

Application of support vector machine for the prediction of strip crown in hot strip rolling

Ya-Feng Ji¹, Le-Bao Song¹, Hao Yuan¹, Wen Peng², Hua-Ying Li³, Li-Feng Ma^{1*}

Abstract: In order to enhance the prediction accuracy of the strip crown and improve the quality of final product in the hot strip rolling, an optimized model based upon support vector machine (SVM) is proposed firstly. Meanwhile, for purposes of enriching data information and ensuring data quality, the actual data from a hot-rolled plant are collected to establish prediction model, as well as the prediction performance of models was evaluated by using multiple indicators. Besides, the traditional SVM model and the combined prediction models with the particle swarm optimization (PSO) and the cuckoo search (CS) optimization algorithm are also proposed. Furthermore, the prediction performance comparisons of the three different methods are discussed and validated. The results show that the CS-SVM has the highest prediction accuracy compared to the other two methods, and the root mean squared error (RMSE) of the proposed CS-SVM is 2.05 μm , and 98.11% of prediction data have an absolute error below 4.5 μm . In addition, the results also demonstrated that the CS-SVM not only with faster convergence speed and higher prediction accuracy but can be well applied to the actual hot strip rolling production.

Keywords: Strip crown, Support vector machine, Cuckoo search algorithm, Particle swarm optimization algorithm

1. Introduction

The production of hot-rolled strip occupies an important position in the industrial system, and due to its excellent performance, the hot-rolled strip has been widely using in various aspects of the industry, agriculture, defense and daily life. However, the quality problems of the strip crown may adversely affect the users and the quality of the final product maybe leads to some serious consequences. For example, the deviations of strip crown not only may cause insignificant process disruptions and many issues, but can lead to the shape defects and the product failed, as well as ensuring product quality and improving shape accuracy is always a challenging task [1]. Therefore, hot strip rolling process is of great significance in the fields of manufacturing and processing, moreover, the quality of hot strip crown is particularly critical.

In addition, the production process of hot strip rolling has a large system, complicated mechanism, and many system parameters, and there is a strong coupling between each parameter. Consequently, it is unusually complex to set up an accurate mathematical model. The current strip crown control methods usually adopt a simplified mathematical model, and the production data is used to modify the model in long-term production. Give some examples,

Sun et al. [2] presented a profile distribution model based upon dynamic programming, in which the major parameters are computed by means of the finite element method and through a regression strategy to keep the bending force and shift position within an appropriate scope. He et al. [3] put forward a method of skew rolling, meanwhile, the finite element method was used to simulate the deformation of strips. This method is effective in production, but each process control parameter is not optimal obviously, which effects the further improvement of the strip crown forecast and control accuracy.

The past several decades have witnessed an expeditious development of big data and intelligent control techniques, increasingly researches have begun to use artificial intelligence methods in the study of strip crown control algorithms and a significant amount of researches were conducted to forecast. For example, Ding et al. [4] proposed a load distribution optimization based on max-min ant colony algorithm during the rolling process. Nandan et al. [5] presented a way of evolutionary multi-objective method based upon GA for the strip control. Besides, surface defect classification in large-scale strip steel image collection via hybrid chromosome genetic algorithm was proposed by Hu et al. [6]. Wang et al. [7] utilized the hybrid AMPSO-SVR-Based approach to forecast the strip crown during the

*Correspondence: mlf_zgtyust@163.com

¹School of Mechanical Engineering, Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, P.R. China
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

process of hot-rolled. Furthermore, Abedinia et al. [8] utilized a combinatorial neural network method to forecast the future price. An empirical mode decomposition based ensemble deep learning for load demand time series forecasting was proposed by Qiu et al. [9]. Qureshi et al. [10] proposed a prediction system of wind power using a DNN-based ensemble strategy. Alaei et al. [11] presented online prediction of work roll thermal expansion based on neural network in the hot rolling process.

As known to all, the strip crown of hot rolling is a non-linear problems and the mechanism is extraordinarily complicated for the changes of rolling force, roll speed and other major parameters and variables during the rolling procedures. Artificial neural network, as a kind of typical machine learning methods based on the principle of empirical risk minimization, due to its strong non-linearity and capacity of adaptive information processing, is one of the most fashionable machine learning algorithms currently. However, the performance of neural networks is highly dependent on data samples, and its approximation and generalization capabilities of network models are closely related to the typicality of learning samples.

