

In silico Analysis of Epitope-Based CadF Vaccine Design against Campylobacter jejuni

Mona Moballegh Naseri

Qom University of Medical Sciences and Health Services

Saeed Shams (

sshamsmed@gmail.com)

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7552-0938

Mohammad Moballegh Naseri

Shahab Danesh University of Qom

Bita Bakhshi

Tarbiat Modares University Faculty of Medical Sciences

Research note

Keywords: Campylobacter jejuni, Vaccine, CadF, In silico, Bioinformatics

Posted Date: October 21st, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-45952/v2

License: © 1) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Read Full License

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at BMC Research Notes on November 10th, 2020. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-020-05364-z.

Abstract

Objective: Vaccination is an important strategy for the eradication of infectious diseases. CadF protein of *Campylobacter jejuni* is one of the important factors in the pathogenesis of this bacterium. The purpose of this work was to perform a bioinformatics study to identify an epitope-based CadF vaccine, as a subunit vaccine. Full protein sequences of CadF were extracted from the NCBI and UniProt databases and subjected to *in silico* evaluations, including sequence analysis, allergenicity, antigenicity, epitope conservancy, and molecular docking assessments done by different servers.

Results: The results showed that CadF was a highly conserved protein belonging to the outer member proteins superfamily. Among the evaluated epitopes, LSDSLALRL was identified as an antigenic and non-allergenic peptide with a suitable structure for vaccine development. It was also able to stimulate both T and B cells. This 9-mer peptide was located in 136-144 segment of CadF protein and interacted with both HLA-A 0101 and HLA-DRB1 0101 alleles. Overall, the obtained theoretical results showed that CadF protein could be used for designing and evaluating a new effective vaccine against *C. jejuni*.

Introduction

Campylobacter jejuni (C. jejuni) is one of the significant pathogens belonging to the genus Campylobacter. The bacterium is a Gram-negative, curved, flagellated, and rod-shaped pathogen which can be transmitted to humans through direct contact with animals and consumption of contaminated food, water, and unpasteurized milk [1].

A gastrointestinal problem commonly caused by *C. jejuni*, especially in children, is called campylobacteriosis [2-4]. CadF as one of the important proteins is a conserved, genus-specific, and 37-kDa outer membrane protein that binds to fibronectin and facilitates bacterial colonization of host cells. CadF could induce massive immune responses, including humoral- and cell-mediated immunity [5-7].

Subunit vaccines usually contain parts of the target microorganisms and are known to be safe and effective vaccines for humans and animals. These vaccines activate both humans- and cell-mediated immune mechanisms to protect humans against pathogens. However, the identification and prediction of antigenic epitopes by bioinformatics tools are mandatory for the development of a real subunit vaccine [8-11]. Although there are some studies on the development of vaccine candidates based on the outer membrane proteins of *C. jejuni*, little is known about CadF potential to be independently considered in the development of a protective vaccine [12, 13].

This study aimed to analysis CadF protein in order to identify epitope-based peptide candidates and evaluate its proteomic database using bioinformatics tools and servers for developing a new vaccine candidate. Therefore, the work was solely an "*in silico*" study.

Methods

Protein sequences analysis

CadF protein sequences were obtained from both NCBI Protein Data Bank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein) and UniProt database (https://www.uniprot.org) in FASTA format. Evolutionary analysis was performed by multiple alignment and phylogenetic tree of the sequences using the ClustalW2 tool (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2) and Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software Version 7 (MEGA 7).

Protein characterization

The three-dimensional structures and biological functions of the protein were recognized by Phyre2 (www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2) as an online protein fold recognition server. The protein structures were also analyzed by the PSIPRED server (http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred).

Two TMHMM (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM) and ProtParam (https://web.expasy.org/protparam) servers were used to predict exo-membrane amino acid sequences and physico-biochemical characteristics of CadF protein.

Allergenicity and antigenicity assessment

The AllerTOP (www.ddg-pharmfac.net/AllerTOP) and AllergenFP (ddg-pharmfac.net/AllergenFP) web servers were used to determine the allergenicity of CadF protein and common peptides. The AllergenFP was databased to obtain a set of options for predicting allergens. The VaxiJen server (http://www.ddg-pharmfac.net/vaxiJen/VaxiJen.html) was also used to forecast the antigenicity of the sequences.

