Staphylococcal Superantigen-Like Protein 10 (SSL10) induces necroptosis through TNFR1 activation of RIPK3-dependent signal pathways
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Abstract

*Staphylococcal aureus* (*S. aureus*) infection can lead to a wide range of diseases such as sepsis and pneumonia. Staphylococcal superantigen like (SSL) proteins, specifically expressed by *S. aureus*, are shown to be involved in immune evasion during *S. aureus* infection. Here, we show that SSL10, an SSL family protein, exhibits potent cytotoxicity against human cells (HEK 293T and HUVEC) by inducing necroptosis upon binding to its receptor TNFR1 on the cell membrane. After binding, two distinct signaling pathways are activated downstream of TNFR1 in a RIPK3-dependent manner, i.e., the RIPK1-RIPK3-MLKL and RIPK3-CaMKII-mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP) pathways. We determined the crystal structure of SSL10 at 1.9 Å resolution and, in conjunction with cytotoxicity analysis of chimeric mutant variants, identified a surface region formed by residues in the N- and C-termini that can potentially serve as the TNFR1 binding site. Knockout of *ssl10* in *S. aureus* profoundly reduced cytotoxicity of culture supernatants, indicating that SSL10 is involved in extracellular cytotoxicity during infection. This study thus provides the first description of cytotoxicity through induction of necroptosis by an SSL protein, and a potential target for clinical treatment of *S. aureus*-associated diseases.

Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) is a prevalent and opportunistic pathogen that causes a wide range of diseases such as sepsis, pneumonia, endocarditis, and osteomyelitis, threatening the health of both humans and animals (1). Moreover, *S. aureus* is among the most clinically challenging pathogens worldwide because of its propensity for rapid development and sharing of antibiotic resistance (2). Although antibiotic treatments can reduce the case fatality rate of most *S. aureus* related diseases, some severe infectious diseases still have reported high mortality rates. For example, the case fatality rate for *S. aureus* bacteremia can range between 15 and 50% (3). However, the mechanisms underlying these poor outcomes for some *S. aureus*-induced diseases have remained largely unknown.

*S. aureus* can manipulate host immune response through the expression of a myriad of virulence factors responsible for tissue adherence, immune evasion, cell injury, and organ failure, ultimately promoting its survival and pathogenesis (2, 4, 5). The activities of some virulence factors have been shown to induce host cell death, especially through apoptosis and necroptosis, which facilitates immune evasion and tissue damage (6, 7). Necroptosis is a programmed form of necrosis that is regulated in a RIPK3 kinase signaling-dependent manner (8). Several types of receptors participate in the initiation stage of necroptosis, including death domain receptors, pathogen recognition receptors, and T cell receptors. Among these receptors, TNFR1 is well characterized for its role in triggering caspase-independent cell death via activation of RIPK1 and RIPK3 when stimulated by TNFα (9, 10). MLKL is an important downstream effector of RIPK3 due to its role in the formation of permeable cell membrane channels that lead to cell death (11). In addition, CaMKII is also phosphorylated by RIPK3, resulting in the opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pores (mPTPs) and subsequent necroptosis in cardiomyocytes, independent of MLKL (12).
Activation of both necroptotic signaling pathways during *S. aureus* infection has been reported. For example, several of the *S. aureus* pore forming virulence factors can induce necroptosis in macrophages, which can lead to severe lung damage. Among them, α-hemolysin was demonstrated to instigate necroptosis mediated by MLKL (7). In addition, phagocytosis of *S. aureus* elicits necroptosis of neutrophils by activating RIPK3 in an MLKL-independent manner (13, 14). Moreover, in severe sepsis caused by infection of *S. aureus* infection, vascular permeation, immunosuppression, and organ failure are usually present, which strongly suggests the occurrence of cell death including necroptosis (5, 15, 16).

Staphylococcal superantigen like (SSL) proteins comprise a family of 14 member proteins with sequences and structures homologous to superantigen but lacking superantigen activities. The genes encoding SSL proteins are located in a pathogenicity island in the genomes of all tested *S. aureus* strains (17–19). SSL proteins adopt conserved structures with an N-terminal OB-fold domain and a C-terminal β-grasp domain, which interact with diverse target factors to manipulate host immune response and interfere with blood coagulation in order to evade host defenses (20). For instance, SSL5 and SSL11 are able to bind sialylated sugar chains of P-selectin glycoprotein ligand 1 (PSGL-1) to inhibit neutrophil activation and rolling (21, 22). SSL7 interacts with IgA to block IgA-mediated immunity and binds complement component 5 (C5) to inhibit its activation (23, 24). SSL3 targets toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) to prevent its activation by pathogen-associated molecular patterns (25). In contrast, SSL10 is a novel member of this family with distinct activities to bind CXCR4 and inhibit migration of leukemia cells (26), block the interactions between IgG and complement component C1q that consequently prevent the activation of the classical complement pathway (27, 28), and interact with prothrombin and factor Xa to impair blood coagulation (29). Recent publications also show that SSL10 binds to ERK2, phosphatidylserine and apoptotic cells to either interfere host cell inflammation or procoagulant activity (30, 31). All these studies suggest that SSL10 possesses multiple functions during *S. aureus* infection, positing the importance of SSL10.

Although SSL proteins have diverse functions in modulating host response to *S. aureus* infection, it remains unknown whether SSL family proteins can induce cytotoxicity. In the present study, we demonstrate that SSL10 exhibits potent cytotoxicity towards HEK 293T and HUVEC cell growth by inducing cellular necroptosis and contributes to the cytotoxicity induced by *S. aureus*. We also propose an underlying mechanism for induction of necroptosis through binding with TNFR1, determined by crystal structure and modeling of protein binding interactions, and activating downstream RIPK3 signal pathways. This work provides evidence that SSL10 is a cytotoxic virulence factor that may serve as a therapeutic target in *S. aureus* infections.

