

Influential Factors on Quality of Life in Married Iranian Women During The Covid-19 Pandemic in 2020: A Path Analysis

Daneshfar Zahra

Tarbiat Modares University Faculty of Medical Sciences

Shahideh Jahanian Sadatmahalleh (✉ shahideh.jahanian@modares.ac.ir)

Tarbiat Modares University <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7006-8487>

Youseflu Samaneh

Zanjan University of Medical Sciences

Bahri Khomami Mahnaz

Monash University

Kazemnejad Anoshiravan

Tarbiat Modares University Faculty of Medical Sciences

Research article

Keywords: Quality of Life, Married Women, Covid-19, Path Analysis

Posted Date: September 30th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-50735/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published on March 10th, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-01114-2>.

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between quality of life (QoL) with anxiety, depression, corona disease anxiety, sexual function (SF), and marital satisfaction (MS) in married women during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Methods: We performed a cross-sectional study involving n= 296 married women. We used the Short Form Health Survey (SF-12), Marital Satisfaction Scale (MSS), Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and coronary disease anxiety questionnaire, as determinants of QoL for data collection. Data were analyzed using Pearson correlation coefficient and path analysis.

Results: There was a relationship between the components of QoL with SF, anxiety, depression, MS, general health and contamination obsessions. The results of path analysis also showed that that SF, MS, anxiety, general health and corona-related anxiety have a direct effect on women's QoL. General health has a more direct effect on QoL.

Conclusion: The results of this study could help to planning to improve the QoL of women during the corona virus epidemic.

Background

Corona viruses are enveloped RNA viruses that are transmissible among humans, other mammals, and birds (1). A novel contagious primary atypical (viral) pneumonia was reported in Wuhan, China, in December 2019. Then it was classified as a zoonotic corona virus, similar to SARS corona virus and MERS corona virus, and was named COVID-19 (2). The World Health Organization (WHO) has recently announced COVID-19 has become a pandemic, with more than 180,000 reported cases to date (3). The pandemic announcement raised major concerns universally with transmission prevention being comprehensively upgraded. The uncertainty and low predictability of COVID-19 not only threaten people's physical health, but also affect people's mental health, especially in terms of emotions and cognition. According to Behavioral Immune System (BIS) theory, people are likely to develop negative emotions (e.g., aversion, anxiety, etc.), and negative cognitive assessment to protect yourself when faced with a potential illness. Long-term negative emotions may reduce the immune function of people and disturb the balance of their normal physiological mechanisms (4). As a outcome of the increasing number of confirmed cases and deaths and deaths caused by the COVID-19 virus, both the medical staff and members of the community have experienced psychological problems such as anxiety, depression and stress (5).

Anxiety about COVID-19 infection is common. Corona virus has also spread in Iran and quickly endangered mental and physical health (6). Depression is a common mental health condition that affects many aspects of daily life and is associated with many mental health conditions, especially anxiety (7).

Existing studies show that dysfunction in daily routine life and psychological problems can negatively affect quality of life (QoL) (8). QoL is defined by WHO as "the perception of the individual about his

position in life, in the context of culture and value systems in which he lives, and about his goals, expectations, standards, and concerns (9). Also, Sexual Function (SF) is an important element of QoL (10). Diseases can lead to reduced QoL in various psychological and physical dimensions (11). Considering the prevalence of the Covid-19 virus, its various effects (physical, psychological, social and economic effects) on people's lives and the little information about this field, it is necessary to identify influential factors on QoL in married Iranian women during the pandemic of Covid-19.

This study aimed to test a conceptual model considering the interrelated role of anxiety, depression, marital satisfaction (MS), mental health, SF, and corona disease anxiety on the QoL of married women. Based on the above aims, this study proposes the following hypotheses (Hypotheses 1–5):

Hypothesis 1

A higher level of anxiety and depression will be associated with a lower level of QoL, SF, general health, and MS.

Hypothesis 2

A lower level of general health will be associated with higher level of anxiety, depression, and lower level of SF, MS, and QoL.

