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Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to investigate the acute effects of commercially available pre-workout supplement Knockout 2.0® on anaerobic performance in resistance trained men.

Methods: Twenty-three men underwent three testing sessions administrated in a randomized and double-blind fashion separated by a seven-day break. The participants performed three exercise tests: isokinetic strength test, maximal strength test and Wingate test. Statistical analysis was conducted in R environment. Linear mixed models were estimated via R package lme4.

Results: The mean knee peak torque was significantly greater in supplemented group for right and left knee flexors (placebo: 103.17 ± 37.61 Nm, and supplemented group: 131.84 ± 29.31 Nm where p=0.001, and placebo: 103.72 ± 39.35, and supplemented group: 129.38 ± 28.44, where p=0.001; respectively) as well as for right and left knee extensors (placebo: 202.65 ± 58.64 Nm, and supplemented group: 237.22 ± 54.75 Nm where p=0.001, and placebo: 203.27 ± 63.2 Nm versus supplemented group: 229.84 ± 50.8 Nm where p=0.002; respectively). The significant difference was observed in mean anaerobic power between supplemented and placebo group for right and left knee flexors (p=0.002 and p=0.005, respectively) as well as for right and left knee extensors (p=0.001 and p=0.002; respectively). There was also observed that the time to peak torque was significantly greater in supplemented group for right and left knee flexors (p=0.002 for both legs). The significant difference was also observed in mean power during Wingate test (placebo: 8.49 ± 0.57 W/kg, and supplemented group: 8.66 ± 0.55 W/kg where p=0.038). Moreover the mean 3-RM strength test was significantly greater in supplemented group with p=0.001.
**Conclusions:** The results of the study indicate that Knockout 2.0® the supplement significantly improves upper and lower body strength and power output in resistance trained men.

**Keywords:** pre-workout supplementation, resistance training, caffeine, multi-ingredient performance supplement, MIPS, anaerobic performance.

**Background**

The physiological effect of a training session is dependent upon the quality of the work undertaken, hence athletes constantly search for methods to enhance the training outcome. Consequently, pre-workout formulations are becoming increasingly popular class of dietary supplements among athletes. The prevalence of supplementation among athletes has been estimated at 37% to 89% (1), where the energy drinks were the most popular supplements next to multi-vitamins in the young adult population (18-35 years) (2). However, pre-workout supplements take many forms and are based on multiple active ingredients and blends and in the majority of cases the efficacy and safety has not been established (3, 4).

Pre-training supplements are currently referred to as multi-ingredient performance supplements (MIPS) the goals of which are varied, but reported to include eliciting greater focus, strength levels and shorten the reaction time and Pre-training supplements are multi-ingredient compositions (MIPS) aimed usually on enhancing strength, shortening reaction time and eliciting focus. For example, it is believed that substances such as caffeine, beta-alanine, L-citrulline, L-arginine, L-tyrosine, taurine, and herb and botanical ingredients like guarana extract (containing caffeine), barley extract (containing hordenine), cayenne pepper extract (containing capsaicin), black pepper extract (containing piperine) and huperzia serrata extract, which target different physiological mechanisms may elicit synergistic effect and in turn improve athletic performance (5).
The most common ingredient of MIPS is caffeine, which has been shown to be an effective ergogenic aid for endurance exercise by delaying fatigue and increasing time to exhaustion (6, 7, 8, 9). However, caffeine’s effect on anaerobic performance (strength-power) is more equivocal with some studies indicating benefits (10, 11), while others do not demonstrate any significant change in resistance exercise performance (12). Very important is the lack of significant findings for caffeine ingestion and lower body strength as compared to upper body performance (13). Caffeine is often combined with taurine in several so-called products known as energy drinks. Baum et al. (14) reported that one of them, which contains taurine and caffeine, as compared to a similar drink without taurine, favorably influences cardiac parameters, mainly an increased stroke volume, during recovery after exercise. It is believed that taurine can enhance muscle excitation-contraction coupling by maintaining intracellular calcium homeostasis (15). Bakker et al. (16), used mechanically skinned fast-twitch fibers and showed greater force production during taurine in vitro treatment. Previous experiments on humans have shown that taurine ingestion alone did not improve cycling time–trial performance, despite a 16% increase in total body fat oxidation (17). However, several studies on rodents showed improved endurance performance by increasing time until exhaustion (18, 19).

