.23   | 68.75<br>57.93 to 77.85 | 22.22<br>14.87 to 31.85 | 0.88 | 0.2234    |
| Non-use of mosquito spray              | 2.52<br>1.5 to 4.55       | 0.34<br>0.16 to 0.47          | 4.46<br>2.03 to 9.42   | 87.5<br>78.5 to 93.07   | 38.89<br>29.47 to 49.22 | 1.43 | 0.0001*** |

166

167 In Bamendjou nonuse of insect repellent spray and window and door nets, was significantly

168 associated with increased relative risk, attributable risk, odds ratio and likelihood ratio. Nonuse of

169 window and door nets was significantly associated with poor sensitivity and very good specificity,  
 170 for the prediction of risk of malaria. Nonuse of insect repellent spray was significantly associated  
 171 with good sensitivity and average specificity, for the prediction of risk of malaria. However,  
 172 nonuse of LLINs was rather significantly associated with decreased relative risk, attributable risk,  
 173 odds ratio and likelihood ratio, but also associated with poor sensitivity and specificity for the  
 174 prediction of risk of malaria infection (Table 5).

175 **Table 5: Risk of malaria occurrence among exposed study participants in Bamendjou**

| Variable                          | Relative Risk<br>(95% CI) | Attributable risk<br>(95% CI) | Odds ratio<br>(95% CI) | Sensitivity<br>(95% CI) | Specificity<br>(95% CI) | LR   | P-value     |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------|
| Non-use of LLINs                  | 0.18<br>0.093 to 0.36     | 0.33<br>0.2 to 0.45           | 0.12<br>0.05 to 0.28   | 23.08<br>12.65 to 38.34 | 24.48<br>22.02 to 35.96 | 0.32 | <0.0001**** |
| Non-use of window and door nets   | 2.16<br>1.13 to 3.77      | 0.2<br>0.01 to 0.43           | 2.86<br>1.2 to 7.36    | 23.08<br>12.65 to 38.34 | 90.51<br>84.93 to 94.16 | 2.43 | 0.0286*     |
| Non-use of insect repellent spray | 2.81<br>1.48 to 5.44      | 0.19<br>0.07 to 0.3           | 3.55<br>1.62 to 7.48   | 74.36<br>58.92 to 85.43 | 55.06<br>47.28 to 62.61 | 1.66 | 0.0012**    |
| Not draining stagnant water       | 1.2<br>0.69 to 2.09       | 0.04<br>-0.08 to 0.16         | 1.26<br>0.61 to 2.58   | 48.72<br>33.87 to 63.80 | 56.96<br>49.17 to 64.43 | 1.13 | 0.5904      |
| Not Killing mosquito with a broom | 0.57<br>0.33 to 0.1       | 0.11<br>-0.02 to 0.23         | 0.49<br>0.24 to 0.98   | 53.85<br>38.57 to 68.43 | 29.76<br>23.36 to 37.07 | 0.77 | 0.0592      |
| Non-use of mosquito coil          | 0.69<br>0.39 to 1.32      | 0.08<br>-0.1 to 0.22          | 0.62<br>0.28 to 1.41   | 74.36<br>58.92 to 85.43 | 17.72<br>12.56 to 24.42 | 0.9  | 0.2639      |

|  |                      |                       |                      |                         |                         |      |         |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------|
| Non-use of insect repellent body cream | 1.13<br>0.46 to 3.34 | 0.02<br>-0.25 to 0.17 | 1.17<br>0.36 to 3.98 | 92.31<br>79.68 to 97.35 | 8.86<br>5.35 to 14.32   | 1.01 | >0.9999 |
| Non-use of mosquito candle             | 1.83<br>0.69 to 5.43 | 0.1<br>-0.11 to 0.21  | 2.04<br>0.63 to 6.74 | 92.31<br>79.68 to 97.35 | 14.56<br>9.9 to 20.9    | 1.08 | 0.3048  |
| Non-use of mosquito spray              | 1.22<br>0.56 to 2.91 | 0.04<br>-0.16 to 0.16 | 1.28<br>0.47 to 3.25 | 87.18<br>73.29 to 94.40 | 15.82<br>10.95 to 22.31 | 1.04 | 0.8051  |

