

# Evaluation of Patellar Dimension and Bristol Index in Asian Population: An MRI Study

Ludwig Andre Pontoh (✉ [lappontoh@gmail.com](mailto:lappontoh@gmail.com))

University of Indonesia

Ismail Hadisoebroto Dilogo

University of Indonesia

Ahmad Jabir Rahyussalim

University of Indonesia

Wahyu Widodo

University of Indonesia

Ricky Edwin Pandapotan Hutapea

Pasar Rebo General Hospital

Jessica Fiolin

Pondok Indah General Hospital

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** anthropometric, patella thickness, patella width, MRI, Bristol index

**Posted Date:** April 26th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-381473/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Restoration of patellar thickness is pivotal during a total knee arthroplasty (TKA). This study aims to evaluate the patellar dimension and Bristol Index of patellar width to thickness (BIPWiT) using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in Asian population.

**Methods:** 101 MRI scans of young adults with normal patellofemoral joint (PFJ) age 17-40 were evaluated from January 2019 to December 2020. Exclusion criteria included patella-femoral pathology and degenerative joint disease. Data of gender, body height and weight were obtained from physical examination, while patella height, width and thickness were obtained using MRI measurement. Descriptive analysis was used to get the mean and standard deviation of patella dimension, whereas correlation between patella thickness with patella width and length were analysed using Pearson correlation and BIPWiT ratio was obtained by dividing the patellar width and patellar thickness.

**Results:** Mean patellar length was  $30.06 \pm 2.94$  (29.48-30.64); patellar width was  $44.13 \pm 4.44$  (43.26-45.01); and patellar thickness was  $23.89 \pm 2.33$  (23.43-24.35). Patellar dimension in male were significantly larger compared to female ( $P < 0.001$ ). However, there was no difference in cartilage thickness between male and female ( $P = 0.305$ ). There was strong correlation between patellar width and patellar thickness ( $r = 0.66$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) with BIPWiT ratio of  $1.85 \pm 0.15$ .

**Conclusion:** BIPWiT of 1.8:1 between patellar width and patellar thickness was recommended as a guide for patellar thickness restoration during TKA. There is no difference of patellar dimension between Asian and Western population in a healthy knee.

**Level of Evidence:** Level III

## Introduction

A successful patellar resurfacing or replacement in a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) highly depends on the appropriate sizing of patella [1]. In a patellar resurfacing surgery, patella which is too thick will lead to a lateral patellar subluxation, increased lateral condyle pressure, increased compression forces and decrease flexion capability which lead to function worsening [2, 3]. However, patellar which is too thin will have poor strain characteristics needing greater quadriceps power to generate the force needed hence leading to early failure [4, 5]. Hence it is generally assumed to resurface the patella as its original thickness [6] and maintain 16 mm of bony thickness [7].

Knowledge of patellar dimension is pivotal during pre-operative surgical planning. Thickness, height/width ratio will affect surgical technique and implant selection. Kim et al, 2008 observed that Korean knee were thinner and smaller hence it is difficult to achieve that criteria [1]. However, despite those challenging scenario, data regarding patella dimension for Asian population is limited.

Sullivan et al in 2014 discovered that patellar thickness correlate with its width which is the Bristol Index of patellar width to thickness (BIPWiT) of 1.8:1 [8]. The ratio can be used as a guide in patellar resection to restore the thickness. However, to date no data regarding the BIPWiT index in Asian population.

Hence, our study aimed to obtain the patellar dimension using MRI study and evaluate the BIPWiT in our population.

## **Methods**

This was a retrospective study of 226 patients with acute knee pain who came to the knee and sport clinic of a tertiary hospital and underwent MRI of their knees between January 2019 until December 2020. All patients were examined by senior orthopaedic knee consultant in our hospital. Exclusion criteria were age under 17 and over 40; degenerative joint disease and patellofemoral pathology (125 patients). This study has been reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of Pondok Indah hospital and conducted in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consents from all participants have been obtained prior the study.

