

Perspectives and Attitudes of Emergency Medicine Educators Towards E-Assessment Technologies

Göksu Bozdereli Berikol (✉ gokxsu@hotmail.com)

Bakırköy Dr.Sadi Konuk Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi

Büğra İlhan

Bakırköy Dr.Sadi Konuk Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi

Cem Oktay

Akdeniz University

Research Article

Keywords: medical education, e-assessments, pandemic, distance learning

Posted Date: June 3rd, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-574351/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: Distance assessment and evaluation(E-assessment) has recently regained popularity with the widespread use of distance education due to the pandemic. Emergency medicine education has developed theoretical and practical models on online learning and accelerated the studies on E-assessment of competencies on emergency care. While the educators and trainees are promptly adapting to the distance learning platforms, several factors can affect these adoptions to e-assessment models. Our study aimed to find these factors affecting the perspectives and attitudes on the practice among emergency medicine educators.

Results: A survey was conducted on ninety participants of emergency medicine educators regarding self-efficacy, self-confidence, and attitudes towards E-assessment technologies. There was a statistically significant difference between computer use skills and scores, especially regarding self-confidence ($p=0.02$) and self-efficacy ($p=0.01$). We found no significant difference in terms of attitude ($p=0.877$). We found that those with very good computer skills had higher self-confidence and self-efficacy for distance exams. The participants' computer use skills affected self-confidence and self-efficacy for e-assessments. There was a significant difference in self-confidence ($p=0.000$) and self-efficacy ($p=0.001$) between those with and without e-assessment experience. Furthermore, the thought that it is an effective assessment and evaluation. Regarding screen sharing, 44.4% of the participants have ethical concerns; 30% were not concerned about this issue, and 25.6% were partially concerned. There was no statistically significant difference in ethical anxiety regarding e-assessment experiencing ($p=0.914$).

Conclusion: The proficiency in using computers, experience in teaching, and the need for training on e-assessments are the key factors that affect e-assessments in emergency medicine education. Encouragement should be necessary to achieve the goal to spread the use of e-assessments in the era of the pandemic.

Introduction

Distance education delivers education to teachers and learners geographically distant from each other using different technologies (satellite, video, audio, graphics, computer, multimedia technology)[1, 2]. The global epidemic of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), which started in the Wuhan province of China in December 2019 and affected the whole world and declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization[3], has also accelerated the increasing trend of remote / internet-based training[4].

In addition to distance education, face-to-face assessment and evaluation exams have also shared the same consequence, bringing the necessity of remote assessment and evaluation to the agenda. Electronic examination technologies (E-assessment/Web-based assessment) allow examinations to be carried out electronically through the web/internet[5, 6]. These methods allow the exams for distant learners according to traditional exam methods. Besides, being fully automated systems also have advantages such as increasing the validity of the evaluation, increasing the reliability of scoring and test

results with deep analysis, providing fast feedback, thus ensuring the rapid correction of errors, marking, moderation, data storage, and management, and therefore workload reduction[5].

Conceptual framework

Self-confidence is defined as "confidence in oneself and one's powers and abilities which is affected by the experience of being successful and will affect the person's perception of achievement[7–9]. Self-efficacy is defined as almost nearly but a perception of achieving specific tasks or situations[10]. This concept is created in the social cognitive theory by Alber Bandura[11, 12]. Self-efficacy is different from self-confidence in managing organizational skills, but self-efficacy is a determinant for self-confidence. In the educational framework[13, 14], regarding the social cognitive theory, self-efficacy connects the confidence of educators and learners to participate in activities to achieve the goals[13]. Besides, the effectiveness of education is related to educators' self-efficacy [15–18]. However, little is known about self-efficacy and self-confidence relation with attitudes towards assessment and measurement technologies. One of the studies shows that attitudes are grown with self-efficacy, which increased self-confidence[19].