**Results**

**SSL10 induces cell necrosis**

To determine the effects of SSLs on cells, human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were treated with purified recombinant SSLs protein, including SSL3, SSL7, SSL8, SSL10 or SSL11 for different time
periods. As determined by MTS assay (Fig. S1), SSL10, but not other SSL family proteins we tested, significantly reduced the cell activity of HUVEC. Further, SSL10 treatment resulted in a decrease in cell viability in a dose- and time-dependent manner in human embryonic kidney cells (HEK 293T) and HUVEC, as determined by MTS assay (Fig. 1A and 1B). Most inviable cells were PI-positive, but were Annexin V-negative when detected by flow cytometry, suggesting that cell death induced by SSL10 is most likely to be necrosis (Fig. 1C and 1D). Cell necrosis was further confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in which the cells exhibited a typical necrotic phenotype, including cytoplasmic lightening, swollen organelle, and membrane rupture (Fig. 1E). SSL10 is a virulence factor secreted by *S. aureus*, so we quantified the cytotoxicity of supernatants from *ssl10* knock out or complementation strain toward HEK 293T and HUVEC. We found that, compared with TSB medium-treated cells, LDH release was significantly greater in treatments with WT *S. aureus* 8325 supernatant. In contrast, supernatant from the *ssl10* knockout strain, but not the *ssl10* complemented strain, induced significantly decreased LDH release (Fig. 1F).

To further demonstrate that SSL10 induced necrosis rather than apoptosis, cells were treated by pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-fmk before exposure to SSL10, which resulted in no significant inhibition of cell death, as determined by LDH release (Fig. 1G and 1H). As pyroptosis is also caspase dependent, our data thus suggest that SSL10 does not induce apoptosis or pyroptosis, but instead strongly implies activity by the primary cellular necrosis pathway.

**SSL10 induces necroptosis via the RIPK3-dependent pathway**

To explore the underlying mechanism by which SSL10 induced necrosis in HEK 293T and HUVEC cells, we next used different inhibitors to pretreat the cells prior to SSL10 exposure. As determined by LDH release, RIPK1 inhibitors (Nec-1 and Nec-1s) could only partially attenuate the effects of SSL10, while RIPK3 inhibitor (GSK'872) almost completely inhibited the necrotic effects of SSL10 (Fig. 2A and 2B). Thus, we speculated that SSL10 may induce cellular necroptosis. To further test this hypothesis, we generated knock out cell lines for key genes involved in the necroptosis pathway via CRISPR-Cas9 in both HEK 293T and HUVEC cells (Fig. S2). Consistent with the effects of inhibitor treatment, knock out of RIPK3 but not RIPK1 or MLKL inhibited SSL10-induced necrosis (Fig. 2C and 2E) in HEK 293T cells. In addition, transient complementation with RIPK3 in RIPK3<sup>−/−</sup> HEK 293T cells led to robust necrosis, evident by the release of LDH (Fig. 2F). Similarly, substantially less LDH was released in HUVECs knocked out for RIPK3 (Fig. 2D), indicating that SSL10 could induce RIPK3-dependent necroptosis in both HEK 293T and HUVECs.

**CaMKII activation and mPTP opening also contribute to SSL10-induced necroptosis**

Previous studies have reported that RIPK1 can form a complex with RIPK3, which further activates MLKL, resulting in necroptosis of several types of cells (10, 32). However, in the present study, we found that inhibition or knock out of RIPK1 or MLKL could not completely inhibit SSL10-induced necroptosis, suggesting that SSL10-induced necroptosis may also depend on other RIPK3-mediated pathways independent of RIPK1 and MLKL.
In addition to MLKL, RIPK3 has been reported to phosphorylate CaMKII to induce the opening of mPTP channels, for example, leading to necroptosis in cardiomyocytes (12). To explore whether CaMKII is also involved in SSL10-induced necroptosis, HEK 293T and HUVEC cells were treated with KN-93, a selective inhibitor of CaMKII, prior to SSL10 treatment. As assessed by the release of LDH and ATP, inhibition of CaMKII profoundly abrogated SSL10-induced necroptosis (Fig. 3A-C); phospho-CaMKII levels were also significantly increased after SSL10 treatment (Fig. 3D), which suggested the involvement of CaMKII in SSL10-induced necroptosis. To further identify the downstream effector of CaMKII, we pretreated HEK 293T and HUVEC cells with an inhibitor of mPTP opening, CsA, which efficiently blocked SSL10-induced LDH release (Fig. 3E and 3F). In addition, SSL10 treatment led to mitochondrial depolarization, which was significantly hampered in the absence of RIPK3, evident by the decrease in mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \Psi_m$) (Fig. 3G), indicating that CaMKII-mPTP is also likely to be a primary candidate downstream pathway for RIPK3 in SSL10-induced necroptosis.

SSL10 induces necroptosis by direct interaction with the TNFR1 extracellular domain (TNFR1\textsuperscript{ECD})

Necroptosis is initiated through ligand binding to several receptors including TNFR1 (33–35). To explore whether SSL10 induces necroptosis by interacting with membrane receptors, SSL10 localization was observed by real-time live-cell analysis and scanning confocal microscopy. Notably, SSL10 was found to be enriched on the cell membrane within the first 30 min of treatment, suggesting that SSL10 may bind to a cell surface receptor (Fig. S3). TNFR1, as well as other TNF family death receptors, control necroptosis through activating RIPK1 and RIPK3 (36). To test whether TNFR1 was the receptor for SSL10, \textit{in vitro} MBP pull-down assays were conducted using purified SSL10 and MBP-tagged TNFR1\textsuperscript{ECD} (the extracellular domain of TNFR1 containing amino acids 22–211), which showed that SSL10 can indeedly interact with TNFR1\textsuperscript{ECD} (Fig. 4A).