Hypothesis 3

contamination obsession will be associated with higher level of anxiety, depression, and also have a worse effect on SF, MS, general health, and QoL.

Hypothesis 4

Longer quarantine will be associated with higher level of anxiety, depression, and also have a worse effect on SF, MS, and QoL.

Hypothesis 5

SF, MS, anxiety, depression, general health, duration of quarantine, contamination obsession will be associated with QoL.

Methods

Design and Data Collection:

Of the 325 women who completed the questionnaires, 29 women excluded of study due to lack of entry criteria or incomplete filling of questionnaires. Finally, the current cross-sectional study was conducted on 296 married women. Data collection was performed using an online questionnaire. Due to home quarantine to prevent corona virus disease, first, the existing valid questionnaires link was designed and

the designed link via social media with the help of members of the research team was sent to all social groups that were only women. On the first page of the survey, information about the study objectives, methods and potential outcomes were provided. Research Ethics Committees of Tarbiat Modares University of Medical Sciences approved the study protocol (IR.MODARES.REC.1399.004). Sampling method was convenience sampling.

Inclusion criteria were age range of 18-45 years, absence of the history of chronic diseases or condition resulting in sexual dysfunction (such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, hysterectomy, premature ovarian failure, psychiatric illnesses, infertility), not using any medications affecting sexual response cycle (such as: antihypertensive drugs, antipsychotic drugs, antidepressants, hormonal drugs) and lack of addiction to narcotics and alcohol, married and living with husband, and having sexual intercourse in the past 4 weeks.

Measures:

Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics including: women's age, age at marriage, body mass index, province and city of residence, income amount, educational level, duration of marriage, menstrual status, occupational status, gravid, para, abortion, intrauterine fetal death, history of infertility, and number of children.

Quality of life

Short Form Health Survey (SF-12) includes 12 questions related to 8 dimensions (sexual performance, physical role, physical pain, general health, energy and vitality, social performance, emotional role and mental health) which are divided into two subscales of physical and mental health. The maximum score obtained for each section or subscale is 100 and the minimum score is zero with a higher score indicating a better health status. The validity and reliability of this questionnaire was previously confirmed in Iran (12).

Sexual Function

The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) is multidimensional self-report tool for evaluating the key dimensions of SF in women (sexual desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction and pain) with 19 items (13). Its validity and reliability in Iran were previously confirmed (14).

Depression and Anxiety

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) designed by Zigmond and his colleagues in 1983 has seven questions on symptoms of anxiety and seven questions on symptoms of depression. This questionnaire is based on a four-point Likert scale. Finally, out of a total of 21-points score, scores above 8 were considered as being anxious and depressed in each subscale. This scale has been validated as a good tool for screening mental health disorders in Iran (15).

Marital Satisfaction

Marital Satisfaction Scale-shortened version (MSS) contains 10 items measuring the satisfaction of marital relationships. Using the 5-point Likert scale, the answers range from “5 = I totally agree with” to “1 = I totally disagree with”. Questions number Q1, Q3, Q5, Q8, and Q9 were negative items and need reversing. Total scoring of this questionnaire ranges from 10 to 50. A higher score indicates higher MS. A valid and reliable version of the MSS scale was translated into Persian by Arab Alidousti et al. The Persian version showed the desired validity and reliability (16).

Mental Health

General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) was developed by Goldberg in 1978. The GHQ-28 is a screening tool to detect those likely to have or to be at risk of developing psychiatric disorders. The GHQ-28 has been divided into four subscales. These are: somatic symptoms (items 1–7); anxiety/insomnia (items 8–14); social dysfunction (items 15–21), and severe depression (items 22–28) (17). Psychometric form 28 item of this questionnaire (GHQ) was conducted in Iran, the results of which approved validity and reliability (18).

