Positive Mental Imagery in the Intervention of Negative Mood: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Wenjing Zhou
Southwest University

Peizhi Li
Southwest University

Xu Lei
Southwest University

yuanyh (✉ yuanyh@swu.edu.cn)
Southwest University https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9038-9296

Research article

Keywords: Positive mental imagery, Depression & Anxiety, Vividness, Likelihood

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-60071/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License
Abstract

Background

The aim of this study was to explore the intervention effect of positive mental imagery training on college students’ negative emotions in adults with depression trend.

Methods

A 2 (group: training group, non-training group) and 4 (time: pre-, post-, 1-week follow-up, and 6-week follow-up) mixed design was used in the present study, with the group as between-subjects factor and the time as within-subjects factor. Forty-nine participants with depressive tendency were randomly assigned to either the imagery training group or the non-training group. Except for negative interpretive bias were assessed before and after training, participants’ depression and anxiety symptoms, vividness and likelihood of mental imagery were assessed at all four time points.

Results

Compared to the pre-training, imagery group reported improvement in depression and trait anxiety scores and the likelihood of positive imagery across subsequent three assessments, and showed the improvement in the vividness of positive imagery at post-training. However, there was no significant between-group difference was found in negative interpretation bias.

Conclusions

The positive mental imagery training could effectively promote negative emotions and anxiety in adults with depression trend after 1-week training, and the effects of mental imagery could at least last for 6 weeks, which provides a choice for self-regulation in daily life and is a promising way of mental health care. Often imagine a positive future, at least to some extent, good for our physical and mental health.

Background

Depression is one of the mood disorders, usually manifests as persistent low mood, pessimism, irritability and a sense of emptiness. It is also accompanied with a variety of cognitive disorders and may prevent patients from involving in daily-life activities (1), about 300 million people around the world is suffering from it (2), and about 95 million people have been diagnosed with depression in China, most of whom do not receive any professional-support (3). In addition, a previous study showed that 20% of Chinese college students met the diagnostic criteria for mild to major depression (4). Depression increases the global burden in coping with diseases and brings great suffering to patients and their families (5, 6). Therefore, a cost-effective and feasible training for individuals with depression is urgently needed.

In recent years, the rise of cognitive bias modification (CBM), which is an imagery-based CBM technique, has demonstrated a promising future for the training on depression (7). Imagery-based CBM technique primarily as an intervention focusing on mental imagery, which was used to selectively target on cognitive bias, leading to a significant reduction in depressive mood and depression-related symptoms (8–12).

Mental imagery refers to the perceptual experience generated by memory in the absence of direct external stimulation (13), like a “weak” form of perception (14), which was often described as seeing with the mind’s eye, hearing with the mind’s ear and so forth (15). Mental imagery, which can be voluntary or involuntary, usually involves remembering the past or imagining the future, also known as mental time travel (16). With stronger emotional, physical, and behavioral responses, involuntary imagery allows us to remember the past, plan for the future, and make decisions, and thus may have an important influence...
on guiding our thoughts and behaviors (17). In fact, mental imagery has always been regarded as the core part of cognitive behavior theory as well as core training in coping with mental problems. Positive mental imagery training mainly asked participants to repeatedly describe the positive things in their mind, so as to decrease negative emotions. Compared with verbal thoughts, mental imagery enable to evocate stronger emotions (18), and emotion-related processes in the brain may be particularly sensitive to mental imagery (14).

Cognitive vulnerability, such as negative cognitive style is one of the risk factors of depression (19). According to Beck’s hypothesis, depression is a biased thinking and emotional disorder. Its emotional, cognitive, behavioral, and biological symptoms are all caused by excessive negative views on the self, the world and the future (20, 21). What people were thinking was a better predictor of their happiness than was what they were doing (22). Specifically, depressed individuals have negative biases in many aspects (23, 24), it is hard to imagine a positive future, and they are easy to fall into the ruminating of thinking, becoming more unhappy and pessimistic about life. In addition, cognition plays a vital role in the development and maintenance of depression and anxiety (23, 25). For example, people with depression and anxiety have a negative view on the future and impoverished vividness of mental imagery (26, 27). They usually suffer from negative intrusive imagery with the lack of positive mental imagery and have difficulty imagining positive events in the future as well as have negative interpretation bias (27, 28).