Beta-alanine has been shown to significantly elevate carnosine levels in both type I and type II human muscle fibers and act as an intracellular buffer (20). Regular use of beta-alanine has been reported to improve buffering capacity of skeletal muscle and enhance power output during high-intensity exercise due to increasing levels of muscle carnosine (21, 22). Additionally, the recommended dose of beta-alanine loading is 2-5 g and a minimum 2-4 weeks of supplementation is needed to increase muscle carnosine levels (20).

Tyrosine supplementation is assumed to maintain optimum levels of brain neurotransmitters contributing to the optimal performance through higher motivation levels together with decreased fatigue and associated with lower ratings of perceived exertion (23).
Amino acids, L-arginine and L-citrulline found in **KO-the supplement formula** are believed to be potent precursors of NO (nitric oxide), which plays crucial role in blood flow, muscle energy metabolism and mitochondrial oxidation during exercise (24, 25). On the other hand, oral intake of L-citrulline increases, not only L-citrulline but also plasma L-arginine levels, and thus is considered to be more effective for enhancing sport performance (26, 27). Acute intake of L-citrulline malate was reported to increase the number of repetitions to exhaustion during resistance exercise and decrease muscle soreness in 24 h and 48 h after high volume resistance-training.

Huperzia serrata extract works mainly by inhibiting the enzyme –acetylcholinesterase, which breaks down acetylcholine (28, 29). Huperzine was reported to significantly increase the amplitude of muscle contraction induced by nerve stimulation (30). Thus, one could suggest that huperzine, may improve neuromuscular strength potential, alertness and focus by increasing endplate potential and brain neurotransmitters levels (31).

Capsaicin and piperine, are natural pungent-tasting compounds found in chili and black pepper, respectively. Those ingredients are found to be TRP1 agonists which stimulate the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and increase the energetic metabolism in humans through sensory nerve stimulation (32). Moreover, TRPA1 agonists have been shown to induce adrenaline secretion. Thus it can be hypothesized that these compound may act synergistically with caffeine (32). Moreover, pepper-derived alkaloids such as capsaicin and piperine are found to have thermogenic and energy-providing effects which are triggered by activation of thermoreceptors and release of catecholamines (33).

Finally, barley–derived hordenine, which is also found in citrus aurantium may have an influence on adrenergic receptors by stimulating the release of noradrenaline (34).

Based on the physiological properties of the individual substances listed above, recently, a new MIPS, **Knockout 2.0® (KO; Olimp Laboratories, Debica, Poland)** has been developed with a view to achieve synergistic action of the active substances included in the formulation. **KOThe**
supplement contains ingredients which are purported to stimulate central nervous system and augment strength and power performance. We hypothesized that the tested supplement can significantly affect the anaerobic physical performance. We also expected that the active ingredients (citrulline, taurine, beta alanine, L-arginine, L-tyrosine and plants extracts of hordenine, huperzia serrata, black and cayenne pepper) could impart significant effect. If this was the case it would be characterized inter alia by greater strength and shorter time to peak torque (TTP) compared to placebo treatment.

It should be noted that commercially available pre-workout supplements with a number of various ingredients do not have estimated effectiveness for the finished formulation concerning both active and additional substances. Therefore, the purpose of this investigation is to examine the acute effects of the commercially available pre-workout supplement on anaerobic performance in resistance trained men. It should be emphasized that estimating the influence of MIPS on maximal strength was not the main purpose and primary goal in many of the previous studies.

