

Gender dimensions of the impact of HIV/AIDS on stunting in children under five years in Zimbabwe

Lesley Macheka (✉ lesleymacheka@gmail.com)

Marondera University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7878-6678>

George Kembo

Food and Nutrition Council of Zimbabwe

Terrence Kairiza

Bindura University of Science Education

Research

Keywords: HIV/AIDS, Gender, Nutrition security, Child stunting, Zimbabwe

Posted Date: June 9th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-33341/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Background: HIV/AIDS can have a disastrous effect on household food and nutrition security, an example includes stunting in children. However, stunting and HIV/AIDS are highly gendered phenomena that need to be explored in order to get an in-depth understanding of the interrelationship. This study was therefore aimed at investigating gender dimensions of the impact of HIV/AIDS on stunting in children under five years from HIV/AIDS affected households in Zimbabwe.

Methods: The study uses a large scale nationally representative cross-sectional dataset of 13 854 Zimbabwean households for the year 2019. To test hypothesis 1, the study employs binary choice models (Probit and Logit) since the outcome variable household HIV/AIDS status is dichotomous. To test hypothesis 2 and 3, the study employs the Propensity Score Matching (PSM) approach to circumvent the self selection problem in the creation of treatment and control groups for households affected by HIV/AIDS and those that are not.

Results: The results revealed that household HIV/AIDS status is independent of the gender of household head. On the other hand, the results for the PSM estimates show that the probability of the household having a stunted child under five years is higher for households with an HIV positive member compared to those without. In addition, female headed households with an HIV positive member are more likely to have a stunted child under five years compared to male headed households under similar circumstances.

Conclusion: Overall, the results provide evidence of a higher risk of stunting among children from households affected by HIV/AIDS. The study offers three major findings. Firstly, the study finds no significant association between gender of the household head and household HIV/AIDS status. Secondly, households that have at least one HIV positive member are more likely to have a stunted child under five years. Lastly, female headed households with at least one HIV positive member are more likely to have a stunted child under five years compared to male headed household with similar HIV/AIDS status. The findings have important policy implications towards improved integration of HIV/AIDS status, household head gender and child nutrition services in affected households.

1. Background

Stunting in children under five years is an obstinate motif bedevilling the frail public health systems in sub-Saharan Africa (Bredenkamp et al., 2014). At least 90% of stunted children under five years in the world live in Africa and Asia (UNICEF, 2018). The under five years old age group is particularly vulnerable since stunting poses permanent negative effects on the physical, cognitive, and social status of the concerned child, concomitantly curtailing economic potential in later life (Habimana & Biracyaza, 2019; McDonald *et al.*, 2013). Efforts to curtail the high prevalence of stunting in Sub-Saharan Africa are confounded by intervening conditions such as the high prevalence of HIV/AIDS which imposes heterogenic vulnerability to food and nutrition insecurity between households that are affected and those that are not (de Waal & Whiteside, 2003).

Households with people living with HIV (PLWHIV) are more likely to be food and nutrition insecure through incapacitation of the most productive household members, decreased household economic capacity, decreased household agricultural output, and increased caregiver burden (Bukusuba et al., 2007), consequently posing higher stunting risk to children under five years resident in those households. Moreover, HIV infected children under five exhibit poor growth and metabolism and are at higher risk of recurrent morbidity of malnutrition related diseases which further depletes their valuable nutrients (Oleske et al., 1983). In that matrix, household coping strategies in abating stunting in children under five years depends on a complex of economic, health and socio-cultural characteristics of the household including gender of the household head (Shisana et al., 2010; McClelland et al., 2018). Specifically, the situation becomes even more critical if the household head is female due to socio-cultural and economic factors which place women at a disadvantage in terms of both contracting HIV and affording nutritionally rich diets for their families (Frew et al., 2016).

