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Abstract
This study describes the removal of Cu (II) and Co (II) ions from mining processes wastewater using synthesis of Gelatin-cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) hydrogel membrane (GCHM). In a batch experiment, the influence of different parameters such as pH, contact time, temperature, and ratio of gelatin and CNCs was evaluated. Higher removal efficiency was obtained at ratio 3÷1 and at pH 5 and 7 for Cu (II) and Co (II), respectively, and a contact time of 120 mins and a temperature of 30°C. The experimental data fitted satisfactory to Freundlich isotherm model. The adsorption of metal ions has been fit by the particle diffusion model. The results revealed that gelatin and CNCs were identified as the low-cost and promising adsorption material for the removal of heavy metals from wastewater.
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Introduction
Heavy metals such as copper, cobalt, zinc, nickel, cadmium, and lead in wastewater from mining process are toxic, not biodegradable and pose several health problems even at low concentrations (Abdulrahman Oyekamni et al., 2019). Therefore, the removal of these heavy metals from wastewater is mandatory prior to its release into the environment. Conventional removal methods such as coagulation, flotation, solvent extraction, ion-exchange, reverse osmosis, bioremediation, electrodialysis and adsorption have been developed to remove copper and cobalt ions from wastewater (Abdulkareem et al., 2013; Garcia-Diaz et al., 2018; Kabuba, 2019; Kabuba et al., 2019). Adsorption is the most frequently used removal methods due to its low operating cost and possible regeneration of adsorbents (Garcia-Diaz et al., 2018). Different
types of adsorption materials have been developed. Cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) and gelatin were found to be the most materials used in adsorption methods (Akpomie et al., 2015; Silva Filho et al., 2013). Gelatin is low-cost protein, commercially available, biodegradable, film-forming properties, transparency and presenting good processibility obtained by the partial hydrolysis of collagen at controlled pH and temperature conditions (Joshi, Rawat & Bohidar, 2018). CNCs are one of the most studied polysaccharide-based nanomaterials in polymer nanocomposites, biodegradable, and non-toxic characteristics (Noorbakhsh-Soltani, Zerafat & Sabbaghi, 2018; Moon et al., 2011). CNCs were synthesized and incorporated in gelatin to increase the surface area which is required to improve the efficiency in the interaction with heavy metals ions (Oyewo et al., 2019; Leite et al., 2020). Hydrogel containing CNCs have good biocompatibility and biodegradability, can be used in water treatment as filtration membrane where the solution goes through the hydrogel membrane film as described for gel membrane permeation (Ahmed, 2015; Fujiyabu et al., 2017; Getachew et al., 2017; Marks et al., 2019; Tran et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2019). Due to the abundance of ion-coordinating sites and their aptitude to adsorb a large amount of water, hydrogel films have recently found another application in water treatment to remove heavy metal ions using adsorption method (Alizadehgiashi et al., 2018; El-Halah and Lopez-Carrasquero, 2018; Perumal et al., 2019; Qi et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Literature review revealed that no work, in which efficiency of Gelatin-cellulose nanocrystals hydrogel membrane (GCHM) for the heavy metal ions removal from aqueous solution has been investigated. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of various experimental variables for the removal of Cu (II) and Cu (II) onto GCHM.

Materials and methods

Adsorbate, adsorbent preparation and sampling procedure

Wastewater samples were collected from mining plant effluent in Rustenburg, South Africa. The samples were placed in 1000 mL plastic bottles, hold in cooler boxes and stored overnight in the fridge prior to the experiments. The characteristics of the wastewater used in the experiments is presented in Table 1.

All chemicals and reagents used in the work were analytical reagent grade purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, South Africa. Adequate quantities of CNCs and gelatin (purity ≥ 98%) were supplied by CSIR and dispersed in 50 mL of water. The CNCs suspension was then homogenized using a homogenizer to ensure the CNCs suspended uniformly. Certain amount of gelatin was then added into CNCs suspension. The mixture was then stirred at 55°C until a
homogeneous viscous mixture was obtained. Table 2 presented the ratios composition of GCHM hydrogel.

