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Abstract

**Purpose:** Students’ approaches to learning are central to the process of learning. There is strong debate about the efficiency of traditional learning program (TLP) and the integrated learning program (ILP) in medical schools. TLP is easy for the students being passive learners and for the tutors playing the role of sage on the stage. ILP is mainly student centered in which the students are active learners with mentoring of their tutors. It is important to know the perspectives of medical school students about both programs as they applied for TLP in the 1st year and starting ILP from the 2nd year.

**Methodology:** This study adopted quantitative research methodology. We addressed the 2nd and 3rd year medical students. Online survey using the Google forms was applied for data collection.

**Results:** agreement of the integrated program (80.8%) exceeded the traditional (48.5%) especially in improving the communication skills, dealing with new technologies, reinforcement of competencies in the research field, and to less extent for its suitability to be applied in medical schools nowadays, development of desired doctor skills to deal with patients and for provision of good approach for medical practice, and lastly for its suitability to identify and deal with the community needs. There was no statistical significance between both programs regarding support of professionalism values. The disagreement of ILP (19.2%) was much lower than TLP (51.5%).

**Conclusion:** Integrated learning program becomes an innovative tool for learning in medical schools with very good compliance among the medical students.

Introduction

Knowledge and skills transfer are the role of any education system and educational institutes are important tools for achieving social goals [1]. Students’ approaches to learning are milestones for the learning process. There is clue that how students perceive the learning environment has a great impact on the learning approaches. Nevertheless, the relation between the learning environment, the way in which it is perceived by students and students’ learning approaches is not clear [2].

Nearly all medical and pharmaceutical education schools around the world were adopting the Lecture-based, teacher-centered traditional pedagogy [3]. This approach relies mainly on rote learning of the basic science subjects in order to pass the exams. This approach gives little or no attention to the practical applications of the gained knowledge. Little interaction in the traditional lectures decreases the students’ attention and engagement with inside the classroom [4]. The passive learning methods are not only lectures but also reading, audiovisuals and demonstrations as well [5]. Forgetting the basic knowledge by learners in clinical years is one of the major shortcomings of the traditional learning program (TLP) [6].

In contrast, the competency based curriculum involves students’ engagement in different learning activities which enhances the development of Bloom’s higher order cognitive skills [7, 8]. Problem-based learning (PBL), case-based learning (CBL), and team based learning (TBL) are examples of active learning approaches [9]. The active integrated learning program (ILP) becomes the preferable approach for the faculty and the medical school administrators as it engages the students in small group working to solve real world cases or problems. This will stimulate the higher order skills (HOS) of the students like analysis, synthesis, evaluation, and application. This will ensure better collaboration of the basic science knowledge and the clinical application of such knowledge to build the desired future physician [10].

Although there is high student engagement in the active learning approach, yet there is still contradiction about it. The University of Virginia apply the active learning approach in the preclinical year but the official reports denoted that many students didn’t.

So, this study aimed to evaluate medical students’ perspectives about the traditional and the integrated learning programs in the college of medicine-University of Bisha - KSA

**Aim of the work**

Evaluation of medical students’ perspectives about the traditional and the integrated learning programs.

**Subjects And Methods**

**1. The subjects**

Sample size was 82 students. The inclusion criterion included the 2nd and 3rd year medical students who agreed to participate in the study and the exclusion criterion were the 2nd and 3rd year medical students who refuse to participate. All the 2nd and 3rd year medical students were invited to share in this study. An acceptance to be enrolled was considered as consent.
2. The methods

Online survey was done for the 2nd and 3rd year medical students in UBCOM. This includes detailed questionnaire about both of traditional and integrated programs in the college of medicine, University of Bisha. The questionnaire was exposed to a validation procedure that included its perusal by medical students, interns, and experts in the medical education field. The questionnaire was checked for item appropriateness and comprehensiveness (face and content validity). A five-point Likert scale (0=strongly disagree; 4=strongly agree) was adopted within the questionnaires.

3. The study design

Quantitative research

4. The statistical methods used

The collected data were computerized and statistically analyzed using Graph Pad Prism 5.01. Chi-square test that was used to compare the qualitative variables between groups. P values less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

5. Ethical considerations

Ethical approval: obtained from the ethical committee of the college of medicine- University of Bisha "UBCOM/H-06-BH-087 (4/17)". The permission was taken from the Institution research board. An agreement of the students to be enrolled was considered as informed consent.

Results

The total student's population targeted was 82 students with 55 responding (67%).

The students’ responses were 15.5%, 26.4% strongly agree, 21.6%, 37.7% agree, 9.1%, 7.5% strongly disagree, and 30.2%, 7.7% disagree about different learning parameters established by TLP and ILP respectively (g. 1 A).

Collectively, agreement of the ILP exceeded the TLP and disagreement of the ILP was much lower than the traditional one as described in (g. 1 B).