To further confirm whether TNFR1 participates in SSL10-induced necroptosis, we knocked out TNFR1 in HEK 293T and HUVEC cells via CRISPR/Cas9, which blocked SSL10-induced necroptosis, as indicated by the significantly decreased release of LDH (Fig. 4B and 4C). We then confirmed that the essential role of TNFR1 in SSL10-induced cytotoxicity by flow cytometry of increased viable cell counts and decreased $\Delta \Psi_m$ (Fig. 4D and 4E). Consistent with these findings, SSL10-binding to the HEK 293T cell surface was significantly reduced when TNFR1 was knocked out (Fig. 4F). Taken together, these data demonstrate that SSL10 activates cell necroptosis via direct interaction with the TNFR1\textsuperscript{ECD}.

\textbf{Overall structure of SSL10}

To further understand the molecular mechanisms driving the SSL10 activation of necroptosis through initiation of the TNFR1 signaling pathway, we next solved the crystal structure of SSL10 by molecular replacement at 1.9 Å resolution. X-ray diffraction data and structure refinement statistics are shown in Table 1. SSL10 exists as a monomer in both solution and crystal lattice (Fig. S4). Two SSL10 molecules were observed in one asymmetric unit adopting approximately identical structures, with the RMSD value being 0.254 Å when the two molecules are aligned (Fig. S5A). In light of these results, we select molecule B for further investigation. SSL10 exhibits a typical superantigen-like structure, similar to other SSL...
family proteins, consisting of two distinct domains separated by a flexible linker region. The N-terminal OB-fold domain (residues 43–123) contains one α-helix, eight β-strands, and one $3_{10}$ helix, while the C-terminal β-grasp domain (residues 133–227) consists of one α-helix, seven β-strands, and two $3_{10}$ helices (Fig. 5A). Several, large, positively charged surface areas were identified in the SSL10 structure, whereas negatively charged regions were small (Fig. 5B).
| **Table 1**  
| Crystallographic data collection and structure refinement  |
|----------------|----------------|
| **SSL10**  |
| **PDB code** | 6LWT           |
| **Data collection** |        |
| Space group | $P2_1\ 2_1\ 2_1$ |
| Cell dimensions |        |
| $a$, $b$, $c$ (Å) | 41.24, 71.21, 140.66 |
| $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ (°) | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution (Å) | 50.0-1.90 (1.93–1.90)$^a$ |
| Wavelength (Å) | 0.9778 |
| Reflections (Unique) | 214,863 (33,517) |
| Completeness (%) | 100.0 (100.0) |
| Overall $I/\sigma(I)$ | 16.2 (7.0) |
| Redundancy | 6.4 (6.6) |
| $R_{\text{sym}}$ or $R_{\text{merge}}$ (%)$^b$ | 9.0 (29.4) |
| **Refinement** |        |
| $R_{\text{work}}$ / $R_{\text{free}}$ (%) | 20.94 / 24.62 |
| R.m.s deviations |        |
| Bond lengths (Å) | 0.0088 |
| Bond angles (°) | 1.3524 |
| Average B-factors (Å$^2$) | 30.97 |

$^a$ The values in parentheses refer to statistics in the highest shell.

$^b$ $R_{\text{sym}} = |I_i - <I>|/|I_i|$ where $I_i$ is the intensity of the $i$th measurement, and $<I>$ is the mean intensity for that reflection.

$^c$ $R_{\text{work}} = |F_P - F_{P(\text{calc})}|/F_P$.

$^d$ $R_{\text{free}}$ was calculated with 5.1% of the reflections in the test set.

$^e$ Statistics for the Ramachandran plot from an analysis using MolProbity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ramachandran plot&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most favored regions (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed regions (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generously allowed regions (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> The values in parentheses refer to statistics in the highest shell.

<sup>b</sup> $R_{sym} = \frac{|I_i - \langle I \rangle|}{|I_i|}$ where $I_i$ is the intensity of the $i$th measurement, and $\langle I \rangle$ is the mean intensity for that reflection.

<sup>c</sup> $R_{work} = \frac{|F_P - F_{P(\text{calo})}|}{F_P}$.

<sup>d</sup> $R_{free}$ was calculated with 5.1% of the reflections in the test set.

<sup>e</sup> Statistics for the Ramachandran plot from an analysis using MolProbity.

**Both the N- and C-terminal domains of SSL10 contribute to its cytotoxicity**

Among the SSL family proteins, SSL7 is the most similar member of SSL10, with the highest sequence identity to SSL10 and the RMSD value being 1.345 Å when the structure of SSL10 was aligned to SSL7 (PDB code: 3KLS) (Fig. S5B).

To investigate which domain or domains of SSL10 may be critical for its cytotoxicity, we generated variants of SSL10 with the N- and C-terminal domains swapped between SSL7. We designated the two newly generated chimeric proteins as SSL7⋅10 and SSL10⋅7, with SSL7⋅10 containing the SSL7 N-terminus and the SSL10 C-terminus, and *vice versa* (Fig. 5C). We found that both of the two chimeric proteins could induce a marked release of LDH, which was less potent than SSL10, and SSL7 didn’t induce any extra LDH release compared with the buffer-treated cells (Fig. 5D). In agreement with these results, MBP pull-down assays showed that both of the chimeric proteins, but not SSL7, could bind to the TNFR1<sub>ECD</sub>, and the binding of both proteins was weaker compared to that of SSL10 (Fig. 5E), indicating that both the N- and C-terminal domains participate in SSL10-induced necroptosis via interaction with TNFR1<sub>ECD</sub>.