176

## 177 Discussion

178 The study participants in Foubot and Bamendjou vary in their socio demographic characteristics,  
179 excluding monthly income. Malaria endemicity in Foubot and Bamendjou also differ, as earlier  
180 reported (5, 7). Thus adequate attention to socio demographic characteristics is important in  
181 malaria control efforts (28). In Bamendjou the female to male ratio was 4.05:1. The malaria  
182 positive female to male ratio was 3.33:1 and malaria negative female to male ratio was 4:1. In  
183 Foubot, the female to male ratio was 1.33:1. The malaria positive female to male ratio was 2.2:1  
184 and malaria negative female to male ratio was 0.88:1. In addition to females being most of the  
185 study participants, they were also more infected. In line with findings from other studies, higher  
186 malaria prevalence among females can be associated with exposure patterns, influenced by socio-  
187 economic roles (29, 30). An earlier study suggested that poverty related issues affected female  
188 adoption of malaria control methods (31). However the proportion of infected males increased in  
189 Bamendjou, which had low malaria prevalence. This may be due to perceived reduced need for  
190 additional malaria control efforts.

191 The 31-40 years age group was most represented in Bamendjou while the 18-30 years age group  
192 was most represented in Foubot. The > 50 years age group was the least represented in both  
193 communities. With a higher malaria prevalence in Foubot, the young adults (18-30 years) age  
194 group was generally more at risk of malaria than the other age groups. Although the middle aged  
195 adult (31-40 years) group had the highest malaria prevalence in Bamendjou, this community  
196 generally had low malaria prevalence. Similar to findings from another study in the North West  
197 region of Cameroon (32), the young adult age group is more at risk of malaria. Although children  
198 < 5 years and pregnant women are naturally more predisposed to malaria (33-36), differences in  
199 exposure patterns may also increase risk of malaria among young adults. Compared to other age  
200 groups, young adults are more involved in outdoor activities like farming and could be casual  
201 towards malaria preventive measures. Because most of the young adults are not married, malaria  
202 prevalence was also higher among the unmarried in Foubot.

203 There were more Muslims in Foubot which had more malaria positive cases. Other studies  
204 reported strong correlation between religion and health seeking behavior towards malaria control  
205 and prevention (37-39). Most of the patients in both communities had secondary school level of  
206 education. Therefore in line with findings from other studies (40-42), education can moderate  
207 religious perceptions towards malaria prevention and control. With the current socio political crisis  
208 in the North West and South West regions of Cameroon, the West region has experienced a huge  
209 influx of internally displaced persons from the crisis plagued regions. The living conditions of the  
210 displaced persons are usually of lower quality, predisposing them to malaria and also probably to  
211 new strains of malaria parasites (31). In addition to malaria prevalence being higher in Foubot,  
212 there were more internally displaced patients in Foubot. Contrary to findings from Bamendjou,  
213 gender, religion, educational level and financial status were significantly associated with malaria

214 in Foubot, which also has a history of steady malaria transmission (7). In line with findings from  
215 malaria risk areas, religion, education and income was found to impact the use of ITN (39), which  
216 directly influence malaria transmission.

217 Although LLINs was the most used malaria preventive measure in Foubot, nonuse of it was not  
218 significantly associated with risk of malaria. But in Bamendjou non-use of LLINs was rather  
219 significantly associated with a lower risk of malaria exposure. However, the sensitivity (23.08%)  
220 and specificity (24.48%) of LLINs usage to predict risk of malaria was low. Generally LLINs  
221 usage was a poor indicator for the prediction of risk of malaria. This could be due to low usage  
222 rate and poor maintenance of LLINs, in addition to biological and behavioral changes in the  
223 mosquito vector. A recent study in Fouban which is located 45.2km from Foubot revealed low  
224 usage of LLINs and high malaria prevalence. Malaria prevalence among pregnant women was  
225 53.4% and only 49.3% of the study participants made use of mosquito bed nets (7). Reduced  
226 chances of malaria infection was found among children who slept under intact nets, suggesting the  
227 importance of repair and care of ITNs by owners (43). Several other studies have emphasized the  
228 importance of correct usage of insecticide pre-treated bed nets (5, 7, 14, 44). Resistance to  
229 insecticide also seriously threatens the effectiveness of LLINs as a malaria control tool (45). There  
230 was scale up in the effective use of LLINs in Baré a rural part of Cameroon, following door-to-  
231 door hang-up and behavior change communication (BCC) campaign, after the third mass  
232 distribution campaign launched in February 2019 (4). Therefore with the extension of such door-  
233 to-door hang-up and behavior change communication (BCC) campaign to other rural areas like  
234 Foubot and Bamendjou, LLINs usage could possibly yield better results. The current study reveal  
235 that approximately one year after the launching of the third LLINs campaign in Cameroon, malaria  
236 prevalence remains high especially among adult in Foubot (47.06%).