The cross-linking agent (EDTA 1%) was then added drop wise. After 4 hours, the mixture was poured into a petri dish and placed in oven at 45°C until the mixture was dried. Hydrogels as films were removed from the petri dish and washed with distilled water to remove unreacted chemicals. The unreacted chemicals have been removed from hydrogel using acetone (Yao, 2019; Yin and Amin, 2014).

**Batch adsorption experiment**

The adsorption of Cu (II) and Co (II) ions by GCHM was tested in batch experiment. A shaker was used for mixing the solution. Several operational parameters were optimized, and this includes effects of pH, gelatin-CNCs ratios, contact time and temperature. To ensure validity of the results and reputability, all experiments were performed in triplicate and the data were reported as an average value at 95% confidence level (p > 0.05). Heavy metal ions uptake capacity and the removal efficiency were calculated using Equations 1 and 2, respectively.

\[ q_e = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{m} \times V \]  
\[ \% \text{ removal} = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100 \]

where \( q_e \) (mg/g): amount of metal ions adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent, \( C_0 \) (mg/L): initial concentration of metal ions, \( C_e \) (mg/L): amount of metal ions at equilibrium, \( V \) (L): volume of solution used and \( m \) (g): mass of the adsorbent.

The experiments were carried out in 250 mL of plastic container, at a constant agitation speed (250 rpm) with 100 mL solution. Amount of 0.25 g of GCHM was added into 100 mL of binary metal ions solution and the mixtures were placed in a rotary shaker between 15 and 120 min. The effect of temperatures ranging between 30°C and 75°C was investigated using thermoshaker. The solution of binary metal ions was adjusted in pH solutions between 3 and 7 using 1M of HCl and NaOH solutions.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of Hydrogel**

The samples of cellulose, gelatin and their mixtures have been prepared on the disc of CaF\(_2\) and analysed to Midac FTIR 5000 Spectrophotometer. The absorption FTIR spectra obtained are shown in Figures 1-4. The CNCs has shown the characteristic bands around 3000 and 3650 cm\(^{-1}\) that represents the strong elongation vibration band of the OH function. At 2950 cm\(^{-1}\), it is observed an elongation...
vibration band for bound C-H that is confirmed by the deformation band around 1500 cm\(^{-1}\).

The band around 1450 cm\(^{-1}\) is the vibration shear of CH\(_2\) (Alemdar and Sain, 2008; Sain and Panthapulakkal, 2006). The peak at 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) is characteristic of the elongation vibration band of the carboxyl (C=O) confirmed by the characteristic band around 890 cm\(^{-1}\) that corresponds to the C-H of aldehyde group (Münster et al., 2017). The peak around 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to OH function (Tran et al., 2018). The FTIR spectra of gelatin have present the characteristic peaks around of 2955 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide A, of 2700 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide B, of 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide (I), of 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide (I), of 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide (II) and of 1200 cm\(^{-1}\) for the vibration band of the amide (III). The amide (III) is represented by the combination of the elongation vibration peaks of C-N and the deformation vibration peaks of N-H. Moreover, the amide (I) is characterized by the elongation vibration of C=O to which is added a contribute band of elongation vibration of C-N, of deformation out-of-plan of C-C-N.

Finally, the amide (II) is characterized by the elongation vibration band of C-N and that of out-of-plane deformation of N-H out-of-plan (Merina et al., 2017; Fernandes de Almeida et al., 2012; Silvestein et al., 2007). The peaks below 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) are characteristic of low molecular weight amides (Silvestein et al., 2007).

After analyzing the FTIR spectra of gelatin and CNCs, the spectra of the mixtures of gelatin and CNC in proportions A, B, and C were analyzed (Fig. 3).

A comparison of the values of different bands shows a bathochromic effect coupled with a decrease in the intensity of certain bands (Feinsten, 1995). The bands are appearing between 2400 and 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) (N-H and O-H) for mix A which moves to 3000 and 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) for mix B up to 3240-3634 cm\(^{-1}\) for the mix C. The same phenomenon is observed with the C=N band which moves from 1490 cm\(^{-1}\), at 1550 cm\(^{-1}\) to 1650 cm\(^{-1}\) when moving from ratio A, B, and C, respectively for the gelatin-cellulose mixture. In addition, they were observed a slight constancy of the carboxyl band at 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) except for the intensity of the band which increased slightly when going from ratio A to B.