The SVM, as another prevalent machine learning algorithm which based upon statistical learning theory and structural risk minimization, able to achieve a stronger generalization capability with fewer support vectors [12]. Therefore, in this paper, a strip crown prediction model based upon SVM was established, furthermore, the parameters and variables of prediction model was optimized by CS algorithm to improve its prediction accuracy.

The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows: In Section 2, the definition and main influencing factors of strip crown and interrelate hot-rolled strip is described. Section 3, shows the SVM-based methods and experimental analysis of the SVM, PSO-SVM, and CS-SVM prediction model, followed by the paper's conclusions in Section 4.

2. Hot rolled strip and description of strip crown

2.1 Hot rolled strip

So far, many studies have been made to further comprehend the strip crown control capacity of hot rolling mills in the rolled procedure [13]. Zamanian et al. [14] designed a


type of new mill for the product of a strip with zero strip crown. Zhao et al. [15] proposed a high precision shape model for hot strip rolling. A feedback control of the contour shape in hot-rolled was shown by Schausberger et al. [16]. These days, the product of strips with uniform thickness has great of significance in rolling industries, but it is daunting to accomplish of multifarious existing technical matters such as pair cross mill and high crown control mill, etc.

Nowadays, with the rapid development of computer technology, automation control is increasingly applied to the hot-rolled process. Therefore, a great quantity of rolling data during the hot-rolled process can be easily collected. Furthermore, the data-driven method based on big data can analyze the data and establish a non-linear model of the crown and based upon the data to achieve accurate prediction and control of the crown. It has a broad application prospect and improvement space in the crown control.



Fig.1. Hot rolling production line

The hot rolling production line is illustrated in Fig.1, which created the experimental dataset consist of seven 4-high stand finishing mills. The parameters configuration of the CVC mill are listed in the Table 1 and its structure configuration is shown in the Fig.2 (a & b), in which the finishing mill consists of a couple of work rolls and a pair of backup rolls and the roll gap is adjusted through the upper backup roll, which driven by two hydraulic cylinders.






Fig.2. Structure of a CVC mill

Table 1. Parameters configuration of the CVC mill

Parameters	Values	Unit
Maximum rolling force	50000	kN
Maximum bending force	1200	kN
Maximum rolling speed	21	m/s
Roll shifting	320	mm
Strip thickness	1.2-12.7	mm
Strip width	700-1450	mm
Work roll diameter	630-700	mm

2.2 The definition of strip crown and main influencing factors

Strip shape includes crown and flatness, and the profile is described as crown and flatness is depicted differential elongation[17]. It is generally considered that the thickness changes between the left and right marking points of the hot rolled strip is parabolic, so the profile is used to represent shape characteristics of the cross-section. In addition, as shown in Fig.3, the absolute strip crown is defined as the difference between the thickness at the midpoint of the strip in the width direction and the average of the thickness of the marked points at 40mm from the edge of the band on both sides.




Fig.3. Strip crown model of hot rolling

$$CR = h_c - \frac{h_{ds} + h_{os}}{2} \quad (1)$$

where CR is the strip crown, h_{ds}, h_{os}, h_c are the drive side, operator side and center thickness of hot rolled strip, respectively.

As the two main indicators of shape control, the relationship between strip crown and straightness is not relatively independent, but is mutually constrained, and is inseparable from

each other. According to the flatness condition of the strip, the premise of ensuring the good flatness of the strip is to first ensure that the strip has qualified crown accuracy, and the key to the crown control is the roll gap shape control. Therefore, the first task to solve the shape control problem is to ensure the hit of the strip crown. In engineering, different specifications and grades correspond to different models, and it is complex to build a reasonable strip crown model that suitable for various circumstances and situations. So, it is general practice to decompose non-linear problems into linear issues to further simplify the process of building models.

There are many factors affecting the strip crown during the rolling process, which are mainly refers to two aspects, the rolled product and the rolling conditions. However, the current precision requirements of strip crown control can no longer meet, relying on traditional analytical methods and control theories, which are mainly manifested in three aspects: first of all, the simplified conditions in the modelling process have greatly restricted the further improvement of the accuracy of the strip crown model. Next, the complexity of the site conditions and the difficulty of measuring some special variables directly and in real-time also increase the trouble for further raising the precision of the strip crown model. Third, the difficulty of feedback control is also a key factor hindering the improvement of strip crown accuracy.