237 Nonuse of window and door nets was also not significantly associated with risk of malaria in  
238 Foubot. But in Bamendjou, non-use of window and door nets was significantly ( $P = 0.0286$ )  
239 associated with a higher odds of malaria. From the relative risk (2.16), non-use of window and  
240 door nets was associated with more than 100% higher risk of malaria. This is supported by the  
241 positive attributable risk (0.2). The odds ratio of 2.86 also indicates a greater odds of malaria  
242 occurring in those who did not use window and door nets. Also the likelihood ratio of 2.43 further  
243 indicates that non-use of window and door nets was associated with malaria in Bamendjou.  
244 Although the sensitivity of window and door net usage to predict risk of malaria was low (23.08%),  
245 the specificity was high (90.51%). Therefore, it is only 23.08% likely that those who did not use  
246 window and door nets will test malaria positive. However it is 90.51% likely that those who use  
247 window and door nets will test malaria negative. This probably explains why malaria prevalence  
248 was lower among the study participants in Bamendjou. Furthermore window and door nets which  
249 protects the home (accommodation area) from mosquitoes was found to be one of the effective  
250 measures against malaria (44). Window and door nets were also considered suitable alternatives  
251 for LLINs (46). Since it was suggested that the application of insecticide on window and door  
252 curtains could likely reduce malaria transmission (47), augmentation of window and door nets  
253 usage may possibly improve malaria control efforts, especially in low malaria transmission area  
254 like Bamendjou. Window and door nets even without insecticidal spray as used in this study was  
255 helpful. Similarly, LLINs with or without insecticidal residual spray prevented more than 99% of  
256 indoor mosquito bites (48).

257 In Foubot, non-use of insect repellent spray and mosquito spray were significantly associated  
258 with a higher odds of testing malaria positive. The sensitivity of insect repellent spray (62.5%) and  
259 mosquito spray (87.5%) usage to predict risk of malaria, were good. However the specificity for



260 insect repellent spray (55.5%) and mosquito spray (38.89%) were lower. The odds of malaria  
261 occurrence in those who did not use insect repellent spray (RR: 1.48, AR: 0.18, OR: 2.08 and LR:  
262 1.41) and mosquito spray (RR: 2.52, AR: 0.34, OR: 4.46 and LR: 1.43) were high. From their  
263 relative risks, non-use of insect repellent spray and mosquito spray was associated with 48% and  
264 > 100% higher risk of malaria. This is strongly supported by their positive attributable risks. The  
265 odds ratio of 2.08 and 4.46 for nonuse of insect repellent spray and mosquito spray further indicates  
266 a greater probability of malaria occurrence in the exposed individuals. The likelihood ratio of 1.41  
267 and 1.43 for nonuse of both sprays confirm that nonuse of insect repellent and mosquito sprays  
268 were associated with higher risk of malaria in Foubot. In Bamendjou, nonuse of insect repellent  
269 spray was associated with higher risk of malaria. However nonuse of mosquito spray was not. The  
270 sensitivity (74.36%) and specificity (55.06%) to predict risk of malaria based on use of insect  
271 repellent spray, were good. Moreover the relative risk (2.81) for nonuse of insect repellent spray  
272 indicates more than 100% risk of malaria. The odds ratio (3.55) and likelihood ratio (1.66) further  
273 indicates greater odds and association of nonuse of insect repellent sprays with higher risk of  
274 malaria in Bamendjou.

275 In Bamendjou, non-use of insect repellent body cream was not significantly associated with odds  
276 of malaria occurrence. However in Foubot, nonuse of insect repellent body cream was  
277 significantly ( $P = 0.0009$ ) associated with risk of malaria. The relative risk of 2.02 means nonuse  
278 of insect repellent body cream was associated with more than 100% higher risk of malaria. In  
279 addition to a positive attributable risk of 0.29, the odds ratio of 3.31 indicates a greater odds of  
280 malaria occurring in the exposed individuals. Furthermore the likelihood ratio of 1.43 confirms an  
281 association between nonuse of insect repellent body cream and malaria, in Foubot.

282 There was disparity in the sensitivities and specificities for the use of insect repellent spray, cream  
283 and mosquito spray in Foubot and Bamendjou. In Foubot, it is 62.5% likely that those who did  
284 not use insect repellent spray will test malaria positive and 87.5% likely that those who did not use  
285 mosquito spray will test malaria positive. Furthermore it is 55.5% likely that those who used insect  
286 repellent spray will test malaria negative and 38.89% likely that those who used mosquito spray  
287 will test malaria negative. Results show that it is 81.25% possible that those who did not use insect  
288 repellent body cream will test malaria positive and 43.33% possible that those who used it will test  
289 malaria negative. However in Bamendjou, it was 74.36% likely that those who did not use insect  
290 repellent spray will test malaria positive and 55.06% likely that those who used it will test malaria  
291 negative.