Epitope conservancy assessment

The MHC I and MHC II (Major Histocompatibility Complex) epitopes were analyzed by the IEDB (https://www.iedb.org/), NetCTL (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetCTL), NetMHC (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHC), NHLApred (http://crdd.osdd.net/raghava/nhlapred/), SYFPEITHI (www.syfpeithi.de), and MHC2Pred (http://crdd.osdd.net/raghava/mhc2pred/) online servers.

The B cell epitopes were identified using the IEDB, SVMTriP (http://sysbio.unl.edu/SVMTriP/), and BCPREDS (http://ailab.ist.psu.edu/bcpred/) servers by setting a default specificity of 75%; the threshold value of 0.5 was considered for ABCpred (http://crdd.osdd.net/raghava/abcpred) server. Linear and discontinuous B cell epitopes were also predicted by the BepiPred server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/BepiPred). This server was applied to predict B cell epitopes through the combination of a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and a propensity scale method. Each epitope identified by these servers was checked to determine the allergenicity and antigenicity properties. The identified common epitopes were analyzed as predicted epitopes, and finally, the best common peptides were selected.

Molecular docking of adopted epitope and alleles

The three-dimensional structures of HLA-A 0101 and HLA-DRB1 0101 alleles were extracted from the Protein Data Bank (https://www.rcsb.org) with the UniProt KB ID: Q5SUL5 and P01911. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System was used to analyze the three-dimensional structure of the best epitope. Final epitope and alleles were edited by Notepad⁺⁺, and the interaction between them (epitope/ HLA-A 0101 allele of MHC I and epitope/ HLA-DRB1 0101 allele of MHC II) was assessed with the help of Molecular Virtual Docker and Molecular Virtual Viewer software. Finally, the interfaces between the epitope and alleles were selected based on a grid, computed on three axes, including x: -0.16, y: -17.63, and z: -15.67.

Results

Analysis of cadF gene sequences

The complete sequences of CadF protein contained 319 amino acids, and multiple sequence alignment confirmed that this protein was a highly conserved protein among *Campylobacter* species. It was shown to belong to the outer member proteins superfamily (ompA), and an ompA-like domain was identified in the 193-287 position of the protein. The result of the phylogenic tree also confirmed CadF classification in the outer membrane proteins superfamily (data not shown).

Characterization of CadF

Using the ProtParam server, the MV (molecular weight) and pI (isoelectric point) parameters were determined as 35979.04 Da and 5.89, respectively. The aliphatic index was 69.12, and the GRAVY (grand average of hydropathicity) of the protein was -0.679. As a result, the amino acids of CadF protein had hydrophobicity and acidity properties (pI≤7.35). The aliphatic index included alanine, valine, isoleucine, and leucine amino acids, indicating the thermostability of the protein. Moreover, the TMHMM server data analysis results also confirmed that CadF was an outer membrane protein. According to the obtained result from the Phyre2 server, it was predicted that CadF was a stable target.

The PSIPRED server showed the graphical results of secondary structures of the protein, indicating a sheet, helix, and extracellular transmembrane structure. In addition, the Phyre2 server showed the three-dimensional structure of the modeled CadF with a 97% confidence score and 192 known-domain alignments. The structural content included 16% alpha-helix, 41% beta strands, and 16% disordered regions. Also, the prediction of CadF protein showed a binding site at glutamate-histidine-lysine residues and a large amount of metallic heterogenic sections in its structure.

Evaluation of antigenicity and allergenicity

The score of antigenic prediction of CadF protein was calculated as \sim 0.79 by the VaxiJen server. The results showed that the protein was probably an antigen and could be used for further analysis. The

AllergenFP server data indicated the highest Tanimoto similarity index of 0.82 for the protein; therefore, it could not be an allergen. The AllerTOP server data analysis results also confirmed the finding.

Prediction of T and B cell epitopes

The best score for predicting T cell epitopes was selected from the SYFPEITH, IEDB, NetCTL, NHLAPred, and NetMHC servers. The epitopes of MHC I (A 0101, A 0201, and B 2705) and MHC II (DRB1 0101 and DRB1 0401) were the most frequent epitopes among Iranian alleles that were considered in this study. Using the Kolaskar & Tongaonkar Antigenicity method on the IEDB server, a graph was plotted, suggesting the yellow areas as B cell epitopes (Supplementary File A). According to the obtained results, VLFGADNNV, GLASVLFGA, and LSDSLALRL were the most common epitopes among T and B cells. Further detailed information about the predicted MHC I, II, and B cell epitopes are presented in Tables 1-3, respectively. Overall, the results showed that the best epitope was LSDSLALRL located in 136-144 regions of CadF with antigenic properties and no allergenic specifications. The three-dimensional structure of the final epitope painted by PyMol software is showed in Supplementary File B. Therefore, it was suggested as a candidate vaccine for further analysis.