Positive mental imagery can reduce paranoia and anxiety and enhance positive emotions, self-esteem and self-compassion (29). In addition, mental imagery enables people to have meaningful experiences and improve their mental and physical health (30). In a pilot study conducted in Iran, after 1-week daily mental imagery training treatment-seeking individuals with major depression has showed less depressed emotions and improvements in overall mental health (10). Positive mental imagery training can also enhance vividness of positive imagery, may play a role in improving the intensity and emotional quality of the future imagery activities (31). Study have found that the more vivid participants described the visualizing positive scenarios over the training, the fewer depressive symptoms they would have (9). In a study of bipolar disorders, researchers found that greater vividness of mental imagery during the experimental task was associated with greater amplification of positive moods. Therefore, imagery vividness can be seen as valued observation index in psychological interventions (32). Furthermore, the likelihood of imagery should also be taken into account in positive mental imagery training because previous studies have showed that likelihood of positive events is a negative predictor of depression and anxiety (26, 33). More specifically, with lower the likelihood of positive events, participants would have greater sense of hopelessness (34). For example, individuals with depression and anxiety rated positive prospective events were less likely to occur and less vivid either (26). Based on the discussion above, we suspected that positive mental imagery training may be a good option to alleviate depressive symptoms. However, most of previous studies were based on western cultural background. The counterparts conducted in the context of non-English-speaking country were not many (10). As far as we know, a recent pilot study of positive mental imagery training conducted in China, by computerizing, found that after four weeks of training, mental imagery improved depressive symptoms, mood, and maladaptive thinking style in Chinese college students (35).

The current study has followed initiatives compared with previous studies: Firstly, since anxiety and depression are linked to cognitive biases on negative materials which affects how people react to past, present and future events (26). Studies have also found that imagining the best self can increase the level of optimism (36). Therefore, the training materials used in this study oriented to imagining a better self in the future which was more self-related. Secondly, in previous positive mental imagery training, participants were often asked to repeatedly practice imagining positive solutions to ambiguous cues, in cultivating a more positive bias in daily life. In the present study, to focus more on positive bias, we specified the positive imaginary scene instead of the ambiguous materials, for participants to imagine. In addition, during the imagery training, participants were asked to write down the details of their imagery scenarios on paper within a specified period of time, so as to reinforce the tendency of using positive mental imagery in their daily life. Lastly, in mental imagery researches, the perspective of the imagery may modulate the emotional influence of the imagery, having received particular attention (17). Some studies found that, field-perspective imagery can evoke more emotional influence than observer perspective imagery.
Therefore, the current study controlled the perspective of the imagery, and instructed participants to practice from a field-perspective.

Participants were randomized to receive 1 week of positive mental imagery training or control training conditions. At the baseline (pre-training), the end of training (post-training), and 2 follow-ups (1-week follow-up, 6-week follow-up), participants’ depression and anxiety symptoms, negative interpretive bias and vividness and likelihood of mental imagery were assessed.

The aim of the current study was to explore the intervention effect of positive mental imagery training on college students’ negative emotions in adults with depression trend, namely, whether 1-week positive mental imagery training is effective in boosting positive effect and easing negative emotions in adults with depressive tendency or not. We hypothesized that: positive mental imagery training would decrease the depression and anxiety symptoms, improve the vividness and likelihood of positive imagery about the future, and the negative interpretive bias might be decreased. In addition, we try to explore the after-effects of 1-week positive mental imagery training.

**Methods**

**Participants**

In order to recruit qualified participants, online questionnaires were sent out to Southwest University undergraduates and graduate students through SO JUMP. Participants who did not meet the requirements were eliminated (BDI-II scores ≥ 15; VVIQ scores ≥ 2) (see Fig. 1 for details), the final number for analysis was 49 (age: 20.88 ± 2.72). 26 of whom were randomly assigned to imagery group (age: 20.15 ± 2.19), and 23 were in control group (age: 21.67 ± 3.04). All participants were right-handed and had normal vision or corrected vision. At the end of the experiment, participants in imagery group were paid 80 RMB, while the control group was paid 40 RMB. Demographic information for the participants is presented in Table 1. The current study was approved by the Southwest University Ethics Committee.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intervention group (n = 26)</th>
<th>Control group (No-training) (n = 23)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years, M ± SD)</td>
<td>20.15 ± 2.19</td>
<td>20.41 ± 2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18 (69.23%)</td>
<td>16 (69.57%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8 (30.77%)</td>
<td>7 (30.43%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study design**