Users' technological readiness and attitudes are important factors that may affect the proliferation of e-assessments. In the literature, readiness in distance education is defined as the individual's ability to use e-learning resources and multimedia technology to improve the quality of learning[20]. Concepts related to e-learning readiness include self-efficacy, internet self-efficacy, online communication self-efficacy, self-directed learning, learner control, and motivation for e-learning[20–23]. Preparation of the exams and the administration of the exams require time and self-efficacy in this regard[5, 6, 21, 21–23].

Distance Education, Assessment and Measurement in Emergency Medicine Education:

Pre- and post-graduate emergency medicine education has also risen, supported by free open access medical education sites (FOAMed), podcasts, and web-based seminars. Especially during the pandemic period, it has become widespread that emergency medicine residency training and clerkship training for medical students moved to the distance education platforms. In this case, summative assessments of the students or residents who completed the theoretical training were brought to the agenda. However, there is no suggestion for distance online education and assessment in the curriculum of emergency medicine education both in Europe and in our country.

This study aimed to evaluate the experiences, perspectives, self-confidence, self-efficacy, attitudes, educational needs, and concerns of emergency medicine educators using distance assessment and evaluation technologies. In this way, it is planned to identify emergency medicine educators' opinions on distance assessment and evaluation technologies (E-Assessment), their needs about technologies, and ethical and anxiety issues and offer solutions. Besides, it is thought to guide assessment and evaluation studies to develop quickly applicable e-assessment platforms for emergency medicine educators.

Materials And Methods

The study protocol for involving emergency medicine educators was in accordance to guidelines of institutional ethical guidelines and Declaration of Helsinki. This prospective cross-sectional qualitative study was held between 01-31 October 2020 after the approval of the Institutional Research Ethics Committee.

In this qualitative study, educators of emergency medicine residency training programs with different academic ranks (Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor, Lecturer, and Specialist) work in an emergency department accredited by the national authority for emergency medicine residency training. The educators who have been engaged in education and training activities and who have completed emergency medicine residency training in emergency medicine were included. Emergency Medicine residents, educators in departments other than emergency medicine residency training, and non-emergency physicians working in emergency medicine training programs were not included in the study. The educators with experiences of e-assessment in other educational activities (not relevant with emergency medicine education) and those who did not give consent were excluded from the study.

Since there is no face-to-face meeting opportunity due to the pandemic, an online questionnaire was created. The data were obtained through semistructured/structured questionnaire questions prepared on web and mobile-based platforms, with the questionnaire delivered to the participants via e-mail and academic, social groups. Questionnaire questions in studies[4, 22–26] where reliability and validity analyzes were applied were modified and developed for emergency medicine educators. A 7-point Likert scale was used in the questionnaire.

To evaluate whether the questionnaire form was clear and understandable, 17 emergency medicine educators with different academic ranks were pilot tested, and minor changes were made according to the feedback.

The questionnaire used in the study consists of 76 questions in 11 sections (listed below).

Section 1: Demographic characteristics of the participants, academic ranks, and experiences in emergency medicine (n=6)

Section 2: Training activities (n=3)

Section 3: Computer skill levels and computer self-efficacy (n=9)

Section 4: Distance education experiences (n=5)

Section 5: E-assessment experiences (n=12)

Section 6: Self-confidence levels (n=8)

Section 7: Self-efficacy levels (n=6)

Section 8: Attitudes towards the distance exam (n=14)

Section 9: Advantages, effectiveness, thoughts, appropriate types of e-assessment, disadvantages, and precautions (n=6)

Section 10: Training needs (n=4)

Section 11: Concerns about ethics and safety (n=3)

Primary endpoint: What factors affect emergency medicine educators' attitudes, self-efficacy, and self-confidence towards using distance assessment and evaluation technologies (E-Assessment)?

Secondary endpoint: Do emergency medicine educators' attitudes, self-efficacy, and self-confidence in distance assessment and evaluation technologies (E-Assessment) differ according to their experiences?

Statistical Analysis:

Numerical variables were represented as mean±standard deviation or median (min-max). Categorical variables were presented as absolute values and percentages. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to evaluate the distributions. Independent groups were assessed using the independent t-test and the Mann-Whitney U test, and the Kruskal–Wallis test for comparisons of more than two groups. The relationship between categorical variables was assessed using the chi-square test. Spearman test was used in correlation analysis according to the distribution of the data. The statistical significance level was set as $p < 0.05$. Statistical analysis of the data was performed with IBM SPSS version 23.