Table 1. Predicted epitopes of MHC I and their antigenicity and allergenicity properties. The best common peptides are marked in green.

Position Sequence	Allele	Server	VaxiJen	AllerTOP
₉₃ GIDVGEKFY	HLA-A	SYFPEITHI	0.017 (Probable NON-	NON-
	0101		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₁₀ YEDFSNAAY			-0.39 (Probable NON-	NON-
OLEEOLEIN/			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₆₁ QLEFGLEHY			1.28 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₅ ITPTLNYNY			1.23 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₇₉ KTTDITRTY			0.56 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₅ FLCLGLASV	HLA-A 0201		0.30 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON- Allergen
oGLASVLFGA			0.19 (Probable NON-	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₃ VLFGADNNV			0.19 (Probable NON-	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₄₇ ILEGHTDNI			0.50 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₄₂ NRYAPGVRL	HLA-B		1.43 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
	2705			Allergen
310RRVDAKFIL			1.45 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			4.05	Allergen
₁₃₄ FRLSDSLAL			1.35 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₄₆ TRDQINFNH			0.34 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₈₄ TRTYLSAIK			-0.27 (Probable NON- ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₃ FLCLGLASV	HLA-A	IEDB	0.30 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	NON-
10	0201			Allergen
₂₅₁ HTDNIGSRA	HLA-A 0101		1.49 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
310RRVDAKFIL	HLA-B	1	1.45 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
510	2705		, ,	Allergen
₉ GLASVLFGA	HLA-A		0.19 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	NON-
	0201			Allergen
₁₁₀ YEDFSNAAY	HLA-A		-0.39 (Probable NON-	NON-
	0101		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₅ FGADNNVKF		NetCTL	1.00 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
TUDUT VIZAVIZA			1 22 (Dwahalla ANTRIORNI)	Allergen
₂₅ ITPTLNYNY	HLA-A		1.23 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₆₁ QLEFGLEHY	0101		1.28 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₇₉ KTTDITRTY	0101		0.56 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
80TTDITRTYL			0.13 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	NON-
3/2020314 437			0.20 (D. 1.11 NON	Allergen
₁₁₀ YEDFSNAAY			-0.39 (Probable NON- ANTIGEN)	NON- Allergen
₁₃₆ LSDSLALRL			1.82 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
136400044114			1.02 (TIODUDIC ATTITUETY)	Allergen
₁₃₆ LSDSLALRL	HLA-A	NetMHC	1.82 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
1302020211111	0101	1,501-1110		Allergen
₂₅₁ HTDNIGSRA			1.49 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
231-1-21(1-35141				
				1

Table 2. Predicted epitopes of MHC II and their antigenicity and allergenicity properties. The best common peptides are marked in green.

