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Abstract

Indium arsenide (InAs) based one dimensional photonic crystal waveguide is cautiously considered in three optical communication windows. Here, the emerging transmitted signal from one dimensional photonic structure is determined with the consideration of five types of losses (diffraction loss, reflection loss, absorption loss, propagation loss, polarisation loss). Further, the transmitted signal is obtained with respect to pressure, which ranges from 0 GPa to 5 GPa for different lattice constant of photonic structure (100 nm, 120 nm, 140 nm). Simulation upshots revealed that indium arsenide semiconductor based photonic waveguide shows an excellent outcome for pressure sensor in the three optical windows as well as different waveguide lengths.
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1. Introduction

In recent times, group of III-IV compound semiconductors have exhibited an outstanding performance in the design of optoelectronic devices for their unique optical characteristics with respect to low noise, high stability, and high breakdown voltage [1]. Moreover, Indium Arsenide (InAs) is an excellent material, which shows little unusual direct band gap properties that become widely applied in the construction of different efficient photonic devices. For example, photovoltaic infrared detector, photochemical sensors, fast transistors, and solar cells so on [2]. Moreover, an optical characteristics of such photonic material is useful for band gap investigation [3]. As far as a literature review of the photonic structure is concerned, a good number of research papers are previously published on semiconductor waveguides [4]. Aside this, a few number of articles are found for sensing applications by photonic waveguide structure [5-8]. For example; reference[5] investigates the sucrose concentration in metamaterial by deploying plane wave expansion technique, while in the reference [6], authors describe the investigation of polymer based optical waveguide
pertaining to the temperature. Again in the reference [7-8], authors point out various losses in photonic waveguide with respect to the variety of sensing parameters such as porosity, temperature, concentration etc for realising competent photonic integrated circuit. Experimental demonstration of one dimensional strain sensor and its application have been discussed [9]. Polymer based 1 D photonic crystal have been investigated in the reference [10]. Even though reference [6-10] provides the notion on different sensor, reference [11-18] explains the different pressure sensors and their outcomes, which is indicated in the tabular form (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Outcomes of the work</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>GaN semiconductor waveguide is discussed for effect of pressure and temperature at 700 nm</td>
<td>Here the absorption and reflections losses are considered only. No consideration of polarization, diffraction and propagation during computation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>The mechanical tunable filter and reflector is realized through one dimensional photonic crystal via elasto-optical theory, plane wave expansion and perturbation methods. The operational signal varies from 2μm to 20 μm.</td>
<td>Neither consideration of different losses nor the inclusion of three communication windows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Authors focus on the relation between pressure and stop wavelength using bandgap analysis.</td>
<td>Neither consideration of different losses nor the inclusion of three communication windows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>In this research, authors report on the analysis of optical properties through shifting vapour pressure.</td>
<td>Here the reflections losses considered only. No consideration of absorption, polarization,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>In this research authors design a micro sensor using defect photonic crystal waveguide at the signal of 1550 nm.</td>
<td>Here authors use 1550 nm signal only. No consideration of first and second optical communication windows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>In this work, authors design a defect based one dimensional photonic crystal structure to realize hydrostatic pressure and temperature using the signal of 1300 nm.</td>
<td>Only transmission and sensibility of structure is discussed. No observation of first and third optical communication windows.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>In this work, defect based one dimensional superconductor photonic structure is considered to envisage temperature and hydrostatic pressure sensor using transfer matrix method.</td>
<td>No indication of optical communication windows. Only reflection loss is focused.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>Here authors use one dimensional semiconductor-superconductor photonic crystal structure to investigate the temperature and pressure sensor at the signal of 17 THz only.</td>
<td>No indication of any optical communication windows. Exclusion of diffraction of polarization and propagation loss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present work</td>
<td>This paper focuses on several losses(diffraction, reflection, absorption, propagation, polarization) at 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Even though several type works relating to pressure sensor have been done, the present paper has merits in terms of different type of losses at three different communication windows. For example; the present investigation focuses on the several types of losses such as absorption, reflection, scattering, diffraction, polarization, which are occurred in the photonic structures. Aside this, such investigation is made at three optical communication windows to fetch the pressure on the photonic structures. The applied pressure plays a vital role in the photonic crystal waveguide for managing the various optical properties of compound semiconductor material. To compute the transmitted intensity of indium arsenide based waveguide structure, the different type of losses play a crucial role corresponding to different pressure and lattice spacing in the three optical communication windows, which can be used a pressure sensor. Here, three optical communication windows have been considered or each pressure. Focusing on the optical windows, we know that window considers from 800-900 nm with signal loss of 4dB/km. The second optical window is occupied at 1310 nm also known as O-band, which signal loss occurs at 0.5dB/km. The third optical window is considered at 1550 nm also known as C-band, which provides the loss of 0.2dB/km and the 1550 nm wavelength is chosen for long distance applications due to the lowest loss accessible at this wavelength than other.