Therefore, it has become increasingly necessary to explore a new control method to improve the strip crown precision of hot-rolled strip. In this trend, artificial intelligence control has emerged as an advanced stage in the development of control theory. It is mainly used to dispose of complex system problems that are highly difficult to solve by traditional control strategies. Strip crown control in the rolling process is a sophisticated dynamic process, which includes lots of difficulties such as multivariable, non-linear and strong coupling. This is exactly the problem that artificial intelligence methods are good at solving. Therefore, research on industrial big data and artificial intelligence-based the high-precision strip crown prediction model undoubtedly has a broad theoretical significance and practical application value.

3. The SVM-based methods and experimental analysis

3.1 The SVM-based methods

Most of the practical issues about classification or regression, in fact, are always non-linear and complex, so that the ideal classification surface also should be non-linear. During classification, the SVM attempts to search for a hyperplane between two different types of data in the feature space [18]. As for as the regression problems, as shown in Fig.4, the method for SVM to deal with non-linear problems is to mapping the training set from original pattern to a high-dimensional feature space through a non-linear transformation of a specific function. Generally, given a set of non-linear samples data $[x_i, y_i] \subset R^n \times R$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where x is input value, y is corresponding objective value.




Fig.4. The principle of SVM

The SVM regression function is defined as following formula:

$$f(x) = \omega^T x + d \quad (2)$$

The objective function can be gained using the following formula:

$$\Phi(\omega) = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m L(f(x_i), y_i) \right\} \quad (3)$$

where ω, d are the regression factors, C is the regularization parameter, $L(\bullet)$ is the loss function.

Assume that the non-linear mapping is: $x \rightarrow \varphi(x)$, at this time, the objective function becomes as follows:

$$Q(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j \langle \varphi(x_i), \varphi(x_j) \rangle \quad (4)$$

where α_i, α_j are the Lagrange multipliers.

Additionally, the radial basis function is expressed as follows:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (5)$$

Finally, the decision function of SVM regression model will be calculated by following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) \langle \varphi(x_i), \varphi(x) \rangle + d \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i - \alpha_i^*) K(x_i, x) + d \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The PSO is one of the representative swarm intelligence optimization algorithms to deal with multi-objective optimization problems (MOPs) and an evolutionary computation technique. It originated from the research of bird predation behavior and proposed by Kennedy, et al. in the 20th century. The fundamental principle of PSO is through cooperation and information sharing among individuals in the group to search for an optimal solution. Due to its simple, easy to operate and there are no adjustments for lots of parameters, the PSO algorithm has been widely applied to many of practical optimization issues.

The CS optimization algorithm is an emerging heuristic algorithm firstly put forward by Professor Xin-she Yang and S. Deb in 2009. CS, as a more effective algorithm than other swarm optimization algorithms, has been developed by simulating the breed behavior of cuckoos. It is a population based search procedure which is used as an optimization method and tool, in solving complicated and non-linear optimization issues. Simultaneously, the CS algorithm also adopts the relevant Levy flight search mechanism, which can effectively solve the optimization problem. Comparing with other heuristic searches such as genetic algorithm (GA) [19], PSO [20], and Artificial bee colony (ABC) [21], the main advantages of CS algorithm are fewer parameters, simple operation, easy implementation, excellent random search paths, strong generation ability, and able to converge to the global optimal. The main idea of CS is based on two strategies: the nest parasitism of cuckoos and Levi's flight mechanism. Through the random walk way to search for an optimal host nest to hatch their eggs, this way can achieve an efficient optimization model, which is expressed as:

$$X_{t+1} = X_t + \beta \times L(\lambda) \quad (7)$$

where t is generation number of current, β is generated from -1 to 1, $L(\lambda)$ is the Levy random search path, and $L \sim u = t^{-\lambda}$.

3.2 Data pre-processing

A great quantity of data samples were collected from a hot-rolled manufactory to build SVM-based prediction model of strip crown and the framework of strip crown prediction as

shown in Fig. 5.




Fig.5. Framework of strip crown prediction

To utmost recover the facticity of the information of samples data and accomplish a reliable experimentation result, samples data handling is fundamental instead of directly using. The description of dataset as shown in Table 2, in which 40 major variables are synthetically picked out to prove the capability of these set up predictive model and obtain a final model with

great completeness and strong robustness. Besides, all the experimental data comes from a hot strip rolling plant and part of the data are listed in Table 3. In truth, the original data always comprise lots of noise and outliers, which may bring about misleading predictions. In addition, the predicted data based on the prediction model have strong correspondence to the measured data [22].