The present study adopted a mixed design with the time as within-subjects variable (pre- and post-training: 1-week follow-up; 6-week follow-up, namely f 1 and f 2) and the groups as between-subjects variable (training group with imagery-focused positive training; no-training group with no any training). The dependent variables were state measures of depressive symptoms, anxiety, interpretive bias, and the ability to imagine future. The training group and no-training group had not participated in any similar training before and the interval between the pre- and post-training was 1 week. Following the baseline assessment, participants in the imagery condition received practice in imagery and then completed a session (with 4 trials) of imagery-focused positive training every day in the lab. The training method was paper-and-pencil, and daily training task was different. Participants of non-training group lived as usual after the baseline assessment and were asked to return to the laboratory for assessment only 1 week after.
Depression and anxiety symptoms, interpretation bias, the ability to imagine future were measured before and after the 1-week training. Depression and anxiety symptoms, the ability to imagine future were measured again at 1-week and 6-week follow-up after the training.

**Measurements**

*Vividness of Visual Imagery Questionnaire, (VVIQ)* **(39)** VVIQ has 16 items, using a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (no image at all) to 5 (image clear and vivid as a perception). VVIQ is one of the most common tools to measure how vividly degree of a visual imagine people can create in their mind, where participants were asked to envision four different scenes (e.g. The colors and shapes of the trees.” (39). Higher total scores of VVIQ show vivid imagery. In the study, VVIQ was used to select out participants with similar imagination at the baseline (score ≥ 2). The VVIQ used in this study has good validity and reliability with the Cronbach's α = 0.88.

*Beck Depression Inventory-Second Edition (BDI-II)* **(40)** Depressive symptoms within 2 weeks are assessed by BDI-II, which is well established and widely used in the measurement and evaluation of depression. It consists of 21 items, in which each item scores from 0 to 3 for a total of 0 to 63. Scores are classified as: 0–13 is minimal depression; 14–19 is mild depression; 20–28 was moderately depressed, and 29–63 is severely depressed. The Chinese version BDI-II has been widely used to measure the severity of depression in Chinese (41). The BDI-II used in this study has good validity and reliability with the Cronbach's α = 0.79.

*Positive and Negative Affective Scale (PANAS)* **(42)** PANAS is used to measure the intensity positive and negative emotions at present moment, which is a self-reported questionnaire that include two 10-item scales (43). In current study a Chinese revised version of PANAS was used (42). It consists of 18 items, divided into two demens positive and negative emotions, which are positive and negative. The Chinese version of PANAS showed good internal consistency α = 0.85 and α = 0.84, respectively. The internal consistency of the positive and negative affect scales in this study were Cronbach's α = 0.84 and α = 0.77, respectively.

*State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)* **(44)** The STAI is a questionnaire consisting of two 20 items in each section. The first 20 items are used to measure state anxiety, and the second 20 items are used to measure trait anxiety. Respondents rated the items on a 4-point Likert scale (0 represents “almost never” to 4 represents “always”). The trait measure with good validity and reliability, Cronbach's α = 0.72.

*Scrambled Sentences Test (SST)* **(45)** This is a paper-based test, developed to measure the implicit interpretation bias of depressed individuals. Before the test, participants were presented with 4 digits and asked to remember them. Then, within 4 minutes, they were asked to use scrambled words (e.g. I, many, friends, have, mistakes) used to construct a complete sentence, which could contain either negative (e.g. I have many mistakes) or positive (e.g. I have many friends) information. The sentence with negative valence is recorded as 1 point, while the one with positive valence is not scored. The final negative cognition score is the proportion of the number of negative sentence in all the sentences. In this study, all test materials were translated into Chinese with good validity and reliability, Cronbach's α = 0.82.

