

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Main</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Previous Work on Neural Inter-Frequency Relationships . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Class 1: Indirect decoding methods . . . . .	1
1.1.2	Class 2: Direct comparison of neural features . . . . .	2
1.2	The Contribution of this Work . . . . .	2
1.3	The Continuous Wavelet Transform . . . . .	3
1.3.1	Choice of Time-Frequency Decomposition . . . . .	3
1.3.2	Wavelet Decomposition . . . . .	3
1.3.3	Choice of the Continuous Wavelet Transform . . . . .	3
1.4	Measuring Inter-Scale Correlations . . . . .	4
1.5	Multiple Testing of Correlation Matrices . . . . .	4
1.6	Our Custom Monte Carlo Test Statistic . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Neural processes reliably have signatures in frequencies as low as the beta band . . . . .	5
2.2	Few low-high frequency correlations . . . . .	7
2.3	The vast majority of significant inter-scale correlations were positive . . . . .	8
2.4	Time-domain artifacts create strong low-mid frequency correlations . . . . .	8
2.5	The neural signatures are chronically robust . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1	Significance of this Work . . . . .	9
3.2	Possible Improvements to the Statistical Test . . . . .	10
3.3	Do only correlated frequency bands contain interesting information? . . . . .	10
3.4	Future work . . . . .	10
<b>4</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Dataset . . . . .	10
4.1.1	Dataset General Characteristics . . . . .	10
4.1.2	Dataset Frequency Characteristics . . . . .	11
4.2	Data Pre-processing . . . . .	11
4.2.1	Spectral Interpolation and Phase Randomisation for Line Noise Removal . . . . .	11
4.2.2	Current Source Density Referencing of Electrodes . . . . .	11
4.2.3	Standardizing the Length of the Recordings . . . . .	11
4.2.4	Pre-whitening of Data . . . . .	11
4.3	Data Post-Processing . . . . .	12
4.3.1	Continuous Wavelet Decomposition and the Morse Wavelet . . . . .	12
4.3.2	COI . . . . .	12
4.3.3	Pearson Correlation Coefficient . . . . .	12
4.3.4	Data post-processing summary . . . . .	13
4.4	CWT Inter-Scale Correlation Significance Test Implementation . . . . .	13
4.4.1	Estimating the Mean White Noise Inter-Scale Correlation Matrix . . . . .	13
4.4.2	Generating the Normal Distribution due to Intra-Scale Autocorrelation . . . . .	14
4.4.3	Summing the Elements to Produce the Null Distribution . . . . .	15
4.4.4	Multiple Testing of Test Statistics under Dependency . . . . .	15
<b>5</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Supplemental Material</b>	<b>16</b>
6.1	Code and Full Results . . . . .	16
6.2	Phase Randomisation Implementation . . . . .	16
6.3	Testing the Normality of the Phase-Randomisation Null Distributions . . . . .	16
6.4	Conservatively Removing the Effects of the Non-Dyadic Sampling . . . . .	16
6.5	Spectral Interpolation Implementation . . . . .	17
6.6	Current Source Density Referencing Implementation . . . . .	20

6.7	Pre-whitening Implementation . . . . .	20
6.8	Pseudo-Random Number Generation for Monte Carlo White Noise Processes . . . . .	21