Reliability Analysis:

The reliability of the questionnaire was calculated by the Internal Consistency test Cronbach's Alpha coefficient. The reliability coefficient was calculated separately for Self-Efficacy, Self-Confidence, and Attitude. The Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.70 and above for all measurements, and the questionnaire was considered reliable. The reliability analysis for the questions created in the questionnaire is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Confidence Analysis

<i>Measurement</i>	<i>Crohnbach's Alpha Coefficient</i>
<i>Self-Efficacy on Computer Use</i>	0.932
<i>Self-Confidence on E-Exam</i>	0.979
<i>Self-Efficacy on E-Exam</i>	0.966
<i>Attitude Towards E-Exams</i>	0,705

Factor Analysis:

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Bartlett tests of self-efficacy, self-confidence, and attitude-related factors have been performed; the Varimax rotated factor analysis method was performed in the test and presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Factor Analysis

Measurement	KMO Sampling Adequacy Measurement	Barlett Correlation Test ($p \leq 0.05$)
Computer Use Self-Efficacy (Self-Efficacy)	0.901	0.000
E-Exam Self-Confidence	0.920	0.000
E- Exam Self-Efficacy (Self-Efficacy)	0.846	0.000
Attitude Towards E-Exams	0.904	0.000

Abbreviations: KMO = Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin

Results

At the time of the study, 99 emergency medicine residency training programs were authorized by the Ministry of Health's Board of Medical Specialties to provide emergency medicine specialty training in our country. Undergraduate medical education was also provided in 71 of these institutions. During this period, the number of emergency medicine educators who met the inclusion criteria was about 400. The questionnaire was shared through the national emergency medicine specialty association, through social media groups, and within clinics. Five of the 95 responses did not agree to participate at the beginning of the questionnaire. Thus the data of 90 participants were included in the analysis.

Demographical data

The median age of the participants was found to be 39 years (min=27; max=64). Sixty-three percent of respondents (n=57) were male, 36.7% (n=33) were women. In terms of academic rank distributions were as followed; the specialists (33.3%; n=30); assistant professor (21.1%; n=19); associate professor (22.2%; n=20); professor (12.2%; n=11) and lecturer (11.1%; n=10). In terms of hospitals' distribution, the highest group consisted of educators working in hospitals affiliated with state universities (44.4%; n=40), followed by the Ministry of Health's Training and Research Hospitals (35.6%; n=32). From the perspective of experience as an educator (median=8 years;1-32) and experience in emergency medicine specialty (median=6 years;1-28), 47.3% (n = 43) of the educators were providing emergency medicine training regularly and periodically.

Computer Skill Levels

In terms of computer use, 51.1% (n=46) participants are at a good level; 21 of them (23.3%) are very good; 21 participants (23.3%) stated that they used a medium-level computer. Only 2 participants stated that they were at a baseline level on computer use.

Distance Education Experiences:

94.4% of the participants stated that they have been teaching by distance education in their clinics. Distance education was not provided in five centers. It was determined that the delivery of emergency medicine residency training through distance education started in 7-11 months with a rate of 56.7% and in 0-6 months with a rate of 36.7%. The target audience of emergency medicine education was mostly emergency medicine residents (83.3%; n=75), emergency medicine specialists (44.4%; n=40), later medical students, and other health personnel. It was determined that 75.6% of the distance learning experience was also in congresses/symposiums and conference activities and 65.6% at the emergency department lectures. The most frequently used distance education platforms were Zoom Client for Meetings (77.8%) and Microsoft Teams (41.1%).

E-Assessment Experiences:

The proportion of participants who experienced an e-assessment was 47.8% (n = 43). While the rate of taking part in the distance exams was 77.4% (n=14), it was found that these educators mostly worked in preparing questions (58.1%; n=25) and evaluating the results (30.2%; n=13). The number of those with supervision experience was found to be 6 (14%).