Corona Disease Anxiety

Corona disease anxiety scale has been prepared and validated by Alipour et al to measure anxiety caused by the prevalence of corona virus in Iran. To prepare Corona Anxiety Scale, different questionnaire questions, including the AIDS anxiety questionnaire and questionnaires related to fear of health risks were surveyed and 23 items selected. The final version of this tool has 18 items and 2 components. Items 1 to 9 are for measuring psychological symptoms and items 10 to 18 are for physical symptoms. This tool is in the 4-degree Likert range (never = 0 sometimes = 1 most of the time = 2 and Always = 3). Therefore, the highest and lowest scores obtained by the respondents in this questionnaire are between 0 and 54. The high scores in this questionnaire indicate a higher level of anxiety in individuals (6).

Statistical analysis

Software SPSS (version 20) was used for descriptive statistics and to determine the effects of variables on each other, the method of path analysis with Lisrel software was used. Correlations between the variables were investigated using Pearson’s correlation coefficients.

The path analysis method is a generalized general regression that is able to express in addition to the direct effects, indirect effects and the general effect of each of the variables for the dependent variables, and interpret the observed relationships and correlations between them with logical expression.

In the present study, the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), goodness fit comparative Fit Index (CFI) used to determine the fit of the model. These indicators are similar to the correlation coefficient. In other words, their value varies between zero and one. Chi-Square value evaluates the overall model fit (19).

Results

Table 1 describes the demographic characteristics of participants. The mean age of participants and duration of their marriage were 33.68 ± 6.47 and 10 ± 7 years, respectively. The majority of participants (63.17%) were in home quarantine for 6–7 days per week. In terms of educational level, 82.43% of subjects had academic level education and about 40.88% of them were unemployed. The overall mean score of QoL was 62 ± 7 .

Table 1
Socio-demographic Characteristics of Participants

Characteristic	
Age (years)*	33.68 ± 6.47
Parity *	1.07 (0)
Duration of marriage (years)*	10 (7)
Duration of quarantine **	11 (3)
Less than 1 day/week	28 (9)
Between 2–3 day/week	68 (22)
Between 4–5 day/week	187 (62)
Between 6–7 day/week	5 (1)
At all	
Income (Toman) **	41 (11)
Less than 1 million	103 (31)
Between 1–3 million	104 (32)
Between 3–5 million	74 (22)
More than 5 million	
Education **	56 (16)
High school	268 (82.46)
University	
Occupation **	134 (41)
Unemployed	155 (47.69)
Employed **	18 (5)
Self-employed	15 (4)
Student	
QoL	62 ± 7
*Values are given as mean ± SD, **Values are given as number (%)	

Table 2 shows the Correlation (bivariate analysis) between all variables included to the path model. Results showed that QoL was correlated with SF ($r = 0.53$, $P < 0.001$), anxiety ($r = -0.22$, $P < 0.001$),

depression ($r=-0.17$, $P < 0.01$), MS ($r = 0.28$, $p < 0.001$), general health ($r=-0.52$, $P < 0.001$), and contamination obsessions ($r=-0.14$, $P < 0.05$).

Table 2
Correlations between Anxiety, Depression, Marital Satisfaction, Sexual Function, General health, Duration of quarantine, Contamination obsessions, and Quality of Life of women

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. Quality of Life	-	-	-	-	-		
2. Sexual function	0.53***	-	-	-	-		
3. anxiety	-0.22***	-0.17**	-	-	-		
4. Depression	-0.17**	-0.07	0.26***	-	-		
5. Marital satisfaction	0.28***	0.28***	0.08	-0.08	-		
6. General health	-0.52***	-0.34***	0.21***	0.28**	-0.01		
7. Duration of quarantine	-0.06	-0.06	0.06	0.13*	0.03	0.11*	
8. contamination obsessions	-0.14*	-0.14*	0.03	0.18**	0.08	0.12*	0.25*
Values are given as Pearson coefficient (P-value) using Pearson correlation test.							
*P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.							

The overall goodness-of-fit statistics demonstrated that the conceptual model of the study was excellent (P -value = 0.01; $\chi^2 = 37.82$; $DF = 21$; $\chi^2/df = 1.81$; $RMSEA = 0.05$; $CFI = 0.97$; $GFI = 0.98$) (Table 3).