(Total responses in traditional program and integrated program are 336 and 349 consecutively). The neutral stage of satisfaction was excluded to avoid bias in the results. Summation of agree and strongly agree results was considered agreement, as well as the results of disagree plus strongly disagree were summated as disagreement (Details in supplementary file).

The ILP showed trustfulness among the medical students rather than the TLP. They mainly agreed with ILP in improving the communication skills, dealing with new technologies, reinforcement of competencies in the research field with percentages 69.1%, 63.6%, and 65.5% respectively compared to 27.9%, 38.2%, and 25.4% respectively for TLP with high statistically significant difference between the 2 programs (table1, fig. 2, 3, 4, 5).

**Table (1):** Summation and comparison of student consideration after exclusion of the neutral degree of satisfaction
munity needs as B2B communication skills and research competencies in the research field.
The values are presented as: Traditional program (Integrated program). P value < 0.001: High significance (***) , 0.01 > P value > 0.001: Moderate significance (**), 0.05 > P value > 0.01: low significance (*) and P value > 0.05: non significance (ns)

The medical students chose the ILP as the preferable learning tool for its suitability to be applied in medical schools nowadays, development of desired doctor skills to deal with patients and for provision of good approach for medical practice with percentages of agreement 60%, 74.6%, and 67.3% respectively in
contrast to 30%, 28.5%, and 38.2% respectively TLP with moderate significant difference between the 2 programs (table 1, fig. 2, 3, 4, 5).

Suitability to identify and deal with the community needs parameter was selected by the medical students to be reinforced by the ILP outcome with 61.8% agreement; however 49.1% select the TLP to reinforce that parameter with low significant difference between both programs (table 1, fig. 2, 4).

Professionalism is the only learning parameter that showed no significant statistical difference between both of the learning programs. 50.9% considered the ILP capable of establishing such value while, 36.3% considered the TLP is the capable one (table 1, fig. 3, 5).

Discussion

The Legacy curriculum relied mainly on lecture-based approach, rote learning with few activities [17, 18].

New approaches in medical education adopt self regulated learning (SRL), learn by doing and small group problem solving in order to stimulate the higher cognitive skills of the medical students to prepare them to be the future professional physicians [19]. Nevertheless, some other researchers believed that ILP may or may not lead to learning enhancement in students [20].

Studies reach a consensus that the main influence of the students ≤ arn ∈ gistthe students perception of the educational context rather than the context itself [21, 22].

So, this study results may help the medical education specialist to know more regarding the students’ perspectives about the TLP and ILP.

The medical students chose ILP to be more effective than the TLP in improving their communication skills, dealing with new technologies and reinforcement of competencies in the research field with high statistically significant difference between the 2 programs. This concur with reports described the positive effects of ILP on development of good communication skills to deal with peers, and instructors [23, 24]. This is in congruence with a review study that revealed the importance of new technologies in the conduction of the ILP activities like PBL [25]. The study results agreed with studies that revealed the importance of ILP to develop a future physician and researcher [26, 27].

The study revealed that ILP was the preferable learning tool for medical students due to its suitability to be applied in medical schools nowadays, development of desired doctor skills to deal with patients and for provision of good approach to medical practice. This is agreed by the study about the ILP stated that discussion groups, active participation in different learning activities and collaboration with classmates and teachers resulted in a higher retention of learning [5]. Another authors proved that gaining clinical skills and retention of knowledge are achieved by removing the barrier between basic and clinical sciences. Physicians having the updated knowledge, desired clinical skills and professional behavior are the needs of today’s environment [6].

Suitability to identify and deal with the community needs parameter was selected by the medical students to be reinforced by the ILP with 61.8% agreement; however 49.1% select the TLP to reinforce that parameter with low significant difference. This is concurs with some authors who have described ILP to have about 6 hrs per week for seminars of clinical skills training and community dimensions related to medical practice [28].

Professionalism is the only learning parameter that showed no significant statistical difference between both of the learning programs. This reflects the value and the great importance of professionalism to be an important component in any learning program. Reports revealed that medical schools, residency programs and hospitals have hidden curricula for behavior, ethics and professionalism. Positive role models support the values and characters of professional physician while negative ones contradict with the community and patient care values [29].

The transition from TLP to ILP has many important challenges to both students and faculty in medical schools [30] Deficiency of curriculum specialists and poor infrastructure prevents the transformation from TLP to ILP [16].

Conclusion

ILP become the more suitable innovative tool for learning in medical schools with very good compliance among the medical students regarding development of communication skills, dealing with new technologies, research competencies, desired doctor skills to deal with patients with doctor’s good approach to medical practice, identification and dealing with community needs in addition to raising the values of professionalism.
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Figure 1

(1A): Percentages (%) of different degrees of student satisfaction in traditional learning program (A) and integrated learning program (B) (1 B): Percentages of the agreement and disagreement after exclusion of the neutral degree
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