Position Sequence	Allele	Server	VaxiJen	AllerTOP
₂₁₃ EGHFGFDKTTINPTF	HLA-DRB1	IEDB	0.42 (Probable	NON-
210	0401		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₁₂ LEGHFGFDKTTINPT			0.29 (Probable NON-	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₁₄ GHFGFDKTTINPTFQ			0.31 (Probable NON-	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₉ GLASVLFGADNNVKF			0.47 (Probable	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₀ LASVLFGADNNVKFE			0.67 (Probable	Allergen
			ANTIGEN)	
₁₁ ASVLFGADNNVKFEI			0.71 (Probable	Allergen
			ANTIGEN)	
₂₁₆ FGFDKTTIN	HLA-DRB1	MHC2Pred	0.33 (Probable NON-	Allergen
	0101		ANTIGEN)	
₁₄₉ QINFNHANH			1.08 (Probable	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₈₇ YLSAIKGID			-0.06 (Probable NON-	Allergen
			ANTIGEN)	
₃₀₅ GRADNRRVD			2.78 (Probable	NON-
	111 4 5 5 5 4		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₆₀ YNQKLSERR	HLA-DRB1		1.60 (Probable	NON-
DO A MODULED	0101		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₈₇ PQAKCPVEP			0.05 (Probable NON-	Allergen
IZU DENIEDV			ANTIGEN)	A 11
₂₃₆ KVLDENERY			-0.15 (Probable NON-	Allergen
CNI DMDNIDV			ANTIGEN) 0.23 (Probable NON-	Allongon
₃₆ GNLDMDNRY			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₈₅ RTYLSAIKGIDVGEK	HLA-DRB	SYFPEITHI	0.13 (Probable NON-	NON-
85KI I ESAIKGID V GEK	10101		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₉₉ KFYFYGLAGGGYEDF	10101		0.72 (Probable	NON-
ggitt IT TOLAGGGTLDT			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₃₁ GVKFRLSDSLALRLE			2.11 (Probable	Allergen
131 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			ANTIGEN)	7 11101 9 011
₁₅₆ NHNWVSTLGISFGFG			0.86 (Probable	Allergen
150			ANTIGEN)	9
₃₇ NLDMDNRYAPGVRLG			1.19 (Probable	Allergen
37			ANTIGEN)	
₁₄₉ QINFNHANHNWVSTL	HLA-DRB1	1	0.63 (Probable	NON-
143	0401		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₁₃ EGHFGFDKTTINPTF			0.42 (Probable NON-	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₇₆ LEKYGVEKSRIKTVG			0.50 (Probable	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₁ ASVLFGADNNVKFEI			0.71 (Probable	NON-
			ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₈ DNNVKFEITPTLNYN			1.36 (Probable	Allergen
			ANTIGEN)	

Table 3. Predicted epitopes of B cell and their antigenicity and allergenicity properties. The best common peptides are marked in green.

Position Sequence	Server	VaxiJen	AllerTOP
₄ IFLCLGLASVLFG	IEDB	0.36 (Probable NON-	NON-
		ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₄₅ APGVRLGYHFDD		0.81 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen
₁₃₆ LSDSLAL	ABCpred	0.55 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen
₁₉ NNVKFEITPT]	1.33 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₁₅₉ WVSTLGISFG		0.62 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen
₁₁₈ YDNKSGGFGH		0.79 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
LETTO OLIVENI		O OO (D) I I ANTEROTINI	Allergen
₁₄₄ LETRDQINFN		0.89 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
VCEVEVEVCI		0.52 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
96VGEKFYFYGL		` '	Allergen
₁₂₂ SGGFGHYGAG		0.82 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON- Allergen
CCVVEVAVEEVADTDATDOA	BCPREDS	1.39 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	Allergen
170 GGKKEKAVEEVADTRATPQA	DCLVEDS		NON-
₂₉₅ NPRSSNDTKEGRADNRRVDA		2.42 (Probable ANTIGEN)	
₂₁₄ GHFGFDKTTINPTFQEKIKE		0.32 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	Allergen NON-
214GIII GI DRI IINI II QERIKE		0.52 (Hobable NON-ANTIGEN)	Allergen
70SDVKYTNTNKTTDITRTYLS	1	0.69 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
700DVICTIVITYICTIDITICTIES		0.03 (110000107114110114)	Allergen
₉₃ GIDVGEKFYFYGLAGGGYED		0.65 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
93		,	Allergen
₁₁₅ NAAYDNKSGGFGHYGAGVKF		0.91 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
144LETRDQINFNHANHNWVSTL	1	0.44 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₃₉ DMDNRYAPGVRLGYHFDDFW	1	0.78 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
39		,	Allergen
₂₃₇ VLDENERYDTILEGHTDNIG	1	0.33 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	NON-
207			Allergen
₁₃₀ AGVKFRLSDSLALRL	1	2.06 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen
300NDTKEGRADNRRVDAKFILR	SVMTriP	2.04 (Probable ANTIGEN)	Allergen
₂₅₁ HTDNIGSRAYNQKLSERRAK	1	1.43 (Probable ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen
₂ KKIFLCLGLASVLFGADNNV		0.10 (Probable NON-ANTIGEN)	NON-
			Allergen

Analysis of docking

The bindings of the best epitope to the desired HLA molecules were observed by Molecular Virtual Docker software, and five models were estimated. The proposed models showed the interaction of the epitope side chains with the cavities in the groove of MHC I and II (Supplementary File C). The energies of the

bonding models resulted from the binding of LSDSLALRL peptide to HLA-A 0101 consisted of -26.18, -18.62, -12.77, and -12.56 kcal/mol. The best scores of the peptide docking to HLA-DRB1 0101 were computed as -109.86, -99.52, -98.40, and -85.79 kcal/mol. According to the principles of docking energy evaluation, the model with the most negative docking results was selected as the best model with energies of -26.18 and -109.86 kcal/mol, which were related to HLA-A 0101 of MHC I and HLA-DRB1 0101 of MHC II, respectively.