Table 3
The Goodness of Fit Indices for the Models

	CFI*	GFI	RMSEA	Chi-square	df	Chi-square/df	P-value
Path N = 296	0.97	0.98	0.05	37.82	21	1.81	0.01
*CFI: comparative fit index, GFI: goodness fit index, RMSEA: root mean square error of approximation, Chi-square/df: chi-square to the degree of freedom index.							

Table 4 and Fig. 1 show the direct, indirect, and total effects of variables on women's QoL. Results show that SF ($\beta = 0.31$), MS ($\beta = 0.21$), anxiety ($\beta = -0.09$), general health ($\beta = -0.33$), and corona-related Anxiety ($\beta = -0.14$) have a direct effect on women's QoL. Among variables, general health has a more direct effect on QoL. Anxiety, depression, and corona related anxiety are the main predictors of general health. On the other hand, general health with indirect effects through SF can change women's QoL. Women with a higher level of SF had better MS and QoL. We also observed a lower level of QoL and longer duration of

quarantine in women with a higher level of corona related anxiety. Contamination obsessions were related to more duration of quarantine, and a higher level of corona related anxiety.

Table 4
Direct, indirect, and total effect of some variables on QoL of women

	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Total effect	T-value
Sexual function	0.31	0.38	0.69	7.98
Marital satisfaction	0.21	-	0.21	4.71
Anxiety	-0.09	-0.34	-0.43	-3.33
Depression	-	-0.20	-0.20	-2.53
General health	-0.33	-0.08	-0.41	-8.9-
Corona-related Anxiety	-0.14	-0.15	-0.29	-6.55
contamination obsessions	-	-0.13	-0.13	-5.11
*Path values are standardized β coefficients				

Discussion

The pandemic of Covid-19 severely affects the lives of individuals around the world. Social contact and individuals' have been integrated into human's lives. Disruption to the social norms could therefore drive stress because of loneliness, anxiety, depression, mental disorders, health risks and many other things that affect a person's life (20).

In this study, the effect of factors: SF, MS, mental health, anxiety, depression, corona disease anxiety on the QoL of married women during the epidemic of Covid virus 19 was studied using path analysis. Our results showed the SF, MS, anxiety, general health and corona-related anxiety have a direct effect on women's QoL. In some studies, sexual dysfunction has been identified as a factor influencing on QoL in individuals, and in fact, the investigate of SF plays an important role in studies related to QoL (21). In the study of Zhang and his colleague, 52.1% of participants felt horror and apprehensive due to the pandemic of COVID-19 and the pandemic was associated with mild stressful impact in participants (22). SF may be negatively affected by any type of stress or emotional distress (23). Therefore, it can be said that stress caused by COVID-19 can affect SF and SF is also one of the factors affecting the QoL.

In our study, MS was identified as an influential factor on QoL and the results of a study by Keramat et al, confirmed this finding. In this study (Keramat), a significant relationship was found between QoL and MS. The study was performed on infertile women (24). Infertility and its treatments, like Corona's pandemic, is a crisis that can affect the MS and then the QoL changes. In studies, MS and SF have been associated with QoL (25). One of the indicators of mental health is MS (26), so it can affect the QoL.

Anxiety and depression are the most important factors influencing on the QoL associated with health and even its impact on QoL has been reported to be stronger than physical conditions such as angina and other chronic diseases (27). Anxiety about COVID-19 is common and seems to be mostly due to uncertainties. Low scientific knowledge about the Covid-19 also exacerbates this anxiety (6). In this study, corona disease anxiety and anxiety had a direct impact on QoL that was in line with the results of a study by Parsamehr et al. In Parsamehr et al.'s study, there was a significant negative relationship between depression and anxiety with patients' QoL who had been undergone coronary artery bypass graft. The researchers said anxiety and depression can reduce the QoL of patients by causing physical and psychological consequences (28). Anxiety is a natural reaction to difficult and threatening situations, but if it is severe and prolonged and causes suffering, it disrupts life and reduces the QoL.