*Prospective Imagery Task (PIT)* **(46)** The PIT test is used to measure participants’ ability to imagine future events and their attitudes towards the future. This paper-based test included both positive and negative events (10 items for each, e.g. positive: I will get good grades; negative: I will have an argument with a friend). Participants required to imagine from a field perspective, and the vividness of the event and the likelihood of the event were rated at 7-points Likert scale [Vividness from 1 (no image at all) to 7 (very vivid)\]; likelihood: 1 (not at all likely to occur) to 7 (extremely likely to occur)]. Since current study is future-oriented, in order to control participants’ differences in their definition of “the future”, the study added an evaluation on the length of time before the future come. (e.g. When do you think this will happen? A week later, A year later, or 3–5 years later). The scale is divided into three sub-scales, and the average score for positive and negative items is calculated respectively. The internal consistency of the different subscales affect scales in PIT were Cronbach's α = 0.79, α = 0.80 and, α = 0.82, respectively.
Positive imagery training

Before the formal training, a questionnaire was designed to collect the life events of non-participants and sent out through internet. A life-event library for the formal experiment was therefore established. A total 828 life events were collected, among which, 747 repeated events were eliminated, and 28 events close to participants’ daily life were selected. And each life event was further processed into a simple sentence (e.g. Run into an old friend who I haven’t seen for many years, feeling very happy).

When the participants arrived at the laboratory, they were first explained what were mental imagery and field-perspective imagery, and were asked to imagine from a field-perspective in the following training (as if actively involved and seeing it through their own eyes) (47). Then, participants were given an introduction on mental imagery and asked to practice in generating mental imagery, with guidance on how to use it from the researcher (e.g., cutting a lemon. First imagine a lemon, imagine the color and shape of the lemon; second, imagine holding a knife in your hand, you cut the lemon, and can smell the taste of the lemon; Lastly, imagine you pick up the cut lemon, look it up closely, squeeze it with your hands so the lemon juice splashes into your eyes (you feel a little sting). After participants completed the practice session, the formal training started, which consisted of four positive events. Participants were asked to imagine the process of the event in a field-perspective and write it down with as many details as possible. In all sessions, participants were asked to rate ‘How vividly degree of imagining the described scenario could you?’ , from 1 (not at all vivid) to 7 (extremely vivid); ‘How difficult of imagining the described scenario could you?’ , from 1 (very difficult) to 7 (very easy); ‘how pleasure would you be if the described scenario come true?’ , from 1 (not at all) to 7 (very pleasant) after each trial. All tasks were performed at the behavior-observation lab, lasting for approximately 30 minutes, with 1–2 minutes of rest between each training session.

Procedure

Participants who met the requirements were randomly assigned to either the training group or the non-training group. Participants in the training group were invited to participate in seven-day positive mental imagery training. When they arrived at the lab, they were instructed to complete a pre-training questionnaire, in the order of “BDI-II – PANAS – STAI-T – SST – PIT”, and then began the training. After 1 week training, completed a post-training questionnaire in the same order. Participants in non-training group only need to come to the lab at the first day to complete pre-training and come back to complete the assessment (post-training) 1 week later, in the same order. After post-training, all participants requested to complete online questionnaires at home for 1-week follow-up/6-week follow-up (See Fig. 2 for the process).

Statistical Analysis

Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted to assess the effect of training and follow-up. First of all, to explore training effect, a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA was used to examine the change differences between target variables across the two time-points between two groups, in which group was between-factor (training group vs. no-training group) and time was within-factor (pre-, post-training) after the formal training. Secondly, 4 × 2 mixed model ANOVA was conducted on the follow-up effect, with time (pre–post–if 1–if 2) as the within-subject factor and group (training vs. no-training group) as between-subject factors. These statistical analyses were carried out by IBM SPSS Statistics 22. The p-values were adjusted for sphericity using the Greenhouse–Geisser method. Post-hoc t-tests used Bonferroni adjustments for multiple comparisons.

Results

Manipulation Check

There was no significant difference in pleasure, difficulty, likelihood between the 7-day training, illustrating that the material of daily training was homogeneous. Table 4 shows the descriptive statistics of the imagery group’s one-week training materials.
Table 2
The descriptive statistics of the imagery group’s training material for one week.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(M ± SD)</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Days 2</th>
<th>Days 3</th>
<th>Days 4</th>
<th>Days 5</th>
<th>Days 6</th>
<th>Days 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleasure</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.80</td>
<td>5.57 ± 0.72</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.50</td>
<td>5.68 ± 0.69</td>
<td>5.77 ± 0.54</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.68</td>
<td>5.87 ± 0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty</td>
<td>5.20 ± 0.97</td>
<td>5.02 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.82 ± 0.86</td>
<td>4.94 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>4.94 ± 1.32</td>
<td>4.51 ± 0.58</td>
<td>4.51 ± 1.10</td>
<td>4.87 ± 0.87</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.80</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.74</td>
<td>5.25 ± 0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All participants completed the post-training evaluation, and there was no significant difference in the follow-up loss rate between the training group and no-training group. Table 3 shows the correlations between baseline measures.