Discussion

This study was focused on the immunogenic protein CadF to design a hypothetical vaccine through bioinformatics tools which could dramatically reduce the number of in vitro tests. The previous studies have reported some efforts to suggest an effective vaccine against *C. jejuni*. Despite many efforts to make a vaccine, no approved vaccine against *C. jejuni* in humans has been developed as suitable so far [5, 8, 14].

T and B cell epitopes were collected from different servers, and the best epitope was elicited to make an effective vaccine against *C. jejuni*. The present study showed the accurate topology model based on the Phyre2 server, predicting CadF as a stable target. This analysis was done with bioinformatics methods and helped design a novel hypothetical vaccine according to the sequence profile, spatial structure, and dimensions of the protein.

LSDSLALRL epitope was selected as the best potential vaccine candidate without any evidence of allergenicity. The epitope was located in 136-144 regions and could interact with HLA-A 0101 according to the results collected from many above-mentioned servers. In a study by Yasmin et al. (2016), gaining their knowledge of CadF protein based on just IEDB and SYFPEITHI servers, FRLSDSLAL epitope of the protein was suggested as a good choice for vaccine development [15].

It is clear that the epitope selected in this study is fairly matched (77.77%) with the epitope presented by Yasmin et al. (LSDSLALRL and FRLSDSLAL, which are marked by underline). This similarity could support the claim of suitability of the selected epitope for designing an effective vaccine against *C. jejuni*. Based on the AllerTOP server, the presented epitope by Yasmin et al. could probably be estimated as an allergen, while no allergenicity was observed for epitope "LSDSLALRL" in this study.

In addition, CadF is a significant protein for colonization, and maximum attachment could be detected in regions of the fibronectin-binding domain, including phenylalanine-arginine-leucine-serine (FRLS) residues of the protein [16]. Although only 50% of the selected epitope amino acids were identified as the binding site to host cells, multiple servers confirmed that this region had a high score for vaccine development.

According to the aliphatic index, alanine, valine, isoleucine, and leucine amino acids were detected in the protein structure, proposing it as a thermostable protein. These amino acids in thermophilic bacteria, e.g. *C. jejuni*, are significantly higher than that of ordinary proteins [17]. This proposes another advantage

of CadF for the development of an effective vaccine. Heat stability is an important feature in vaccine production, which can

Conclusion

It is suggested that CadF protein of *C. jejuni* could be used to prepare an effective vaccine for disease prevention. However, to predict an actual vaccine without any side effect, knowledge of the pathogenesis and molecular structure of *C. jejuni* needs to be improved through in vitro and in vivo studies in parallel with *in silico* research.

Limitations

There were some limitations in the use of some servers. In addition, due to the limited funding and current facilities of our laboratory, it was not possible to validate the results through in vitro and in vivo projects.

Abbreviations

OmpA: Outer member proteins; CadF: *Campylobacter* adhesion to Fibronectin; Fn: Fibronectin; MHC: Major histocompatibility complex; MV: molecular weight; pl: isoelectric point; kcal/mol: Kilocalorie/mole.

Declarations

Acknowledgment

We wish to thank the Research Council of Qom University of Medical Sciences.

Authors' Contributions

MMN, SS, MMN, and BB involved in the management of the project, the analysis of data, and writing up the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was supported by Research Council of Qom University of Medical Sciences.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Consent to publish

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was reviewed and approved by Medical Ethics Committee of Qom University of Medical Sciences (Code: IR.MUQ.REC.1399.027).