In addition to causing physical harm, Covid-19 also has a serious effect on people's mental health (29). In our study, among the variables affecting QoL, general health has a strong direct impact. QoL has a multidimensional and complex meaning and often as a specific understanding of satisfaction in life, physical health, social and family health, hope, social etiquette, and mental health (30). It is believed that various number of factors might influence the QoL that mental health is one of the important and influential factors in QoL (31).

Anxiety and depression are two important aspects of mental health (32). In present study, anxiety, depression, and corona related anxiety are the main predictors of general health. The results of a study conducted by Alizadeh Fard et al, confirmed our findings. In Alizadeh's study, corona disease anxiety was negatively correlated with mental health. Anxiety is the most fundamental feature of a crisis situation. The critical condition of the corona virus pandemic by increasing negative factors such as anxiety affect mental health (33).

Epidemics of the diseases affect people physically as well as society at different levels which lead to mental disorders such as anxiety and stress.

In our study, depression was also a predictor of general health. In a study conducted by Steger et al, depression is considered to be the strongest predictor of mental health (34).

The results of the study by Wu et al showed that that awareness of COVID-19 significantly increased the prevalence of perinatal depression (35). Also, in the study of Zheng et al, they concluded that prevalence of COVID-19 was presumably to excess the incidence of depression in cancer patients (36). It seems that excessive stress and anxiety in the current situation increase the risk of depressive disorder.

In our study, women with a higher level of SF had better MS. This result is congruent with study of Khazei et al. In this study, there was a significant relationship between sexual dysfunction and low MS. MS is related to the level and quality of general health and life satisfaction (37). MS is impressed by many factors. Safe and enjoyable sexual relationship is one of the most important factors considered in many studies (38). Sexual dysfunction probably affects MS through its impact on QoL and mental health.

In this study, more duration of quarantine, will be associated with higher level of anxiety, depression and QoL. A review of Brooks et al showed that quarantine has negative psychological effects containing post-traumatic stress symptoms, anger, and confusion. During quarantine days, separation from loved ones, loss of liberty, uncertainty about the condition of the disease, and boredom can have impressive effects. Stressors included longer quarantine duration, infection fears, disappointment, fatigue, incomplete supplies, inadequate information, financial loss, and stigma (39).

The result of the present study showed that contamination obsessions were related to more duration of quarantine, and a higher level of corona related anxiety. Among the many psychosocial consequences that have occurred due to the pandemic of COVID-19, the prominence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms has been largely neglected. Uncontrolled obsessions and compulsions can lead to dermatological conditions, chronic stress, insomnia and high risk for suicide (40).

The strengths of the present study include it is the first study conducted in the field in Iran and in the world; and the participants were from a wide range of socioeconomic and geographic backgrounds.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed that SF, MS, anxiety, general health and corona-related anxiety are factors influencing the QoL during the pandemic of covid-19. Stress and anxiety disturb the immune system and make individuals vulnerable to contagious diseases such as corona. This warrants research on strategies to improve people's mental health.

List Of Abbreviations

FSFI: Female Sexual Function Index

QOL: Quality of Life

SF12: Short Form Health Survey

SARS: Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome

MERS: Middle East Respiratory Syndrome

COVID19: Corona virus Disease 2019

SF: Sexual Function

MS: Marital Satisfaction

WHO: World Health Organization

BIS: Behavioral Immune System

BMI: Body Mass Index

HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

GHQ: General Health Questionnaire

MSS: Marital Satisfaction Scale-shortened version

RMSEA: Root mean square error of approximation

AGFI: Adjusted Goodness of Fit Index

CFI: Confirmatory Factor Analytic

DF: Degree of Freedom

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tarbiat Modares University of Medical Sciences (IR.MODARES.REC.1399.004). All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Regional research committee and with the Declaration of Helsinki 1964 and its later amendments. For informed consent, at the top of the online questionnaire page, adequate explanations were provided about the objectives of the study, the anonymity of the questionnaire, and the confidentiality of the information given. Then the research sample could answer the questions by clicking on the word "I accept" if they wanted to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The data sets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding

None.