Table 3
Correlations between participant characteristics and outcome measures at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1BDI-II</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PANAS-P</td>
<td>-3.71**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3PANAS-N</td>
<td>0.421**</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4STAI-T</td>
<td>0.467**</td>
<td>-0.522**</td>
<td>0.518**</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5SST</td>
<td>0.385**</td>
<td>-0.422**</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>-0.264</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6PIT-P-V</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7PIT-N-V</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.317*</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8PIT-P-L</td>
<td>-0.237</td>
<td>0.501**</td>
<td>-0.050</td>
<td>-0.375**</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.340*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9PIT-N-L</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.531**</td>
<td>-0.161</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10PIT-P-T</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>-0.207</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>-0.503</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>-0.321*</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11PIT-N-T</td>
<td>-0.027</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>0.335*</td>
<td>-0.337*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The descriptive statistics and statistical analysis results of all measurements for two groups at different time are shown in Table 4.
Table 4
Results at pre-training, post-training, and follow-up across conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-(Pre-training)</th>
<th>Post-(1 week after pre-training)</th>
<th>F-1 (1 week after post-training)</th>
<th>F-2 (6 weeks after post-training)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDI-II***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>19.50 ± 5.56</td>
<td>14.58 ± 5.40***</td>
<td>13.00 ± 9.08***</td>
<td>12.74 ± 7.36***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>17.74 ± 5.70</td>
<td>19.00 ± 5.62</td>
<td>16.30 ± 9.22</td>
<td>14.74 ± 6.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANAS –P**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>23.04 ± 6.06</td>
<td>26.00 ± 7.01*</td>
<td>25.28 ± 7.49</td>
<td>25.48 ± 7.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>25.74 ± 6.56</td>
<td>22.43 ± 6.24*</td>
<td>25.60 ± 9.05</td>
<td>25.58 ± 8.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANAS-N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>22.65 ± 6.61</td>
<td>20.69 ± 6.46**</td>
<td>21.48 ± 7.61</td>
<td>22.35 ± 7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>22.65 ± 6.61</td>
<td>21.30 ± 7.36</td>
<td>22.25 ± 7.43</td>
<td>24.11 ± 6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-T*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>53.46 ± 7.82</td>
<td>51.38 ± 7.15</td>
<td>48.48 ± 6.39</td>
<td>48.43 ± 7.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>49.96 ± 8.18</td>
<td>53.57 ± 7.23*</td>
<td>51.05 ± 6.13</td>
<td>52.05 ± 9.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>8.00 ± 3.59</td>
<td>7.42 ± 3.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>6.96 ± 2.92</td>
<td>7.35 ± 4.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT-P-V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>35.31 ± 5.51</td>
<td>39.58 ± 5.57</td>
<td>38.05 ± 5.01</td>
<td>38.50 ± 5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>37.17 ± 6.91</td>
<td>36.91 ± 5.66</td>
<td>36.73 ± 5.27</td>
<td>35.15 ± 3.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT-N-V</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training group</td>
<td>34.62 ± 4.90</td>
<td>37.27 ± 6.14</td>
<td>35.77 ± 4.55</td>
<td>35.82 ± 5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-training group</td>
<td>33.43 ± 5.08</td>
<td>34.17 ± 6.62</td>
<td>33.99 ± 5.62</td>
<td>33.12 ± 4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIT-P-L***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BDI-II – Beck’s Depression Inventory- Second Edition; PANAS – Positive and Negative Affect Scale, -P – Positive, -N – Negative; STAI-T – Trait Anxiety Inventory; SST- Scrambled Sentences Test; PIT – Prospective Imagery Task, -P – Positive, -V – Vividness, -L – Likelihood, -T – Sense of Time.