2. Structure Analysis

We have proposed a 1-D semiconductor (InAs) based waveguide photonic structure to realise the pressure (from 0 GPa to 5 GPa) sensor with respect to the output transmitted intensity, which is indicated in figure 1(a).
The aforesaid photonic waveguides consist of three layers, having a width of each 50 nm, and the second layer is enclosed air. Here substrate material is taken as InAs and a definite thickness of InAs have been removed. The etched portion fills with the air. The key reason for choosing the above width is to obtain different losses (diffraction loss, reflection loss, absorption loss, propagation loss, polarisation loss) which play a major role for the realization of optical sensing in the photonic waveguide structure. Refractive index deviation is found under different pressure from reference [19], which is reported in table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure(GPa)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>3.5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>4.5</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ri</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we compared different waveguide lengths for 3 optical communication windows such as 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm. For example, there are 3 different types waveguide has been considered, which are represented in figure 1(b). It is observed that the waveguide length of InAs 150 nm, 180 nm and 210 nm for type-I, type-II and type-III respectively. The width of each layer is chosen as 50 nm, 60 nm and 70 nm for type-I, type-II and type-III respectively. Further, we observed (from figure-1) that the signal of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm impinges the aforementioned photonic structure separately, subsequently incident signal attenuates because of suffering from different losses such as diffraction loss, reflection loss, absorption loss, propagation loss, polarisation loss etc. After suffering from these losses, the signals collected at the output end, where transmitted intensity is computed with the term of photo detector.

As far as practical feasibility of proposed photonic crystal is concerned, reference [20-25] states the different research with respect to the experimental work. For example; reference [20] provides an idea...
of fabrication of titanium metal oxide based one dimensional photonic structure for calorimetric sensor which measures the volatile organic compound and relative humidity. Similarly in the reference [21], authors fabricate one dimensional periodic nanostructure to investigate the various chemical components. Further fabrication of erbium chloride silicate nanowire based one dimensional photonic crystal is discussed in the reference [22]. In the reference [23], authors characterize one dimensional multilayer structure through atomic force microscopy, ellipsometry and visible–near IR spectroscopy. Aside these, reference [24] shows a method to fabricate porous silicon (pSi) based one-dimensional photonic crystal by photoacoustics for radiometry application. Again in the reference [25], authors use physical vapor oblique angle deposition technique to design TiO2-SiO2 based one dimensional photonic crystal structure. Since the present structure is similar to the reference [20-25], the InAs based one dimensional could be fabricated for computing the amount of the pressure.

3. Mathematical equations

The current research paper treats with different type losses to compute the amount of pressure impacted on the photonic structure. These losses are diffraction loss, reflection loss, absorption loss, propagation loss and polarisation loss, which is discussed here.

The equation for absorption loss can be represented in terms of absorption coefficient as

\[ A = e^{-2(\beta_1 t_1 + \beta_2 t_2)} \]  

where, \( \beta_1, \beta_2, t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) denote the absorption coefficient of odd, even layer, the thickness of odd and even layer InAs photonic structure respectively.

In the same way, the reflectance is calculated with the help of Helmholtz equation, which is represented by

\[ \frac{d^2 E_z}{dx^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} E_z = 0 \]  

The result of the equation is

\[ E_z(x, t) = E_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)} \]  

Moreover, the electric field intensity is calculated through proper boundary condition. Ultimately the reflectance would be

\[ R = 1 - |E_z|^2 \]  

the diffraction loss is articulated as

\[ L_{\text{dif}} = \sin^2 \left( \frac{nd}{\lambda} \right) \]
Where $n$, $d$ and $\lambda$ denotes the refractive index of material, which varies with pressure, the total thickness of the proposed one dimensional structure, and incident wavelength respectively.