Table 2. Parameter description of input for SVM model

Number	Parameter	Unit
1~7	Rolling force (F1~F7)	kN
8~14	Rolling speed (F1~F7)	m/ s
15~21	Bending force (F1~F7)	kN
22~28	Rolling gap value (F1~F7)	mm
29~35	Roll shifting	mm
36	Exit thickness	mm
37	Entrance temperature	°C
38	Finishing temperature	°C
39	Strip width	mm
40	Strip crown	μm

Table 3. Part of experimental data

No.	Rolling force(kN)	Bending force(kN)	...	Roll shifting (mm)	Thickness (mm)	Width (mm)	...	Finishing Temp(°C)	Strip crown(μm)
1	16576	870		-53.82	5.59	880		879.06	52.46
2	24612	826		-37.31	3.87	862		868.75	49.05
3	19259	1206		63.08	3.00	867		866.88	53.33
4	17420	866		42.68	2.53	871		867.81	41.98
5	22342	719		11.64	2.01	879		854.06	44.68
⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	⋮	⋮		⋮	⋮
2700	17429	838		111.91	1.80	870		860.63	25.11
2701	17859	880		77.83	1.79	869		879.34	22.68


In the Fig. 6 (a and b), a three-dimensional visual map of the data shows distinct distributions of those vital variables and parameters which mostly impact the strip crown among the process of hot strip rolling. The values of strip crown increases from 10 to 70μm, and with the change of the horizontal and vertical coordinates, it presents a messy distribution. That is to say, not only this generous samples point, but also its tremendous disorder, can partly demonstrate that the strip crown prediction models established using this dataset will have a strong robustness in practical hot strip rolling production.



a. Bending force and rolling force b. Roll shifting and finishing temperature

Fig.6. 3D visualization diagrams of data

Of course, there is no doubt that the large amount of raw data collected from a hot-rolled plant certainly contains a lot of noise and



b. 3D views

Fig.8. Selection result of parameters (c & g)

In Fig.8 (a and b), the contour lines and 3D views indicate that the accuracy and mean squared error of the CV algorithm.

(2) The PSO-SVM model

Compared with the CV method, the PSO doesn't need to select the range of optimization parameter multiple times, as well as has faster convergence speed and the better optimization capacity. In most cases, the PSO can converge to an optimal solution faster than CV method. The step of using PSO algorithm for optimizing SVM parameters is depicted as follows:

Step 1 Load the whole processed experimental data and divide it into two parts: one is the training set (70%) and another is the testing set (30%). All of the training samples (1801) are used as training the model of SVM and the remaining data (900) are used as testing the predictive capability of established model.

Step 2 Search for the best (c & g) combination by the PSO algorithm and repeated the process until it approaches the condition of stopping.

Step 3 Output the best combination searched by the PSO algorithm.

Step 4 Establish the SVM regression model using the optimal (c & g) combination parameters.

Step 5 Calculate the criteria of prediction model and evaluate its performance.

(3) The CS-SVM model

PSO algorithm is based upon the population instead of the gradient information, so, it has the ability to search the optimal solution in the global solution space, as well as the PSO algorithm also has disadvantages with premature convergence, low search precision and efficiency during the later iterations [23]. The CS, as a more effective algorithm than other swarm

optimization algorithms, is considered to an optimization technique and tool, in handling complicated, non-linear and many of optimization issues. At the same time, the CS also adopts to the relevant Levy flight search mechanism, which can deal with the optimization problems effectively. The step of the algorithm process for optimizing SVM parameters using CS is same as PSO-SVM model. The workflow of optimizing SVM parameters by using CS algorithm is illustrated in Fig.9.




Fig.9. The workflow of optimizing SVM parameters by using CS algorithm

3.4 Experimental results and discussions

To pursue a perfect experimental results as much as possible, mean absolute error (MAE), mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) and RMSE are employed to measure the performance of established strip crown prediction models. In addition, the mean square error (MSE) and square correlation coefficient (R^2) as criteria are adopted to comprehensively evaluate the prediction accuracy as well.


