Effectiveness of the Internet-based Versus Face-to-Face Interaction on Reduction of Tobacco use among Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
Rajesh Kumar¹, Ravi Kant², Poonam Yadav³*, Tamar Rodney⁴, Mukesh Bairwa⁵

¹Assistant Professor, College of Nursing, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India 249203, rajeshrk61@gmail.com
²Additional Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India 249203, drkantr2006@gmail.com
³Ph.D. Scholar, College of Nursing, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India 249203, dryadavpoonam257@gmail.com
⁴Assistant Professor, Johns Hopkins School of Nursing, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD, USA 21205, trodney1@jhmi.edu
⁵Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India 249203, drmukeshbairwa1982@gmail.com

*Corresponding author- Poonam Yadav, Ph.D. Scholar, College of Nursing, All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Rishikesh, Uttarakhand, India 249203, dryadavpoonam257@gmail.com, ORCID ID-0000-0003-0045-6588

Abstract

Background
The burden of tobacco-associated disorders is prevalent worldwide. Over the years, many innovative internet-based approaches have been utilized with variable success to quit tobacco. Though, the effectiveness of internet based and face-to-face interventions on quitting smoking are very well reported in the literature, but due to limitation in methodology and limited sample size, it is required to integrate and analyze these studies’ findings to reach a single conclusion. The study evaluated the effectiveness of the internet as an intervention approach versus face-to-face interaction on reducing tobacco use as control among adults.

Methods
A systematic search was performed through various electronic databases such as Medline, PsychInfo, PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), ResearchGate, Google Scholar, and Academia. Reference lists of the eligible articles were also screened. Full-text articles were included as per eligibility criteria (PICO framework). No ethnicity restriction was applied.

Results
A total of 13 studies were selected for meta-analysis, with 3852 and 3908 participants in intervention and control groups respectively. Forest plot favours the intervention group at one month follow up for tobacco quitting (OR: 2.37, CI: 1.86-3.02, P=0.00001, I²=0%) at three months (OR: 1.88, CI: 1.48-2.40, P=0.00001, I²=42%) at six months (OR: 2.02, CI: 1.64-2.50, P=0.00001, I²=38%) and at 1 year of follow-up (OR: 1.43, CI: 1.18-1.74, P=0.00001, I²=36%) compared to control group.

Conclusion
Internet and web-based interventions are highly useful in tobacco quitting at one month, three months, six months, and one year of follow-up compared to face-to-face interaction or no intervention, although the level of evidence was moderate. Additionally, limited availability of trials in developing countries, arising need for research of internet use in developing countries to quit tobacco.
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Introduction
Tobacco use is the leading cause of avertible and premature deaths worldwide.¹² Burden of tobacco-related disease is increasing in developed and developing countries as well.³ Interestingly, the deaths are declining in developed countries, and the burden is shifting to developing countries.⁴ However, tobacco consumption pattern varies across gender; male vs. female, domicile; rural vs. urban, regions, cultural practices, and family income.⁵ Men are more frequently (23%) indulging in tobacco use than their counterparts (3%).⁶ Quitting any form of smoking is challenging and involves physiological, psychological, and many other factors, including social and environmental milieu.⁷, ⁸ to become successful. In case of smoking cessation, the best use of positive and
negative reinforcements helps to alleviate the withdrawal symptoms and the role of behavioral approaches in smoking cessation cannot be denied.\textsuperscript{[8, 10]}

Over the years, many innovative forms of internet-based approaches have been tried to quit tobacco use globally. The use of health communication and internet-based interventions\textsuperscript{[11, 12]} tailored computerized programs,\textsuperscript{[13]} text messages,\textsuperscript{[14]} mobile or telephone, and WhatsApp for reminder or call,\textsuperscript{[15-18]} app-based intervention,\textsuperscript{[19]} chat-based instant messaging,\textsuperscript{[20]} video assistance using the website and mobile\textsuperscript{[21]} and use of social media,\textsuperscript{[21]} has been vividly used in recent decades to quit smoking among different age groups. Although there is ample research and data regarding the potential influence of the media,\textsuperscript{[22]} face to face health education,\textsuperscript{[23]} cognitive behavior therapy,\textsuperscript{[5, 23]} motivational influences,\textsuperscript{[6]} nurses assisted counseling,\textsuperscript{[24, 25]} on behavioral changes among smokers, there are scanty reports on the internet use, or behavioral interventions. They are neither planned nor conducted rigorously to indicate firm evidence of any encouraging effects on health outcomes.\textsuperscript{[26]}