The bathochromic effect observed with increasing gelatin content is evidence that new bonds are created and therefore new functions are created. Therefore, it observes the disappearance of certain bands and the decrease in the intensity of others. The optimum ratio appears to be that of the gelatin-cellulose of 75±25. Indeed, increasing the gelatin content could improve the quality and behaviour of the membrane.
The SEM was used to observe the change in the morphological structure of the Gelatin-Cellulose Hydrogel Membrane (GCHM), the SEM image of GCHM modified with EDTA was presented in Figures 5A, 5B, and 5C. The images were taken by applying 4 kV voltage with different magnification times for the clarification of the surface. The SEM images of GCHM powder before adsorption were studied in Figure 5.

Porosity characterization is based on the presence of open pores which are related to properties such as permeability and surface area of the porous structure. The SEM image indicates the presence of bigger particles with irregular shapes (Figs. 5A and 5B). Figure 5C shows the cavities of different shapes and sizes, and larger pores between the particles could be observed which will be helpful for the solution permeating through the GCHM (Wang et al., 2018).

The high porosity provides a favourable adsorption of copper and cobalt ions (Hossan et al., 2014). The presence of such granules increases the surface area of the composite which is suitable for effective adsorption of metal ions. A visible change in surface morphology can be observed following adsorption. Small openings and holes on the surface increase the contact of the adsorption and therefore lead to pore diffusion during the adsorption process (Kabuba and Banza, 2020). A morphology that changes as the gelatin concentration increases (Fig. 5C > Fig. 5B > Fig. 5A) and consequently, several pores have been observed.

**Effect of pH**

While adsorption process, the surface charge of the adsorbent can change according to the acidic or alkali medium of solution pH. Figure 6 indicates that the adsorption of copper and cobalt ions has been sensibly affected by the values of pH. It has been observed that the removal efficiency was low for the pH values from 0 to 4 and increase for the pH > 4.

Kumaruzaman et al., (2017) and El-Sheikh et al., (2012) has shown that the pH in the range of 3 – 5 (acidic medium), there is a strong activity of protons (H+) that compete with the metal ions at the functional groups onto GCHM and also the electrostatic repulsion between Cu (II) and Co (II) in solution. The maximum removal percentage of Cu (II) and Co (II) was obtained at pH 5 and 7 for copper and cobalt ions, respectively; therefore pH 5 and 7 have been selected for all the experiments in this study.

**Effect of Gelatin-CNCs ratios**

The effect of gelatin and CNCs ratios have played a big role on the percentage removal of both ion metals at different values of pHs. Figure 7 shows the effect of gelatin and CNCs ratio on the removal of Cu (II) and Co (II).
The result in Figure 7 shows that the percentage removal of both ion metals increased with increased of gelatin amount. The high percentage removal was 70.5 and 72.5% for Cu (II) and Co (II) at pH 5 and 7, respectively for the ratio of 3:1. Swelling of hydrogel has been observed by Yin & Amin (2014) for pH ≥ 7 (Kamaruzaman et al., 2017). It is attributed to the presence of loan pairs from amino acid of gelatin. The electrical charge of hydrogel at pH 5 is almost equal to zero because of isoelectric point of gelatin (pI= 4.9) due to amino-acid function that does not facilitate the GCHM’s swelling. Above the isoelectric point, the network is negatively charged forming anionic gel. This situation can explain why the percentage removals of copper and cobalt metals ions is low and almost closer to pH 5 (Fig. 7A).

**Effect of contact time**

Figure 8 represents the effect on the percentage removal of copper and cobalt ions. Adsorption process occurred by using a thermo-shaker at the range time of 15 – 120 minutes; 0.25 g of GCHM for 100 mL of wastewater for an initial concentration of 100 mg/L. It has been reported by Liu et al. (2015) that the percentage removal was function of contact time.

The percentage removal of Cu (II) and Co (II) ions was low at time t= 0 min and progressively increase until equilibrium (t = 120 min) that means, there is many available activated sites on the surface of GCHM that can adsorb copper and cobalt ions (Hossan et al., 2014). Compared with the adsorptions of Cu (II) and Co (II) to other adsorbents (Al-Shahrani, 2014; Hossan et al., 2014), the sorption equilibrium has not been reached quickly. This can be due to the low adsorption capacity of GCHM or can be attributed also to high initial concentration of Cu (II) and Co (II) and the mass adsorbent (0.25 g/100 mL).