Authors' contributions

Sh.JS, Z.D and S.Y contributed to the conception and design of the study; Sh.JS and Z.D did the literature search; A.K, S.Y and M.B performed the statistical analysis; Z.D, Sh.JS, S.Y, and M.B wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

This study was carried out with the kind collaboration of the participants. This study is a part of research work done in Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. There were no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Zhu Na, Zhang D, Wang W, Li X, Yang Bo, Song J, et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. The new england journal of medicine [Internet]. 2020:[727-33 pp.].
2. Liu Y, Gayle A, Wilder-Smith A, Rocklove J. The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus. Journal of Travel Medicine. 2020.
3. Filatov A, Sharma P, Hindi F, Espinosa P.S. Neurological Complications of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19): Encephalopathy. Cureus. 2020;12(3).
4. Li S, Wang L, Xue J, Zhao N, Zhu T. The Impact of COVID-19 Epidemic Declaration on Psychological Consequences: A Study on Active Weibo Users. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17(6).
5. Online mental health services in China during the COVID-19 outbreak [Internet]. 2020.
6. Alipour A, Ghadami A, Alipour Z, Abdollahzadeh H. Preliminary Validation of the Corona Disease Anxiety Scale (CDAS) in the Iranian Sample. Quarterly Journal of Health Psychology. 2020;8(4):163-75.
7. Paulus M.P, Stein M.B . Interoception in anxiety and depression. brain structure function journal. 2010;214:451-63.
8. Yektatalab SH, Ansarfard F. The relationship between mental health and quality of life in patients with asthma. Iranian Journal of Psychiatric Nursing. 2014;2(1):72-81.
9. World Health Organization. WHOQOL: Measuring Quality of Life: Introducing the WHOQOL Instruments [Internet]. Accessed July 19, 2018. Available from: <http://www.who.int/healthinfo/survey/whoqol-qualityoflife/en/> [Links].
10. Bae A, Park H .Sexual function, depression, and quality of life in patients with cervical cancer. Support Care Cancer. 2016;24:1277-83.
11. Efati M, Efati M, Delazar R. The Study OF Mental Health and Its Relationship With Quality Of Life In Substance Dependents. Research on Addiction. 2012;6(22):55-64.
12. Montazeri A, Goshtasebi A, vahdaninia M, Gandek B. The Short Form Health Survey (SF-36): translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Quality of Life Research 2005;14(3):875-82.

13. Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, Leiblum S, Meston C, Shabsigh R, et al. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A Multidimensional Self-Report Instrument for the Assessment of Female Sexual Function. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*. 2000;26:191-208.
14. Mohammadi K, Hedari M, Faghihzadeh S. The female sexual function index (FSFI): validation of the Iranian version. *Payesh*. 2008;7(3):269 - 78.
15. Sadoughi M, Mehrzad V, Salehi M. The Relationship between Anxiety, Depression, and Quality of Life among Breast Cancer Patients in Seyedoshohada Hospital in Isfahan in 2016: The Mediating Role of Resilience. *Journal of Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences*. 2017;15(5):395-408.
16. Arab Alidousti A, Nakhaee N, Khanjani N. Reliability and Validity of the Persian versions of the ENRICH Marital Satisfaction (Brief version) and Kansas Marital Satisfaction Scales. *Journal of Health & Development*. 2015;4(2):158-67.
17. Sterling M. General Health Questionnaire-28. *Journal of physiotherapy*. 2011;57(4):259.
18. Taghavi M. Validity and Reliability of General Health Questionnaire (GHQ). *Journal of Psychology* 2002;5(4):381-98.
19. Hooper D, Coughlan J, Mullen M.R. structural equation modelling: guidelines for determining model fit. *Journal of Business Research Methods*. 2008;6(1):53-60.
20. Singh j, Singh J. COVID-19 and Its Impact on Society. *Electronic Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*. 2020;2(1):168-72.
21. Nazarpour S, Simbar M, Ramezani Tehrani F, Alavi Majd H. Relationship between Sexual Function and Quality of Life in Post-menopausal Women. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences* 2016;26(143):88-98.
22. Zhang Y, Feei Ma ZH. Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health and Quality of Life among Local Residents in Liaoning Province, China: A Cross-Sectional Stud. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020;17(7).
23. Azar M, Iranpoor C, Noohi S. Sexual Dysfunction Relationship with Psychiatric Disorders in Women. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology*. 2003;9(2):22-9.
24. Keramat A, Masoomi S.Z , Mousavi S.A, Poorolajal J , Shobeiri F, M.M H. Quality of Life and Its Related Factors in Infertile Couples. *Journal of Research in Health Science*. 2014;14(1):57-63.
25. Mirghafourvand M, Mohammad-Alizadeh Charandabi S, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Tavananezhad N, Karkhane M. Predictors of Health-Related Quality of Life in Iranian Women of Reproductive Age. *Applied Research in Quality of Life* 2013;11:723-37.
26. Sohrabi A, Jahani A, Mehrabian T, Marashian F, Zaheri S. The Relationship between Quality of Life and Stress, and Marital Satisfaction in Females with Migraine Headaches in Ahvaz City. *Military Caring Sciences*. 2016;3(2):115-22.
27. Barth J, Schumacher M, Herrmann-Lingen CH. Depression as a risk factor for mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Psychosomatic Medicine Journal*. 2004;66(6):802-13.

28. Parsamehr M, Afshani A, Nikoo F. Relationship between Anxiety and Depression with Quality of Life after Coronary Artery Bypass Graft. *Iran Journal of Nursing*. 2015;28:106-17.
29. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during 1COVID-19 epidemic in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey [Internet]. 2020.
30. Mardani Hamoleh M, [Shahraki Vahed A](#). The relationship between mental health and quality of life in cancer patients. *Journal of Shaheed Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences*. 2010;18(2):111-7.
31. Rabanipour N, Roohafza H, Feizi A, Rabiei K, [Sarrafzadegan N](#). The association between mental health and quality of life: A cross-sectional study in a large sample of Isfahan Steel Company's employees. *Fundamentals of Mental Health*. 2018;20(5):368-75.
32. EyvanBaga R, Nasiri Kh, Kamran A, [Shamkhali R](#). The Relationship between Depression, Anxiety and Spiritual Health among Students of Khalkhal Faculty of Medicine Sciences. *Community Health journal*. 2015;9(3):47-55.
33. Alizadeh Fard S. The prediction of mental health based on the anxiety and the social cohesion that caused by Coronavirus. *Social Psychology Research Quarterly*. 2020;9(36):129-41.
34. Steger M.F, Mann J.R, Michels PH, [Cooper TC](#). Meaning in life, anxiety, depression, and general health among smokingcessation patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2009;67:353–8.
35. Wu Y, Zhang CH, Liu H, Duan CH, Li CH, Flan J, et al. Perinatal depression of women along with 2019 novel coronavirus breakout in China2020. Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3539359>.
36. Self-reported depression of cancer patients under 2019 novel coronavirus pandemic [Internet]. 2020.
37. Khazaei M, Rostami R, Zaryabi A. The Relationship between sexual dysfunctions and marital satisfaction in Iranian married students. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2011;30:783-5.
38. Ziaee T, Jannati Y, Mobasheri E, Taghavi T, Abdollahi H, Modanloo M, et al. The Relationship between Marital and Sexual Satisfaction among Married Women Employees at Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Ira. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*. 2014;8(2):44-51.
39. Brooks S.K, Webster R.K, Smith L.E, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*. 2020;395(10227):912-20.
40. The other side of COVID-19: Impact on obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) and hoarding [Internet]. 2020.

Figures




Figure 1

Path diagram (T-value) for the predictors of QoL

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [supplementaryfile.doc](#)