miR-145-5p: A Potential Biomarker in Predicting Gleason Upgrading of Prostate Biopsy Samples Scored 3+3=6
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Abstract

Background: The Gleason grading system is a major tool used for prediction of prostate cancer (PCa) behavior. Because of heterogeneity and sampling errors, prognosis is variable even among patients with the same Gleason score (GS). Therefore, more accurate biomarkers that complement the Gleason system are needed to improve the clinical management of PCa.

Methods: Formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded tissue samples were obtained from radical prostatectomy (RP) (patient set 1, n=53) and needle biopsy (patient set 2, n=107; patient set 3, n=119). Cancer tissues from pure regions of each Gleason pattern (GP) were separately collected using laser-captured microdissection, followed by Real-time-PCR to determine the relative expression of miRNAs, including miR-1-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-100-5p, miR-145-5p, miR-224-5p, and miR-708-5p. miRNA's association with Gleason upgrading (GU) was evaluated using receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve and multivariate logistic regression analysis. The integrated miRNA targets prediction and enrichment analyses were performed to determine the potential functions of miRNA.

Results: It was found that miR-145-5p in GP3 from radical prostatectomy (RP) were overexpressed in patients with GS6 PCa compared with GS7 patients, which was further confirmed in a larger biopsy cohort. ROC curve analysis revealed that miR-145-5p in biopsy was significantly associated with GU upon RP. In multivariate analyses, miR-145-5p was an independent predictor of GU.

Conclusions: Our study indicated that differential expression of miRNAs existed in GP3 from pure GS6 and GS7 PCa, highlighting a path toward the clinical use of miRNAs in predicting GU and assisting in treatment modality selection.

Novelty And Impact

This is the first study demonstrating the role of miRNAs in predicting Gleason upgrading (GU) upon subsequent radical prostatectomy by analyzing the prostate biopsy samples scored Gleason 3+3=6. These data highlights a path toward the clinical use of miRNAs, along with other molecular and genetic markers in determining the aggressiveness of a given Gleason Score 6 lesion and assisting in treatment modality selection.

1. Background

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most prevalent form of male malignant tumor in developed countries. There is a wide geographic variation in PCa incidence, and mortality rates differ among regions(1). In China, PCa is the second most common malignant tumor type observed in the male urogenital system after bladder carcinoma(2). The Gleason grading system, based on the architectural pattern of malignant glands, is a major tool used for prediction of PCa behavior, providing guidance for the treatment option(3, 4). Because of PCa heterogeneity and sampling errors, prognosis is variable, even among patients with
the same Gleason score (GS)(5). Therefore, identification of more objective and accurate biomarkers that complement the Gleason grading system could improve the clinical management of PCa.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small non-protein-coding RNAs that induce mRNA degradation or inhibit protein translation via direct interaction with complementary recognition sites of target mRNAs(6). The miRNA system regulates various physiological processes, including cellular proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis(7). Increasing evidence suggests that dysregulation of individual miRNAs or altered expression profiles of subsets of miRNAs in PCa could promote tumor progression, invasion, and metastasis(8, 9). Dysregulated miRNAs represent potentially useful biomarkers for PCa diagnosis, prognosis, and classification purposes. The evaluation of miRNA has advantages compared with that of mRNA, as miRNAs are stable and can be efficiently extracted from formalin-fixed tissues.

We investigated the expression levels of seven miRNAs shown in the literature to be related to PCa: miR-1-5p(10, 11), miR-21-5p(12–14), miR-30d-5p(15, 16), miR-100-5p(17, 18), miR-145-5p(13, 19, 20), miR-224-5p(21–23), and miR-708-5p(18, 24). We analyzed the expression of these miRNAs in pure regions of sections from each Gleason pattern (GP) and compared their expression with GS.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1 Human tissue samples

A total of 53 formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) radical prostatectomy (RP) samples and 107 FFPE needle biopsy PCa samples were obtained from the Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center between January 2008 and April 2015. Another 87 FFPE needle biopsy PCa samples were obtained from the Shanghai General Hospital between December 2012 and March 2018. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study was approved by the hospitals’ ethics committee. The 2014 ISUP modified Gleason scoring system was used to explore the Gleason scoring in PCa samples(4). All slides were reviewed by two board certified pathologists for the identification of each Gleason grade pattern (GP 3, GP 4 or GP 5) and adjacent normal glandular epithelium. No hormonal treatment or radiotherapy was administered to the patients before surgery. Patient profiles, including GS, are summarized in Table 1 and 2.