Studies that use a large-scale dataset to establish the link between household gender heterogeneity and HIV status on stunting in Sub-Saharan Africa remain scant. With the current global increase in the drive to use nutritional interventions to combat stunting, in that respect the interlink between household HIV status and gender of the household head provides a unique challenge to countries in sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, this study contributes to the ongoing discussions on the basis of usage of a large-scale dataset of 13 854 households in Zimbabwe, one of the countries most affected by the HIV epidemic. Specifically, the study seeks to answer three research questions: (i) what is the association between gender of the household head and household HIV/AIDS status?, (ii) secondly, are households with people living with HIV/AIDS more likely to have a stunted child under five years old than those without?, and (iii) is there gender heterogeneity in the impact of household HIV/AIDS status on household propensity to have stunted children?. Identification of the impact of HIV/AIDS on the stunting in children under five years is however confounded by self-selection bias associated with household HIV/AIDS status. We mitigate the self-selection bias using propensity score matching (PSM) techniques.

The study offers three major findings. Firstly, there is no significant association between gender of the household head and household HIV/AIDS status. Secondly, households that have at least one HIV positive member are more likely to have a stunted child under five years. Lastly, female headed households with at least one HIV positive member are more likely to have a stunted child under years compared to male headed household with similar HIV/AIDS status.

The rest of the study is organized as follows; Sect. 2 address the definition of variables, model specification and the estimation procedure employed. Section 3 presents the results and discussion of the results. Section 4 summarizes the study and provides policy recommendations.

2. Methods

2.1 Sample size

This paper is based on secondary analysis of existing data from the 2019 Zimbabwe Rural and Urban Livelihoods Surveys. The annual cross-section surveys are conducted by the Zimbabwe Vulnerability Assessment Committee (ZimVAC) which pools together the Zimbabwean government, UN agencies and non-governmental organizations. The total sample size comprises of 13 854 households both urban and rural. The gender disaggregation of the total sample comprises 6,084 female headed households and 7, 770 male headed households. A total of 1, 804 households have at least one HIV positive member for which 58% of those are female headed.

2.2 Measurement of key variables

2.2.1 Stunting in children under five years old

To measure stunting, the study employs the WHO measure specified as height for age Z-score less than 2 standard deviations ($HAZ < 2\sigma$) below the WHO international median height for age growth reference. Standard measuring equipment and methods were used to obtain height for children under five years. Children younger than 24 months were measured lying down, whilst children between 24 and 59 months were measured whilst standing. The WHO Anthro software version 3.2.2 was used to analyse Anthropometric HAZ index Z-score. The proportion of stunted children under five years old in the household i (outcome variable) is thus measured as:

$$Y_i = \frac{\text{Number of children under 5 years with } HAZ < 2 \text{ in household } i}{\text{Number of children under 5 years in household } i}$$

2.2.2 Household HIV status

To measure household HIV/AIDS status, HIV/AIDS statuses for every member of the household are recorded. If at least one member of household i is HIV positive, then household i is deemed to be affected by HIV/AIDS.

2.3 Econometric specification

2.3.1 The impacts of gender and on HIV status

To test Hypotheses 1 of this study, which speaks to the impact of gender of the household head on the probability of the household having an HIV positive member, we employ binary response models as follows:

$$HIV_i = \alpha + \beta_1 Female_i + X_i' \gamma + \varepsilon_i \quad [1]$$

HIV_i is the household HIV status which assumes a value of 1 if at least one member of the household is HIV positive and 0 otherwise. *Female_i* is a dummy variable indicating the gender of the household head, which takes the value of 1 if the household head is female and 0 otherwise. X_i is a vector of the household background characteristics.

2.3.2 The impact of HIV status on stunting

We estimate impacts of household HIV status on the proportion of stunted children under five years in the household using Propensity Score Matching (PSM) method. Since the causal variable of interest is a treatment dummy, and the model is confounded by incomplete information emanating from self selection into treatment (= 1) and control (= 0), PSM is ideal (Austin, 2011; Rosenbaum & Rubin, 1983; Heckman et al., 1997). Self-selection of treatment and control groups arises from use of observational (or non-randomized) data which places treated and control groups into different populations resulting in the two populations exhibiting different distributions (Dehejia & Wahba, 2002). Using PSM, it is possible to reduce or eliminate the problem of systemic differences in baseline characteristics between treated (= 1) and untreated (= 0) groups (Austin 2009; 2011; Caliendo & Kopeinig, 2008; Heckman et al., 1997).