The mathematical formulas are listed in the following formula:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_{ii} - x_i| \quad (15)$$

$$MAPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_{ii} - x_i}{x_i} \right) \quad (16)$$


$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{ii} - x_i)^2} \quad (17)$$

where x_i is the measured values, x_{ii} is the predicted values.




a. MAE, MAPE and RMSE b. MSE and R²


Fig.10. Prediction performance of proposed model



b. PSO-SVM



a. SVM



c. CS-SVM

Fig.11. Error histogram for prediction of proposed model

Table 4. The test results of CS-SVM model

Parameters	Measured value of parameter	Strip crown (μm)		Changed value of parameter	Strip crown (μm)		Absolute error(μm)
		Actual values	Predicted values		Actual values	Predicted values	
Rolling speed (m/ s)	10.26	50.50	50.13	+0.3	52.92	53.15	0.23
Bending force (kN)	1206.21	53.83	54.07	-100	48.27	50.05	1.78
Rolling gap value(mm)	2.38	23.10	23.51	+0.2	21.79	22.03	0.24
Exit thickness (mm)	2.50	46.35	44.10	+0.1	39.72	38.59	1.13
Entrance Temp (°C)	1035.94	34.63	32.83	-10	35.94	35.27	0.67
Finishing Temp (°C)	860.63	29.56	28.43	-10	32.22	28.54	3.68
Strip width (mm)	870.00	18.16	18.13	+2	19.20	19.14	0.06

Fig.11 shows the error histogram for prediction of proposed model comparison for the SVM, PSO-SVM, and CS-SVM. Apparently, 98.11% of the CS-SVM model predicted data has an absolute error below 4.5μm. The evaluate criteria of prediction model of SVM, PSO-SVM, and CS-SVM shown in Fig. 10, the strip crown predictive capacity of CS-SVM is preferably higher. Moreover, in Fig. 10, from SVM to CS-SVM, the values of MAE, MAPE, and RMSE decline distinctly, and it has the best performance.

Besides, the reliability of the input data that may significantly but in an unknown or unrecorded manner rely on the experimental

conditions or even human factors, are critical for the facticity of the predicted results. Therefore, for fully and comprehensively validate the effectiveness and predictive performance of this model, we specifically keep the remaining parameters unchanging and changing one of the parameters that add a step signal for prediction in a manufactory, and verify the prediction results by comparison with actual strip crown values. The test results of CS-SVM prediction model is shown in Table.4.

In Table.4, only one of the parameters and variables changed as the testing set for prediction and compared with the actual data from a hot rolled plant. The prediction accuracy

and effectiveness of this CS-SVM model has been proven once again.

4. Conclusions

For the purpose of efficiently and accurately control the strip crown during the hot rolling process, systematically introduces three SVM-based models to predict the strip crown in this paper. First, a tremendous amount of samples were assembled to established prediction models and through a smoothing method to remove noise and outliers during the samples data. Next, prediction accuracy comparisons of SVM, PSO-SVM, and CS-SVM were presented. Furthermore, prediction performance was synthetically evaluated by calculating MAE, MAPE, MSE, RMSE, and R^2 . Finally, the proposed model was validated and the following are some of the concluding remarks of this study:

1. The main advantages of the CS algorithm, compared with PSO and GA, are fewer parameters, simple operation, easy implementation, excellent random search paths, strong optimization ability, and able to converge to the global optimal.
2. In handling complicated, non-linear and non-convex optimization issues, the CS-SVM has unique advantages, which not only faster convergence speed but higher prediction accuracy compared with SVM and PSO-SVM.
3. Experimental results clearly show the improved of prediction performance compared with the SVM, and PSO-SVM. Among the three methods, the CS-SVM has the highest prediction accuracy, in which 98.11% of the predict data have an absolute error of less than 4.5 μ m.

Authors' Information

Ya-Feng Ji, born in 1986, is currently an associate professor at *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, China*. His main research interests include the metal forming and AI applications in manufacturing processes. E-mail: yafengji@tyust.edu.cn

Le-Bao Song, born in 1993, is currently a master candidate at *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, China*. His research interest is AI applications in manufacturing processes. E-mail: s212008522@163.com

Hao Yuan, born in 1996, is currently a master candidate at *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, China*. His research interest is AI applications in manufacturing processes.