2.2 Laser capture microdissection (LCM) and miRNA extraction

Sections 8 mm in thickness were placed on glass slides, deparaffinized, stained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and placed on an ArcturusXT Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microdissection system for LCM according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Tissue areas of interest were captured onto CapSure Macro LCM caps using infrared laser pulses. The LCM procedure was completed within 45 min per sample and total RNA was extracted from microdissected FFPE tissues using an miRNeasy FFPE Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was assessed using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
2.3 Quantitative RT-PCR analysis for miRNAs

Extracted total RNA was reverse-transcribed into single-stranded cDNA using a Taqman MicroRNA Reverse Transcription Kit and real-time PCR was performed using first strand cDNA with TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies). Samples was normalized to U6 as an internal control, and Taqman miRNA assays used for the analysis were as follows: miR-1-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-100-5p, miR-145-5p, miR-224-5p, and miR-708-5p (Life Technologies). Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from 10 ng of total RNA in a 5 μL reaction volume using an miRNA-specific RT primer and a TaqMan miRNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Life Technologies). All reactions were performed using an Eppendorf Mastercycler EP Gradient S instrument (Eppendorf, Germany) under the following conditions: 16°C for 30 min, 42°C for 30 min, and at 85°C for 5 min. Next, miRNA expression was evaluated using TaqMan miRNA Assays. Each 20 μL PCR reaction contained 10 μL of 2× Universal PCR Master Mix (without AmpErase UNG), 1.0 μL of 20× TaqMan miRNA Assay Mix, and 1.0 μL of RT product. Real-time PCR was performed under the following conditions: 95°C for 10 min for enzyme activation, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. The average Ct values for the control (Ct control) and the sample (Ct sample) were determined. These values were used to obtain the sample fold-change in gene expression relative to that of the control using the 2-DDCt method.

2.4 Gene ontology (GO) and pathway analysis

Prediction of miRNA target genes was carried out using MiRWalk 3.0, miRDB and Targetscan7.2. The selected target genes were then deposited to the Metascape for GO annotation and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis(25). Besides, the other four datasets, including Reactome, KEGG disease, PATHER pathway and NGHRI_GWAS_Catalog were also explored for enrichment analysis. Furthermore, the predicted targets of miRWalks 3.0 were submit to its own GO and pathway analysis to avoid the key information missing after only considering the intersection of predicted targets.

2.5 Statistical analysis

The experiments were carried out in triplicate and the data presented were representative of three independent experiments. All results were presented as the mean with standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was conducted using the SPSS 22.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and the GraphPad version 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). The average miRNA expression in normal tissue compared with that in malignant tissue was determined by Student t-test and One-way ANOVA. Mann-Whitney test was used when the data does not follow a normal distribution. The diagnostic performance was evaluated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was calculated to evaluate the diagnostic value. The optimal cut-off value, sensitivity, and specificity were determined by calculating the Youden index. Using multiple logistic regression with the enter method, the clinical variables were entered and investigated as predictors of upgrading. The variables considered for entry into the model included age, the percentage of positive cores, prostate-specific antigen (PSA),
prostate-specific antigen density (PSAD) and the relative expression level of miR-145-5p. \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 Characteristics of PCa patients

Patient profiles are summarized as follows: patient set 1 (RP samples), \( n=53 \), including GP3: \( n=29 \), GP4: \( n=44 \), GP5: \( n=16 \), and adjacent normal tissue: \( n=53 \) (Table1), patient set 2 (biopsy samples), \( n=107 \), including GP3 from the real GS6 cancer tissues, \( n=32 \), as were all confirmed in the following RP, and GP3 from GS7 in the biopsy tissues, \( n=75 \) (Table1), patient set 3 (biopsy samples), \( n=119 \), incorporating 32 GP3 from the real GS6 PCa in the patient set 2, and all were GS6 in the biopsy, including 66 samples with the real GS6 and 53 samples with GS \( \geq 7 \) in the subsequent RP (Table2).