**Potential binding site of SSL10 for TNFR1**

To understand the molecular mechanisms controlling SSL10 binding to TNFR1, the structure of TNFR1<sub>ECD</sub> (PDB code: 1EXT) was docked onto the structure of SSL10 using the HDOCK web server (http://hdock.phys.hust.edu.cn/) (Fig. 6A). In this model, eight residues including H64, K66, N85, S88, Q91, K206, K208, and Y209 of SSL10 suggested a potential binding region for TNFR1<sub>ECD</sub> (Fig. 6A and...
Among these residues, H64, K66, N85, S88, and Q91 are located in the N-terminal OB-fold domain, while the other three residues are found in the C-terminal β-grasp domain, consistent with the previous observation that both the N- and C-terminal domains of SSL10 contribute to its cytotoxicity (Fig. 5D). We then aligned the sequences of SSL10 and SSL7, which did not interact with TNFR1 in MBP pull-down assays, in order to investigate differences in the eight residues between the two proteins (Fig. 6C). In SSL7, the corresponding residues are N68, S70, K89, D92, K95, Q208, E210, and R211, respectively, which differed from the charge of those amino acids in SSL10.

To further verify the binding model of SSL10 to the TNFR1ECD, we generated two mutant variants, by replacing the eight residues H64, K66, N85, S88, Q91, K206, K208, and Y209 in SSL10 with either alanine residues (mutant A) or with the corresponding residues from SSL7 (mutant B). The results of LDH assays showed that both mutants exhibited a significant reduction in LDH release compared with that in SSL10, and with mutant B having the weakest cytotoxicity (Fig. 6D). Supporting these results, binding by either mutant to TNFR1ECD was weaker than that of SSL10 in MBP pull-down assays, nearly 67% and 49% compared to SSL10, respectively (Fig. 6E and 6F). Therefore, the docking and mutagenesis analyses demonstrate that residues H64, K66, N85, S88, Q91, K206, K208, and Y209 are critical for SSL10 binding with TNFR1ECD and subsequent initiation of the necroptosis signal cascade.

**Discussion**

Previous studies on SSL10, one of the SSL proteins specifically expressed in *S. aureus*, suggested that it contributed to *S. aureus* infection though inhibiting the classical complement activation pathway, the migration of T cells, the interaction between complement C1q and IgG, and the Fc-receptor-mediated phagocytosis of neutrophils (26–29). In addition, recent publications demonstrated that SSL10 binds to ERK2, phosphatidylserine and apoptotic cells to either interfere host cell inflammation or procoagulant activity (30, 31). Here, our data demonstrated the cytotoxicity of SSL10 by triggering necroptosis via activation of two distinct signaling pathways by binding to TNFR1 in HET 293T and HUVEC cells.

Though RIPK3 is expressed at an extremely low level in endothelial cell, including HUVEC, and HEK 293T, its importance in vivo cannot be excluded (37, 38). Genetic evidence showed that RIPK3 deficiency leads to reduced endothelial cell permeability or necroptosis, thereby suppressing tumor metastasis (39, 40). Furthermore, RIPK3 can be induced or upregulated under certain conditions, which confers cells sensitive to RIPK3-dependent necroptosis (39). Notably, we found an SSL10-induced increase in the protein level of RIPK3 in HEK 293T and HUVEC cells (Fig. S6), indicating the important role of RIPK3 on SSL10-induced necroptosis in HEK 293T and HUVEC.

The best-characterized mechanism of necroptosis is RIP1-RIP3-MLKL signal pathway, which is induced by the interaction of TNF-α and its receptor TNFR1 (32). More recently, CaMKII has been identified as a direct substrate of RIP3 to appropriate downstream effector mPTP in myocardial necroptosis (12). Opening of the mPTP results in loss of mitochondrial inner membrane potential, disruption of ATP production, increased ROS production, organelle swelling, mitochondrial dysfunction and consequent
necrosis (41). Notably, the upstream receptor involved in RIPK3-CaMKII pathway is still unknown. Here, we found that TNFR1 contributed to RIPK3-CaMKII pathway induced by SSL10, but the mechanism underlying how TNFR1 activates RIPK3-CaMKII needs further study.

X-ray crystallography revealed SSL10 possess the characteristic structure of SSL family proteins, which consist of an N-terminal OB-fold and C-terminal β-grasp domain (42, 43). The OB-fold domain is responsible for recognition of protein ligands, whereas the β-grasp domain is capable of binding to tetrasaccharide sialyl Lewis X (22, 23, 25, 42–46). For example, SSL7 binds to the human IgA1 Fc domain through the N-terminal α helix and the L1 and L4 loops of the OB-fold domain, which results in steric shielding of the FcαRI binding site and inhibits FcαRI-mediated immunity (23). In addition, SSL3 interacts with TLR2 (to prevent its lipopeptide binding and dimerization) via four loops localized in the OB-fold domain, leading to blockade of TLR2 signaling and immune evasion (25). However, different from those previously reported SSL proteins, both the L1 loop and 3_{10}^1 helix in the OB-fold domain, as well as 3_{10}^2 helix in β-grasp domain of SSL10 participate in forming a surface for TNFR1 binding, which contributes to the activation of TNFR1 signaling-mediated necroptosis (Figs. 5 and 6). A recent report showed that two sequences from both the N- and C-terminal domains of SSL10 were involved in binding to prothrombin (47). Mapping onto the structure of SSL10 showed that the L1 loop and 3_{10}^2 helix are localized in these two regions. According to these observations, SSL10 likely employs a different mechanism for binding partner recognition than that of other SSL proteins. Notably, further studies, informed by the work reported here, are needed to solve the complex structure of SSL10-TNFR1 ECD, so that we can identify the exact binding sites and key residues.

