Nurse-performed ultrasonography in combat operations: a perspective for the future?
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Abstract

Background In current French military operations, it is not uncommon for the military nurses (MNs) to be required to support soldiers alone in isolated areas. At a time when advanced practice nurses in the civilian sector develop extended skills, we asked MNs about their willingness to be trained in point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS).

Methods We conducted a web-based survey from 1 November 2018 to 1 December 2018, including all MNs deployed in the Barkhane operation. The questionnaire, sent by e-mail, aimed to describe the willingness of MNs to be trained in POCUS. Their opinion on the usefulness of this training, the situations and ultrasound targets that seemed most profitable to them were also studied.

Results 30 questionnaires out of 34 were completed. On average, MNs had 7.4 years of practice and had already carried out three military operations. Three MNs reported having been trained in POCUS and six had already performed POCUS on the field. 96% of the untrained MNs wanted to be trained. Twenty-nine (96%) MNs felt that there was added value in knowing how to perform POCUS, especially in operations and in isolated posts without a military physician (MP). Focused assessment with sonography for trauma, pleural and urinary tract ultrasound were the targets considered most useful to them, in that order.

Conclusion MNs are interested in learning POCUS. A training course before their departure on mission for simple and quick acquisition targets would be easy to implement and probably beneficial for the patient, the MN and the MP.

Background

In military operations, the French defence health service is committed to provide emergency and routine health care as close to the operations as possible.

According to the anticipated combat operation duration, intensity and time-to-medical evacuation, medical support is normally provided by a military nurse–physician pair or by a military physician alone. In the Barkhane operation (Additional file 1), the fight against terrorist armed groups in the Sahelo-Saharan band consists mostly in deploying small fighting units in remote areas, often with combat medics and a military nurse (MN) as the only medical support.

In the absence of a military physician (MP), an advanced level three training course in tactical combat casualty care, common for the MN and MP, allows the nurse to stabilise a wounded soldier alone while waiting for the medical evacuation team. The course assures the acquisition of a high level of knowledge in traumatology and the practice of specialised medical procedures.

For MPs, additional in-depth training course in tactical ultrasound (US) are offered, given its utility in resource-scarce situations to help the diagnostic, treatment and evacuation decisions. Ultrasonography
has now become a mandatory component of every military medical residency training. The recent preliminary results of extended focused assessment with sonography for trauma (E-FAST) performed by medical trainees in combat-like exercise conditions shows its feasibility and its usefulness to guide therapeutic decisions and medical evacuation priorities (1).

Even though MNs and MPs follow the same tactical combat casualty care course, complementary training on tactical US is not accessible to MNs deployed in foreign operations.

At a time where ultrasonography becomes the extension of clinical examination and a validated aid to medical decision in the battlefield, we questioned our MNs on their willingness to be trained in clinical ultrasonography.

Materials And Methods

We conducted a descriptive multicentre study through a survey addressed to all French MNs deployed in the Barkhane operation (East and West Zones) from 1 November 2018 to 1 December 2018. The survey was first validated by the medical director of Barkhane operation located at the joint theatre command post in N’Djamena, Chad (Additional file 2).

It was then distributed electronically via the operational intranet. Each MP responsible for a forward medical post (Role 1), surgical antenna (Role 2), aeromedical evacuation team and vital surgery unit received the questionnaire and forwarded it to the MNs under his command.

Responses were collected either electronically by return e-mail or in paper form after printing the questionnaire. After 15 days, a reminder e-mail was sent to the medical facilities that had not responded.

After a short explanation of the study objective, the first part of the questionnaire collected demographic data aiming to describe the MN: nursing career, years of practice, number of missions and type of position held during the current mission.

The second part of the survey was designed to find out:

- Their previous clinical US training and their willingness to be trained.
- Their previous clinical US practice.
- Their opinion on the added value of a MN trained in US.
- The US targets they considered most useful to acquire.
- The presence of an US scanner in their nurse–physician pair.

The questionnaires were all anonymised by a third party who collected them. This observational study was waived from ethics board approval.

Results
Of 34 MNs surveyed, 30 completed the questionnaires. On average, they had 7.4 years of practice and had already carried out three overseas missions. Seventy-three per cent had a curriculum exclusively in military units, 10% only in military teaching hospitals and 17% in both (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Years of practice (average)</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum in military units only</td>
<td>25 (74.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum in military teaching hospitals only</td>
<td>4 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum in both (hospitals and military units)</td>
<td>5 (14.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of missions accomplished as a nurse (average)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of position held during the mandate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role 1</td>
<td>19 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role 2</td>
<td>5 (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM medevac</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casanurse</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special unit/Commando unit</td>
<td>4 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Three MNs reported having training in clinical ultrasonography and 96% of the untrained were willing to join a specific course.

Six reported having already performed US examination in real-life situations. Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST) was the most common examination performed, followed by biliary and lower extremity deep vein examination.