The knee MRI scans included in this study were 101 which were reviewed using Carestream Vue Motion (Carestream Health Inc, Rochester, NY) for measurements. All imaging studies were performed on a 3.0-T magnet MRI Magnetom Skyra (Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA, USA). The following protocols were used: proton density-weighted sagittal image (thickness, 3.0 mm; time of repetition, 1300 milliseconds; time to echo, 15-17 milliseconds), T2-weighted axial images (thickness, 3.0 mm; time of repetition, 4000 milliseconds; time to echo, 100-110 milliseconds), and sagittal proton density-weighted images (thickness, 3.0 mm; time of repetition, 1300 milliseconds; time to echo, 15-17 milliseconds).

There were 73 males and 28 females with an average age of 30 (range 17-40). The measurements recorded were patellar thickness (with and without cartilage), patellar width at its widest point and patellar articular length according to Sullivan et al, 2014 (Figure 1) [8].

### **Patellar thickness**

On an extended knee, patellar thickness was obtained at the thickest point of axial MRI slice drawn in a line perpendicular to the medial/lateral axis. Afterwards, the thickness without cartilage was also recorded.

### **Patellar width**

The width of patella was measured using an axial MRI cut in which the widest medial to lateral point of the patellar was recorded.

### **Patellar length**

A proximal to distal patellar articular cartilage length was measured using sagittal MRI slice.

## Statistical Analysis

All data were collected and analyzed using SPSS software version 23. Descriptive statistics were calculated for all variables. One Way ANOVA was used to compare the differences between gender and physical characteristics.

All significance level was set at  $P < 0.05$ . Intra- and interobserver reliability of the patellar measurements on MRI was analyzed with an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) which strength of agreement may range from 0 to 1 ( $\square 0.80$  is good;  $0.60-0.79$  is moderate;  $\square 0.59$  is poor).

Pearson rank correlation was used to evaluate the association between patellar thickness and patellar width, and between thickness and articular cartilage length. The strength of the correlation was indicated by the coefficient  $-1$  to  $+1$ . When the relationship of one variable decreases while the other increases the coefficient is negative but greater than  $-1$ ; when both variable increases the coefficient is positive but less than  $+1$ ; and when a relationship is random or non-existent, the coefficients are nearly zero. Simple linear regression was used to estimate the association between patellar width and articular length with patellar thickness with and without articular cartilage thickness.

## Results

A total of 101 cases (73 men, 28 women) were enrolled in this study. The participants had a mean age of  $30.51 \pm 5.65$  (range 17–40 years), a mean height of  $169.21 \pm 7.9$  (range 149–186 cm), a mean weight of  $76.36 \pm 15.00$  (43.0-132.2 kg), a mean BMI of  $26.60 \pm 4.62$  (range 28.57–41.7  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ). Height and weight were measured on the day of the MRI.

The physical characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1, and for each characteristic, the values were significantly larger in males than in females.

Table 1  
Physical characteristics of the participants

	Height (cm $\pm$ SD)	Weight (kg $\pm$ SD )	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )
Total	169.21 $\pm$ 7.9	76.36 $\pm$ 15.00	26.60 $\pm$ 4.62
Male	171.98 $\pm$ 0.06	81.20 $\pm$ 12.75	27.44 $\pm$ 4.00
Female	162.00 $\pm$ 0.09	63.76 $\pm$ 13.08	24.41 $\pm$ 5.44
P value <sup>a</sup>	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	0.003
Data were given in mean $\pm$ standard deviation			
<i>BMI</i> Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			
<sup>a</sup> P value: comparison of values between males and females			

Patellar articular length mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (95% confidence interval, 95% CI) was  $30.06 \pm 2.94$  (29.48–30.64); patellar width was  $44.13 \pm 4.44$  (43.26–45.01); patellar cartilage  $3.64 \pm 0.63$  (3.52–3.77); patellar thickness with cartilage  $23.89 \pm 2.33$  (23.43–24.35) and patellar thickness without cartilage  $20.25 \pm 2.26$  (19.81–20.70). The ICC for intraobserver reliability was 0.912 in patellar thickness with and without cartilage ( $P < 0.001$ ) and 0.871 in patellar width ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