Damage of endothelial cells can facilitate the spread of *S. aureus* into the bloodstream and then may cause the development of septic shock and organ failure (48, 49). Virulence factors from *S. aureus* play important roles in the development and progression of sepsis through multiple mechanisms including disrupting various types of host cells (4, 6, 50–53). Reijer et al. characterized the serial levels of IgG and IgA antibodies against 56 staphylococcal antigens in multiple serum samples of 21 patients with a *S. aureus* bacteremia. Their data showed that an increase in IgG levels against SSL10 was observed at some time point after the onset of bacteremia in 95 to 100% of all patients (54). Haemostatic abnormalities frequently occur during sepsis and are most often attributed to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Draaijers et al. reported the case of a patient with severe coagulopathy acquired during fulminant *S. aureus* sepsis and speculated that inhibition of coagulation factor X by *S. aureus* SSL10 is the most likely cause of the acquired coagulopathy in their patient (55). Above, in combination with our data, we suggest that SSL10 may be involved in the progress of *S. aureus* sepsis by different mechanisms.

In summary, we provide the first demonstration of which we are aware that SSL10 can act as a signal to initiate necroptotic programmed cell death signal via direct interaction with TNFR1 in HEK 293T and HUVEC. Moreover, this signal cascade is activated in a RIPK3-dependent manner but is transduced through two independent signaling pathways (Fig. 7). Using molecular docking with the structure of TNFR1 ECD to SSL10, we identified a surface region of SSL10 as the potential binding site for TNFR1.
Thus, this study, in combination with other previous reports, provides strong evidence that SSL10 contributes to *S. aureus* infection via multiple mechanisms, and suggest this virulence factor may serve as a potentially reliable biomarker and therapeutic target for *S. aureus*-associated infection and diseases.

**Materials And Methods**

**Reagents and cell culture**

Human umbilical vein endothelial cell line (HUVEC) and human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK 293T) were obtained from Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and were cultured according to its instructions. The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and incubated at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. *S. aureus* RN4220 and *S. aureus* 8325 strains were kindly provided by Dr. Min Li (Renji Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine).

Primary antibodies against RIPK1, RIPK3, MLKL, TNFR1, CaMKII, phospho-CaMKII and GAPDH were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Primary antibodies against actin and tubulin were purchased from TransGen Biotechnology (Beijing, China). The secondary antibodies including anti-rabbit IgG H&L (HRP-conjugated) and anti-mouse IgG H&L (HRP-conjugated) were obtained from Beyotime Biotechnology (Shanghai, China).

CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay and CytoTox 96® Non-Radioactive Cytotoxicity Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) were used for MTS and Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assays, respectively. To measure the ATP concentration in the cells, CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Viability Assay (Promega, Madison, WI) was used, while FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection KIT I (BD, New Jersey, US) was used to determine the cell death by flow cytometry.

Inhibitors used in the present study are as follows: necrostatin-1 (Nec-1, Roche), necrostatin-1 stable (Nec-1s, Biovision), GSK’872 (Calbiochem), Z-VAD-fmk (Selleckchem), KN-93 (APExBIO), and cyclosporine A (CsA, APExBIO).

**Cytotoxicity Assays**

HUVECs or HEK 293T cells were seeded in 96-well plate (100 µL per well) at a density of 1 × 10⁴ cells/well one day prior to treatment with or without SSL10 resuspended in Opti-MEM reduced serum medium (Thermo Fisher) for 48 h. To detect the effects of inhibitors, the cells were pretreated with various inhibitors 0.5 h before 2 µM SSL10 treatment.

For MTS assay, 20 µL of CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution reagent was added to each well and incubated for two more hours, and the optical density was measured at 490 nm with a BioTek Synergy/2 microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT).
LDH release was measured according to the manufacturer's manual. Briefly, 50 µL culture media from various treated cells were transferred to a new 96-well flat clear bottom plate, and 50 µL of the CytoTox 96® reagent was added to each sample aliquot and incubated in dark for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, 50 µL of stop solution was added to each well and the absorbance at 490 nm was recorded with BioTek Synergy/2. The level of LDH released was expressed as a fold of the control (buffer-treated cells) group after subtracting the background absorbance.

To determine the ATP concentration in the cells, CellTiter-Glo® reagent was added to each well and the plate was incubated for 10 min to stabilize luminescent signal before luminescence being recorded with BioTek Synergy/2. Luminescent signals from blank wells and buffer-treated cells were used as background and maximal luminescence.

Cell death detected by flow cytometry was performed as previously described (56). Briefly, 48 h after treatment with SSL10, the cells were collected and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The cells were then incubated with 5 µL FITC annexin V and 5 µL PI in 500 µL prepared assay buffer in dark for 10 min at room temperature, and applied for flow cytometry analysis.

**Knockout and rescue of ssl10 in S. aureus 8325**

To explore the effect of SSL10 on the cytotoxicity of *S. aureus*, ssl10-knockout strain was constructed using the vector pKOR1 as previously described (57). Briefly, ~1,000 bp fragment upstream and downstream, respectively, of *ssl10* was cloned to pKOR1 via lambda recombination (BP clonase enzyme mix, Invitrogen). The resulting plasmid was transferred via electroporation first to *S. aureus* RN4220 to modify DNA, and subsequently to *S. aureus* 8325. For homologous recombination and ingratiation of pKOR1 into the bacterial chromosome, *S. aureus* 8325 was grown at 43°C on tryptic soy agar (TSA<sub>Cm10</sub>), a non-permissive condition for pKOR1 replication. From the resulting plate, one colony was picked, inoculated into 1 ml TSB<sub>Cm10</sub> and incubated at 30°C overnight to facilitate plasmid excision. Cultures were then spread on TSA containing 200 ng/mL anhydrotetracycline and incubated at 30°C overnight for selecting *ssl10*-knockout *S. aureus* 8325. To rescue *ssl10* in *ssl10* knockout strain, the gene sequence of *ssl10* was ligated with *hprk* promoter and then cloned to the plasmid pOS1. The resulting plasmid was transferred via electroporation first to *S. aureus* RN4220 to modify DNA, and subsequently to *ssl10*-knockout *S. aureus* 8325.