292 In Foubot which had higher malaria prevalence, nonuse of insect repellent spray, cream and  
293 mosquito spray predisposed to higher risk of malaria. But nonuse of LLINs, window and door nets,  
294 were not associated with risk of malaria. Therefore, outdoor malaria transmission could be higher  
295 in Foubot since malaria vectors with exophilic host-seeking and resting behavior bites more  
296 outdoor (49). But in Bamendjou with lower malaria prevalence, nonuse of insect repellent spray,  
297 LLINs, window and door nets all predisposed to higher risk of malaria. However nonuse of insect  
298 repellent cream and mosquito spray did not predispose to risk of malaria. Indoor malaria  
299 transmission may be higher in Bamendjou since the use of window and door nets provided  
300 protection against malaria (50). Although increasing intensities of insecticide resistance and  
301 outdoor transmission threatens the effectiveness of indoor residual spray (51), different methods  
302 of repellent deliveries (as sprays, body creams and on bed nets) are essential (52). Generally, the  
303 active ingredients in insect repellent sprays include picaridin, botanicals, citronella and N,N-  
304 diethyl-3-methylbenzamide (DEET). DEET, picaridin, MGK-326, MGK-264, IR3535, oil of

305 citronella and oil of lemon eucalyptus has been approved for skin topical application (53). The  
306 effectiveness of each delivery may be affected by behavioral changes in both the human and vector  
307 hosts (51, 54). These changes also include insecticide resistance pattern. In Cameroon insecticide  
308 resistance was highly prevalent in both *An. gambiae (s.l.)* and *An. funestus*. DDT Dichloro-  
309 Diphenyl Trichloroethane, permethrin, deltamethrin and bendiocarb seemed to be the most  
310 affected compounds by resistance (15). In Foubot, *An. gambiae (s.l.)* was shown to be resistant  
311 to DDT Dichloro-Diphenyl Trichloroethane, perm permethrin, deltamethrin delta, lambda-  
312 cyhalothrin, bend bendiocarb, and malathion (55, 56).

313 In another study, although Picaridin repellent reduced 97% of mosquito bites, daily use was low  
314 and the effectiveness of malaria preventive measures were found to be mainly influenced by human  
315 behavior (54). In the current study, only 8.63% and 31.76% of the study participants used insect  
316 repellent body cream in Bamendjou and Foubot respectively. Topical repellent plus LLINs was  
317 also not found to be a suitable intervention against malaria, in an agricultural population in  
318 southern Lao PDR (57). In the current study topical repellent (body cream) was not effective in  
319 Bamendjou and LLINs was not effective in Foubot, but other methods were effective. Although  
320 indoor residual spraying and LLINs were reported to be the most successful approaches in malaria  
321 control (58), as suggested by the Global Malaria Control Strategy, integrated vector management  
322 methods are needed for effective vector control (58).

323 In both Foubot and Bamendjou, not killing mosquito with a broom, nonuse of mosquito coil and  
324 nonuse of mosquito candle were not associated with risk of malaria. Even though not draining  
325 stagnant water was not associated with risk of malaria in Bamendjou, it was significantly ( $P =$   
326  $0.0004$ ) associated with risk of malaria in Foubot. The odds of malaria occurrence in those who  
327 did not drain stagnant water around homes was higher (RR: 1.79, AR: 0.29, OR: 3.29 and LR:

328 2.14). Those who did not drain stagnant water were 79% more at risk of malaria. Furthermore the  
329 positive attributable risk and high odds ratio indicates higher odds of malaria occurrence in those  
330 who did not drain stagnant water. The likelihood ratio of 2.14 also confirms an association between  
331 malaria and draining of stagnant water in Foubot. Dirty environment has been reported to  
332 increase malaria transmission (59-63). Although Foubot and Bamendjou are both rural areas,  
333 unlike Foubot, Bamendjou municipality is committed to environmental sanitation. The clean  
334 environment of Bamendjou may have contributed to the low malaria prevalence. In Foubot the  
335 sensitivity and specificity for the use of draining stagnant water to predict malaria occurrence was  
336 50% and 76.67% respectively. Therefore it is 50% likely that those who did not drain stagnant  
337 water around homes will test malaria positive and 76.67% likely that those who drained stagnant  
338 water will test malaria negative. Environmental sanitation remain a main contributing factor in  
339 controlling malaria transmission, especially in rural parts of Cameroon like Foubot.