Propensity score matching techniques uses observed covariates, X_i to estimate probability of household *i* falling into the treatment (= 1) group and uses the estimated probability value Pr (= 1 | X) to match households with similar probabilities of falling into = 1 group into = 1 and = 0 groups. This process circumvents the problem of self selection by mimicking randomisation of treatment and creating a credible counterfactual group. In this study, we use nearest neighbour matching technique which chooses an individual from the comparison group for treated individual that is closest in terms of propensity score. We estimate the average treatment effect on the treated (ATT) that provides the impact of household head gender on the proportion of stunted children under five years within the household and household HIV status on the proportion of stunted children under five years within the household as follows:

$$ATT = E(Y_{i1} | =1) - E\{E(Y_{i0} | =0, Pr(=1 | X) | =1)\} [2]$$

We implement PSM and estimate treatment effects and present the results in Table 3 which portray the tests to Hypothesis 2.

To test Hypothesis 3 which examines differences in household head gender in the impact of = 1 on the proportion of stunted children under five years in the household. We separately estimate Average Treatment Effects on the Treated (ATT) from Eq. 2 and present the results in Table 4.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1 Descriptive analysis

3.1.1 Background characteristics of sample households

Table 1 displays the differences in the background characteristics of the households by the HIV status of the household. The table shows that 1,804 (13%) households had at least one HIV positive household member whereas 12,050 (87%) of the households did not have an HIV positive household member. In addition, Table 1 shows that before controlling for observed confounding variables, households that have at least one HIV positive member are more likely to be female headed than their counterparts that do not have an HIV positive member. The difference in respective proportions of 11.8% is statistically valid at the 1% level of significance. The results on other variables in Table 1 shows that comparing, households that have at least one member who is HIV positive and those without, there are marked differences in marital status, education, income among other variables. The result therefore points to self-selection bias associated with household HIV status. These results mirror those from the study of Adeyemi (2011) where the results showed socio-economic characteristics such as marital status, education and income level as some of the determinants of sexually transmitted infections.

Table 1
Background characteristics by HIV/AIDS status of the household

		Household has an HIV positive member?				Difference in means [Y – N]
		Yes [Y]		No [N]		
		Mean	S. D	Mean	S. D	
Observations (%)		1,804	(13%)	12,050	(87%)	
Household head is female		0.580	0.494	0.462	0.499	0.118***
Household head age (Years)		45.555	15.049	38.854	14.577	6.701***
Marital status: Household head education level	Married living together	0.589	0.492	0.760	0.427	-0.170***
	Married living apart	0.061	0.239	0.077	0.267	-0.016***
	Divorced/separated	0.097	0.296	0.050	0.218	0.047***
	Widow/widower	0.213	0.409	0.080	0.271	0.133***
	Primary level	0.377	0.485	0.261	0.439	0.116***
	ZJC level	0.169	0.375	0.122	0.327	0.047***
	O' level	0.302	0.459	0.453	0.498	-0.150***
	A' level	0.013	0.113	0.034	0.181	-0.021***
	Diploma/Certificate after primary	0.002	0.040	0.005	0.067	-0.003***
	Diploma/Certificate after secondary	0.009	0.095	0.030	0.171	-0.021***
	Graduate/Post-Graduate	0.006	0.077	0.020	0.139	-0.014***
	Household head religion:	Roman Catholic	0.080	0.272	0.077	0.266
Protestant		0.084	0.277	0.087	0.283	-0.004
Pentecostal		0.191	0.393	0.214	0.410	-0.023**
Apostolic Sect		0.270	0.444	0.296	0.456	-0.026**
Zion		0.101	0.301	0.074	0.262	0.027***
Traditional		0.024	0.154	0.017	0.130	0.007*

Notes: The last column shows the results of two-tailed t-test for the difference in the means. ***, **, and * indicate the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels of significance.