**Cytotoxicity Assay of S. aureus ssl10-knockout or Rescue Strains**

*S. aureus* 8325 wild type, *ssl10*-knockout and rescue strains were cultured in TSB medium (OXOID) for 8 h at 37°C, 220 rpm. The same number of bacteria cells were inoculated into a new tube of TSB medium respectively, and cultured overnight at 37°C, 220 rpm for protein expression and secretion. Bacteria cells were collected after achieving stagnate phase and the supernatants were obtained by centrifugation at 5,000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature. After filtration with a 0.22 µm filter, the supernatants were used to treat HEK 293T or HUVEC cells for 48 h after 1:1,000 dilution with Opti-MEM reduced serum medium,
and LDH released from the cells were determined. The level of LDH released was expressed as the fold of the control group (TSB medium-treated cells) after subtracting the background absorbance.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

HEK 293T or HUVEC cells with or without SSL10 treatment were prefixed in Karnovsky’s solution (1% paraformaldehyde, 2% glutaraldehyde, 2 mM calcium chloride, 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, pH 7.4) for 2 h and washed with cacodylate buffer. Postfixing was carried out in 1% osmium tetroxide and 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide for 1 h. After dehydration with 50–100% alcohol, the cells were embedded in Poly/Bed 812 resin (Pelco, Redding, CA, USA), polymerized and then observed under a HITACHI model H-7650 electron microscope (HITACHI, Tokyo, Japan).

Western Blotting

The cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing proteinase inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and/or PhosSTOP Phosphatase Inhibitor (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) when necessary. A total of 30 µg of protein (determined by BCA protein quantification kit) for each sample was separated on 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a PVDF membrane, immunoblotted with appropriate antibodies. Antibody binding was detected using a luminescent image analyzer ImageQuant™ LAS 4000 mini (GE Healthcare Bio-Science AB, Uppsala, Sweden) after adding peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and chemiluminescence substrates.

CRISPR-Cas9 Genome Editing and Rescue

The E-CRISP online tool (http://www.e-crisp.org/E-CRISP/) was used to design specific single-guide RNAs targeting different genes. The 20-nucleotide guide sequence was annealed to the complementary oligos and then cloned into the pSpCas9(BB)-2A-green fluorescent protein (GFP) plasmid (PX458; Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA). HEK 293T or HUVEC cells were transiently transfected with the CRISPR/Cas9 plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000 (Life Technologies, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. GFP-positive single clone was sorted using SmartSampler Analyzer (Beckman, California, USA) 48 h after transfection and cultured in a 96-well plate for about 10 days before confirming the gene knockout by sequencing and western blotting or flow cytometry. Sequences of the sgRNAs for various genes are listed in the Supplementary Materials Table S1.

For RIPK3 rescue, RIPK3 cDNA was cloned into the pCDH-CMV-MCS-EF1-copGFP-T2A-Puro vector (Addgene, Cambridge, MA, USA). HEK 293T WT and RIPK3-KO cells were transiently transfected with the RIPK3-expression plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000, and 48 h after transfection, SSL10-induced necroptosis was detected by LDH release assay.

Mitochondrial Membrane Potential Assay

The mitochondrial potential, which reflects mitochondrial depolarization, was detected using the mitochondrial membrane potential assay kit following the manufacturer’s instructions (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Briefly, JC-1 working solution was incubated with the cells in dark for 20 min at 37°C. After three washes with prepared buffer, the cells were detected on a flow cytometer using PE and FITC
channels. The value of the JC-1 monomers to aggregates positive cells ratio quantifies the mitochondrial membrane depolarization.

**Real-time Live-cell Analysis**

HUVECs were seeded in 96-well plate (100 µL per well) at a density of $1 \times 10^3$ cells/well with or without 2 µM GFP-SSL10/GFP treatment and then observed with live-cell dynamic imaging and analysis system (Incucyte S3, Essen Bioscience, USA).

**Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope**

HUVECs were seeded on coverslips in a 24-well plate and then incubated for 4 h before being incubated with 2 µM GFP-SSL10 protein resuspended in Opti-MEM medium for 20 min. After treatment, the cells were washed twice with PBS to remove unbound proteins and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. After fixation, cells were washed three times with PBS and then incubated with DAPI. After three additional PBS washes, coverslips were mounted onto slides using antifade mounting medium (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). The cells were visualized using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

**Protein Expression and Purification**

DNA fragments encoding amino acid residues 31–227 of SSL10 or its mutants were amplified by PCR from *S. aureus* strain Mu50 and cloned into the pET-22b (+) vector (Novagen) with a C-terminal 6×His tag. Wild type and mutant SSL10 were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) and induced with 0.4 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside) for 4 h at 37 °C when OD$_{600}$ reached 0.6. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000 rpm for 8 min, and lysed in a French press in lysis buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) Glycerol, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM PMSF]. The lysate was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30 min, and the supernatant was incubated with Ni-NTA resin for 30 min. The resin with target proteins were washed with 50 column volumes of washing buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) Glycerol, 40 mM imidazole] to remove contaminants and the target protein was eluted by elution buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) Glycerol, 300 mM imidazole]. The eluted protein was concentrated and further purified by Superdex 75 10/300 size exclusion column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with the buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 and 200 mM NaCl. The entire protein purification procedure was carried out at 4°C. Purity of the target protein was verified by SDS-PAGE and protein aliquots were stored at -80°C for further use.