There were significant differences of all patellar dimensions in male and female ( $P < 0.001$ ) except for articular cartilage thickness ( $P = 0.305$ ). Male patella was significantly larger in the patellar articular cartilage length, width and thickness with and without cartilage (Table 2). However, there were no significant differences between patellar width and length with patellar thickness with and without cartilage (Table 2).

Table 2  
Comparison results male vs. female

Dimension	Male	Female	P value*
	Mean $\pm$ SD (95% CI) (mm)	Mean $\pm$ SD (95% CI) (mm)	
Articular cartilage length	$30.81 \pm 4.68$ (CI 30.20–31.42)	$28.12 \pm 2.88$ (CI 27.00–29.23)	$P < 0.001$
Patellar width	$45.66 \pm 3.41$ (CI 44.87–46.46)	$40.15 \pm 4.39$ (CI 38.44–41.85)	$P < 0.001$
Cartilage thickness	$3.68 \pm 0.67$ (CI 3.52–3.84)	$3.54 \pm 0.51$ (CI 3.34–3.73)	$P = 0.305$
Thickness with cartilage	$24.56 \pm 1.75$ (CI 24.15–24.97)	$22.16 \pm 2.75$ (CI 21.10–23.23)	$P < 0.001$
Thickness without cartilage	$20.87 \pm 1.70$ (CI 20.48–21.27)	$18.63 \pm 2.71$ (CI 17.57–19.68)	$P < 0.001$
Ratio width:thickness with cartilage	$1.86 \pm 0.14$ (CI 1.83–1.90)	$1.82 \pm 0.15$ (CI 1.76–1.88)	$P = 0.190$
Ratio width:thickness without cartilage	$2.20 \pm 0.20$ (CI 2.15–2.24)	$2.18 \pm 0.21$ (CI 2.10–2.26)	$P = 0.633$
Ratio length:thickness with cartilage	$1.26 \pm 0.11$ (CI 1.23–1.28)	$1.28 \pm 0.13$ (CI 1.23–1.32)	$P = 0.442$
Ratio length:thickness without cartilage	$1.48 \pm 0.14$ (CI 1.45–1.51)	$1.53 \pm 0.18$ (CI 1.46–1.59)	0.187
Data were given in mean $\pm$ standard deviation (95% confidence interval)			
<i>BMI</i> Body mass index ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ )			
<sup>a</sup> P value: comparison of values between males and females			

There were a moderate correlation between patellar width and patellar thickness with cartilage ( $r = 0.66$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with ratio of  $1.85 \pm 0.15$  (1.82–1.88) (Fig. 2) and patellar width and patellar thickness without cartilage ( $r = 0.62$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) with ratio of  $2.19 \pm 0.20$  (2.15–2.23) (Fig. 3).

## Discussion

Our study is the first study evaluating patellar dimension using MRI in a healthy non-arthritic knee. The aim was to aid surgeons achieving the anatomic native patella size during patellar resurfacing or replacement in TKA. Several literatures (Table 3) found female Asian patellae were significantly smaller compared to Caucasian's. [1, 11, 12]. However, our finding showed similar dimension between Asian and Caucasian patellae. This might be due to the difference method of measurement. Previous studies measured the patella using caliper in arthritic knee during TKA, meanwhile our study measured healthy patella using MRI. Loures et al, 2017 showed that MRI is a more reliable imaging to measure knee anthropometry similar with direct measurement [13].