It was determined that the distance exams were mainly used for medical school students' clerkship exams (83.7%; n=36), 34.9% were used for seniority exams of emergency medicine students, and 20.9% were used for the final examination in emergency medicine residency training. It was determined that the online (53.5%) and offline (34.9%) tests with multiple-choice questions were the most frequently used remote exam type in clinics. It was observed that the examination duration was mainly between 0-60 minutes (83.7%; n=36). 95.3% of the exams were made accessible from anywhere.

Platforms, where examination evaluations (grading) mainly were calculated automatically by the e-assessment platform (74.4%), were at the forefront. 69.8% of the participants with remote test experience stated that audio and video recordings were not taken during the exams, 32.6% stated that only video recordings were taken, and 18.6% of them stated that only audio recordings were taken. The rate of invigilation in online theoretical exams was 44.2% (n=19). The rate of using a question bank/pool in specialty training in medicine was 48.8%, and all participants (n=21) using this pool could also use this pool for remote examinations.

Advantages

Participants believe that the most significant advantages of taking the exams are as follows:

- Accessibility of exams from anywhere (n=73; 81.1%)

- Savings on paper / labor / material (n=67; 74.4%)
- Collection of exam data / statistics (n=57; 63.3%)
- Applicability of the exam to more than one person at the same time (n=56; 62.2%)
- Quick feedback (n=52; 57.8%)
- Repeatability (n=39; 43.3%)
- Applicability of the standard exam format quickly (n=36; 40%)
- Student development follow-up (n = 29; 32.2%)
- Availability of audio-visual invigilation (n = 22; 24.4%)

The participants thought that 72.2% (n=65) of multiple-choice questions and 53.3% (n=48) of multiple true/false question tests were the most suitable exam type for the distance exam. According to the purposes of the exams, the participants believed that the types of summative assessment (56.7%), diagnostic assessment (made at the entrance to the program) (50%), and formative assessment (47.8%) types were more suitable for holding remote examinations.

Concerns

Those who thought that an effective assessment and evaluation could not be done with e-assessment were 13.3% (n=12), 48.9% (n=44) who thought it could be done partially, and 37.8% (n=34) who thought it could be done absolutely.

Situations that the participants perceived as the greatest deficiency were students' ability to get help from others / cheat during exam (n=67; 74.4%), problems with internet connection / technological infrastructure (n=65; 72.2%), problems in measuring procedural skills (n=57; 63.3%) and ethical problems (n=56; 62.2%). Most of the participants had the opinion of taking deterrent measures against security breaches (n=56; 62.2%); maximizing the security of the question pools (n=54; 60%); and taking audio-visual recordings (n=50; 55.6%).

Security and Ethical Concerns

The rate of ethical concern about video and audio recording about remote exams were found to be 48.9%. The rate of those who were not concerned about this was 26.9%, and those who were partially concerned were 24.4%. Regarding screen sharing, 44.4% of the participants have ethical concerns; 30% were not concerned about this issue, and 25.6% were partially concerned. There was no statistically significant difference in ethical anxiety between those with and without e-assessment experience ($p=0.914$).

Sharing user codes / passwords (n=59; 65.6%), system being open source / vulnerable (n=58; 64.4%), insufficient verification (not identifying the correctness of IP, MAC addresses) (n=53; 58.9%), security vulnerabilities related to the e-assessment application program (n=59; 65.6%), programs that can share background information/screen (n=60; 66.7%), camera working in the background / voice access programs (n=60; 66.7%) were the most common security concerns.

Age, experience in emergency medicine was not significantly associated with ethical concerns on video and voice recording or screen sharing ($p>0.05$).

Need for Education

Although there was no statistically significant difference between those with and without e-assessment experience ($p>0.05$), the participants in both groups thought themselves, students, other colleagues, and administrators needed to receive training on e-assessment.