Again the polarisation loss is written as

$$L_{\text{pol}} = \frac{N_1}{N_1 + \frac{2n}{n^2 - 1}}$$

(6)

$N_1$ be the total number of layers in the structures.

Apart from these losses, the equation (6) represents the propagation loss as

$$L_{\text{pro}} = e^{\frac{-4\pi na}{\lambda}}$$

(7)

Where $\lambda$, $n$ and $a$ are the input wavelength, refractive index, and the lattice constant respectively.

At last, the transmitted intensity can be determined relating to the different losses as

Transmitted intensity ($T_r$) = Transmitted efficiency ($T_{\text{eff}}$) $\times$ Input signal ($I_i$)  

(8)

Where transmitted efficiency ($T_{\text{eff}}$) = ($1-A$) $\times$ ($1-R$) $\times$ ($1-L_{\text{dif}}$) $\times$ ($1-L_{\text{pro}}$) $\times$ ($1-L_{\text{pol}}$)  

(9)

Where $A$, $R$, $L_{\text{dif}}$, $L_{\text{pro}}$, $L_{\text{pol}}$ are absorption, reflection, diffraction, propagation, and polarisation losses respectively.

4. Results Discussions

From equation (8) and (9), it is found that transmitted intensity depends on the various losses with respect to three optical windows.

4.1 Absorption loss

The absorbance relies on both absorption coefficient and thickness of even and odd layer of 1D InAs based photonic structure. The absorption coefficient of indium arsenide material is found from the literature pertaining to all three communication windows. Putting the values in equation (4), it is found the zero loss with the different waveguide lengths as well as 3 optical windows.

4.2 Reflection loss

The reflectance loss of indium arsenide based one dimensional photonic structure with respect to pressure (0 GPa-5 GPa) is computed with help of PWE for different waveguide lengths, input wavelength for the 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm. Simulation is executed to get reflectance for each type of waveguides and different wavelengths. Although simulations of different waveguide lengths and all input signals are performed for pressure ranges from 0 GPa to 5 GPa, the reflectance curve for length of the 150 nm (a lattice spacing 100 nm) of 0 GPa appears in figure 2. In the figure 2, reflectance (Arbi Unit) and wavelength (nm) is taken along vertical and horizontal axis respectively.
Here, the reflectance of indium arsenide photonic waveguide is 0.9494 for 0 GPa at the signal of 850 nm, which is shown in figure 2.

![Figure 2: reflectance curve InAs of waveguide length 150 nm at input signal 850 nm](image)

Similarly, we have computed the reflectance values for different lengths of the photonic structure (t= 50 nm, 60 nm and 70 nm) corresponding to the three optical communication windows. The pictorial illustration of reflectance losses for all waveguide lengths, all pressure range and different wavelengths are demonstrated in figure 3.

![Figure 3: Reflectance graph of InAs waveguide length 150 nm at input signal 850 nm](image)

The pressure is selected in x axis and reflectance is taken along y axis in figure 3. From figure 3 it is represented that reflectance increases linearly at both signal 1310 and 1550 nm, but at the signal of 850 nm, reflectance decreases linearly with the increase of pressure for all waveguide lengths (150 nm, 180 nm, 210 nm). For example; reflectance of waveguide length of 150 nm increases from
0.08972 to 0.2801 and from 0.3094 to 0.517 for wavelength 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. But the reflectance of waveguide length 150 nm decreases from 0.9494 to 0.7797 for the signal of 850 nm. Similarly, the reflectance for the waveguide length 180 nm decreases from 0.9647 to 0.9156 and from 0.7782 to 0.02789 for wavelength 850 nm and 1310 nm respectively. But the reflectance corresponding to the waveguide length 180 nm increases from 0.1507 to 0.2498 for the signal of 1550 nm. Further, the reflectance of waveguide length 210 nm decreases from 0.9501 to 0.9425, from 0.9214 to 0.5684 and from 0.7503 to 0.008672 for wavelength 850 nm, 1310 and 1550 nm respectively.

4.3 Polarisation and diffraction

Polarisation and diffraction is calculated for each waveguide length of 150 nm, 180 nm, 210 nm and at all the wavelengths (850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm) of indium arsenide waveguide photonic structure with the help of equations (5) and (6) respectively, the outcomes is graphically represented in figure 4.