References

- 1. Kaakoush NO, Casta 1±0-Rodr 1 guez N, Mitchell HM, Man SM: Global epidemiology of Campylobacter infection. Clinical microbiology reviews, 28(3):687-720.
- 2. Altekruse SF, Stern NJ, Fields PI, Swerdlow DL: **Campylobacter jejuni—an emerging foodborne pathogen**. *Emerging infectious diseases* 1999, **5**(1):28.
- 3. Riddle MS, Guerry P: **Status of vaccine research and development for Campylobacter jejuni**. *Vaccine* 2016, **34**(26):2903-2906.
- 4. Shams S, Bakhshi B, Moghadam TT: In silico analysis of the cadF gene and development of a duplex polymerase chain reaction for species-specific identification of Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli. *Jundishapur journal of microbiology* 2016, **9**(2).
- 5. Meunier M, Guyard-Nicodème M, Vigouroux E, Poezevara T, Beven V, Quesne S, Bigault L, Amelot M, Dory D, Chemaly M: **Promising new vaccine candidates against Campylobacter in broilers**. *PloS one* 2017, **12**(11):e0188472.
- 6. Krause-Gruszczynska M, Van Alphen LB, Oyarzabal OA, Alter T, Hänel I, Schliephake A, König W, Van Putten JP, Konkel ME, Backert S: **Expression patterns and role of the CadF protein in Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli**. *FEMS microbiology letters* 2007, **274**(1):9-16.
- 7. Riddle MS, Guerry P: **Status of vaccine research and development for Campylobacter jejuni**. *Vaccine*, **34**(26):2903-2906.
- 8. Meunier M, Guyard-Nicodème M, Hirchaud E, Parra A, Chemaly M, Dory D: **Identification of novel** vaccine candidates against Campylobacter through reverse vaccinology. *Journal of immunology* research 2016, **2016**.
- 9. Soria-Guerra RE, Nieto-Gomez R, Govea-Alonso DO, Rosales-Mendoza S: **An overview of bioinformatics tools for epitope prediction: implications on vaccine development**. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, **53**:405-414.
- 10. Nazifi N, Mousavi SM, Moradi S, Jaydari A, Jahandar MH, Forouharmehr A: In Silico B Cell and T Cell Epitopes Evaluation of lipL32 and OmpL1 Proteins for Designing a Recombinant Multi-Epitope Vaccine Against Leptospirosis. *International Journal of Infection*, 5(2).
- 11. Ranjbar m, Mosavi Nasab d, ghelian chian a, nazoktabar a, ahmadi n, khoshnevisan r, esfandiari s, vafaee manesh j, akbari a: **Immnoinformatics and epitope prediction methods dynamic science with**

- **promising achievements**. scientific journal of ilam university of medical sciences, **21**(6):300-309.
- 12. Neal-McKinney JM, Samuelson DR, Eucker TP, Nissen MS, Crespo R, Konkel ME: **Reducing**Campylobacter jejuni colonization of poultry via vaccination. *PloS one* 2014, **9**(12):e114254.
- 13. Tribble DR, Baqar S, Carmolli MP, Porter C, Pierce KK, Sadigh K, Guerry P, Larsson CJ, Rockabrand D, Ventone CH: **Campylobacter jejuni strain CG8421: a refined model for the study of Campylobacteriosis and evaluation of Campylobacter vaccines in human subjects**. *Clinical infectious diseases* 2009, **49**(10):1512-1519.
- 14. Soria-Guerra RE, Nieto-Gomez R, Govea-Alonso DO, Rosales-Mendoza S: **An overview of bioinformatics tools for epitope prediction: implications on vaccine development**. *Journal of biomedical informatics* 2015, **53**:405-414.
- 15. Yasmin T, Akter S, Debnath M, Ebihara A, Nakagawa T, Nabi AN: In silico proposition to predict cluster of B-and T-cell epitopes for the usefulness of vaccine design from invasive, virulent and membrane associated proteins of C. jejuni. *In silico pharmacology* 2016, **4**(1):5.
- 16. Konkel ME, Christensen JE, Keech AM, Monteville MR, Klena JD, Garvis SG: **Identification of a fibronectin binding domain within the Campylobacter jejuni CadF protein**. *Molecular microbiology* 2005, **57**(4):1022-1035.
- 17. Ikai A: **Thermostability and aliphatic index of globular proteins**. *The Journal of Biochemistry* 1980, **88**(6):1895-1898.
- 18. Chen D, Kapre S, Goel A, Suresh K, Beri S, Hickling J, Jensen J, Lal M, Preaud J, Laforce M: Thermostable formulations of a hepatitis B vaccine and a meningitis A polysaccharide conjugate vaccine produced by a spray drying method. *Vaccine* 2010, **28**(31):5093-5099.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

Supplementaryfile.pdf