Interestingly, the use of the internet and other electronic platforms are abundantly present in this era and have almost become the part and parcel of the health care system.\textsuperscript{[26]} A medical expert with just a computer device and internet access and some necessary handling skills can reach many people and communicate inexpensively. Though, the effectiveness of internet based and face-to-face interventions on quitting smoking are very well reported in the literature, every study carries one or another limitation in methodology and limited sample size. Therefore, it is required to integrate and analyze these studies' findings to reach a single conclusion. This study was planned to assess the effectiveness of the internet versus face-to-face interactions on reducing tobacco use among adults.

**Aim**- To study the effectiveness of the internet versus face-to-face interventions on reducing tobacco use among adults.

**Material and methods**

**Data sources and search strategy**

The electronic databases, such as Medline, PsychInfo, PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and Academia, were explored. Reference lists of the eligible articles were also screened. All relevant studies available on the topic were included irrespective of time duration. The systematic search was restricted to studies published in the English language. The keywords were "smoker or smokers OR smoking," "tobacco" OR cigarette OR nicotine OR smoking cessation OR "tobacco consumption OR cessation, OR abstain* OR quit* OR stop* OR computer OR computer-aided design, OR internet, OR computer, OR networks, OR media, OR cellular phone OR mobile, OR text OR message* OR SMS, OR web, OR electronic mail OR Chat, OR video recording.

**Eligibility criteria**

**PICO Framework**

**Participants**

Inclusion: Adult aged more than 18 years who use the internet or face-to-face interventions to reduce or quit tobacco use. No ethnicity restrictions were applied.

Exclusion: Cochrane studies that compare the internet to face-to-face interventions with other interventions.

**Intervention**

Internet interventions (Phone, mobile, WhatsApp, Facebook, Online network group, Online Support group, text messaging, other internet media)

**Comparator**

Face-to-face interventions or no intervention in the comparator group.

Face-to-face interventions include counseling, cognitive behavior therapy, or health education forms with control or routine care.

**Outcome**

Post-intervention tobacco quitting – number of participants quitting tobacco after the intervention (internet use)

**Study design**

Randomized controlled trials

**Time frame**

No restriction to the time frame was applied

**Screening of eligible studies**

A systematic search was done by two reviewers independently. After searching, studies were screened with titles and abstracts of respective studies. All selected studies were imported to Rayyan (https://rayyan.qcri.org), a free web-based software.\textsuperscript{[27]} Two reviewers screened the full text of articles based on eligibility criteria determined as per review protocol. Any relevant discrepancy has been resolved by consensus with the help of third reviewer. We adhered to the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
PRISMA flow chart displays all the steps followed in inclusion and exclusion of studies (Figure 1).

**Data extraction**
Two reviewers extracted the data from the full text of eligible studies. Corresponding authors of included studies were contacted for the relevant data. Data excel sheet was prepared to note the characteristics of selected studies. It includes the author name with publication year, country, sample size, the mean age of participants, male to female ratio, baseline tobacco consumption, and follow-up period after the intervention (Table 1).