#### 340 **Conclusions**

341 Some of the malaria preventive measures in the current study did not sufficiently provide  
342 protection against malaria, especially in Foubot which recorded a higher malaria prevalence.  
343 Differences in the effectiveness of preventive measures between Foubot and Bamendjou suggest  
344 the need for Intergrated Vector Management. In order to ensure effective Intergrated Vector  
345 Management, current entomological studies on malaria transmission in these study areas are  
346 necessary. This will provide adequate insight into the behavioral ecology of malaria vectors.  
347 Proper follow-up to ensure effective execution of the recently launched third phase of LLINs mass  
348 distribution campaign in Cameroon is recommended.

#### 349 **List of abbreviations**

350 LLINs; long lasting insecticidal bed nets: ITN; insecticide treated bed nets: RR; relative risk: OR;  
351 odds ratio: AR; attributable risk: LR; likelihood ratio

## 352 **Declarations**

## 353 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

354 The ethical approval for this study was gotten from the Ethical Review Committee of the  
355 University of Bamenda. The ethical clearance numbers are 2020/0148H/UBa/IRB and  
356 2020/0142H/UBa/IRB for data collection in Foubot and Bamendjou respectively. Signed  
357 informed consent was acquired from those who accepted to be enrolled in the study.

## 358 **Consent for publication**

359 Participants' consents were obtained to publish this study.

## 360 **Availability of data and material**

361 All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article (and its supplementary  
362 information files).

## 363 **Competing interests**

364 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## 365 **Funding**

366 This study was funded by the authors.

## 367 **Author's contributions**

368 NO designed the study objectives, questionnaire, analysed and interpreted data collected from the  
369 laboratory analysis and questionnaires. NO also participated in the laboratory analysis and data

370 collection. YC and TM participated in the laboratory analysis and data collection using the  
371 questionnaire. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## 372 **Acknowledgement**

373 Not applicable.

## 374 **References**

- 375 1. Doumbe-Belisse P, Ngadjeu CS, Sonhafouo-Chiana N, Talipouo A, Djamouko-Djonkam L, Kopya E,  
376 et al. High malaria transmission sustained by *Anopheles gambiae* s.l occurring both indoors and outdoors  
377 in the city of Yaoundé, Cameroon. *Wellcome open research*. 2018;3.
- 378 2. Tonye SGM, Kouambeng C, Wounang R, Vounatsou P. Challenges of DHS and MIS to capture the  
379 entire pattern of malaria parasite risk and intervention effects in countries with different ecological  
380 zones: the case of Cameroon. *Malaria journal*. 2018;17(1):156.
- 381 3. Fosso AL. Une politique de santé globale dans l'arène locale. La délivrance des antipaludéens à  
382 Bandjoun au Cameroun. *Anthropologie & développement*. 2015(42-43):161-95.
- 383 4. Bekolo CE, Williams TDA, Ngwabumba P, Ngoube S, editors. A Hang-Up and Behaviour Change  
384 Communication Campaign to Improve Bed Net Use: a Pilot Study from the Locality of Baré-Bakem in  
385 Cameroon. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*; 2019: OXFORD UNIV  
386 PRESS GREAT CLARENDON ST, OXFORD OX2 6DP, ENGLAND.
- 387 5. Antonio-Nkondjio C, Ndo C, Njiokou F, Bigoga JD, Awono-Ambene P, Etang J, et al. Review of  
388 malaria situation in Cameroon: technical viewpoint on challenges and prospects for disease elimination.  
389 *Parasites & vectors*. 2019;12(1):501.
- 390 6. Manguin S. *Anopheles* mosquitoes: new insights into malaria vectors: BoD—Books on Demand;  
391 2013.
- 392 7. Sidiki NN, Payne VK, Cedric Y, Nadia NA. Effect of Impregnated Mosquito Bed Nets on the  
393 Prevalence of Malaria among Pregnant Women in Fouban Subdivision, West Region of Cameroon.  
394 *Journal of Parasitology Research*. 2020;2020.
- 395 8. Bowen HL. Impact of a mass media campaign on bed net use in Cameroon. *Malaria journal*.  
396 2013;12(1):36.
- 397 9. Fokam EB, Dzi KT, Ngimuh L, Enyong P. The effect of long lasting insecticide bed net use on  
398 malaria prevalence in the Tombel Health District, South West Region-Cameroon. *Malaria research and  
399 treatment*. 2016;2016.
- 400 10. Ndo C, Menze-Djantio B, Antonio-Nkondjio C. Awareness, attitudes and prevention of malaria in  
401 the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon). *Parasites & vectors*. 2011;4(1):181.
- 402 11. Kimbi HK, Nkesa SB, Ndamukong-Nyanga JL, Sumbele IUN, Atashili J, Atanga MBS. Socio-  
403 demographic factors influencing the ownership and utilization of insecticide-treated bed nets among  
404 malaria vulnerable groups in the Buea Health District, Cameroon. *BMC research notes*. 2014;7(1):624.
- 405 12. Fokam EB, Kindzeka GF, Ngimuh L, Dzi KT, Wanji S. Determination of the predictive factors of  
406 long-lasting insecticide-treated net ownership and utilisation in the Bamenda Health District of  
407 Cameroon. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1):263.
- 408 13. Ntonifor NH, Veyufambom S. Assessing the effective use of mosquito nets in the prevention of  
409 malaria in some parts of Mezam division, Northwest Region Cameroon. *Malaria journal*. 2016;15(1):390.