Factors affecting the Self-Confidence, Self-Efficacy and Attitude Scores

No statistically significant correlation was found between age and self-confidence, self-efficacy, and attitude scores ($p>0.05$) (Table3). There was no significant difference among genders in terms of attitude ($p=0.117$), self-confidence ($p=0.052$), and self-efficacy ($p=0.224$). There was no statistically significant difference between the academic ranks in terms of scores (self-confidence = 0.170; self-efficacy = 0.772; attitude = 0.828). There was no statistically significant relationship found between scores and experience as a specialist and trainer ($p>0.05$) (Table 3). No significant difference was found among the institutions regarding scores (self-confidence = 0.158; self-efficacy = 0.169; attitude = 0.828). While **Age, gender, institution, experience groups did not differ regarding scores.**

Table 3. The Correlation of demographical variables with self-confidence, self-efficacy and attitude scores

Variable	Self-confidence		Self-efficacy		Attitude	
	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>r</i>	<i>p</i>
Age		0.325		0.871		0.930
Gender		0.052		0.226		0.118
Experience as EM Specialist		0.342		0.764		0.850
Experience as EM educator		0.112		0.603		0.831
Experience of E-learning		0.093		0.087		0.147
Lectures/semester		0.060		0.449		0.183
Computer Self Efficacy	0.403	0.000	0.463	0.000	0.324	0.002
Self-confidence	1.000		0.000	0.741	0.003	0.310
Self-efficacy	0.000	0.741	1.000		0.000	0.433
Attitude	0.003	0.310	0.000	0.433	1.000	

Abbreviation: EM = Emergency Medicine

**Spearman's correlation is significant at the 0.01 level*

There was a statistically significant difference between computer use skills and scores, especially in terms of self-confidence ($p=0.02$) and self-efficacy ($p=0.01$), but no significant difference was found in terms of attitude ($p=0.877$). Medium, good, and very good levels are statistically higher than baseline levels regarding self-confidence and self-efficacy. There was no difference between medium and good levels. However, very good levels are showed higher self-confidence and self-efficacy scores than medium levels. Also, no statistically significant difference was seen between very good and good levels of computer use regarding self-confidence and self-efficacy. **Computer skills were found to affect self-confidence and self-efficacy for e-assessments.**

In terms of computer self-efficacy, the median level of problem-solving without technical support during use was 5. There was a correlation between the scores and computer self-efficacy ($p<0.05$) (Table3). **Computer self-efficacy was poorly correlated with attitude ($\rho=0.324$) but positively and significantly correlated with self-efficacy($\rho=0.463$) and self-confidence ($\rho=0.403$).**

There was a significant difference in self-confidence ($p=0.000$) and self-efficacy ($p=0.001$) between those with and without e-assessment experience. Self-confidence and self-efficacy of those with e-assessment experience were found to be higher than non-experienced participants. **Experience of e-assessment made a statistically significant difference on self-confidence and self-efficacy.**

Self-confidence ($p=0.005$), self-efficacy ($p=0.001$), and attitude ($p=0.000$) were found to be statistically significantly higher in those who thought e-assessment is effective. Although no significant difference between gender ($p=0.518$) or academic rank ($p=0.327$) groups, perception of effectivity **showed a positive relationship between the increase in self-confidence, self-efficacy, and attitude of e-assessment and the thought that it was a practical assessment and evaluation.**

The participants who do not have ethical concerns on video and voice recordings have significantly higher self-efficacy scores ($p=0.002$). Screen sharing concerns also have a statistically significant association with self-efficacy ($p=0.028$) and self-confidence ($p=0.027$), in which participants without concerns on screen sharing were more self-efficient and self-confident.

The summary of the results can be visualized in Figure 1.

Discussion

Our study aimed to evaluate emergency medicine educators' self-efficacy, self-confidence, and attitudes on e-assessments during the COVID-19 pandemic. The study revealed that 93.4% of the distance education experiences started during the COVID-19 pandemic. During this period, when social distance gained importance, the duration of experience of the study participants was determined to be less than one year.

Electronic assessment technology in higher education institutions has been rapidly gaining acceptance in the last 20 years. E-evaluation is implemented in various ways as electronic reports, electronic portfolios, blogs and forums, electronic exams. There are two tools used for e-assessment purposes: Learning management systems used to provide teaching, learning, and assessment in educational institutions and large-scale computer-based assessment technologies designed for assessment purposes only[27].