Methods
Study design
This was randomized, double-blind, crossover study. All subjects attended familiarization session for all of the test exercises one week before testing. To reduce the effect of any caffeine tolerance, they were instructed not to consume caffeine containing products 24 hours before testing. This time was estimated due to caffeine’s half-time and elimination rate (37, 38, 39). Subjects were also asked to abstain from heavy exercise and alcohol consumption during period of the experiment. Participants were randomly divided in two groups and received either complex formulation (KO) or placebo solution. In addition, subjects were instructed not to eat or drink for three hours prior to each trial. Subjects reported to the Performance Laboratory of Academy of Physical Education in Katowice on three separate days (Saturdays; familiarization session and
two testing sessions) with seven days apart between the test days. Following ten minutes resting period in the seated position, subjects were randomly provided with either the flavored water placebo (PL – water and flavors only) or the supplement, which is commercially marketed as Knockout 2.0® (Olimp Laboratories Sp. z o.o., Debica, Poland) consisted of 9.6 g powder mixed with water (250 ml) containing: L-citrulline (3 g), beta-alanine (2 g), taurine (750 mg), L-arginine (500 mg), L-tyrosine (500 mg), anhydrous caffeine (300 mg), guarana extract (200 mg), barley–derived hordenine extract (150 mg), capsaicin extract (25 mg), black pepper extract (7.5 mg) and huperzia serrata extract (3 mg). After consumption of either PL or KO supplement solution, subjects took a 15 minutes rest prior to commencing the warm-up and exercise testing. The warm-up lasted for 20 minutes and was divided into two phases. First phase was a 10–minute general warm-up with light stationary cycling at a self-selected cadence. Second phase consisted of dynamic body-weight movements (eight minutes) and light stretching exercises (six stretching exercises performed in two series of ten seconds each, with a total 2 min of static stretching for the main muscle groups involved in test exercises (40). Last five minutes of the preparation were dedicated for proper Biodex chair height and attachments alignment. The time from the intake of the solution to the start of the test was based on caffeine’s half-time and elimination rate (37, 38, 39). Hence, in 40 minute following intake of solution, subjects underwent testing procedures consisting of muscular isokinetic knee flexion/extension test, three repetition maximum upper body strength test–bench press (3-RM) and the Wingate anaerobic test (WAnT). The tests were always carried out in the mentioned order. Each performance assessment was separated by a five-minute rest period. On the subject’s second and third visit in the laboratory, everyone was provided with the opposite treatment.

Subjects

Twenty-three resistance trained men (27±7.4 years; 88±10.7 kg; 179±6 cm) with 3 years of resistance training experience were qualified for the study. All the subject had similar training
experience focused on anaerobic performance with strength training three-times a week, ∼100
minutes per training session. During the course of the study the participants underwent three
testing sessions administered in randomized and double-blind fashion. The subjects were asked
to follow similar training scheme for 8 weeks prior to the beginning of the study. The main part
of each training consisted of 4×3–5 repetitions of a single exercise for each muscle group, with
∼80% of 1RM, 3min rest intervals. Following an explanation of all procedures, risks and benefits
associated with the study, each subject gave his written consent prior to participation. The study
was approved by the Ethical Committee of the University School of Physical Education in
Katowice (Katowice, Poland) and conformed to the ethical requirements of the 1975 Helsinki
Declaration. Subjects were also required to be free of any nutritional supplements or ergogenic
aids for the two weeks preceding the study, and were asked to refrain from taking any additional
supplement during the duration of the study.

Isokinetic strength test

Athletes were placed on the isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Multi-joint System 4 PRO, Biodex
Medical Systems Inc, Shirley, NY, USA) in a sitting position with hip flexion at 85º and the
equipment axis aligned with the lateral condyle of the femur. Both arms were placed along the
sides of the body, the trunk was stabilized against the backrest using chair belts, the thigh of the
tested limb was fixed against the seat by means of a belt, and the contralateral limb was allowed
to hang free. The tested leg was weighted to correct for the effects of gravity on the torque
measured, according to the specifications of the Biodex Manual. To assess muscular
performance, each participant was asked to perform alternating concentric contractions of the
knee flexors and extensors within a range of motion of 85º (90º to 5º of flexion). During the test,
every participant was instructed to exert maximum force throughout the entire range of motion.
In addition, they were encouraged to go as fast as possible until the end of the assessment.
Participants were allowed to familiarize themselves with the procedures before actual testing by
performing three repetitions of the tested motion. Then they performed a set of five repetitions at 60º/s. Variables collected during the test were: time to peak torque (TTP) – described as measure of time from the start of muscular contraction to the point of the highest torque development (peak torque), peak torque (PT) – highest muscular force output at any moment during a repetition, torque at 0.2 seconds (PT@0.2 s) – amount of force developed in first 0.2 s from the start of contraction, total work performed (T_{work}) – the amount of work accomplished for the entire set of repetitions. When the coefficient of variation (CV) of the peak torque was higher than 10% the athlete was allowed to recover and the set was repeated (41).