**Effect of temperature**

It has been observed in Figure 9 that the negative effect of the temperature on the adsorption of Cu (II) and Co (II) onto GCHM. The percentage removal decreases while the temperature increases from 30°C – 70°C.

The percentage removal of Cu (II) and Co (II) was decreased from 70.5 to 30.8% and from 63.8 to 24.1% at pH 5 for Cu (II) and Co (II), respectively. At pH 7, the percentage removal decreases from 69.1 to 27% and from 74.5 to 32.2% for Cu (II) and Co (II), respectively. The adsorption process was an endothermic process because the removal capacity decreases while the temperature increases and this means that the available activated site onto GCHM decrease (Reshtnyak et al., 2012). It was observed the disintegration of GCHM while temperature was
gradually increased, and the filtration became difficult after adsorption process. This is the reason why it is better to work with the less temperature. Therefore, the temperature of 30°C was chosen to run all the experiments.

**Adsorption isotherms**

Adsorption equilibrium data of Cu (II) and Co (II) – GCHM system have been investigated using three different isotherm models.

**Langmuir isotherm (see Eqs. 3 and 4)**

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m K_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \tag{3}
\]

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_L C_o} \tag{4}
\]

where \(C_e\) is equilibrium metal concentration; \(q_m\) is the adsorption maximum capacity (mg/g), \(K_L\) Langmuir constant (L/mg), \(q_e\) is the amount of metal ion adsorbed at equilibrium and \(R_L\) is a constant of separation (Mobashespour et al., 2014; Blahovec and Yanniotic, 2009).

**Freundlich isotherm (Eq. 4)**

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \tag{5}
\]

where \(C_e\) is the amount of metal at equilibrium (mg/L); \(q_e\): amount of metal adsorbed at per unit mass at equilibrium (mg/g), \(n\): Freundlich constant describing the affinity of metal for the adsorbent and \(K_f\): Freundlich constant.

**Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm (see Eqs. 6, 7 and 8)**

\[
\ln q_e = \ln q_{m} - \beta \psi^2 \tag{6}
\]

\[
\psi = RT \ln (1+1/C_e) \tag{7}
\]

\[
E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \beta} \tag{8}
\]

where \(q_{m}\) (mg/g): metal maximum adsorption capacity, \(\psi\): Polanyi potential and \(\beta\) (mol^2/J^2): coefficient related to free energy of adsorption, \(E\) (kJ/mol): energy, \(R\): universal gas constant (8.314 mol^-1K^-1).

The best fit for an isotherm is giving by an \(R^2\) closest to 1. The adsorption isotherms for Cu (II) and Co (II) were studied using ratio 3:1 for a mass of 0.25 g at 303 K. The data obtained from linear Langmuir, Freundlich and D-R isotherms plot from the adsorption of Cu (II) and Co (II) onto GCHM are presented in Table 3.
The results reported in Table 3 indicate that Cu (II) and Co (II) fit the experimental data for the Freundlich isotherm model. The monolayer adsorption capacities of hydrogel for Cu (II) and Co (II) are 5.85 and 10.99 mg/g, respectively. Cu (II) and Co (II) shows a good affinity and have been favourable to be adsorbed by hydrogel because $1 < n > 10$. The Freundlich constant were 0.83 and 1.31, respectively for Cu (II) and Co (II) (Akpomie et al., 2015). Adsorption process has been favourable to the Langmuir model because the $R_L$ value was 0.23 and 0.16 for both metal ions (Garcia-Diaz et al., 2018).

**Kinetic studies**

The kinetic parameters for the adsorption process were studied on the batch adsorption at pH 5 and 7 at 303 K.

**Pseudo first order model (see Eq. 9)**

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - (k_1 t / 2.303)
\]  

**Pseudo second order model (see Eq. 10)**

\[
1/q_t = (1/k_2 q_e^2) t + (1/q_e)
\]

where $q_e$: amount of metal at adsorbed at equilibrium, $q_t$: amount metal adsorbed at a $t$ time (mg/g) and $k_1$ and $k_2$: rate constant of the reaction (min$^{-1}$) for first and second order, respectively (Fosso-Kankeu, 2018).

