

# Relativistic Compton Wave

Espen Haug (✉ [espenhaug@mac.com](mailto:espenhaug@mac.com))

Norwegian University of Life Sciences

---

## Research

**Keywords:** Compton wavelength, Compton scattering, moving electron

**Posted Date:** July 16th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-41028/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Relativistic Compton Wave

Espen Gaarder Haug  
Norwegian University of Life Sciences  
Chr. Magnus Falsens vei 18, 1430 AAs  
e-mail [espenhaug@mac.com](mailto:espenhaug@mac.com) or [espen.haug@nmbu.no](mailto:espen.haug@nmbu.no)

July 9, 2020

## Abstract

In 1923, Arthur Holly Compton introduced what today is known as the Compton wave. Even if the Compton scattering derivation by Compton is relativistic in the sense that it takes into account the momentum of photons traveling at the speed of light, the original Compton derivation indirectly assumes that the electron is stationary at the moment it is scattered by electrons, but not after it has been hit by photons. Here, we extend this to derive Compton scattering for the case when the electron is initially moving at a velocity  $v$ .

**Keywords:** Compton wavelength; Compton scattering; moving electron

## 1 Introduction

In 1923, Arthur Holly Compton introduced Compton scattering [1] and, indirectly in his formulation, the Compton wavelength of the electron. The Compton length of the electron plays a central part in several areas of physics. It can be used to find the rest mass of an electron as described by Prasannakumar et al. [2]. It can indirectly be found in the relativistic wave equation of Klein and Gordon and in the Dirac equation [3] as well as in the non-relativistic Schrödinger equation [4]. Haug has recently suggested that the Compton wave is the true matter wave, and that the de Broglie [5, 6] wave is merely a mathematical derivative of the Compton wave. We will not discuss or make any conclusion about that suggestion here, but it is worth noticing that the de Broglie wave is always identical to the Compton wave multiplied by  $\frac{c}{v}$ , and naturally the Compton wave is then always equal to the de Broglie wave times  $\frac{v}{c}$ .

Here we will shortly repeat how to find the Compton wave from a rest-mass electron as Compton did in 1923, and next extend our derivation to also take into account Compton scattering done with an initially moving electron.

## 2 Compton scattering and the Compton wave

We have the following two equations:

$$p_1c + mc^2 = p_2c + mc^2\gamma_a \quad (1)$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the photon momentum in the incoming and outgoing wave, respectively,  $m$  is the electron rest mass, and  $v_a$  is the velocity of the electron after hit by the photon. Further, we have

$$(mc^2\gamma_a)^2 = p_1^2c^2 + p_2^2c^2 - 2p_1p_2c\cos\theta \quad (2)$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between the incoming and outgoing photon, and  $\gamma_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v_a^2}{c^2}}}$ , where  $v_a$  is the velocity of the electron after the impact of the photon. Be aware that here we, like Compton, assume the electron is at rest immediately before impact. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1c + mc^2 &= p_2c + mc^2\gamma_a \\
(p_1 - p_2 + mc)^2 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1p_2 \cos \theta \\
p_1^2 - 2p_1p_2 + p_2^2 + 2mcp_1 - 2mcp_2 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1p_2 \cos \theta \\
mcp_2 - mcp_1 &= p_1p_2(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} &= \frac{h}{mc}(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\frac{h}{p_1} - \frac{h}{p_2} &= \frac{h}{mc}(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 &= \frac{h}{mc}(1 - \cos \theta). \tag{3}
\end{aligned}$$

This is the well-known Compton scattering formula, where  $\frac{h}{mc}$  is what today is known as the Compton wavelength. This means the rest wavelength of the Compton wavelength can be found directly from the incoming and outgoing wavelength of the photon plus a measurement given the angle  $\theta$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 &= \lambda(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\lambda &= \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{1 - \cos \theta} \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Compton wavelength of the particle at rest (the electron). The Compton wavelength is therefore indirectly measured by watching the change in wavelength in the photon used to scatter the electrons. However, Compton's formula, even if relativistic, assumes the electron that is scattered by the photon is itself at rest before the scattering.