Despite the development of a large number of e-assessment technologies and their advantages, it is clear that these technologies did not receive intense attention, as they were not required until the COVID-19 pandemic.

When considering the studies on e-assessment, it is seen that the educators are generally familiar with computer technology and prefer e-assessments to paper and pencil-based ones[28]. Likewise, we found that high computer use skills, computer use self-efficacy are correlated with high self-confidence, self-efficacy, and attitudes towards e-assessments.

Educators find computer-based exams favorable. It has been stated that women and higher academic ranked educators are more positive about these exams than the less experienced educators[29]. Although the perception of effectivity was not statistically associated with gender or academic rank in our study, women and lower academic ranked educators found e-assessment more effective for assessments than men and higher academic ranked educators. We thought the distribution of the women in higher academic ranked educators might cause this result. Self-confidence, self-efficacy, and attitude to the e-assessment also increased the belief that the e-assessments were effective. This situation shows a positive relationship between the increase in self-confidence, self-efficacy, and attitude of e-assessment and the thought of an effective assessment and evaluation.

A positive correlation was found between the use of information and communication technologies and the educators' competence and the importance of educators' volunteerism and compliance for e-assessments. Besides, it has been found that there is an inverse correlation between the use of information and communication technologies and age, teaching experience[30, 31]. We found no statistical correlation with age, teaching experience, and attitudes. That may be because of the acute necessity of using e-learning and e-assessment platforms due to pandemic circumstances that did not give a chance to discuss the preferences of educators. It has also been reported that time is needed for educators to understand the functioning of e-assessments, but the experience is the key to use[32]. In our study, the experience is limited with a one-year pandemic period; we believe that the increase in experience had a strong effect on disseminating the e-assessment, which produces self-confidence and self-efficacy levels of educators.

In general, there are various advantages and disadvantages of online e-assessments[33].

Advantages:

- There is no need to print any exam documents before the exam.

- There is an option to sort questions to prevent duplication randomly.
- The results of the exam can be obtained immediately after the test is completed.
- Archiving of questions, past results, and student profiles can be done in one place.
- It is possible to evaluate a student several times and create additional exams based on their wrong answers in previous exams.

Disadvantages:

- One computer is required for each student in the class.
- There is the possibility of cheating, which is prevented by designing the computer room. The possibility of accessing other computer resources should be disabled.
- Checks should be made just before the assessment to ensure that each computer is operational and connected to the internet.
- If many students take the exam simultaneously, powerful servers are needed to process the amount of information recorded in the system. Problems will arise in case of power failure or server failure.
- Training of educators using the system requires time and workload.

The most frequently used method is online and offline exams with multiple-choice questions, and the exam types they consider the most appropriate types are also exams with multiple-choice and multiple true/false questions. However, there is no ideal type of method to be used in e-assessments in emergency clinical practice.

It has been observed that the concerns about video audio recordings and screen sharing do not decrease with experience. Besides, the participants thought that they and colleagues in the education environment should need training. The pandemic may have also led to an acute and unplanned occurrence of e-learning and e-assessment processes to ensure continuity of education. This situation may have affected the participants' self-confidence and caused an increase in the need for training.

Due to the COVID-19 process, studies on attitude, readiness, and self-efficacy towards distance education, especially for medical students, are available in the literature[33–35]. It is thought that the self-efficacy and readiness of academic staff affect the success of distance education[35, 36]. It is stated that as the experience in distance education increases, the effectiveness increases at the same rate[35]. Although our study was not an effectiveness study, it was found that experience affects self-confidence and self-efficacy. Studies on distance assessment and evaluation are limited. Although specific criteria are tried to ensure the standardization and control of assessment and evaluation technologies, there is no study in the literature on the opinions, concerns, perspectives, and readiness of educators for assessment and evaluation in emergency medicine residency training.