- 410 14. Gonahasa S, Maiteki-Sebuguzi C, Rugnao S, Dorsey G, Opigo J, Yeka A, et al. LLIN Evaluation in  
411 Uganda Project (LLINEUP): factors associated with ownership and use of long-lasting insecticidal nets in  
412 Uganda: a cross-sectional survey of 48 districts. *Malaria journal*. 2018;17(1):421.
- 413 15. Antonio-Nkondjio C, Sonhafouo-Chiana N, Ngadjeu C, Doumbe-Belisse P, Talipouo A, Djamouko-  
414 Djonkam L, et al. Review of the evolution of insecticide resistance in main malaria vectors in Cameroon  
415 from 1990 to 2017. *Parasites & vectors*. 2017;10(1):472.
- 416 16. MEVA'A AD. *Revue Canadienne de Géographie* Canadian Journal of Tropical  
417 Geography.
- 418 17. Organization WH. Global report on insecticide resistance in malaria vectors: 2010–2016. 2018.
- 419 18. Samé-Ekobo A. Grands travaux et maladies à vecteurs au Cameroun: impact des aménagements  
420 ruraux et urbains sur le paludisme et autres maladies à vecteurs: IRD éditions; 2018.
- 421 19. Atangana S, Foubi J, Charlois M, Ambroise-Thomas P, Ripert C. Epidemiological study of  
422 onchocerciasis and malaria in Bamendjin dam area (Cameroon). *Malacologic fauna and risks of*  
423 *schistosomian introduction (author's transl)*. *Medecine Tropicale: Revue du Corps de Sante Colonial*.  
424 1979;39(5):537-43.
- 425 20. Kwenti TE, Kwenti TDB, Latz A, Njunda LA, Nkuo-Akenji T. Epidemiological and clinical profile of  
426 paediatric malaria: a cross sectional study performed on febrile children in five epidemiological strata of  
427 malaria in Cameroon. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2017;17(1):499.
- 428 21. Russo G, Faggioni G, Paganotti GM, Dongho GBD, Pomponi A, De Santis R, et al. Molecular  
429 evidence of Plasmodium vivax infection in Duffy negative symptomatic individuals from Dschang, West  
430 Cameroon. *Malaria journal*. 2017;16(1):74.
- 431 22. Tchuinkam T, Simard F, Lélé-Defo E, Téné-Fossog B, Tateng-Ngouateu A, Antonio-Nkondjio C, et  
432 al. Bionomics of Anopheline species and malaria transmission dynamics along an altitudinal transect in  
433 Western Cameroon. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2010;10(1):119.
- 434 23. Amvongo-Adjia N, Wirsy EL, Riveron JM, Ndongmo WPC, Enyong PA, Njiokou F, et al. Bionomics  
435 and vectorial role of anophelines in wetlands along the volcanic chain of Cameroon. *Parasites & vectors*.  
436 2018;11(1):471.
- 437 24. Mfouapon A, Moupou M, Mefire J, Ngapgue J. Economical and environmental hazards of  
438 traditional packing for market garden produce used within the Foubot agricultural region. *VertigO-La*  
439 *Revue Electronique en Sciences de l'Environnement*. 2014;14(3).
- 440 25. Cameroun Jd. Cameroon: Bamendjou council, others encouraged for promoting good  
441 governance. *Journal du Camerouncom*. 2018.
- 442 26. Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in medical research?  
443 *Indian journal of psychological medicine*. 2013;35(2):121.
- 444 27. Organization WH. How to use a Rapid Diagnostic Test (RDT): A guide for training at a village and  
445 clinic level. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. 2009.
- 446 28. Dhiman SK. Malaria control: Behavioural and social aspects. *DRDO Sci Spec*. 2009;183:186.
- 447 29. Farogh A, Qayyum A, Haleem A, Ghaffar A. Haematological abnormalities in malaria. *Biomedica*.  
448 2009;25(1):52-5.
- 449 30. Nlinwe NO, Nange TB. Assessment of Hematological Parameters in Malaria, among Adult  
450 Patients Attending the Bamenda Regional Hospital. *Anemia*. 2020;2020.
- 451 31. Heggenhougen HK, Hacketh V, Vivek P. The behavioural and social aspects of malaria and its  
452 control: an introduction and annotated bibliography: Geneva:: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 453 32. Nlinwe NO, Ateh TAE. Assessment of Malaria Predisposing Factors among Crop Production  
454 Farmers Attending the Ndop District Hospital, Northwest Region of Cameroon. *Journal of Parasitology*  
455 *Research*. 2020;2020.