Maximal strength test

Subjects performed a three–repetition maximum (3-RM) test in the bench press exercise five minutes after completing the isokinetic strength test. Initially they warmed-up by completing 12 – 15 repetitions on the standard barbell without any additional load (TechnoGym Bar, Cesena, Italy) followed by 12 – 15 repetitions with 40 – 60 kg load (according to each participant’s ability), at a self-selected cadence. 3-RM determination was carried out according to Baechle and Earle methods (42). Two minutes recovery was allotted between sets and 3-RM was determined in 3–6 sets. No bouncing of the bar on the chest was permitted for the bench press exercise, as this would have artificially augmented strength results. Bench press testing was performed in the standard supine position: the subject lowered an Olympic weight lifting bar to mid-chest level and then pressed the weight until his elbows were fully extended.

Wingate test

Wingate test procedure began with five–minute warm–up at 60-70 RPM cadence on Cyclus2 ergometer (BM elektronik-automation GmbH, Leipzig, Germany). After five minutes of recovery, each participant performed a 30-second supramaximal effort at an
individually determined workload of 7.5% body mass. Subjects were instructed to accelerate as fast as possible to the highest attainable pedalling rate and to maintain the pace throughout the whole test duration, while remaining in a seated position. During the test, the following mechanical variables were collected: peak power (PP), mean power (MP) fatigue index (FI), time to peak power ($T_{peak}$) and total work performed ($T_{work}$). The peak power achieved was defined as the highest power output achieved during the 30 s test, while mean power was defined as the average power achieved throughout the trial (43). Time to peak power corresponds to the time needed to reach peak power from the beginning of the test. The fatigue index reflects the percent power decline during the trial (43). The work performed was calculated basing on the total number of revolutions and force computed by Cyclus2 software. In the third minute of recovery finger capillary blood (2μl) was collected for plasma lactate measurement (Lactate Scout, EKF-Diagnostic GmbH, Germany).

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis was conducted in R environment (version 3.3.2). Linear mixed models were estimated via R package *lme4*. Normality of data within subgroups was ascertained via graphical methods (quantile-quantile plots). Levene’s test (based on median) showed that for all variables, variances within subgroups were homoscedastic. Thus, data could be analysed using parametric methods. Differences between subgroups were assessed via linear mixed models (with random intercepts). Firstly, likelihood ratio tests with Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction were used to screen out non-significant models. Afterwards, pairwise differences between subgroups were examined via Tukey’s HSD procedure. Effect size was estimated using marginal and conditional (pseudo-$R^2$) linear association between standardized variables. Linear mixed models (with random intercepts and slopes) were applied. Firstly, likelihood ratio tests (with Benjamini Hochberg
correction) were applied to the simple models (no subgroup effects) to assess the significance of the regression coefficient and effect size was estimated by marginal and conditional (pseudo-$R^2$). Then, likelihood ratio tests (with Benjamini-Hochberg correction) were applied to compare simple and extended models (subgroup effects and interaction effect with the continuous predictor were added) to determine whether regression coefficient differ significantly between subgroups. Statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$. All data are reported as mean ± standard deviations (SD).