Twenty-nine (96%) of the MNs surveyed felt that there was an added value in knowing how to perform a clinical ultrasonography, especially in isolated posts without a physician (94%), in overseas missions (82%) rather than in military units in metropolitan France (17%) and in the physician–nurse pair (50%) (Fig. 1: Added value situations for MN-performed POCUS).

In order of importance, targets considered most useful to them were the FAST (100%), pleural (79%) and urinary tract examination (67%), followed by the venous access guidance (38%), resuscitation evaluation (20%) and lower extremity deep vein examination (20%) (Fig. 2: Useful US targets according to the MNs surveyed).
Ninety per cent of physician–nurse pairs were already equipped with US scanners in the Barkhane operation.

**Discussion**

The aim of the military health system on the battlefield is to preserve the fighting force in an austere, resource-scarce environment in order to accomplish the military objective. In those conditions, medical care of injured and ill soldiers is a challenging task. Moreover, their evacuation to higher level of care requires manpower, use of limited resource and risk of injury or death to those involved in transporting the patient. Thus, any information that can be gleaned as close to the initial point of injury is critical in accomplishing the mission, conserving resources and preserving the fighting force.

A portable US scanner that fits in a backpack is a precious imaging modality which provides critical information, guiding diagnostic and therapeutic decisions as well as medical evacuation priorities.

The majority of MNs surveyed recognise the usefulness of US in isolated posts and would like to be trained to improve the management of their patients in deteriorated situations. Twenty per cent have already performed US examinations after learning it from the MPs they work with in metropolitan France and in foreign operations. They do not wish to become sonographers, but rather carry out certain targeted examinations after dedicated training and act within the framework of protocols. Given the accessibility of US scanners in foreign operations, training our MNs is a serious path we need to explore.

The FAST examination is recognised as the most useful target the nurses surveyed wanted to acquire. The basic examination includes the upper right and left abdomen, cardiac and pelvic views. This first line imaging assesses for intra-thoracic and intra-abdominal traumatic injury, providing information for guiding triage, treatment and evacuation priorities.

In a civilian setting, Bowra *et al.* (2) assessed the accuracy of nurse-performed FAST examination for the detection of free fluid in the peritoneal cavity and pericardial space in patients brought to the emergency department following trauma after a 1-day training course and a minimum of 25 supervised validated scans. The results are encouraging, with an overall accuracy of 95%, similar to physician performance. In a military setting, Monti *et al.* (3) showed that a 4-hour introductory e-FAST training intervention among ultrasound-naïve U.S. military medics allows them to perform as well as previously trained emergency medicine physicians.

The pleural US examination considered as secondary target by the MNs presents a real added value in the noisy environment of a battlefield where physical examination is limited and X-ray often unavailable, in order to provide an early diagnosis of a tension pneumothorax and/or hemothorax. In various civilian and military studies, the ability of non-physicians to perform and interpret pleural US examination shows high level of sensitivity and specificity (4–6).
Renal US examination, venous access guidance, resuscitation evaluation and lower extremity deep vein examination are of interest in the diagnosis of pathologies caused by the operational constraints (dehydration and kidney stones linked to the hot desert climate, hypovolemia and intravascular volume evaluation to guide fluid resuscitation in trauma related or medical pathologies, prolonged sitting in the convoys and thrombosis). As for the FAST and pleural examination, evidence from the available studies point out the ability and accuracy of non-physicians to perform specific US examinations (7–10).

Morgan et al. (11) showed that US examinations can be implemented in a deployment environment. Twenty-nine special forces medical sergeants performed 109 US examinations in a 1-year deployment period after an average of 16.7 hours didactic training and 8 to 52 hours of practical training over 2 years. That encouraging experience led the U.S. military physicians to develop a 24 hours curriculum of didactic and hands-on US training for special operations medics: The Special Operator Level Clinical Ultrasound (SOLCUS).

Similarly, we can expect our MNs to perform reliable US examinations after a brief training course. Several curricula should be assessed to introduce this skill.

Brief US theoretical training and practical examinations under the supervision of experienced physicians could be included in military nursing studies. Cazes et al. (12) assessed the number of US examinations required to perform reliable diagnosis on 10 novice military generalist practitioners without previous experience during their residency. After 2 hours of theoretical training, a minimum of 30 FAST and 20 pleural examinations were sufficient to assure optimal performance.

We also need to consider adapting and opening the complementary course on tactical US for MNs during their level three tactical combat casualty care training to ensure acquisition of quick and practical US targets. It could be a step towards the training of an upskilled workforce qualified in advanced practice, even though this new concept requires further work to define their operational role within military prehospital care (13).

**Conclusion**

Ultrasonography is a real asset for the medical team in foreign operations. Considering the increasing situations where the MN is isolated and represents the only medical support to the fighting units, the defence health service has to provide them with all the necessary tools for providing optimal medical care in degraded conditions. The vast majority of MNs are interested in learning clinical US. Scientific data available tends to prove their ability to perform reliable targeted US examinations on the field after a brief training course.
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**Figures**
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**Figure 1**

Added value situations for MN-performed POCUS.
Figure 2

Useful US targets according to the MNs surveyed.
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