- 456 33. Carneiro I, Roca-Feltrer A, Griffin JT, Smith L, Tanner M, Schellenberg JA, et al. Age-patterns of  
457 malaria vary with severity, transmission intensity and seasonality in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic  
458 review and pooled analysis. *PloS one*. 2010;5(2):e8988.
- 459 34. van Eijk AM, Hill J, Noor AM, Snow RW, ter Kuile FO. Prevalence of malaria infection in pregnant  
460 women compared with children for tracking malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic  
461 review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2015;3(10):e617-e28.
- 462 35. Bauserman M, Conroy AL, North K, Patterson J, Bose C, Meshnick S, editors. An overview of  
463 malaria in pregnancy. *Seminars in perinatology*; 2019: Elsevier.
- 464 36. SIMON-OKE IA. Prevalence of Malaria Parasites among Pregnant Women and Children under  
465 Five years in Ekiti State, Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Biomedicine and Translational Research*.  
466 2019;5(1):5-10.
- 467 37. Emeka PC. The impact of culture and religion on the healthcare seeking behavior amongst the  
468 residents of Anambra State, Nigeria with regards to malaria treatment: Walden University; 2011.
- 469 38. Maigemu AY, Haji Hassan K. Influence of religion on malaria control practices among household  
470 heads in Zamfara state North West Nigeria. *Journal of Culture, Society and Development*. 2015;10:78-  
471 84.
- 472 39. Choonara S, Odimegwu CO, Elwange BC. Factors influencing the usage of different types of  
473 malaria prevention methods during pregnancy in Kenya. *African health sciences*. 2015;15(2):413-9.
- 474 40. Govere J, Durrheim D, la Grange K, Mabuza A, Booman M. Community knowledge and  
475 perceptions about malaria and practices influencing malaria control in Mpumalanga Province, South  
476 Africa. *South African medical journal*. 2000;90(6):611-8.
- 477 41. Dike N, Onwujekwe O, Ojukwu J, Ikeme A, Uzochukwu B, Shu E. Influence of education and  
478 knowledge on perceptions and practices to control malaria in Southeast Nigeria. *Social science &*  
479 *medicine*. 2006;63(1):103-6.
- 480 42. Mazigo HD, Obasy E, Mauka W, Manyiri P, Zinga M, Kweka EJ, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and  
481 practices about malaria and its control in rural northwest Tanzania. *Malaria Research and Treatment*.  
482 2010;2010.
- 483 43. Rehman AM, Coleman M, Schwabe C, Baltazar G, Matias A, Gomes IR, et al. How much does  
484 malaria vector control quality matter: the epidemiological impact of holed nets and inadequate indoor  
485 residual spraying. *PloS one*. 2011;6(4):e19205.
- 486 44. Boggild A, Brophy J, Charlebois P, Crockett M, Geduld J, Ghesquiere W, et al. Malaria: Summary  
487 of recommendations for the prevention of malaria by the Committee to Advise on Tropical Medicine  
488 and Travel (CATMAT). *Canada Communicable Disease Report*. 2014;40(7):118.
- 489 45. Asidi A, N'Guessan R, Akogbeto M, Curtis C, Rowland M. Loss of household protection from use  
490 of insecticide-treated nets against pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes, Benin. *Emerging infectious diseases*.  
491 2012;18(7):1101.
- 492 46. Edelu B, Ikefuna A, Emodi J, Adimora G. Awareness and use of insecticide-treated bed nets  
493 among children attending outpatient clinic at UNTH, Enugu-the need for an effective mobilization  
494 process. *African Health Sciences*. 2010;10(2).
- 495 47. Lindsay SW, Jawara M, Paine K, Pinder M, Walraven G, Emerson PM. Changes in house design  
496 reduce exposure to malaria mosquitoes. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*. 2003;8(6):512-7.
- 497 48. Okumu FO, Mbeyela E, Lingamba G, Moore J, Ntamatungiro AJ, Kavishe DR, et al. Comparative  
498 field evaluation of combinations of long-lasting insecticide treated nets and indoor residual spraying,  
499 relative to either method alone, for malaria prevention in an area where the main vector is *Anopheles*  
500 *arabiensis*. *Parasites & vectors*. 2013;6(1):1-20.
- 501 49. Ratovonjato J, Randrianarivelojosa M, Rakotondrainibe ME, Raharimanga V, Andrianaivolambo  
502 L, Le Goff G, et al. Entomological and parasitological impacts of indoor residual spraying with DDT,