*p < 0.05  **p < 0.01  ***p < 0.001
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-(Pre-training)</th>
<th>Post-(1 week after pre-training)</th>
<th>F-1 (1 week after post-training)</th>
<th>F-2 (6 weeks after post-training)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training group</strong></td>
<td>31.23 ± 4.63</td>
<td>35.23 ± 5.31***</td>
<td>33.67 ± 5.86**</td>
<td>32.67 ± 4.28*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No-training group</strong></td>
<td>34.78 ± 5.21</td>
<td>34.83 ± 4.66</td>
<td>33.64 ± 5.34</td>
<td>34.35 ± 4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIT-N-L</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training group</strong></td>
<td>31.65 ± 4.56</td>
<td>34.48 ± 5.49*</td>
<td>31.58 ± 4.23</td>
<td>32.49 ± 4.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No-training group</strong></td>
<td>30.70 ± 5.56</td>
<td>31.39 ± 6.69</td>
<td>30.23 ± 6.34</td>
<td>29.87 ± 6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIT-P-T</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training group</strong></td>
<td>20.40 ± 3.61</td>
<td>20.48 ± 1.00</td>
<td>22.12 ± 3.28</td>
<td>22.32 ± 3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No-training group</strong></td>
<td>18.96 ± 3.65</td>
<td>19.22 ± 3.36</td>
<td>20.45 ± 3.19</td>
<td>20.79 ± 3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIT-N-T</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training group</strong></td>
<td>21.25 ± 3.52</td>
<td>21.81 ± 3.51</td>
<td>23.39 ± 3.06</td>
<td>23.00 ± 3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No-training group</strong></td>
<td>22.13 ± 3.49</td>
<td>22.00 ± 3.64</td>
<td>22.30 ± 3.42</td>
<td>22.58 ± 3.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BDI-II – Beck’s Depression Inventory- Second Edition; PANAS – Positive and Negative Affect Scale, -P – Positive, -N – Negative; STAI-T – Trait Anxiety Inventory; SST- Scrambled Sentences Test; PIT – Prospective Imagery Task, -P – Positive, -V – Vividness, -L – Likelihood, -T – Sense of Time.

* p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01 *** p < 0.001

### Training effect analysis

#### Questionnaire measures

Repeated-measures ANOVAs were conducted to assess the effect of training on questionnaire measures. There were significant main effects of time or interactions between time × group across the following measures: BDI-II [Time: F (1, 47) = 6.33, p = 0.015, \( \eta^2 = 0.12 \); Time × Group: F (1, 47) = 18.03, p < 0.001, \( \eta^2 = 0.28 \)]; PANAS positive subscale [Time × Group: F (1, 47) = 11.93, p = 0.001, \( \eta^2 = 0.20 \)]; PANAS negative subscale [Time: F (1, 47) = 8.00, p = 0.007, \( \eta^2 = 0.15 \); STAI-T [Time × Group: F (1, 47) = 6.93, p = 0.011, \( \eta^2 = 0.13 \)] (see Fig. 3 and Table 4). However, there were no main effects of time or interactions between time × group on the SST. These significant effects of time and interactions reflected decreased scores on the BDI-II \( M = 4.92, SD = 1.00, p < 0.001 \) in training group, PANAS positive subscale \( M = 3.30, SD = 1.32, p = 0.016 \) in no-training group, and increased scores on the PANAS positive subscale \( M = -2.96, SD = 2.00, p = 0.016 \) in training group and \( M = -3.61, SD = 1.57, p = 0.026 \) in no-training group.

#### Prospective Imagery Task

Ratings for positive and negative scenarios were analyzed in separate 2-way ANOVAs across different subscales of PIT, with time and group as factors. Results were as follows: Vividness positive scenarios [Time × Group: F (1, 47) = 3.18, p = 0.08, \( \eta^2 = 0.06 \) (marginally)]; Likelihood positive scenarios [Time: F(1, 47) = 20.52, p < 0.001, \( \eta^2 = 0.30 \); Time × Group: F(1, 47) = 19.65, p < 0.001, \( \eta^2 = 0.30 \)] (see Fig. 3 and Table 4). These significant effects of time and interactions reflected increased scores on the
vividness positive scenarios (M= -4.27, SD = 1.74, p = 0.02) and likelihood positive scenarios (M= -4.00, SD = 6.12, p < 0.001) within training group. However, there were no main effects of time or interactions between time × group in time of occurrence.

Follow-up effect analysis

Questionnaire measures

Only those who provided follow-up data are included in following analyses, during the follow-up process, 3 (11.54%) people were lost in training group and 4 (17.39%) people were lost in no-training group. Finally, 23 people in the training group and 19 people in the no-training group were included.(see Fig. 1).