		Household has an HIV positive member?				Difference in means [Y – N]
		Yes [Y]		No [N]		
		Mean	S. D	Mean	S. D	
No religion		0.139	0.346	0.121	0.326	0.018*
Household size		5.811	2.292	5.168	1.973	0.643***
Monthly income		356.347	746.649	623.581	1315.069	-267.23***
Mentally ill household members		0.185	0.520	0.115	0.391	0.070***
Household members with mother alive		2.867	1.590	2.529	1.435	0.338***
Household members with father alive		2.420	1.515	2.365	1.395	0.055
Household is located in rural areas		0.671	0.470	0.515	0.500	0.156***
Province	Bulawayo	0.025	0.156	0.023	0.150	0.002
	Manicaland	0.067	0.250	0.097	0.296	-0.030***
	Mash Central	0.094	0.292	0.117	0.321	-0.023***
	Mash East	0.115	0.319	0.153	0.360	-0.038***
	Mash West	0.126	0.332	0.139	0.346	-0.013
	Mat North	0.129	0.335	0.074	0.262	0.054***
	Mat South	0.142	0.349	0.092	0.289	0.050***
	Midlands	0.158	0.365	0.135	0.342	0.023**
	Masvingo	0.085	0.279	0.085	0.279	0.000
	Harare	0.060	0.237	0.085	0.279	-0.025***

Notes: The last column shows the results of two-tailed t-test for the difference in the means. ***, **, and * indicate the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels of significance.

3.1.2 Stunting in children under five years by household HIV status

Table 2 shows that households that have a member who is HIV positive are more likely to have a stunted child under five years than those households without an HIV positive member before controlling for observed covariates. At least 27.7% of households that have an HIV positive member have at least one stunted child versus 23.6% of the households that do not have an HIV positive member. The difference in proportion of 4.1% is statistically valid at the 1% level of significance.

Table 2
Proportion of households with stunted children by household HIV status

	Status	Mean	S. D	N
Household has an HIV positive member?	Yes [Y]	0.277	0.447	1804
	No [N]	0.236	0.424	12050
Difference in means [Y – N]		0.041***		
Notes: The last row shows the results of two-tailed t-test for the difference in the means. ***, **, and * indicate the 1, 5, and 10 percent levels of significance.				

3.2 Econometric estimation results

3.2.1 Association of gender and HIV status of the households

Columns (I) and (II) of Table 3 displays no statistically significant association of the gender of the household head and the HIV status of the household. This finding is consistent with Hypothesis 1 of this study which notes that there are no gender differences in the household HIV status. However, this result is in contrast with results from the study of Shisana et al. (2010), which revealed that in South Africa, female heads of households were significantly more likely to be infected with HIV than their male counterparts (17.9% vs. 13.1%). The results in Table 3 (Column I) however show that household head marital status, education, as well as household income are associated with changes in the HIV status of the household. These results are similar to trends reported by Shisana et al. (2010), Curry et al. (2006) and Ndirangu et al. (2011).

Table 3
Household head gender association with household HIV/AIDS status

VARIABLES	Household has an HIV positive member	
	Logit (I)	Probit (II)
Household head is female	0.0790 (0.0855)	0.00992 (0.00737)
Household head age [Years]	0.0141*** (0.00233)	0.00167*** (0.000289)
Married living together	-0.712*** (0.176)	-0.0729*** (0.0226)
Married living apart	-0.734*** (0.204)	-0.0756*** (0.0243)
Primary level	0.223** (0.102)	0.0262* (0.0148)
ZJC level	0.454*** (0.121)	0.0506*** (0.0168)
O' level	0.0112 (0.120)	0.00546 (0.0150)
A' level	-0.294 (0.240)	-0.00609 (0.0187)
Diploma/Certificate after primary	-0.727 (0.630)	-0.0462 (0.0349)
Diploma/Certificate after secondary	-0.739*** (0.283)	-0.0351* (0.0182)
Graduate/Post-Graduate	-0.704** (0.343)	-0.0299 (0.0195)

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1

	Household has an HIV positive member	
Protestant	0.0648	0.00669
	(0.145)	(0.0147)
Pentecostal	0.164	0.0166
	(0.124)	(0.0126)
Apostolic Sect	0.0144	0.00164
	(0.120)	(0.0124)
Zion	0.184	0.0217
	(0.142)	(0.0161)
Islam	-0.0501	-0.00259
	(0.370)	(0.0349)
No religion	0.351***	0.0373***
	(0.135)	(0.0145)
Household size	0.105***	0.0136***
	(0.0239)	(0.00313)
Monthly income	-0.0938***	-0.00994***
	(0.0250)	(0.00266)
Mentally ill household members	0.0976	0.0153
	(0.0666)	(0.00976)
Household members with mother alive	0.0648*	0.0140**
	(0.0392)	(0.00621)
Household members with father alive	-0.127***	-0.0231***
	(0.0334)	(0.00564)
Household is located in rural areas	0.174*	0.0177*
	(0.0903)	(0.00910)
Constant	-2.340***	0.0739**
	(0.325)	(0.0365)
Robust standard errors in parentheses		
*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1		