Compton scattering, if the electron is also moving initially, at velocity  $v$  relative to the laboratory frame (and  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}$ ), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1c + mc^2\gamma &= p_2c + mc^2\gamma_a \\
(p_1 - p_2 + mc\gamma)^2 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1p_2 \cos \theta \\
p_1^2 - 2p_1p_2 + p_2^2 + 2mc\gamma p_1 - 2mc\gamma p_2 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 - 2p_1p_2 \cos \theta \\
mc\gamma p_2 - mc\gamma p_1 &= p_1p_2(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} &= \frac{h}{mc\gamma}(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\frac{h}{p_1} - \frac{h}{p_2} &= \frac{h}{mc\gamma}(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 &= \frac{h}{mc\gamma}(1 - \cos \theta) \\
\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 &= \lambda\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}(1 - \cos \theta). \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

The relativistic Compton wavelength is therefore given by

$$\lambda\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{(1 - \cos \theta)}. \tag{6}$$

In other words, we only need to measure the photon wavelength before or after the scattering and the angle  $\theta$  to know the relativistic Compton wavelength. This means the relativistic Compton wavelength can also be written as

$$\lambda_r = \frac{h}{mc\gamma} = \lambda\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}. \tag{7}$$

Since the relativistic de Broglie wave is given by  $\lambda_b = \frac{h}{mv\gamma}$ , this means the de Broglie wave always is equal to the Compton wave multiplied by  $\frac{c}{v}$ , as also shown by Haisch, Rueda, and Dobyns [8], and discussed in more detail by Haug [7]. Interestingly, the de Broglie wave is not defined or is infinite for a rest-mass particle since this gives  $\lambda_b = \frac{h}{m \times 0}$ , and is mathematically undefined. Or we could claim it is infinite, as several other researchers have done since we can make  $v$  as close to 0 as we want, and we then see the de Broglie wave converge to infinite, see [9, 10]. It seems almost absurd to assume the matter wave of a particle at rest is infinite or not defined. The Compton wave, on the other hand, is always well defined. One can question why there should be two matter waves, and not only one. We [7] have recently suggested it is the Compton wave that is the true matter wave

and that the de Broglie wave is a mathematical derivative of this wave, as the relation shown here also indicates. However, this discussion is not the main focus here, but worth thinking about. The main purpose here was to show that we can derive a fully relativistic Compton wave based on the assumption that also the electron is initially moving also before it is hit by photons.

### 3 Conclusion

We have shown how Compton scattering for the case where an electron is moving initially can be derived. This gives us the relativistic Compton wave rather than the Compton wave for an electron at rest, as was achieved by Compton himself. The relativistic Compton wave, for example, plays a central role in the recent quantum gravity theory presented by Haug [7]. Moreover, when we also have a relativistic Compton wave formula, then we see that the de Broglie wave is always the Compton wave multiplied by  $\frac{c}{v}$ . While the de Broglie wave is infinite (or undefined, but it is anyway convergent towards infinity, as there are no limitations in standard physics of how close  $v$  can be to zero.) for a rest-mass particle, the Compton wave is more well-defined and has been measured for rest-mass particles. Even if the derivation in this paper is trivial, we think it could be important for the physics community to be aware of the difference between the Compton wave and the relativistic Compton wave.

### References

- [1] A. H. Compton. A quantum theory of the scattering of X-rays by light elements. *Physical Review*. 21 (5):, 21(5), 1923.
- [2] S. Prasannakumar, S. Krishnaveni, and T. K. Umesh. Determination of rest mass energy of the electron by a compton scattering experiment. *European Journal of Physics*, 33(1), 2012.
- [3] P. Dirac. The quantum theory of the electron. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London*, (117), 1928.
- [4] E. Schrödinger. Experimental test of Heisenberg's measurement uncertainty relation based on statistical distances. *Physical Review*, 28(6):104–1070, 1928.
- [5] de. L. Broglie. Waves and quanta. *Nature*, 112(540), 1923.
- [6] de. L. Broglie. Recherches sur la thorie des quanta. *PhD Thesis (Paris)*, 1924.
- [7] E. G. Haug. Collision space-time: Unified quantum gravity. *Physics Essays*, 33(1), 2020.
- [8] B. Haisch, A. Rueda, and Y. Dobyns. Inertial mass and the quantum vacuum fields. *Annalen der Physik*, 10.
- [9] H. Chauhan, S. Rawal, and R. K. Sinha. Wave-particle duality revitalized: Consequences, applications and relativistic quantum mechanics. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1110.4263.pdf>, 2011.
- [10] A. I. Lvovsky. *Quantum Physics: An Introduction Based on Photons*. Springer, 2018.

### Competing interest

- Availability of data and material: No data has been used for this study.
- Competing interests: no competing interest and no conflict of interest.
- Funding: no funding has been received for this study.
- Authors' contributions: the research and paper has been done by Espen Gaarder Haug.
- We would like to thank Victoria Terces for helping us editing the English in this manuscript.