3.2 Relative expression of miRNAs between the Gleason patterns 3, 4 and 5

To determine the expression of miRNAs associated with the GP of PCa, we investigated seven miRNAs, including miR-1-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-30d-5p, miR-100-5p, miR-145-5p, miR-224-5p, and miR-708-5p, all of which are implicated in PCa progression. Firstly, we explored the differential expression of seven miRNAs between GPs and adjacent normal tissues using RP samples (patient set 1). As shown in Figure 1, compared with normal samples, the relative expression of miR-224-5p in each GP was significantly decreased, the expression of miR-145-5p was downregulated in GP4 and GP5 but not in GP3, while the expression of miR-1-5p was downregulated in GP4 but not in GP3 or GP5. Then the miRNA expression among the different GPs was investigated. We found that the relative expression of miR-145-5p in GP4 was significantly lower than that in GP3, and no other differential expression was found in this study.

3.3 Altered miRNA expression among the same GP with different GS

To explore whether miRNA expression in PCa with the same GP differed according to GS, we analyzed miRNA expression in GP4 and GP3 separately. In GP4, samples from GS7 or GS \( \geq 8 \) were evaluated, but no significant difference in miRNA expression associated with GS was detected (Supplementary Figure1). However, in GP3, expression of miR-145-5p, miR-224-5p and miR-708-5p in samples obtained from GS6 was significantly higher compared with that of GS7 (Figure2). Tissues from GP5 were excluded from the analysis, as all samples were obtained from GS9.

To verify the differential expression of miRNAs in the same GP3 between GS6 and GS7, a validation study using the biopsy samples was performed with patient set 2. We found that the expression of miR-145-5p was significantly higher in GP3 tissues from the real GS6 compared with that associated with GP4, which was similar to the result obtained from the RP group. However, statistically-insignificant difference in the expression of miR-224-5p and miR-708-5p was found between GP3 samples from the real GS6 and that associated with GP4 (Figure3).
3.4 Association between the expression level of miR-145-5p and Gleason upgrading of biopsy samples scored GS6

To further investigate the performance of miR-145-5p in predicting the Gleason upgrading (GU) from GS6 biopsy samples, we detected its expression level using the cohort 3. The 32 biopsy samples with the real GS6 from patient set 2 were also incorporated in this patient set. The total GU was 44.5% (n=53) and the comparison of the nonupgrading, and upgrading groups was summarized in Table 2. As shown in figure 4A, only the expression level of miR-145-5p decreased significantly in GU group. On ROC analysis, miR-145-5p yielded an AUC value of 0.705 (95%CI, 0.612-0.798, \( P < 0.001 \)) with 50.8% sensitivity and 84.8% specificity (Figure 4B). The AUC for other clinical parameters in predicting GU was 0.592 for age, 0.554 for PSA, 0.567 for PSAD and 0.525 for percent of positive cores respectively (Supplement Figure 2). In multivariate analyses, miR-145-5p was an independent predictor of GU (Table 3).

3.5 Target gene prediction of miR-145-5p

To further determine the biologic functions affected by miR-145-5p in PCa, the potential target genes were predicted by miRwalk 3.0 and two other miRNA prediction tools. The intersection of these three predicted target gene sets was integrated and visualized using Venn diagram (Supplementary Figure 3). Finally, 125 overlapping genes were consistently predicted by the three databases, suggesting that these genes might be involved in the miR-145-5p-mediated functions and biological pathways in PCa.