**Results**

No subjects reported any adverse events or side-effects following ingestion of the supplement or placebo. The mean values of knee peak torque (PT) developed by the knee extensors and flexors muscle groups (left and right extremities) were significantly greater in KO supplement ($p=0.001$ for right and left leg flexors as well as for right leg extensors, and $p=0.002$ for left leg extensors) compared to PL treatment (Figure 1 and 2) as well as other mechanical variables obtained via isokinetic dynamometry knee strength test, like the time to peak torque - TTP [ms] (Figure 3) for knee flexors ($p=0.002$ for right and left leg) and total work– $T_{\text{work}}$ [J] (Figure 4 and 5) - done for the knee extensors and flexors muscle groups (left and right extremities; $p=0.002$ and $p=0.005$ for right and left leg flexors, respectively, and $p=0.001$ and $p=0.002$ for right and left leg extensors, respectively).
**Figure 1.** Mean values of peak torque (PT) at 60°/sec and at 0.2 sec. for right and left knee extensors. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for right leg (p=0.001), and left leg (p=0.002). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).

![Graph showing peak torque comparing Placebo and Supplement for right and left legs.](image1)

**Figure 2.** Mean values of peak torque (PT) at 60°/sec and at 0.2 sec. for right and left knee extensors. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for right leg (p=0.001), and left leg (p=0.002). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).

![Graph showing peak torque comparing Placebo and Supplement for right and left legs.](image2)
Figure 3. Mean values of time to peak torque (TTP) at 60°/sec for right and left knee flexors. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for right leg (p=0.002), and left leg (p=0.002). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).

Figure 4. Mean values of total work (Twork) at 60°/sec for right and left knee extensors. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for right leg (p=0.001), and left leg (p=0.002). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).
Table 1. Mechanical variables obtained during isokinetic strength test at 60°/sec. for right and left knee extensors and flexors. FL – flexion, EX – extension, TTP – time to peak torque, PT@0.2s – peak torque at 0.2 sec, T_work – total work done.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Supplement Movement</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>KOSUPPLEMENT</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>KOSUPPLEMENT</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TTP [ms]</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>548.7 ± 159.9</td>
<td>468.5 ± 141.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>615.22 ± 202.8</td>
<td>501.22 ± 170.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>501.74 ± 123.9</td>
<td>512.13 ± 110.2</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>539.57 ± 119.5</td>
<td>523.52 ± 123.4</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT@0.2 sec [Nm]</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>103.17 ± 37.6</td>
<td>131.84 ± 29.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>103.72 ± 39.6</td>
<td>129.38 ± 28.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>202.65 ± 58.6</td>
<td>237.22 ± 54.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>203.27 ± 63.2</td>
<td>229.84 ± 50.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_work [J]</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>721.02 ± 150.2</td>
<td>798.06 ± 149.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>788.67 ± 145.1</td>
<td>843.18 ± 132.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EX</td>
<td>1172.36 ± 188.7</td>
<td>1337.01 ± 200.1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1327.2 ± 223.0</td>
<td>1419.52 ± 205.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Mean values of total work (T_work) at 60°/sec for right and left knee flexors. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for right leg (p=0.002), and left leg (p=0.005). Error bars indicate standard deviation (SD).
Mean 3-RM strength for placebo treatment was $110.6 \pm 29.75$ kg, whilst for the KO supplement ingestion, subjects' performance was $118.82 \pm 29.89$ kg, what demonstrated to be statistically significant difference ($p=0.001$; Figure 6).

The Wingate anaerobic test results are depicted in Table 2. Significant difference in mean anaerobic power between KO-supplement and PL treatment was observed ($p=0.038$; diff: 0.18; 95% CI: 0.02 to 0.34). No statistical difference was noticed between other variables presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable/Supplement</th>
<th>PL</th>
<th>KO-supplement</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP [W/kg]</td>
<td>$10.89 \pm 0.77$</td>
<td>$11.09 \pm 0.95$</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP [W/kg]</td>
<td>$8.49 \pm 0.57$</td>
<td>$8.66 \pm 0.55$</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{work}}$ [kJ]</td>
<td>$22.73 \pm 2.71$</td>
<td>$23.1 \pm 2.6$</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FI [%]</td>
<td>$18.87 \pm 3.97$</td>
<td>$19.4 \pm 4.76$</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate [mmol/L]</td>
<td>$14.63 \pm 2.05$</td>
<td>$14.42 \pm 1.75$</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mean mechanical and physiological variables obtained during Wingate test. PP – peak power, MP – mean power, $T_{work}$ – total work, FI – fatigue index. Significant difference compared to placebo was observed for MP ($p=0.038$).