	Household has an HIV positive member	
Observations	12,162	12,162
R-squared		0.069
Robust standard errors in parentheses		
*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1		

3.2.2 Impact of household HIV/AIDS status on stunting in children under five years

Table 4 shows the Propensity Score Matching (PSM) estimates of the average treatment effects of household HIV positive status on the probability of the household having at least one stunted child under five years. The results indicate that if the household has at least one HIV positive member, the probability that the household has a stunted child under five years increases by 4.55% at the 1% level of significance, *ceteris paribus*. These results in Tables 3 and 4 are therefore consistent with Hypothesis 2 of this study, which notes that households that have an HIV positive member are associated with higher probability of having stunted children. These results are supported by previous findings, e.g. Ndirangu et al. (2011), Magadi (2011), Kimani-Murage et al. (2011), Sunguya et al. (2014), which reported a significantly higher degree of stunting in children from HIV/AIDS affected households than children in unaffected households. The studies concluded that HIV is an independent modifiable risk factor for poor nutritional outcomes (such as stunting) and makes a significant contribution to nutritional outcomes at the individual level.

Table 4
PSM estimates of the impact of household HIV/AIDS on stunting in children under five years

Average treatment effect (ATE) of household HIV positive status on probability of having stunted child	0.0455***
	(0.0139)
Observations	11,763
Robust standard errors in parentheses	
*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1	

3.2.3 Gender heterogeneity treatment effects of HIV status on stunting in children under five years

Table 5 shows the PSM estimates of gender heterogeneity and the impact of household HIV positive status on the probability that the household has a stunted child under five years. The results indicate that female headed households that have an HIV positive member have a higher probability of having a stunted child than their male counterparts. Column (I) of Table 5 shows that female headed households

that have an HIV positive member have 6.66% likelihood of having a stunted child versus the 3.26% for the male headed households displayed in Column (II). These results therefore affirm Hypothesis 3 of this study, that the impact of HIV positive status on the proportion of stunted children in the household is higher in female headed households, *vis-à-vis* male headed households.

Table 5

PSM estimates of gender heterogenic treatment effects of household HIV status on stunting in children under five years

VARIABLES	Household head is female?	
	Yes (I)	No (II)
ATE of household HIV positive status on probability of having stunted child	0.0666***	0.0326*
	(0.0215)	(0.0186)
Observations	4,692	7,071
Robust standard errors in parentheses		
*** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1		

The results presented in Tables 2 to 5 are in concurrence with previous studies. A study on association between HIV/AIDS and nutritional status of children under five years of age conducted in Kenya (Ndirangu et al., 2011) found that children living in HIV/AIDS affected households had a significantly higher prevalence of stunting (25.5%) than children in unaffected households (9.1%). Results from a secondary analysis of the Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data collected from 18 countries in sub-Saharan Africa (Magadi, 2011) also reviewed similar trend, where children from HIV/AIDS affected households were significantly more likely to be stunted compared to their counterparts of similar demographic and socio-economic background but from households not affected by HIV/AIDS.

The observed results in this study, that children from HIV/AIDS affected households are highly likely to be stunted compared to their counterparts from households not affected by HIV/AIDS, might be attributed to the fact that resources that would have been directed to providing adequate nourishment for the children in HIV-affected households being diverted to manage the effects of HIV/AIDS, such as paying for healthcare for sick family members, including the children themselves (Nalwoga et al., 2010; Magadi, 2011; Ndirangu et al., 2011; Chege et al., 2016). It is also probable that the adults responsible for providing for the family were less productive because of illness and therefore provided less food for the family (Ndirangu et al., 2011). This also agrees with findings from Masuku and Sithole (2009), which revealed that the productivity of HIV-affected household members is reduced, affecting household's affordability of good quality and nutritious food. More so, a study by Chege et al. (2016) reported that

most household headed by females have less income compared to male-headed households which is likely to impact on household food and nutrition security.