Table 3  
Comparison of Patellar Measurement in Several Literatures

Study	Race	Nature of Knee	Method of Study	PatLength (mm)	PatWidth (mm)	PatThick (mm)
This study (n = 101)	Asian	Healthy	MRI	30.06 ± 2.94	44.13 ± 4.44	23.89 ± 2.33
Female (n = 28)				28.12 ± 2.88	40.15 ± 4.39	22.16 ± 2.75
Male (n = 73)				30.81 ± 4.68	45.66 ± 3.41	24.56 ± 1.75
Sullivan et al (n = 75) [8]	Caucasian	Healthy	MRI	32.00 ± 3.30	44.70 ± 3.80	24.50 ± 2.00
Kim et al [1] (n = 752)	Korean	Arthritic	Caliper	n/a	n/a	n/a
Female (n = 713)				33.1(23-43)	41.0(25-51)	21.2(17-26)
Male (n = 39)				36.2(31-52)	45.6(36-52)	23.1 (20-26)
Chmell et al [11] (n = 198)	Caucasian	Arthritic	Caliper	n/a	n/a	n/a
Female (n = 123)				n/a	n/a	22.6(17.5-28)
Male (n = 75)				n/a	n/a	26.1(20-33)
Jain et al [13] (n = 200)	Indian	Healthy	Xray	41.67 ± 4.01	43.35 ± 4.10	19.22 ± 2.19
Baldwin et al [6] (n = 92)	Caucasian	Arthritic	Caliper	35.70(28-47)	46.10(36-65)	22.60(18-30)
Female (n = 57)				35.0(28-43)	42.7(32-50)	21.8(18-27)
Male (n = 35)				39.4(34-45)	49.5(44-64)	23.9(20-30)
Murugan et al [14] (n = 65)	Indian	Healthy	Caliper	38.07 ± 3.79	38.58 ± 3.81	18.29 ± 1.73
Iranpour et al [9] (n = 37)	Caucasian	Healthy	3D CT Scan	34.30 ± 3.80	44.80 ± 4.80	22.40 ± 2.30

The most significant finding in this study was that we obtained a ratio of 1.8:1 for patellar width and patellar thickness in Asian population. This result was similar to the study in Caucasian patient by

Sullivan et al, 2014 [8]. Iranpour et al, 2008 [9] measured a ratio of 2 : 1 for patellar width : patellar thickness but did not account for articular cartilage thickness. In our study, if we reduce the patellar cartilage thickness we obtained the ratio of 2.19 : 1 with a weaker correlation. However, this would not reflect the normal 'chondral covering' of the patellar thickness in a normal situation.

We found that male patella was significantly larger of all measurement of patella except patellar cartilage which is consistent with other literatures [12–14]. Hence, this will need a consideration during a patellar resurfacing or replacement.

This study also showed similar patellar thickness measurement in healthy Asian and Caucasian knee [8]. However, patellar thickness in arthritic knee is slightly smaller than healthy knee using all methods [1, 6, 11], and evaluation using conventional radiograph [13] could show significantly smaller size compared to MRI or CT-3D [9]. A study by Jain et al, 2018 mentioned that patellar dimension in Indian population is smaller than Caucasian rendering the need to use special design patellar replacement. However, this study was conducted using conventional X-ray which might be due to the different ratio used with MRI. Therefore during TKA, we recommend using ratio BIPWiT of 1.8:1 as a guide for patellar resurfacing or replacement to prevent patellofemoral joint pain after TKA.

## Limitation of Study

First of all this is a retrospective study of knee MRI with acute pain. Although we have excluded patients with patellofemoral disorder however, there might still be chances that the other pathology could influence the results since these are not 'normal' knee MRI. Further study comparing the BIPWiT ratio using other radiographic methods are recommended to evaluate the precision of this index.

## Conclusion

BIPWiT of 1.8:1 between patellar width and patellar thickness was recommended as a guide for patellar thickness restoration during TKA. There is no difference of patellar dimension between Asian and Western population in a healthy knee.