GFP-SSL10 used for laser scanning confocal microscopy was cloned into the pET-28a(+) vector (Novagen) with the GFP- and 6×His-tag fused to its N-terminus. The protein was expressed and purified using the method similar as above.

DNA fragment of human TNFR1 extracellular domain (amino acid residues 22–211) was amplified by PCR and cloned into the pET-28a(+) vector with an N-terminal MBP-tag. The recombinant protein MBP-TNFR1$_{ECD}$ was expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta2 (DE3) strain and purified by MBP-affinity chromatography.

**Crystallization**
SSL10 was concentrated to 4.3 mg/mL (in buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 and 200 mM NaCl), and used for initial crystallization trials by the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method at 16 °C with index crystallization screen kit. Crystals were obtained from the buffer containing 2.1 M DL-malic acid, pH 7.0.

Data Collection, Structure Determination and Refinement

The crystals of SSL10 were soaked in cryoprotectant buffer consisting 2.1 M DL-Malic acid, pH 7.0 and 20% Glycerol for several seconds and flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data was collected at beamline BL18U1 of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). Diffraction data were processed, integrated, and scaled using HKL2000 (58).

The crystal structure of SSL10 was determined by molecular replacement using the program Phaser in the CCP4i suite (59, 60) with Exotoxin SACOL0473 (PDB code 3R2I) as the search model. After several runs of structure refinement using the programs REFMAC5, Phenix and Coot (61–63), the final model was refined to 1.9 Å resolution with $R_{\text{work}}$ of 20.94% and $R_{\text{free}}$ of 24.62%. Data collection and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. All figures of protein structure were prepared using PyMOL (http://www.pymol.org).

MBP Pull-down Assay

Thirty microgram of MBP-TNFR1\textsuperscript{ECD} was incubated with 30 µg of wild type or mutant SSL10 for 1 h on ice, and the protein mixture was centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C to remove precipitates. The supernatant was then added into 1 mL binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) with 20 µL MBP beads, and incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were washed with 1 mL binding buffer for four times to remove any non-specific bindings, and the proteins bound to MBP resin were eluted by 20 µL elution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 50 mM D-Maltose) and analyzed by 15% SDS-PAGE.

Statistical Analysis

All data were collected from at least three independent experiments, and presented as the mean ± SD. Comparisons between two groups were analyzed by the multiple t-test or by two-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism Version 6.0 (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) software program. $p$ values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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**Figures**
Figure 1

SSL10 induces necrosis in HEK 293T and HUVEC cells. (A, B) HEK 293T (A) and HUVEC cells (B) were treated with different concentrations of SSL10 for different time periods, as indicated. SSL10 induces cell death in a dose- and time-dependent manner as determined by MTS assay. (C, D) HEK 293T (C) and HUVEC cells (D) were collected after treatment with 2 μM SSL10 for 48 h. Compromised cells were then detected by flow cytometry using Annexin V/PI staining. The dot plot (left) is representative of three
independent experiments, and the quantification results are shown as a bar graph (right). (E) Transmission electron microscopy images of HEK 293T and HUVEC cells treated with or without 2 μM SSL10 for 48 h. Letters with arrows indicate characteristic features of necrotic morphology: a, cytoplasmic lightening; b, swollen organelle; c, membrane rupture. (F) The same amount of wild type S. aureus 8325, or ssl10 knock out and ssl10 complementation bacteria in the S. aureus 8325 background were cultured to the same cell density, and the supernatants were collected and used to treat HEK 293T and HUVEC cells for 48 h at 1:1000 dilution. LDH released from the cells was evaluated. WT, wild type. (G, H) The release of LDH into the culture medium of HEK 293T (G) or HUVEC cells (H) was significantly higher after treatment with SSL10 for 48 h, indicated by increased absorbance at 490 nm. The pan-caspase inhibitor (Z-VAD-fmk, 10 μM) was applied 30 min before 2 μM SSL10 treatment, and did not abolish SSL10 cytotoxicity, as evident from LDH release. All data represent means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p<0.001; ****, p<0.0001 compared to those without SSL10 treatment (-SSL10-treated cells, i.e., buffer-treated cells) or ctrl cells (TSB medium-treated cells) under the same conditions. ns, not significant.

Figure 2

SSL10-induced necroptosis is RIPK3 dependent. (A, B) HEK 293T (A) and HUVEC cells (B) were treated with various inhibitors 30 min prior to treatment with 2 μM SSL10. The release of LDH associated with
SSL10 exposure could be inhibited by RIPK3 inhibitor GSK'872 (50 μM), but was only partially inhibited by the RIPK1 inhibitors Nec-1 (100 μM) or Nec-1s (50 μM). (C) Ripk1, Ripk3, or Mlkl were knocked out with two different sgRNAs in HEK 293T cells. RIPK3-KO, but not RIPK1-KO or MLKL-KO, could abolish SSL10 cytotoxicity, evident from LDH release into the cell culture supernatant. (D) Ripk3 was knocked out with two different sgRNAs in HUVEC cells. RIPK3-KO could abolish SSL10 cytotoxicity to HEK 293T cells, evident from LDH release into the cell culture supernatant. (E) The absence of RIPK3 increased the viability of SSL10-treated HEK 293T cells, indicated by flow cytometry analysis with Annexin V/PI staining. The dot plot (left) is representative of three independent experiments, and the results of quantification (right) are shown as a bar graph. (F) Transient complementation of RIPK3 in RIPK3-KO HEK 293T cells resulted in a significant increase in LDH release. All data represent means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 compared to those without SSL10 treatment (-SSL10-treated cells, i.e., buffer- treated cells) under the same conditions. #, p < 0.05; ##, p < 0.01; ###, p < 0.001 compared to those treated with 2 μM SSL10 treatment for 48 h under the same conditions.
Figure 3