**Discussion**

We hypothesized that KO the supplement can significantly affect the anaerobic physical performance. We also expected that the active ingredients (citrulline, taurine, beta alanine, L-arginine, L-tyrosine and plants extracts of hordenine, huperzia serrata, black and cayenne pepper) could impart significant effect, for example. If this was the case it would be characterized inter alia by greater strength and shorter time to peak torque (TTP) compared to placebo treatment.

The results of this study indicate that the ingestion of multi-ingredient pre-workout dietary supplement KO prior to physical exercise was effective in improving resistance and high-intensity performance. The results show that KO the supplement can delay fatigue and improve strength. The mean peak torque of muscle extensors and flexors increased significantly during isokinetic strength test with KO-supplement ingestion. These results are consistent with previous findings in which isokinetic strength performance was improved due to caffeine ingestion (44, 45). It was also found that KO-supplement significantly increased peak torque (extension and flexion) at 0-200 ms time interval, which is described as an improvement in the rate of force development (13% and 20% improvement for extensors and flexors, respectively) and has important implications for performance in sports where forces have to be applied rapidly. Similar findings were reported in Behrens et. al. (46) study which confirms the supraspinal excitatory effect of caffeine on motor unit recruitment and rate coding. These results indicate that pre-training supplements based on caffeine may be helpful in ballistic–related exercises (45, 47, 48). The ergogenic effects of caffeine during resistance exercise or high intensity exercise protocol have been seen in doses ranging from of 3-6 mg·kg$^{-1}$ (13). The average dosage of caffeine provided in this study was 3.4 mg·kg$^{-1}$, and was slightly higher than that seen in other studies where 1-RM bench press strength exercise was improved the amount of caffeine administrated.
was slightly higher. However, the improvement in the study was around 2.1% which is clearly lower than the strength improvement seen in the current study (7%), which could indicate a synergistic effect of the other KO-supplement ingredients with the caffeine contained in the product. In contrast, Astorino et al. (12) supplemented 6 mg·kg$^{-1}$ of caffeine to resistance-trained man and did not observed any difference in 1-RM bench press performance. Interestingly, Williams et al. (49) combined caffeine with ephedrine before 1-RM bench press protocol and also did not observe any significant changes in performance. Nevertheless, the improvement of the resistance exercise performance due to caffeine or MIPS ingestion is documented by some but not all of the previous research. Regarding the levels of caffeine habituation, different testing protocols and caffeine dosages are potential contributory factors which may be responsible for different outcomes found in the scientific literature.

During the Wingate test we observed (Table 2), that only the mean anaerobic power was significantly improved ($p<0.05$). No statistical differences in the other variables checked in Wingate test were observed. Nevertheless, we can suggest that the greater value of mean anaerobic power performance compared to PL was possibly due to enhanced anaerobic glycolysis in KO-supplement trial (50, 51). It is possible that the onset of local and peripheral fatigue due to the exercise test order can explain the lack of difference between KO-supplement and PL conditions in the majority of the variables. Previously performed exercises could reduce motor unit recruitment ability and increase metabolic ion (e.g. $H^+$, ammonia) accumulation, especially in lower extremities (50).

On the other hand, it can be suggested that the current protocol mimics typical resistance training regimes where limited amount of time is available between upper and lower body exercises. If that was true, KO-supplement could maintain higher muscle mean power output for longer periods of time. However, the efficacy of KO-the supplement ingestion on short high-intensity exercise should be the subject of further studies.
Because we did not examine nor the effect of every single ingredient alone neither the effect of different compositions of the substances used in the supplement, we cannot tell which ingredient could be responsible for the potentially highest synergistic effect.