Eligible studies were exported to RevMan software for data analysis. Forest plots have been created to present the results with Odds ratio (OR), confidence interval (CI), and effect size.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author/Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Mean age of participants (years)</th>
<th>Interventions/Control</th>
<th>Male/Female (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brendryn (A), 2008[31]</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>A digital multi-media intervention consists of more than 400 contacts by email, Web pages, interactive voice response, and short message service technology</td>
<td>200/200 smokers</td>
<td>35.9 ± 10.0 Control-36.4±10.5</td>
<td>Intervention-49.2/50.8 Control-50.2/49.8 (%)</td>
<td>Intervention 18.3 ± 5.9 Control 18.1 ±5.8 cigs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brendryn (B), 2008[32]</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>A digital multi-media intervention consists of more than 400 contacts by email, Web pages, interactive voice response, and short message service technology without nicotine therapy</td>
<td>144/146 smokers</td>
<td>39.5 ± 11 Control- 39.7±10.8</td>
<td>Intervention-50/50 (%) Control-50/50 (%)</td>
<td>Intervention: 16.6 ± 7.2 Control 17.6 ±7 cigs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burford, 2013[33]</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>A computer-generated photoaging intervention with no treatment group</td>
<td>80/80 smokers</td>
<td>24.2 ± 4.1 Control- 25.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>Intervention-31.3/68.7 (%) Control-43.8/56.2 (%)</td>
<td>Range- &lt;1-&lt;21 Intervention-36.3% smoked 11-20 cigs/day Control-33.8% smoked 11-20 cigs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, 2004[34]</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Internet resources for smoking cessation compared with written self-help material</td>
<td>85/86 smokers</td>
<td>57.8 ± 5.2 Control-57.0 ± 5.3</td>
<td>Intervention-54/46 (%) Control-48/52 (%)</td>
<td>Range- &lt;10-&lt;31 Intervention-48% smoked 11-20 cigs/day Control-44% smoked 11-20 cigs/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calhoun 2016[35]</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Internet intervention and tele-health medication clinic combined with a tele-health medication clinic for nicotine replacement therapy</td>
<td>205/203 smokers</td>
<td>43.3 ±13.6 Control-42.6 ± 14.3</td>
<td>Intervention-85/15 (%) Control-84/16 (%)</td>
<td>Intervention-Control-15.7 ± 8.8 14.6 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Details</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elfeddali, 2012&lt;sup&gt;[36]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Web-based computer-tailored programs</td>
<td>190/202</td>
<td>Twelve months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japuntich, 2006&lt;sup&gt;[37]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Web site which provided information on smoking cessation as well as support</td>
<td>140/144 smokers</td>
<td>Six months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrenc, 2008&lt;sup&gt;[38]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Personalized smoking cessation through an online life magazine</td>
<td>257/260 smokers</td>
<td>Thirty weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonnell, 2010&lt;sup&gt;[39]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Internet self-help smoking cessation programme</td>
<td>272/315 Smokers</td>
<td>Twelve months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oenema, 2008&lt;sup&gt;[40]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>An internet delivered computer tailored lifestyle intervention</td>
<td>1080/1079 smokers</td>
<td>One month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisinger, 2010&lt;sup&gt;[41]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Interactive, individual advice, newly developed by the Research Centre</td>
<td>476/442 smokers</td>
<td>Twelve months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smit, 2012&lt;sup&gt;[42]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>A multiple computer-tailored smoking cessation intervention through the Internet</td>
<td>552/571</td>
<td>1 month and 6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swartz, 2006&lt;sup&gt;[43]&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>A video based internet site that presented current strategies for smoking cessation and motivational materials</td>
<td>171/180 smokers</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Risk of bias assessment**

Two reviewers independently assessed the quality of included studies. Risk of bias graph and summary has been created in Review Manager software 5.4 version under the heads of Random sequence generation (selection bias), Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias), Allocation concealment (selection bias), Blinding of outcome assessment, (detection bias), Selective reporting (reporting bias), Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), and Other bias (Figure 2). The GRADE approach was also followed to explore the quality of evidence on high,
moderate, and low levels. RevMan files were exported to the GRADE Profiler to assess the
quality of studies and create a "Summary of Findings" table (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Anticipated absolute effects (95% CI)</th>
<th>Relative effect (95% CI)</th>
<th>No of participants (studies)</th>
<th>Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco quit at 1 month follow up</td>
<td>217 per 1,000 (179 to 261)</td>
<td>OR 2.37 (1.86 to 3.02)</td>
<td>2531 (5 RCTs)</td>
<td>⨁⨁⨁◯ MODERATE a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco quit at 3 months follow up</td>
<td>269 per 1,000 (225 to 320)</td>
<td>OR 1.88 (1.48 to 2.40)</td>
<td>1733 (5 RCTs)</td>
<td>⨁⨁⨁◯ MODERATE b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco quit at 6 months follow up</td>
<td>224 per 1,000 (190 to 263)</td>
<td>OR 2.02 (1.64 to 2.50)</td>
<td>2774 (6 RCTs)</td>
<td>⨁⨁◯◯ MODERATE a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco quit at one year follow up</td>
<td>248 per 1,000 (214 to 286)</td>
<td>OR 1.43 (1.18 to 1.74)</td>
<td>2757 (6 RCTs)</td>
<td>⨁⨁◯◯ MODERATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data analysis
Review Manager software 5.4 version was used for Meta-analysis. The fixed-effects model and effect measures were calculated as the OR with P-value < 0.05 considered statistically significant. I² statistics with 25, 50, and 75 % were measured to compute statistical heterogeneity in low, moderate, and high grades. Tabulated data presented in a forest plot (Figure 3).