From the values of $R^2$ represented in Table 4, it was observed that both metal ions are fitting the pseudo first order kinetic.

**Kinetic diffusion**

Kinetic diffusion mechanism has been investigated in order to determine the nature of adsorption of Cu (II) and Co (II) to the interface of GCHM. Three transport processes were investigated as film diffusion (see Eq. 11), particle diffusion (see Eq. 12) and moving boundary (see Eq. 13) (Garcia-Diaz et al., 2018).

\[
\ln (1 - q_t / q_e) = -K t
\]

\[
\ln [1 - (qt/qe)^2] = -K t
\]

\[
3 - 3[1 - (qt/qe)]^2 - 2 \frac{qt}{qe} = K t
\]

where $K$ (1/min) is the rate constant model, $q_e$ and $q_t$ (mg/g) are the sorption capacities at equilibrium and contact time, respectively, and $t$ is time (min).
The moving boundary, particle diffusion and film diffusion model of \( \ln(1 - F) \) versus time were taken for Cu (II) and Co (II) ions and presented in Table 5 at pH 5 and 7. The regression coefficient \((R^2)\) values of 0.98 for Cu (II) and 0.91 for Co (II) have shown a good fit of film diffusion. The results obtained by kinetic diffusion show that the adsorption of both metal ions onto GCHM could be better explained by the film diffusion model where the rate constant models are 0.032/min for Cu (II) and 0.046/min for Co (II).

**Thermodynamic studies**

\[
\Delta G^\circ = - RT \ln K_d
\]  

(14)

\( K_d \) is equilibrium constant that can be used to determine the thermodynamic parameters \((H^\circ)\) enthalpy, \((S^\circ)\) entropy and \((G^\circ)\) free energy, \( R \) (8.314 J/mol K) universal gas constant and \( T \) is the temperature (K) (Sandoval-Flores et al., 2018).

\[
\Delta G^\circ = \Delta H^\circ - T \Delta S^\circ
\]  

(15)

\[
K_d = \frac{q_d}{C_e}
\]  

(16)

\[-\ln K_d = (\Delta H^\circ/R). 1/T - (\Delta S^\circ/R)\]

(17)

The values of \( \Delta H^\circ \) and \( \Delta S^\circ \) from the slope and intercept of the \( \ln K_d \) versus \( 1/T \) through the Von’t Hoff line Equation’s (Hino et al., 2010).

The thermodynamic parameter values are summarized in Table 6. The negative values of \( \Delta G^\circ \) obtained almost at all temperatures for both metal ions indicate that the adsorption process was spontaneous. It was also observed that the change in \( \Delta G^\circ \) increase with increase in temperature suggesting that higher temperatures is not making the adsorption easier. The positives values of \( \Delta H^\circ \) indicate an endothermic process. In physical adsorption process, the \( \Delta H^\circ < 40 \) kJ/mol.K. (Akpomie et al., 2015). The value of \( \Delta H^\circ \) obtained from both metal ions showed a physical adsorption process onto the surface of GCHM. This physical adsorption explains the reason why the kinetic data did not fit the pseudo second order (chemical sorption). In addition, the positive values of \( \Delta H^\circ \) indicated that the adsorption process of both metal ions was endothermic (Hino et al., 2010). Positive values of \( \Delta S^\circ \) indicate an increase in randomness at the solid/liquid interface during adsorption. The positive value of \( \Delta S^\circ \) indicated that the reflected the affinity of GCHM for Cu (II) and Co (II) and suggested some structural changes in GCHM.
Conclusion