3.6 Go annotation and KEGG enrichment analyses

To obtain insight into the biological roles of miR-145-5p in PCa, GO annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment were analyzed through Metascape (25) and KOBAS (26). As shown in Figure 5, these 125 target genes were mainly enriched in small GTPase mediated signal transduction and semaphoring-plexin signaling pathway with regard to biological processes (BPs) (Figure 5A). As for molecular functions (MFs), terms such as GTPase regulator activity and actin filament binding were enriched(Figure 5B). Regarding cellular components (CCs), the target genes were commonly enriched in the cell leading edge, postsynaptic specialization, contractile fiber and adherens junction (Figure 5C). Furthermore, the KEGG analysis revealed that adherens junction, endocytosis, dilated cardiomyopathy and HIF-1 signaling were significantly enriched pathways. Besides, the cadherin stabilization pathway and smad2/3 nuclear pathway were enriched by Canonical Pathways enrichment, and the UV response DN and apical junction were enriched by HALLMARK enrichment (Figure 5D). In addition, databases such as KEGG DISEASE, and GWAS Catalog were also explored with KOBAS to further find out the relationship between miR-145-5p and diseases. The results showed that cardiovascular diseases and cancers were significantly enriched (Supplementary Figure4), suggesting a potential correlation between miR-145-5p and PCa.

In view of missing key information from merely taking the intersection of the predicted targets into consideration, an enrichment analysis was also performed on all predicted target genes with the only miRWalk(27). Consequently, 6,035 target genes with a miRWalk score >1 were used for the functional enrichment analysis. The results showed that cell junction assembly, neuron projection guidance and
regulation of GTPase activity were enriched with regard to BPs (Supplementary Figure 5A). As for MFs, symporter activity, solute:cation symporter activity, GTPase regulator activity and small GTPase binding were significantly enriched (Supplementary Figure 5B), indicating a close association between miR-145-5p and the GTPase activity. Regarding CCs, the apical part of cell and synaptic membrane were enriched (Supplementary Figure 5C). In addition, terms such as pathway in focal adhesion were enriched In the KEGG pathway analysis, indicating that miR-145-5p might be involved in the structure remodeling in the carcinogenesis of PCa.

4. Discussion

Because of the prevalence of the PSA test and the modified Gleason grading system from the International Society of Urological Pathology, more cancers have been assigned a GS7 score or higher and there has been a more homogeneous assignment of a GS6 score (4, 28). Patients with pure GP3 disease have an excellent prognosis (29-31). After a recent review of 14,000 RP specimens using the updated Gleason system, no lymph node metastases were identified in men with GS6 confirmed upon prostatectomy (32). The 10-year PCa-specific survival for pathological GS6 is 100% (33), whereas the 15-year PCa-specific mortality rate is less than 1.2% (34). If a given patient’s prostate harbored only GS6 tumors, these patients could be safely monitored and select active surveillance (AS) as a surrogate for immediate intervention (35). Most cohorts use grade progression on serial biopsies to GS 3+4 or higher as a threshold for intervention. Accordingly, cases of overtreatment could be avoided, as well as the inconvenience following radical intervention.

However, selection and monitoring of patients during AS can be inaccurate owing to the currently used PSA and repeat biopsy protocols. The GU was reported as 36% in patients whose biopsy pathologies were GS6 (36). Recent studies have indicated that GU was associated with increased risk of biochemical recurrence (BR) and worse outcomes after RP (37-40). It is likely that sampling imprecision at the time of diagnosis is a major reason for GU upon subsequent biopsies or RP (41-44). Thus, molecular and genetic researches are needed to facilitate the determination whether a patient harbors only GS6 cancer in the absence of RP and aid in the selection of patients for AS.

To date, the current nomograms have shown no additive value in predicting GU (41). It was reported that patients with pure GS6 tumors in subsequent RP samples had a lower possibility of PTEN loss in GP3 regions compared with that of patients with GS7 tumors (45). In addition, GP3 areas from GS7 tumors exhibited a greater rate of chromosome 8q24 gain and chromosome 8p loss. Meanwhile, Lotan et al. (46) revealed that pure GS6 tumor tissues at biopsy with lost PTEN detected by immunohistochemistry displayed an increased rate of GU at RP compared with that of tumors without PTEN loss. The findings based on the above literature suggest that GP3 lesions are molecularly distinct based on their presence in the context of GS6 or GS7 tumors.