The Funnel plots have also been created to assess the publication bias across studies. It measures an effect estimate against its standard error for an outcome (Figure 4).

Tobacco quitting among participants has been analyzed at one, three, six, and twelve months of follow-up and presented in a forest plot (Figure 3).

Results
A total of 13 articles were found suitable for meta-analysis, with 3852 and 3908 participants in intervention and control groups. All studies revealed data with a sample size ranging from 160 to 2159. Baseline characteristics of included studies have been described in Table 1. All studies have nearly equal male and female participants. Only two studies Lawrence et al. and Pisinger et al. had majority of female participants (Intervention-75.4 % / Control-70.4 %) and (Intervention-63.2 % / Control-63.4 %) respectively. Calhoun et al. had the majority of male participants in the intervention (85 %) and control group (84 %). Two studies measured the outcome at four steps: one, three, six months, and one year. Two studies followed up the participants only for one month. Two studies measured the outcome at six months only. Calhoun et al. measured the outcome at three months and twelve months of internet intervention and tele-health medication clinic unite with a tele-health medication clinic for nicotine replacement therapy. Even four studies assessed the outcome of tobacco use at one year of different web or internet-based intervention.

Subgroup analysis with tobacco quitting outcomes at one, three, six months, and one-year follow-up further lowers the heterogeneity across studies. Sensitivity analysis was done to find the better result with a random effect model. We observed similar results with the random effect model also. Pike KJ et al. have been removed from the analysis due to the huge difference in number of participants in both groups, creating heterogeneity.

The Forest plot favors the intervention group (OR: 2.37, CI: 1.86-3.02, P-0.00001, I² =0%) in
comparison to the control group for quitting tobacco at a one-month follow-up (Figure 3).
The Forest plot also favors the intervention group compared to the control group (OR: 1.88, CI: 1.48-2.40, P=0.00001, \(I^2=42\%\)) for quitting tobacco at three months follow up (Figure 3).
The Forest plot also favors the intervention group compared to the control group (OR: 2.02, CI: 1.64-2.50, P=0.00001, \(I^2=38\%\)) for quitting tobacco at six months follow up (Figure 3).

The Forest plot also favors the intervention group compared to the control group (OR: 1.43, CI: 1.18-1.74, P=0.00001, \(I^2=36\%\)) for quitting tobacco at one year follow up (Figure 3).
The forest plot suggests significantly higher tobacco quitting events in the internet intervention group at one, three, six, and twelve months of follow-up of participants with moderate heterogeneity across the studies.

Figure 3 Forest plot comparing internet intervention with the control group
Risk of bias has been assessed and created a risk of bias graph, and summary of included studies under the heads of selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, reporting bias, and any other bias observed across the studies. It depicts that there was no serious risk of bias across the studies (Figure 2).

**Figure 2 Risk of bias graph and summary**

![Risk of bias graph and summary](image)

A funnel plot has been created to estimate the effect against its standard error for included studies in each outcome (Figure 4).
Discussion
Over the year, many innovative forms of internet-based approaches \[11\] tailored computerized programs,\[13\] text messages,\[14\] mobile or telephone, and WhatsApp for reminder or call,\[15-18\] app-based intervention,\[19\] chat-based instant messaging,\[20\] video assistance using the website and mobile\[8\] and use of social media,\[21\] have been practiced commonly to quit tobacco in different age group population. Although, various methodological issues reduce the ability to estimate the effects of internet-based approaches.