The results obtained in this study prove that GCHM is a potential and useful low-cost material for the removal efficiency of Cu (II) and Co (II) ions from mining processes wastewater. Optimum removal of both heavy metal ions was obtained at ratio 3:1 and at pH 5 and 7 for Cu (II) and Co (II), respectively and a contact time of 120 mins was utilized for equilibrium removal. Freundlich isotherm model gave the best fit to the experimental data for both metal ions. The positive values of $\Delta H^\circ$ indicate that the process was endothermic, negative values of $\Delta G^\circ$ showed the spontaneous nature of the process and positive values of $\Delta S^\circ$ reflect an increase in randomness during the adsorption Cu (II) and Co (II) onto GCHM. Kinetic of adsorption of heavy metal ions onto GCHM was effectively described by pseudo first order and physical nature adsorption.
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Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the mining plant effluent used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Lower limit</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODs (mg/L)</td>
<td>48.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>50.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>59 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4+ (mg-N/L)</td>
<td>36.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>40.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>42 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO2- (mg-N/L)</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3- (mg-N/L)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total inorganic nitrogen</td>
<td>40.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>45.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>54.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mg-N/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Phosphorus (mg/L)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>9.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Composition of GCHM Hydrogel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio 1÷3 (%)</th>
<th>Ratio 1÷1 (%)</th>
<th>Ratio 3÷1 (%)</th>
<th>Water (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNCs</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Calculate values of isotherm parameters, T = 303K, Ratio 3÷1, Cu (II)_{pH5} and Co (II)_{pH7}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ions</th>
<th>Langmuir</th>
<th>D-R</th>
<th>Freundlich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_L$, $R_L$, $q_m$, $R^2$, $E$, $q_m$, $R^2$, $n$, $K_f$, $R^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (II)</td>
<td>0.03, 0.23, 5.85, 0.88, 5.49, 10.26, 0.68, 0.83, 1.89×10^3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (II)</td>
<td>0.05, 0.16, 10.99, 0.87, 7.91, 15.34, 0.79, 1.31, 3.7×10^2</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Kinetic parameters for Cu (II)_{pH5} and Co (II)_{pH7} adsorption onto GCHM (ratio: 3÷1), concentration: 100 mg/L, dosage: 0.25 g/100 mL, temperature 30°C:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ions</th>
<th>Kinetic model</th>
<th>$q_e$, $k_1$, $R^2$</th>
<th>$q_e$, $k_2$, $R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper (II)</td>
<td>First order</td>
<td>25.40, 3.22×10^{-6}, 0.98</td>
<td>27.86, 2.53×10^{-6}, 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (II)</td>
<td>Second order</td>
<td>43.45, 3.81×10^{-4}, 0.91</td>
<td>38.46, 9.93×10^{-4}, 0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Adsorption mechanism kinetic parameters for Cu (II)_{pH5} and Co (II)_{pH7} adsorption onto GCHM:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ions</th>
<th>Kinetic diffusion model-Equation</th>
<th>Constant $K_d$, $\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol), $\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol K), $\Delta S^\circ$ (kJ/mol K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copper (II)</td>
<td>Moving boundary</td>
<td>0.017, -0.11, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle diffusion</td>
<td>0.021, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Film diffusion</td>
<td>0.032, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt (II)</td>
<td>Moving boundary</td>
<td>0.017, -1.56, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Particle diffusion</td>
<td>0.035, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Film diffusion</td>
<td>0.046, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Thermodynamic parameters values for Cu (II) and Co (II) at pH 5 and 7, respectively:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>$K_d$, $\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol), $\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol K), $\Delta S^\circ$ (kJ/mol K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu (II)</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1.05, -0.11, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1.80, -1.56, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>333</td>
<td>3.29, -3.29, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>348</td>
<td>5.62, -4.99, 32.73, 108.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (II)</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>333</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>348</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Spectra FTIR of CNCs
Figure 2

Spectra FTIR of gelatin
Figure 3

Spectra of Hydrogel at a ratio of 25⁻⁷⁵%
Figure 4

Spectra of CNCs, Gelatin, and GCHM
Figure 5

The SEM images of GCHM A (25÷75%), B (50÷50%), and C (75÷25%)
**Figure 6**

Effect of pH on the removal of Cu (II) and Co (II), Ratio 3:1 at 30°C

**Figure 7**

Effect of ratio on percentage removal of Cu (II) and Co (II), pH 5 (A) and pH 7 (B), 120 min at 30°C
Figure 8

Effect of Time on removal of Cu(II) and Co(II), Ratio 3:1, pH 5 (A) and pH 7 (B) at 30°C

Figure 9

Effect of Temperature on the removal of Cu(II) and Co(II), Ratio 3:1, pH 5 (A) and pH 7 (B)