4 Conclusion

Overall, the results presented in this study provide evidence of a higher risk of stunting among children from households affected by HIV/AIDS. The results confirm the three assumptions postulated in this study that; (i) female headed households are no more likely to be affected by HIV/AIDS, (ii) household HIV/AIDS status adversely affects incidence of stunting in children under five years, and (iii) the impact of household HIV positive status on the incidence of stunting in children under five years is higher in female headed households compared to male headed households. Overall, the findings have important implications for policy and programme efforts towards improved integration of HIV/AIDS and child nutrition services in affected communities and households. In particular, children from HIV/AIDS affected households deserve special attention. As such, social protection programmes should be intentionally targeted at such households. In addition, there is need for effective and tailored nutrition-sensitive and specific interventions using multisectoral approaches should be considered to address these important determinants.

Declarations

5.1. Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval is not required because the data is not collected from human subjects and we used secondary data.

5.2. Consent for publication

Consent for publication was sort from all participants interviewed and they all consented by signing the consent form seeking authority to anonymized data.

5.3. Availability of data and materials

The datasets analysed in the current study are available from the Food and Nutrition Council of Zimbabwe (FNC) but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under a Memorandum of Understanding for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of FNC.

5.4. Competing of interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

5.5. Funding

The study was not funded. Authors used own resources.

5.6. Authors' contributions

TK performed the statistical analyses and GK and LM contributed by writing the paper. However, all the three authors equally scrutinized all sections of the paper ensuring high quality of the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

5.7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Food and Nutrition Council of Zimbabwe for providing the National Nutrition Survey Data which was used in this paper. Our gratitude goes to participants of the Scaling Up Nutrition Research and Academia Platform (SUNRAP) for providing useful comments on the early drafts of this paper.

References

1. Adeyemi EO. Gender inequities in sexually transmitted infections: implications for HIV infection and control in Lagos State, Nigeria. *Infectious disease reports*. 2011;3(1):e7–7. doi:10.4081/idr.2011.e7.
2. Anema A, Fielden SJ, Castleman T, Grede N, Heap A, Bloem M. Food Security in the Context of HIV: Towards Harmonized Definitions and Indicators. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18(5):476–89. doi:10.1007/s10461-013-0659-x.
3. Austin PC. Type I error rates, coverage of confidence intervals, and variance estimation in propensity-score matched analyses. *International Journal of Biostatistics*. 2009;5(1):1557–4679. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1557-4679.1146>.
4. Austin PC. An introduction to propensity score methods for reducing the effects of confounding in observational studies. *Multivar Behav Res*. 2011;46(3):399–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.568786>.
5. Berhe N, Tegabu D, Alemayehu M. Effect of nutritional factors on adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected adults: a case control study in Northern Ethiopia. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2013;13(1):233. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-233.
6. Boneya DJ, Ahmed AA, Yalew AW. The effect of gender on food insecurity among HIV-infected people receiving anti-retroviral therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one*. 2019;14(1):e0209903–3. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209903.
7. Bredenkamp C, Buisman LR, Van de Poel E. Persistent inequalities in child undernutrition: evidence from 80 countries, from 1990 to today. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2014;43(4):1328–35. doi:10.1093/ije/dyu075.
8. Bukusuba J, Kikafunda JK, Whitehead RG. Food security status in households of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) in a Ugandan urban setting. *Br J Nutr*. 2007;98(1):211–7. doi:10.1017/S0007114507691806.