4 × 2 mixed model ANOVA was conducted on the follow-up. There were significant main effects of time or interactions between time × group across the following measures: BDI–II [Time: F(3, 120) = 10.30, p < 0.001, η² = 0.21; Time × Group: F (3,120) = 5.24, p = 0.002, η² = 0.12];STAI-T [Time × Group: F (3,120) = 2.69, p = 0.005, η² = 0.06]. These significant effects of time and interactions showed significant decrease scores on BDI–II (training group: pre- to post-: M = 7.52, SD = 1.33, p < 0.001, pre- to f 2: M = 6.87, SD = 1.48, p < 0.001; no-training group: post- to f 2, M = 4.90, SD = 1.45, p = 0.002); STAI-T (training group: pre- to f 1: M = 5.04, SD = 1.74, p = 0.01, pre- to f 2: M = 5.09, SD = 2.42, p = 0.04) (see Fig. 4 and Table 4).

Prospective Imagery Task

4 × 2 mixed model ANOVA showed there were significant main effect of time or interaction between time and groups across different subscales of PIT. Vividness [Time × group : F(3, 120) = 2.74, p = 0.047, η² = 0.06], vividness negative scenarios [Time: F (3, 120) = 3.21, p = 0.03, η² = 0.07]. The vividness scores were significantly improved at the different time points after training! (training group : pre- to post-: M = -6.83, SD = 2.29, p = 0.005; pre- to f 1: M = -4.68, SD = 2.06, p = 0.029; pre- to f 2: M = -5.51, SD = 2.20, p = 0.027; no-training group: pre- to f 2: M = -2.95, SD = 1.35, p = 0.035). Likelihood: positive scenarios [Time: F(3, 120) = 3.15; p = 0.03, η² = 0.07];Time × group: F(3, 120) = 6.39; p < 0.001, η² = 0.14]; Negative scenarios [Time: F(3, 120) = 5.49; p = 0.001, η² = 0.12]. These significant main effect of time or interaction showed a significant increase on likelihood of training group (pre- to post-: M = -4.04, SD = 0.60, p < 0.001; pre- to f 1: M = -2.24, SD = 0.82, p = 0.01; pre- to f 2: M = -1.84, SD = 0.80, p = 0.03). For time of occurrence in positive scenarios, there was only a significant main effect of time [F (3, 120) = 6.59, p < 0.001, η² = 0.14] (see Fig. 4 and Table 4).

Discussion

The present study sought to explore the training effect of positive mental imagery on college students' negative emotions, which conducted in a different way from traditional imagery training (visualize positive future events directly), which is the first laboratory intervention study in China to test the effect of positive mental imagery training on negative mood and anxiety.

As what we predicted, compared to no-training groups, we found that BDI-II scores were reduced in post-training, 1-week follow-up and 6-week follow-up in training group, which suggested positive imagery training could help participants lighten their depressive symptoms and such an effect remained for 6 week after the training. Previous studies showed that mental imagery can trigger a range of emotional responses, serving as an “emotional amplifier” (48): affecting motivation and behaviors. It makes imagery a powerful facilitator in bringing cognitive, affective, and behavioral change in positive way (49): enhancing the level of anticipated pleasure (50). It tends to evokes stronger subjective emotional responses, compared to those triggered by verbal processing patterns, in both physiological, neurological layer that tend to be stronger than those triggered by (13). Materials used in the study also implied to imagine the best self in the future which were consisted with the findings of Meevissen' study that imagining one's best self can enhance optimistic level (36), and have stronger positive affect when viewing positive visualized stimuli (51). Therefore, participants in the training group may have more positive moods after positive imagery training. In addition, we found a decrease score of depression in no-training group across through pre-training to follow-up. Overall, the BDI-II scores of two groups were decreasing over time, which manifested by less
negative emotions. Even though time may heal all wounds, the increase in positive emotions was more pronounced in the training group than in the no-training group.

After the training, the positive emotions that measured by PANAS in the training group were significantly improved, while the positive emotions in the no-training group were decreased, which consistent with previous findings (8, 52). The finding indicated that the positive mental imagery effectively improve the emotional state and has an immediate effect on the improvement of negative emotions in the short term.