![Figure 4: polarisation and diffraction vs pressure 1-D InAs photonic waveguide](image)

Polarisation and diffraction are chosen secondary and primary y axes, where pressure is selected x-axes. From this figure, it is observed that polarisation efficiency increases linearly from 0.5767 to 0.632 with respect to the increment of pressure (0 GPa -5GPa), which is clearly depicted in figure 4. Moreover, the diffraction efficiency of signal 850 nm increases from 0.9134 to 0.9998 and 0.6716 to 0.9255 for waveguide length 180 nm and 210 nm respectively. However all other cases (including waveguide length and wavelengths) it decreases. For example; it decreases linearly from 0.5687 to 0.4383, from 0.7179 to 0.5705, from 0.9998 to 0.9382, from 0.7307 to 0.5825, from 0.8773 to 0.7326, from 0.866 to 07198 and from 0.976 to 0.8678 for waveguide length 150 nm, 180 nm, and 210 nm respectively.

4.4 Propagation loss

The propagation loss efficiency is calculated using the equation (7), which depends on different parameters such as lattice spacing, input signal and the applied pressure. Using the values of the
aforementioned parameters in the equation (7), the deviation of propagation loss efficiency with respect to the pressure is computed for all lengths as well as the input signal. The results are represented in figure 5.

![Figure 5: pressure vs propagation of InAs photonic waveguide](image)

In this figure, propagation loss efficiency is taken along the y axis and pressure is selected in x axis. From this figure, it is found that it increases linearly corresponding to all wavelengths and waveguide lengths. For example; the propagation loss efficiency of waveguide length 150 nm increases from 0.001084 to 0.003864, 0.01192 to 0.027187 and 0.023667 to 0.04751 for input signals of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. Further, the propagation loss efficiency of waveguide length 180 nm increases from 0.000277 to 0.001272, 0.004915 to 0.01322 and 0.011193 to 0.025831 for input signals of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. The propagation efficiency of waveguide length 210 nm increases from 0.0000706748 to 0.000418709, 0.002026632 to 0.006428522 and 0.005294078 to 0.014044308 for input signals of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. It shows that propagation loss is very less compared to other loss.

5. **Calculation of transmitted intensity**

Ultimately, the transmitted intensity for all input signals would be computed with the help of equation (8-9). The output upshots relating to all selected wavelengths are represented in figure 6. The pressure is taken x axes and transmitted intensity is taken y axes, which is shown in figure 6.
Figure 6: Pressure vs transmitted intensity of InAs photonic waveguide

In the figure 6; transmitted intensity in mw/m$^2$ is taken along vertical axis, where pressure in GPa is taken along the horizontal axis. In the figure 6; transmitted intensity varies randomly with respect to the pressure, which ranges from 0 to 5 GPa. For example; transmitted intensity for waveguide lengths 150 nm varies from 13.47558 mW/m$^2$ to 66.24082 mW/m$^2$, 101.7669 mW/m$^2$ to 104.8819 mW/m$^2$ and 0.045712 mW/m$^2$ to 8.378594 mW/m$^2$ for input signal of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. Similarly, transmitted intensities for the waveguide lengths 180 nm are 5.874614 mW/m$^2$ to 18.91186 mW/m$^2$, 10.86182 mW/m$^2$ to 89.44023 mW/m$^2$ and 24.65279 mW/m$^2$ to 0.043074 mW/m$^2$ for input signal of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. Moreover transmitted intensity of waveguide lengths 210 nm are 4.132978 mW/m$^2$ to 8.654502 mW/m$^2$, 0.755073 mW/m$^2$ to 19.76731 mW/m$^2$ and 27.6498 mW/m$^2$ to 21.45884 mW/m$^2$ for input signal of 850 nm, 1310 nm and 1550 nm respectively. From above analysis, it is observed that the amount of pressure on one dimensional indium arsenide photonic structure can be computed by knowing of output intensity.

6. Conclusions

In this research, the amount of pressure in the indium arsenide photonic materials is computed using one dimensional photonic structure. Here, different type of losses (diffraction loss, reflection loss, absorption loss, propagation loss, polarisation loss) are thoroughly analysed during the computation of transmitted intensities. It is realised that amount of pressure in indium arsenide is determined by knowing the amount of transmitted intensities at the output end, which shows that InAs material is a good candidate for realisation of pressure sensor.
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