Prior studies have provided evidence that miRNAs are closely associated with tumorigenesis and PCa progression. Thus, single miRNAs or miRNA expression profiles could be potential biomarkers for PCa
based on their stability and detectability in biopsy tissue and body fluids \((47, 48)\). Some miRNAs have been found to be associated with GS, however, most analyses have compared PCa samples with those of normal tissues. To date, only two studies have reported the relative expression of miRNAs among GPs. Tsuchiyama et al. \((49)\) found that the expression of miR-182-5p was increased in the GP4 from GS8 or GS9 cancer samples compared with that from GS7. Eggener et al. \((8)\) compared global miRNA expression between pure areas of each Gleason pattern and identified miRNAs that were differentially expressed between the different patterns. The group identified extracellular matrix, focal adhesion kinase, and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways as significant pathways targeted by these miRNAs. However, no further research was conducted to investigate the relative expression of these miRNAs in samples with the same GP with different GS. These studies indicate that specific miRNAs could be evaluated to assist in determining whether patients with GS6 biopsies are at a higher risk of harboring a previously unsampled higher-grade prostate tumor.

Our study found that expression of miR-145-5p in GP3 was higher in the real GS6 compared with that in GS7 cancer, which was consistent with prior studies showing that miR-145-5p acted as tumor suppressor in PCa and was associated with high Gleason score, PSA and bone metastasis \((19, 20)\). Mechanism researches revealed that miR-145 in PCa was associated with DNA methylation and p53 mutation and suppressed cell proliferation, migration and invasion through targeting fascin-1, SWAP70 and human enhancer of filamentation 1 \((19, 50)\). In a recent study, a significant difference for miR-145 was observed in the GU group by detecting from tumor tissues upon RP. While the predictive value of miR-145 for GU was not statistically significant in that research, our study discovered that miR-145-5p yielded an AUC value of 0.705 \((95\% CI, 0.612-0.798, P < 0.001)\) with 50.8% sensitivity and 84.8% specificity. Multivariate analyses showed that miR-145-5p was an independent predictor of GU. Furthermore, after identifying 125 potential target genes of miR-145-5p, we performed GO and KEGG pathway analyses to show the possible and crucial regulatory pattern of miR-145-5p. While small GTPase mediated signal transduction, GTPase regulator activity and cell leading edge were enriched in GO annotation, the adherens junction and endocytosis were the most significant pathways in KEGG pathway enrichment analysis, indicating the potential correlation between miR-145-5p and PCa evolution.

5. Conclusions

Our results show that early detection of miR-145-5p in prostate biopsy samples scored GS6 is useful in determining the aggressiveness of a given GS6 lesion and predicting GU upon subsequent biopsies or RP. It is hoped that in the future, molecular and genetic markers, along with improved imaging, can be applied in addition to histological grading to assist in medical decision-making and have a substantial impact on the quality of life for PCa patients.
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Tables

Table 1. Clinical parameters of study cohort 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>RP Cohort</th>
<th>Biopsy Cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients</td>
<td>n=53</td>
<td>n=107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>65.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>68.6 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(66, 61-72)</td>
<td>(69, 63.5-74.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>25.0 ± 24.1</td>
<td>23.0 ± 29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(16.0, 10.1-29.4)</td>
<td>(12.5, 8.9-21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The highest GS at biopsy</td>
<td>3+3, 32</td>
<td>3+3, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+4, 24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+5, 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+3, 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+4, 13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+5, 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS at RP</td>
<td>3+3, 9</td>
<td>3+3, 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+4, 10</td>
<td>3+4, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+3, 10</td>
<td>4+3, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+4, 8</td>
<td>4+5, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+5, 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5+4, 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PSA, prostate specific antigen, RP, Radical prostatectomy, GS, Gleason score.