Most studies examined the various effects of taurine in combination with other ingredients, did not use appropriate control supplement (52). Therefore, taurine’s ability to enhance resistance exercise performance in human subjects remains unclear. Additionally beta-alanine has been shown to significantly elevate carnosine levels in both type I and type II human muscle fibers and act as an intracellular buffer (20). The recommended dose of beta-alanine loading is 2-5 g and a minimum 2-4 weeks of supplementation is needed to increase muscle carnosine levels (20). Although, it is currently still not known whether it is possible to enhance resistance exercise by acute beta-alanine ingestion.

Tyrosine supplementation is assumed to maintain optimum levels of brain neurotransmitters which may contribute to the optimal performance through higher motivation levels together with decreased fatigue. Although in the study of Sutton et al. (23), even 30 times higher tyrosine dosage was unable to improve exercise performance. L-arginine and L-citrulline are believed to be a potent precursors of nitric oxide (NO), which plays a crucial role in blood flow, muscle energy metabolism and mitochondrial oxidation during exercise (24, 25). In a review by Álvares et al. (53), only 5 acute studies evaluated L-arginine ingestion on exercise performance and only 3 of these reported a significant improvement. Dosage of 6 grams of L-arginine 80 minutes before isokinetic elbow extension test did not reveal any significant changes (53). Additionally some studies have found that oral L-citrulline supplementation has no effect on exercise (54). It must be noted that in light of the current evidence a single dose of L-citrulline and L-arginine is insufficient to enhance sport performance and supplementation should last at least one week (53, 55). Moreover, a review by Bescós et al. (55) indicates a paucity of data linking an increase in exercise performance and intake of NO–related supplements.
Huperzine present in a Huperzia serrata extract was reported to inhibit the acetylcholinesterase enzyme (28, 29). It should be noted that whilst manufacturers of dietary supplements are responsible for ensuring that their products are safe as well as for accurate labelling that will not mislead the end consumer. At the same time a manufacturer is not obligated for providing Federal Drug Administration (FDA) or European Food Safety Agency (EFSA) with data demonstrating the safety and the effectiveness of the product before it is marketed (56, 57). Several studies have shown that pre-training supplements can potentially delay fatigue and improve the quality of resistance exercise (2, 5). However, in many of these studies a number of pharmacologically active compounds were used blended together, what makes it impossible to assess the effectiveness of each component separately and so it remains unclear of the effectiveness of each ingredient. These formulations usually contain a number of ingredients blended together and even if the effect for an isolated ingredient is known, the effectiveness of whole formulation cannot be presumed. This is because multiple ingredients potentially interact and these interactions may potentiate or attenuate supplement effectiveness. Pre-workout supplements typically consist of multiple active ingredients, which once ingested, can modify pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics resulting in different bioavailability properties and physiological effects. Subsequently, it is important to test the efficacy of each supplement individually, as its effectiveness cannot be presumed from the potential individual effect of each active ingredient. It is generally accepted that pre-workout supplement producers attempt to maximize the effectiveness of caffeine, whilst also offering several ingredients that attempt to further elevate its stimulatory potential. Due to the lack of information in regards to the combination of the individual ingredients and their exact action in comparison with caffeine ingestion we are unable to identify the efficacy or whether those individual ingredients act synergistically or antagonistically with other compounds of MIPS. Further research which will examine the effects of each individual ingredient of MIPS...
and their combination with caffeine is needed to identify the most optimal composition regarding
the choice of the appropriate active compounds and their dosage.

Limitations of the study
In this study, we focused on the effect of a multi-ingredient supplement on anaerobic capacity. The base ingredient of the composition was caffeine. It is possible that similar effects could be observed for caffeine supplementation only or for another multi-ingredient composition similar to the one used in this study. However, the aim of this study was to determine whether the proposed combination and proportions of the ingredients in the supplement have a beneficial effect on the anaerobic capacity, and not to assess which component determines the effect to the greatest extent. The search for this ingredient or another combination or proportion of the components and evaluation of the optimal dose should be the subject of further research.

Conclusions
In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that the supplement KO significantly improves upper and lower body strength performance in resistance trained men. At the same time, acute ingestion of this supplement had significant and beneficial effect on anaerobic power performance. Given the scarcity of research on pre-workout supplements, more research is warranted to gain a better understanding of their effects on anaerobic modes of exercise.
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