9. Caliendo M, Kopeinig S. Some practical guidance for the implementation of propensity score matching. *J Economic Surveys*. 2008;22(1):31–72. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6419.2007.00527.x.
10. Chege PM, Ndungu ZW, Gitonga BM. Food security and nutritional status of children under-five in households affected by HIV and AIDS in Kiandutu informal settlement, Kiambu County, Kenya. *Journal of Health Population Nutrition*. 2016;35(1):21. doi:10.1186/s41043-016-0058-9.
11. Curry J, Wieggers E, Garbero A, Stokes S, Hourihan J. (2006). Gender, HIV/AIDS and rural livelihoods: Micro-level investigations in three African countries.
12. Dehejia R, Wahba S. Propensity Score Matching Methods for Non-Experimental Causal Studies. *Rev Econ Stat*. 2002;84(1):151–61. doi:10.1162/003465302317331982.
13. de Waal A, Whiteside A. New variant famine: AIDS and food crisis in southern Africa. *The Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1234–7. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14548-5.
14. Heckman JJ, Ichimura H, Todd PE. Matching as an econometric evaluation estimator: Evidence from evaluating a job training programme. *Rev Econ Stud*. 1997;64(4):605–54. doi:10.2307/2971733.
15. Helsinki WIDERR. *Paper*. Rome, Italy: The United Nations University World Institute for Development Economics Research (UNU-WIDER).
16. Frega R, Duffy F, Rawat R, Grede N. Food Insecurity in the Context of HIV/AIDS: A Framework for a New Era of Programming. *Food Nutr Bull*. 2010;31(4_suppl4):292–312. doi:10.1177/15648265100314s402.
17. Frew PM, Parker K, Vo L, Haley D, O’Leary A, Diallo DD, Golin CE, Kuo I, Soto-Torres L, Wang J, Adimora AA, Randall LA, del Rio C, Hodder S, the H. Socioecological factors influencing women’s HIV risk in the United States: qualitative findings from the women’s HIV Seroincidence study (HPTN 064). *BMC Public Health*. 2016;16(1):803. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3364-7. I. P. T. N. S. T..
18. 10.2147/PHMT.S222198
Habimana S, Biracyaza E. (2019). Risk Factors Of Stunting Among Children Under 5 Years Of Age In The Eastern And Western Provinces Of Rwanda: Analysis Of Rwanda Demographic And Health Survey 2014/2015. *Pediatric health, medicine and therapeutics*, 10, 115–130. doi: 10.2147/PHMT.S222198.
19. Ivers LC, Cullen KA, Freedberg KA, Block S, Coates J, Webb P. HIV/AIDS, undernutrition, and food insecurity. *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 2009;49(7):1096–102. doi:10.1086/605573.
20. Kimani-Murage EW, Norris SA, Pettifor JM, Tollman SM, Klipstein-Grobusch K, Gómez-Olivé XF, Dunger DB, Kahn K. Nutritional status and HIV in rural South African children. *BMC Pediatrics*. 2011;11(1):23. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-11-23.
21. Magadi MA. Household and community HIV/AIDS status and child malnutrition in sub-Saharan Africa: evidence from the demographic and health surveys. *Soc Sci Med*. 2011;73(3):436–46. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.05.042.
22. Masuku MB, Sithole MM. The impact of HIV/AIDS on food security and household vulnerability in Swaziland. *Agrekon*. 2009;48(2):200–22. doi:10.1080/03031853.2009.9523824.