In a study evaluating the effectiveness of an emergency medicine education program that integrates web-based learning into classroom sessions, the success and satisfaction of students who completed more than 75% of the web-based module were found to be statistically higher[36]. It has been stated in the

studies that the educators are not comfortable with how to include students in the online environment, causing difficulties in encouraging participation and an increase in the need for education[35].

Limitations:

Our study has a few limitations. The number of participants is only one-fourth of the total number of educators. As well as an opportunity for distance education and e-assessment, we think that the pandemic period affected the survey participation rates due to the workload in emergency departments. Considering that the distance education experiences are less than one year, the distance assessment and evaluation experiences are only half of these experienced educators. The participation may be less since the survey was made at the beginning of the adaptation to distance learning.

In our study, experiencing the e-assessments with multiple-choice questions might affect their self-confidence, self-efficacy, attitude, and anxiety levels. Further studies should also include a comparison of the types of e-assessments applied. Although this study's population includes the experiences of the e-assessments given in the hospitals accredited with emergency medicine residency training, it does not include the exams experienced or taken remotely, such as board exams and certification exams. This situation can also be taken into consideration in further studies.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: The study conducted after the approval of the Local Clinical Research Ethics Committee(Bakirkoy Dr Sadi Konuk Training and Research Hospital; 2020/372-24/08/2020). The participants are asked to participate at the beginning of the survey and recorded their consent to participate.

Consent for publication: NA

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests: The authors have no conflict of interest.

Funding: None

Authors' contributions: G.BB. and B.I wrote the main manuscript text with supervision and revision of the drafts of C.O. All authors contributed data collection and analyze the results.

Acknowledgements: Not applicable

Authors' information (optional):

Akdeniz University, Department of Emergency Medicine, Antalya, Turkey

References

1. Faibisoff SG, Willis DJ. Distance Education: Definition and Overview. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science*. 1987;27:223.
2. Moore JL, Dickson-Deane C, Galyen K. e-Learning, online learning, and distance learning environments: Are they the same? *The Internet and Higher Education*. 2011;14:129–35.
3. Kurnaz E, Serçemeli M. A research on academicians' perspectives on distance education and distance accounting education in the COVID-19 pandemic period. *International Journal of Social Sciences Academy*. 2020;:27.
4. Shraim K. Online Examination Practices in Higher Education Institutions: Learners' Perspectives. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*. 2019;:185–96.
5. Ayo CK, Akinyemi IO, Adebisi AA, Ekong UO. The Prospects of E-Examination Implementation in Nigeria. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*. 2017;8:125–34.
6. Yilmaz R. Exploring the role of e-learning readiness on student satisfaction and motivation in flipped classroom. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017;70:251–60.
7. Zellner M. Self-esteem, reception, and influenceability. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1970;15:87–93.
8. Snyder CR, Lopez SJ, editors. *Oxford handbook of positive psychology*. 2. ed. New York: Oxford Univ. Press; 2009.
9. Neufeldt V, Sparks AN. *Webster's New World dictionary*. New York: Pocket Star Books; 1995.
10. Judge TA, Erez A, Bono JE, Thoresen CJ. Are measures of self-esteem, neuroticism, locus of control, and generalized self-efficacy indicators of a common core construct? *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2002;83:693–710.
11. Bandura A. Self-Efficacy. In: Weiner IB, Craighead WE, editors. *The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2010. p. corpsy0836. doi:10.1002/9780470479216.corpsy0836.
12. Bandura A, Jacobs Foundation, editors. *Self-efficacy in changing societies: papers based on the proceedings of the third annual conference held Nov. 4-6, 1993, at the Johann Jacobs Foundation Communication Center, Marbach Castle*. Reprint. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press; 1999.
13. Erlich RJ, Russ-Eft D. Applying Social Cognitive Theory to Academic Advising to Assess Student Learning Outcomes. *NACADA Journal*. 2011;31:5–15.
14. Bandura A, Barbaranelli C, Caprara GV, Pastorelli C. Multifaceted impact of self-efficacy beliefs on academic functioning. *Child Dev*. 1996;67:1206–22.