## Declarations

### Acknowledgement

None

### Funding

None

**Declaration of Interest: None**

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## Author Contribution

LAP contributed to perform the operation, data collection, analysis and interpretation, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing;

IHD contributed to assist the data collection, analysis and interpretation, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing

AJR contributed to assist the operation, data collection, analysis and interpretation, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing;

WW contributed to assist the operation, data collection, analysis and interpretation, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing;

REPH contributed to data collection, analysis and interpretation of analysis, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing;





JF contributed to data collection, analysis and interpretation of analysis, manuscript drafting, revising, and approval for publishing.

## References

1. Kim TK, Chung BJ, Kang YG, et al (2009) Clinical implications of anthropometric patellar dimensions for TKA in Asians. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 467(4): p. 1007–1014.
2. Jiang CC, Chen CH, Huang LT, Liu YJ, Chang SL, Wen CY, Liu TK. Effect of patellar thickness on kinematics of the knee joint. *J Formos Med Assoc* 1993;92:373–8.
3. Star MJ, Kaufman KR, Irby SE, Colwell Jr CW. The effects of patellar thickness on patellofemoral forces after resurfacing. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1996;322:279–84.
4. Lie DT, Gloria N, Amis AA, Lee BP, Yeo SJ, Chou SM. patellar resection during total knee arthroplasty: effect on bone strain and fracture risk. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2005;13:203–8.
5. Reuben JD, McDonald CL, Woodard PL, Hennington LJ. Effect of patellar thickness on patellar strain following total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty* 1991;6:251–8.
6. Baldwin JL, House CK. Anatomic dimensions of the patella measured during total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 2005;20: 250–257.
7. Reuben JD, McDonald CL, Woodard PL, Hennington LJ. Effect of patella thickness on patella strain following total knee arthroplasty. *J Arthroplasty.* 1991;6:251–258.
8. Sullivan NP, Robinson PW, Ansari A, et al. Bristol index of patellar width to thickness (BIPWiT): a reproducible measure of patellar thickness from adult MRI. *Knee.* 2014;21(6):1058-1062.

9. Iranpour F, Merican AM, Amis AA, Cobb JP. The width:thickness ratio of the patellar: an aid in knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2008;466:1198–203.
10. Ab Rahman, S., Ahmed Shokri, A., Ahmad, M. R., Ismail, A. F., & Termizi, N. S. (2020). Intraoperative Patella Dimension Measurement in Asian Female Patients and Its Relevance in Patellar Resurfacing in TKA. *Advances in Orthopedics*, 2020, 4539792. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4539792>
11. Chmell MJ, McManus J, Scott RD. Thickness of the patella in men and women with osteoarthritis. *Knee*. 1995;2:239–241.
12. Mohan H, Chhabria P, Bagaria V, Tadepalli K, Naik L, Kulkarni R. Anthropometry of Nonarthritic Asian Knees: Is It Time for a Race-Specific Knee Implant?. *Clin Orthop Surg*. 2020 Jun;12(2):158-165. <https://doi.org/10.4055/cios19069>
13. Jain R, Kalia RP, Das L. Anthropometric measures of patella and its clinical implications. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. 2019;29:1765-1769. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00590-019-02490-8>
14. Murugan M, Ambika S, Nim VK. Knee cap: a morphometric study. *Int J Anat Res*. 2017;25(1):3556–3559

## Figures



**Figure 1**

Images from Carestream Vue illustrating the measured patellar dimensions. (a) Patellar thickness with cartilage. (b) Patellar cartilage thickness. (c) Patellar width. (d) Patellar articular length.





Figure 2

Chart showing the strong, positive correlation between patellar thickness with cartilage and patellar width.  $r = 0.66$ .



**Figure 3**

Chart showing the strong, positive correlation between patellar thickness without cartilage and patellar width.  $r = 0.62$ .




Figure 4

Chart showing the strong, positive correlation between patellar thickness with cartilage and patellar articular surface.  $r = 0.58$ .




Figure 5

Chart showing the strong, positive correlation between patellar thickness without cartilage and patellar width.  $r = 0.55$