503 alphacypermethrin and deltamethrin in the western foothill area of Madagascar. *Malaria journal*.  
504 2014;13(1):21.

505 50. Githinji EK, Irungu LW, Ndegwa PN, Machani MG, Amito RO, Kemei BJ, et al. Impact of  
506 Insecticide Resistance on *P. falciparum* Vectors' Biting, Feeding, and Resting Behaviour in Selected  
507 Clusters in Teso North and South Subcounties in Busia County, Western Kenya. *Journal of Parasitology*  
508 *Research*. 2020;2020.

509 51. Benelli G, Beier JC. Current vector control challenges in the fight against malaria. *Acta Tropica*.  
510 2017;174:91-6.

511 52. Wilson AL, Chen-Hussey V, Logan JG, Lindsay SW. Are topical insect repellents effective against  
512 malaria in endemic populations? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Malaria journal*.  
513 2014;13(1):446.

514 53. Katz TM, Miller JH, Hebert AA. Insect repellents: historical perspectives and new developments.  
515 *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 2008;58(5):865-71.

516 54. Gryseels C, Uk S, Sluydts V, Durnez L, Phoeuk P, Suon S, et al. Factors influencing the use of  
517 topical repellents: implications for the effectiveness of malaria elimination strategies. *Scientific reports*.  
518 2015;5:16847.

519 55. Etang J, Fondjo E, Chandre F, Morlais I, Brengues C, Nwane P, et al. First report of knockdown  
520 mutations in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* from Cameroon. *The American journal of tropical*  
521 *medicine and hygiene*. 2006;74(5):795-7.

522 56. Graves PM, Richards FO, Ngondi J, Emerson PM, Shargie EB, Endeshaw T, et al. Individual,  
523 household and environmental risk factors for malaria infection in Amhara, Oromia and SNNP regions of  
524 Ethiopia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 2009;103(12):1211-20.

525 57. Chen-Hussey V, Carneiro I, Keomanila H, Gray R, Bannavong S, Phanalasy S, et al. Can topical  
526 insect repellents reduce malaria? A cluster-randomised controlled trial of the insect repellent N,  
527 N-diethyl-m-toluamide (DEET) in Lao PDR. *PloS one*. 2013;8(8):e70664.

528 58. Raghavendra K, Barik TK, Reddy BN, Sharma P, Dash AP. Malaria vector control: from past to  
529 future. *Parasitology research*. 2011;108(4):757-79.

530 59. Amoran O, Onwumbe O, Salami O, Mautin G. The influence of environmental sanitation on  
531 prevalence of malaria in a rural town in south-western Nigeria. *Nigerian journal of medicine: journal of*  
532 *the National Association of Resident Doctors of Nigeria*. 2014;23(3):254-62.

533 60. Nkuo-Akenji T, Ntonifor NN, Ndukum MB, Kimbi HK, Abongwa EL, Nkwescheu A, et al.  
534 Environmental factors affecting malaria parasite prevalence in rural Bolifamba, South-West Cameroon.  
535 *African journal of health sciences*. 2006;13(1):40-6.

536 61. Kimbi HK, Nana Y, Sumbele IN, Anchang-Kimbi JK, Lum E, Tonga C, et al. Environmental factors  
537 and preventive methods against malaria parasite prevalence in rural Bomaka and urban Molyko,  
538 Southwest Cameroon. *J Bacteriol Parasitol*. 2013;4(162):4172.

539 62. Minakawa N, Dida GO, Sonye GO, Futami K, Njenga SM. Malaria vectors in Lake Victoria and  
540 adjacent habitats in western Kenya. *PloS one*. 2012;7(3):e32725.

541 63. Anchang-Kimbi JK, Nkweti VN, Ntonifor HN, Apinjoh TO, Tata RB, Chi HF, et al. *Plasmodium*  
542 *falciparum* parasitaemia and malaria among pregnant women at first clinic visit in the mount Cameroon  
543 Area. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2015;15(1):439.

544