23. McClelland RS, Lingappa JR, Srinivasan S, Kinuthia J, John-Stewart GC, Jaoko W, Richardson BA, Yuhas K, Fiedler TL, Mandaliya KN, Munch MM, Mugo NR, Cohen CR, Baeten JM, Celum C, Overbaugh J, Fredricks DN. Evaluation of the association between the concentrations of key vaginal bacteria and the increased risk of HIV acquisition in African women from five cohorts: a nested case-control study. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2018;18(5):554–64. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30058-6.
24. McDonald CM, Olofin I, Flaxman S, Fawzi WW, Spiegelman D, Caulfield LE, Black RE, Ezzati M, Danaei G. & Study, f. t. N. I. M. (2013). The effect of multiple anthropometric deficits on child mortality: meta-analysis of individual data in 10 prospective studies from developing countries. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 97(4), 896–901. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.047639.
25. Miller LC, Joshi N, Lohani M, Rogers B, Mahato S, Ghosh S, Webb P. Women’s education level amplifies the effects of a livelihoods-based intervention on household wealth, child diet, and child growth in rural Nepal. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2017;16(1):183. doi:10.1186/s12939-017-0681-0.
26. MoHCC. HIV Estimates Report. Harare: Ministry of Health and Child Care, Zimbabwe; 2017.
27. Moyo N, Maharaj P, Mambondiani L. Food challenges facing people living with HIV/AIDS in Zimbabwe. *African Journal of AIDS Research*. 2017;16(3):225–30. doi:10.2989/16085906.2017.1362018.
28. Muderedzi J, Eide AH, Braathen SH, Stray-Pedersen B. Exploring the Relationship Between Food Insecurity, Gender Roles and HIV/AIDS Among Tonga Carers of Disabled Children of Binga in Zimbabwe. *Sexuality Culture*. 2019;23(4):1131–46. doi:10.1007/s12119-019-09610-w.
29. Nalwoga A, Maher D, Todd J, Karabarinde A, Biraro S, Grosskurth H. Nutritional status of children living in a community with high HIV prevalence in rural Uganda: a cross-sectional population-based survey. *Tropical Med Int Health*. 2010;15(4):414–22. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3156.2010.02476.x.
30. Ndirangu M, Wariero JO, Sachs SE, Masibo P, Deckelbaum RJ. Nutritional Status of Under-Five Children in HIV-Affected Households in Western Kenya. *FoodNutr Bull*. 2011;32(2):159–67. doi:10.1177/156482651103200208.
31. Oleske J, Minnefor A, Cooper R Jr, Thomas K, Cruz Ad, Ahdieh H, Guerrero I, Joshi VV, Desposito F. Immune Deficiency Syndrome in Children. *JAMA*. 1983;249(17):2345–9. doi:10.1001/jama.1983.03330410031024.
32. Rosenbaum P, Rubin D. “Constructing a Control Group Using Multivariate Matched Sampling Methods That Incorporate the Propensity Score.” *The American Statistician*. 1985;39(1):33–8. doi:10.1080/00031305.1985.10479383.
33. Rwafa T, Shamu S, Christofides N. Relationship power and HIV sero-status: an analysis of their relationship among low-income urban Zimbabwean postpartum women. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):792. doi:10.1186/s12889-019-7137-y.
34. Sherr L, Mueller J, Varrall R. Evidence-based gender findings for children affected by HIV and AIDS – a systematic overview. *AIDS Care*. 2009;21(sup1):83–97. doi:10.1080/09540120902923105.

35. Shisana O, Rice K, Zungu N, Zuma K. Gender and Poverty in South Africa in the Era of HIV/AIDS: A Quantitative Study. *Journal of Women's Health*. 2010;19(1):39–46. doi:10.1089/jwh.2008.1200.
36. Sunguya BF, Poudel KC, Mlunde LB, Urassa DP, Yasuoka J, Jimba M. Poor nutrition status and associated feeding practices among HIV-positive children in a food secure region in Tanzania: a call for tailored nutrition training. *PloS one*. 2014;9(5):e98308–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098308.
37. UNAIDS. *Women and HIV - A spotlight on adolescent girls and young women*. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2000.
38. UNAIDS. *The HIV/AIDS Pandemic and its Gender Implications* Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). Windhoek: World Health Organization (WHO); 2018.
39. UNAIDS. *UNAIDS data* Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS. Geneva: UNIAIDS; 2019.
40. UNICEF. (2018). *Malnutrition- UNICEF Data*: UNICEF.
41. Weiser SD, Tuller DM, Frongillo EA, Senkungu J, Mukiibi N, Bangsberg DR. Food insecurity as a barrier to sustained antiretroviral therapy adherence in Uganda. *PloS one*. 2010;5(4):e10340–0. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010340.
42. Weiser SD, Young SL, Cohen CR, Kushel MB, Tsai AC, Tien PC, Hatcher AM, Frongillo EA, Bangsberg DR. Conceptual framework for understanding the bidirectional links between food insecurity and HIV/AIDS. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011;94(6):1729S–1739S. doi:10.3945/ajcn.111.012070.
43. Young S, Wheeler AC, McCoy SI, Weiser SD. (2014). A review of the role of food insecurity in adherence to care and treatment among adult and pediatric populations living with HIV and AIDS. *AIDS and Behavior*, 18 Suppl 5(0 5), S505-S515. doi: 10.1007/s10461-013-0547-4.