This study evaluated the impact of the internet approaches versus face-to-face interaction on reducing tobacco use in adult population. Results suggestive of significantly higher tobacco quitting events in the internet intervention group than the control group at one month, three months, six months, and one year of follow-up of participants with moderate heterogeneity across the studies. Happy ending, a digital multi-media smoking cessation intervention consists of more than 400 contacts through emails, interactive voice response, Web pages, and short message service compared with self-help booklet, reported higher point abstinence rates in the treatment group in the long-term effect of the intervention.\[31, 32\]

A written list of internet resources for smoking cessation was found more useful than written self-help material to quit smoking for a long-term period of one year.\[34\] Internet-based self-help smoking cessation program, interactive, individual advice, multiple computer-tailored smoking cessation internet interventions, and a video-based internet site presented strategies for motivational materials and smoking cessation found no effect at six months of intervention but the significant effect at 12 months of follow up.\[39, 41, 42, 43\]
Personalized smoking cessation through an online life magazine in young population enhanced smoking cessation at the end of 12 months. [38]

Internet use and telehealth medication clinic combined with a telehealth medication clinic for nicotine replacement therapy reported no significant difference (17% vs. 12%) in comparison to clinical-based smoking cessation after three months of intervention. [35] However, Burford O et al. compared a computer-generated photoaging intervention with no treatment group and reported a higher (27.5%) incidence of smoking quit than the control group (6.3%) at six months follow up. [33] Rabius V et al. reported the follow-up response rate as 38%, and Feil EG et al. achieved 50% responses from participants with monetary incentives. [45, 46] Findings were also reinforced by the researchers that the participants' loss inevitably influences research on the internet for health purposes. [47, 48] After the quit attempts, web-based interventions could be more effective in preventing relapse in the long term, which requires adherence to the intervention for its effectiveness. [36]

Additionally, approach to a website supporting smoking abstinence is not related to smoking cessation. [37] Civljak M et al. reported the strong effect of uniting tailored materials with nicotine replacement therapy on tobacco cessation and a significant positive impact of tailored materials among pre-contemplators. [49] Previous studies compared the tailored to untailored web-based intervention; few studies have observed that tailored web-based intervention is equally efficacious to untailored intervention [50-55] while others have found the tailored intervention to be better in comparison to untailored one. [40, 56, 57]

The internet services should be based on their preference and easily accessible to them who want to quit smoking and seek related information through the internet, need to utilize the internet services for the same. [58] Presently, internet interventions' incremental cost is less than other modalities, facilitating and evaluating online programs for effectiveness. [59] Online interventions also can access smokers and support them in quitting tobacco, which is also firmly associated with the total and physical quality of life among adults. [59, 60] Despite variations in trials, this meta-analysis adds to the evidence for promising approach of the internet-based intervention in modifying behavior, reducing tobacco use and enhancing positive health practices among adults.

**Strength and limitations**

Sub group analysis explored and discussed the possibility of tobacco quitting in adult population at different time points. Sensitivity analysis strengthened the evidence by exploring possible alternate findings. Although, there was lack of uniformity of internet-based approaches in included trials as they had different internet approaches which have been discussed also. (Table 1) Risk of included bias in individual trial also contributed towards the limitation of meta-analysis. (Figure 2)

**Conclusion**

This meta-analysis pooled the data of randomized controlled trials with a limited sample size and wind up that internet use is highly effective in tobacco quitting at one, three, six, and twelve months of follow-up of participants in comparison to face-to-face intervention or no intervention with moderate heterogeneity across the studies and a moderate level of evidence to support the findings. Further studies are required to explore internet interventions' durable adherence among the adult population who their spared maximum time with internet in any form. Additionally, limited availability of trials in developing countries, arising need for research of internet use in developing countries to quit tobacco. Findings provide evidence to policymakers to utilize the internet as an effective instrument for tobacco control in their countries.
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