15. Klassen RM, Tze VMC. Teachers' self-efficacy, personality, and teaching effectiveness: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*. 2014;12:59–76.
16. Vaughan B. Clinical educator self-efficacy, self-evaluation and its relationship with student evaluations of clinical teaching. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20:347.
17. Panadero E, Jonsson A, Botella J. Effects of self-assessment on self-regulated learning and self-efficacy: Four meta-analyses. *Educational Research Review*. 2017;22:74–98.
18. Chesnut SR, Burley H. Self-efficacy as a predictor of commitment to the teaching profession: A meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*. 2015;15:1–16.
19. Boswell C, Ashcraft A, Long J, Cannon S, DiVito-Thomas P, Delaney T. Self-Efficacy: Changing the Tide of Evidence-Based Practice. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*. 2020;17:129–35.
20. Hao Y. Middle school students' flipped learning readiness in foreign language classrooms: Exploring its relationship with personal characteristics and individual circumstances. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2016;59:295–303.
21. Hung M-L, Chou C, Chen C-H, Own Z-Y. Learner readiness for online learning: Scale development and student perceptions. *Computers & Education*. 2010;55:1080–90.
22. Demir Ö. Öğrencilerin ve öğretim elemanlarının e- öğrenmeye hazır bulunuşluk düzeylerinin incelenmesi: Hacettepe üniversitesi eğitim fakültesi örneği. Master Thesis. Hacettepe University; 2015.
23. Korkmaz Ö, Çakir R, Tan SS. Öğrencilerin E-öğrenmeye Hazır Bulunuşluk ve Memnuniyet Düzeylerinin Akademik Başarıya Etkisi. 2015;;23.
24. Yurdugül H, Alsancak Sırakaya D. The Scale of Online Learning Readiness: A Study of Validity and Reliability. *Eğitim ve Bilim*. 2013;38:391-406.
25. Whitelock D. Electronic assessment: marking, monitoring and mediating learning. *IJLT*. 2006;2:264.
26. Bukie O. Understanding Technologies for E-Assessment: A Systematic Review Approach. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences*. 2014;5:936–47.
27. Osang F. Electronic Examination in Nigeria, Academic Staff Perspective—Case Study: National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN). *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*. 2012;2.
28. Jamil M, Tariq RH, Shami PA. Computer-Based vs Paper-Based Examinations: Perceptions of University Teachers. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*. 2012;11:371–81.
29. McCann AL. Factors affecting the adoption of an e-assessment system. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. 2010;35:799–818.
30. Buabeng-Andoh C. An Exploration of Teachers' Skills, Perceptions and Practices of ICT in Teaching and Learning in the Ghanaian Second-Cycle Schools. *Contemporary Educational Technology*. 2012;3:36–49.
31. Lingard M. Introducing computer-assisted assessment: considerations for the new practitioner. *Investigations in University Teaching and Learning*. 2005;2:69–75.

32. Petrişor M, Maruşteri M, Ghiga D, Şchiopu A. Online Assessment System. Applied Medical Informatics. 2011;28(1):23-28. Applied Medical Informatics. 2011;28:23–8.
33. Elsalem L, Al-Azzam N, Jum’ah AA, Obeidat N, Sindiani AM, Kheirallah KA. Stress and behavioral changes with remote E-exams during the Covid-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional study among undergraduates of medical sciences. Annals of Medicine and Surgery. 2020;60:271–9.
34. Hampton D, Culp-Roche A, Hensley A, Wilson J, Otts JA, Thaxton-Wiggins A, et al. Self-efficacy and Satisfaction With Teaching in Online Courses. Nurse Educ. 2020;45:302–6.
35. Mishra L, Gupta T, Shree A. Online teaching-learning in higher education during lockdown period of COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Educational Research Open. 2020;1:100012.
36. Windish R, Stuart P, Cruz R, Murray A. Enhancing intern emergency medicine education using a combined didactic and web-based learning curriculum: The EDGE programme. Emergency Medicine Australasia. 2019;31:837–42.

Figures




Figure 1

Conceptual framework of the study. Factors affecting the attitude towards E-assessment