CaMKII activation and mPTP opening are essential for SSL10-induced necroptosis in HEK 293T and HUVEC cells. (A, B) HEK 293T (A) or HUVEC cells (B) were pretreated with CaMKII inhibitor KN-93 (5 μM) 30 min before SSL10 treatment, and release of LDH to the medium was determined. (C) ATP release after HEK 293T cells were treated with SSL10 with or without KN-93 pretreatment. (D) CaMKII and phospho-Thr287 CaMKII were detected by Western blotting in whole cell lysates of HEK 293T cells treated with or without SSL10. The graph is representative of three independent experiments. (E, F) HEK 293T (E) and HUVEC cells (F) were pretreated with mPTP inhibitor Cyclosporin A (CsA, 1 μM) 30 min before SSL10 treatment and LDH release into the culture media was quantified. (G) Depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane of HEK 293T cells induced by SSL10 was reduced in the absence of RIPK3, as measured by flow cytometry after JC-1 staining. The dot plot (left) is representative of three independent experiments,
and the results of quantification are shown as a bar graph (right). All data represent the means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 compared to those without SSL10 treatment (-SSL10-treated cells, i.e., buffer-treated cells) under the same conditions. #, p < 0.05; ##, p < 0.01 compared to those treated with 2 μM SSL10 for 48 h under the same conditions.

Figure 4

SSL10 induces necroptosis by direct interaction with the extracellular domain of TNFR1 (TNFR1ECD). (A) MBP pull-down of SSL10 by MBP-TNFR1ECD. (B, C) Knockout of TNFR1 abolished SSL10-induced cytotoxicity in HEK 293T (B) or HUVEC cells (C), indicated by diminished release of LDH. (D) The absence of TNFR1 increased viability of SSL10-treated HEK 293T cells, as measured by flow cytometry after...
Annexin V/PI staining. (E) Depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane of HEK 293T cells induced by SSL10 was reduced in the absence of TNFR1, measured by flow cytometry after JC-1 staining. The dot plot (left) is representative of three independent experiments, and the results of quantification (right) are shown as a bar graph. (F) SSL10 at different concentrations was incubated with WT or TNFR1-KO HEK 293T cells for 30 min, and SSL10 bound to the cell surface was detected by flow cytometry using FITC-conjugated anti-His-tag antibody binding. The absence of TNFR1 significantly reduced binding of SSL10 to the cell surface. All data represent means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001 compared to those without SSL10 treatment (-SSL10-treated cells, i.e., buffer-treated cells) under the same conditions. #, p < 0.05; ##, p < 0.01 compared to those treated with 2 μM SSL10 for 48 h under the same conditions.

Figure 5

Both the N- and C- terminal domains contribute to SSL10-induced necroptosis. (A) The overall structure of SSL10. The OB-fold domain and β-grasp domain of SSL10 are colored magenta and green, respectively. (B) The electrostatic surface potentials of SSL10. Positively and negatively charged surface regions are colored blue and red, respectively. (C) Illustration of chimeric SSL variants used in this study. Blue and
gray boxes represent sequences derived from SSL10 and SSL7, respectively. The numbers indicate the residue positions of the N- and C-terminus of the swapped domains. (D) LDH released from HEK 293T cells treated with 2 μM SSL10, SSL7, SSL10-7, and SSL7-10. All data represent means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. #, p < 0.05; ####, p < 0.0001 as indicated. (E) MBP pull-down of SSL10, SSL7, SSL10-7 and SSL7-10 by MBP-TNFR1ECD.

Figure 6

Identification of potential TNFR1 binding sites on SSL10. (A) The structures of SSL10 and TNFR1ECD (PDB code: 1EXT) were docked using the HDOCK program to generate structural models of the
SSL10/TNFR1ECD complex. Enlarged view shows the residues of SSL10 possibly involved in binding to TNFR1ECD. SSL10 and TNFR1ECD are colored cyan and light brown, respectively. Amino acid residues are shown as sticks. (B) The potential TNFR1 binding sites on SSL10. The electrostatic surface potentials of SSL10 are shown. Positively and negatively charged surface regions are colored blue and red, respectively. (C) Sequence alignment of SSL10 and SSL7 was conducted with MultAlin and ESPript. Amino acid residues of SSL10 possibly involved in the interaction with the TNFR1ECD are indicated by blue arrows. (D) LDH released from HEK 293T cells treated with 2 μM SSL10 or either of the two mutants. The eight residues potentially involved in SSL10-TNFR1 interface, i.e., H64, K66, N85, S88, Q91, K206, K208, and Y209 in SSL10, were replaced with either alanine residues (mutant A) or with the corresponding residues from SSL7 (mutant B). (E) MBP pull-down of SSL10 and two mutants by MBP-TNFR1ECD. (F) Quantification of the band intensity in (E). The relative band intensities of wild type or the two mutant SSL10 proteins pulled down (lane 5-7) are quantitated by densitometry after normalization to their input (lane 1-3), and then expressed as the fold of wild type SSL10. All data represent means ± SD calculated from at least three independent experiments. #, p < 0.05; ##, p < 0.01; ###, p < 0.001; ####, p < 0.0001 as indicated.
Figure 7

Schematic diagram of the SSL10 signal cascade and subsequent cellular effects. SSL10 secreted by S. aureus directly interacts with TNFR1 on the host cell surface and induces cell necroptosis via two distinct pathways, including the RIPK1-RIPK3-MLKL and RIPK3-CaMKII-mPTP pathways.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- SupplementaryInformation.docx