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation (median, interquartile range).
Table 2. Characteristics of biopsy GS6 patients with or without Gleason upgrading upon subsequent RP in cohort 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Nonupgrading</th>
<th>Upgrading</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. patients</td>
<td>n=119</td>
<td>n=66, 55.5%</td>
<td>n=53, 44.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>69.0 ± 6.5</td>
<td>68.1 ± 6.7</td>
<td>70.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(69, 65-73)</td>
<td>(68.5, 64-71)</td>
<td>(70, 66-75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV (mL)</td>
<td>48.8 ± 31.6</td>
<td>45.5 ± 22.1</td>
<td>51.5 ± 37.8</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(37.5, 29.1-62.4)</td>
<td>(37.1, 29.1-63.3)</td>
<td>(37.5, 29.1-57.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/mL)</td>
<td>14.7 ± 13.8</td>
<td>15.0 ± 16.5</td>
<td>14.2 ± 9.6</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(12, 7.3-17.1)</td>
<td>(10.4, 7.4-14.3)</td>
<td>(12.8, 7.3-18.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAD (ng/mL(^2))</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.75</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.24, 0.15-0.43)</td>
<td>(0.22, 0.13-0.40)</td>
<td>(0.25, 0.17-0.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Positive cores</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.30, 0.17-0.42)</td>
<td>(0.25, 0.17-0.42)</td>
<td>(0.33, 0.17-0.42)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-145-5p</td>
<td>157.9 ± 158.7</td>
<td>211.6 ± 190.4</td>
<td>92.0 ± 63.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(100.5, 62.1-178.7)</td>
<td>(156.5, 78.8-320.0)</td>
<td>(79.1, 42.6-123.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: RP, radical prostatectomy, PV, prostate volume, PSA, prostate specific antigen, PSAD, PSA density.

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation (median, interquartile range).

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of predictors for Gleason upgrading in cohort 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>OR (CI:95%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>1.081(0.991-1.179)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA (ng/ml)</td>
<td>1.058(0.956-1.171)</td>
<td>0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSAD (ng/ml(^2))</td>
<td>0.384(0.031-4.734)</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of positive cores</td>
<td>1.090(0.098-12.171)</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-145-5p</td>
<td>0.990(0.985-0.996)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval, OR, odds ratio.

Figures

Figure 1

Relative expression of selected miRNAs between the Gleason Patterns 3, 4 and 5. Differential expression of selected miRNAs in tumor tissues of each GP (GP3: n=29, GP4: n=44, and GP5: n=16, respectively) compared to adjacent normal tissues (n=53) as measured by RT-PCR. GP: Gleason Pattern. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, **** P<0.0001
Figure 2

Relative expression of selected miRNAs in GP3 cancer tissues from pure GS 6 tumors and GS 7 tumors using cohort 1 Differential expression of selected miRNAs in GP3 cancer tissues from pure GS 6 tumors (n=9) and GS 7 tumors (either 4+3 or 3+4, n=20) using patient set 1. GS: Gleason score. * P<0.05

Figure 3

Validation of the expression of selected miRNAs in prostate cancer biopsy samples. Scatter diagrams representing the tissue expression level of three miRNAs in GP3 from 107 FFPE PCa biopsy samples. We detected significant decrease in the expression of miR-145-5p in GP3 areas from pure GS6 tumors (n=32) as compared to GP3 areas from GS7 (either 4+3 or 3+4, n=75). *** P<0.001

Figure 4

Association between the expression level of miR-145-5p and Gleason upgrading (GU) of biopsy samples scored GS6 (A) Differential expression of miR-145-5p in GS6 biopsy samples with GU in the following RP
relative to those with GNU. (B) Receiver operating characteristic curve for miR-145-5p. AUC=area under the curve. **** P<0.0001

**Figure 5**

Gene ontology (GO) annotation and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of predicted target genes of miR-145-5p. GO and KEGG analysis results. Terms with p < 0.01 were visualized as a bubble plot, as the more genes included, the bigger the size. Lighter colors indicate smaller P-values. (A) Enriched terms of GO biological process (BPs). (B) Enriched terms of GO molecular functions (MFs). (C) Enriched terms of GO cellular compounds (CCs). (D) Enriched terms of KEGG pathway, Canonical Pathways and Hallmark.
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