The trait anxiety score of post-training was increased compared to the pre-training in no-training group, while there was no same effect in training group. Considering that the training began at the beginning of the new semester, with the intervention, participants began to enter into busy study, and the academic pressure led to anxiety, so the anxiety score increased. However, the anxiety score of the training group did not change, which may indicate that the positive mental imagery training played a buffering role on the anxiety state caused by the increased academic pressure, which can help the subjects to relieve anxiety and cope with learning stress. Consistently, anxiety scores decreased significantly in training group during follow-up questionnaires from 1 week to 6 weeks, while no-training group maintained their original high anxiety state. These results further demonstrate the effect of positive imagery on the improvement of anxiety, and demonstrate that positive mental imagery not only has an immediate effect, but also has an after-effect lasting for 6 weeks, which fitted with previous studies, mental imagery could reduce anxiety (8, 10, 47).

For the imagery vividness, we found that there was a marginally improvement in the vividness of positive from pre- to post-training within the training group, but the improvement was not found in either 1-week or 6-week follow-up. However, the improvement in the vividness remained the same while the depression scores decreased. The finding suggested that the training was effective in some extent. The ability to vividly imagine possible positive events in one's future has been found associated with higher levels of optimism (53, 54). In addition, imagery vividness can predict the degree of reduction in depression symptoms, namely, the more vividly could participants describe mentally visualized positive scenarios, the more positive mood was applied (32) with fewer depressive symptoms (9, 26). Imagery vividness can increases the level of behavioral activation. In the positive imagery condition, more vivid the imagined training scenarios was reported during the training, the level of behavioral activation would increase more, affecting emotional state as result (55). Although in the present study, the increase in different valence of vividness of training group was marginal, the vividness score increase from baseline to follow-up was significant and the positive moods showed a tendency to increase in line with vividness.

In addition, we found that there was a significant increase in the likelihood of positive event form pre-training to follow up. Lopez-Perez et al found that likelihood of positive events is a negative predictor of depression and anxiety (33), the lower the likelihood of positive events, the greater sense of hopelessness (34). In fact, depression is often associated with cognitive vulnerability (5), difficulty in imagining a positive future, and low likelihood of future positive events to occur (26). Participants were asked to imagine a positive future and goal in order to increase their positive emotions and reinforce the belief of realizing positive goals, thus increasing the likelihood of taking actions to achieve these goals and thereby elevating their moods (56). Repeating the practice of generating positive mental imagery can promote the activation of self-reported behaviors and enjoyment in the daily life (50, 55, 57). Therefore, the results of these analyses suggest increase in the likelihood of positive event is consistent with reduced depression tendency and anxiety. After 1-week training, instead of pessimism, participants felt hopefully for the future.

There was no significant difference in interpretation bias between two groups, measured by the Scrambled Sentences Task, which was inconsistent with our hypothesis. The findings are consistent with previous studies (47, 58). However, there are some previous studies have found that positive mental imagery training could lead to greater improvements in interpretive bias (10, 59). The first reason may be that instead of giving the ambiguous materials, participants were directly given the positive results, actually the process of cognitive training was missed, therefore do not really correct the cognitive bias. As Clarke et al. have argued, the mere act of imagining positive (or negative) events is unlikely to change the interpretation bias, unless emotional valence of the scenarios consistent with the ambiguity task (58). Secondly, participants in our study are
whose with depressive symptoms but far from clinical level, and maybe only have weak negative cognitive bias, lead to the floor effect for SST score and the positive vividness scores.

There are several limitations for this study. First, most previous studies were conducted on clinically diagnosed patients but participants in this study were non-clinically depressed college students. Second, the sample size of the participants in the study was relative small. Future studies could use as large sample as possible to improve the ecological validity of the experimental results. Third, this study is only a behavioral study, without evidence of electrophysiology or fMRI.

**Conclusion**

These results of this study suggest that 1-week training of mental imagery can reduce depressive symptoms, improve mood states, alleviate anxiety and make people feel more confident about the future, and some effects even lasted for 6 weeks. Moreover, positive mental imagery is easy to implement and easy to operate, which provides a choice for self-regulation in daily life and is a promising way of mental health care. Often envision a positive future, at least to some extent, is good for our physical and mental health. Therefore, in our daily life, when we are feeling anxious and depressed, pessimistic about the future, we might as well take some time to separate ourselves from the present and imagine a bright and hopeful future, which will help us to heal the negative emotions in the present and increase our confidence in the future.
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