E-mail: S20190209@stu.tyust.edu.cn

Wen Peng, born in 1987, is currently an associate professor at *Northeastern University, China*. His main research interests include the metal forming and advanced manufacturing technology. E-mail: pengwen233@163.com

Hua-Ying Li, born in 1981, is currently an associate professor at *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, China*. His main research interests include the metal forming and advanced manufacturing technology. E-mail: lhyemail@163.com

Li-Feng Ma, born in 1977, is currently a professor and a PhD candidate supervisor at *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, China*. His main research interests include heavy machinery design theory and system complete sets of technology. E-mail: mlf_zgtyust@163.com

Author details

¹ School of Mechanical Engineering, Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, P.R. China.

² State Key Laboratory of Rolling and Automation, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, Liaoning, P.R. China.

³ School of Materials Science and Engineering, Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, Taiyuan 030024, Shanxi, P.R. China.

Authors' contributions

YF and LF conceived and designed the study. LB and YH performed the experiments. YF wrote the paper. PW and HY reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements: The National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.51704067); The Open Research Fund from the State Key Laboratory of Rolling and Automation, Northeastern University, (Grant No. 2017RALKFKT009); Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province, China (Grant No.201901D111243); The Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant No.N180704006) and the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (Grant No. 2019-KF-25-05).

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] J F Deng, J Sun, W Peng, Y H Hu, D H Zhang. Application of neural networks for predicting hot rolled strip crown. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 2019, 78: 119-31.
- [2] W Q Sun, B Li, J Shao. Research on crown & flatness allocation strategy of hot rolling mills. *Int. J. Simul. Model*, 2016, 15: 327-340.
- [3] Y He, E J Hilinski. Skew rolling and its effect on

- the deformation textures of non-oriented electrical steels. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2016, 242: 182-195.
- [4] J G Ding, G S Ma, W Peng. Load Distribution Optimization Based on Max-Min Ant Colony Algorithm in Hot Strip Rolling Process. *Metallurgist*, 2018, 62 (7-8): 837-846.
- [5] R Nandan, R Rai, R Jayakanth, S Moitra, etc. Regulating crown and flatness during hot rolling: A multi-objective optimization study using genetic algorithms. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 2005, 20.
- [6] H J Hu, Y Liu, M F Liu, L Q Nie. Surface defect classification in large-scale strip steel image collection via hybrid chromosome genetic algorithm. *Neurocomputing*, 2016, 181: 86-95.
- [7] Z H Wang, Y M Liu, D Y Gong, etc. A new predictive model for strip crown in hot rolling by using the hybrid AMPSO-SVR-Based approach. *steel research international*, 2018, 89(7).
- [8] O Abedinia, N Amjady, M Shafie-Khah, J P S Catalao. Electricity price forecast using combinatorial neural network trained by a new stochastic search method. *Energy Convers. Manage*, 2015, 105: 642-654.
- [9] X H Qiu, Y Ren, P N Suganthan, G A J Amaratunga. Empirical Mode Decomposition based ensemble deep learning for load demand time series forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 2017, 54: 246-255.
- [10] A S Qureshi, A Khan, A Zameer, A Usman. Wind power prediction using deep neural network based meta regression and transfer learning. *Appl. Soft Comput*, 2017, 58: 742-755.
- [11] H Alaei, M Salimi, A Nourani. Online prediction of work roll thermal expansion in a hot rolling process by a neural network. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2016, 85: 1769-1777.
- [12] Z H Wang, D Y Gong, X Li, G T Li, D H Zhang. Prediction of bending force in the hot strip rolling process using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm (ANN-GA). *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2017, 93: 3325-3338.
- [13] H B Li, Z W Zhao, J Zhang, N Kong, R R Bao, S H Jia, F He. Analysis of flatness control capability based on the effect function and roll contour optimization for 6-h CVC cold rolling mill. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2019, 100: 2387-2399.
- [14] A Zamanian, S Y Nam, S M Hwang. A New Mill Design for the Production of a Strip with Zero Strip Crown. *steel research international*, 2019, 90 (11).
- [15] J W Zhao, X C Wang, Q Yang, etc. High precision shape model and presetting strategy for strip hot rolling. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2019, 265: 99-111.
- [16] F Schausberger, A Steinboeck, A Kugi. Feedback Control of the Contour Shape in Heavy-Plate Hot Rolling. *IEEE T CONTR SYST T*, 2018, 26 (3) : 842-856.
- [17] K X Peng, H Zhong, L Zhao, K Xue, Y D Ji. Strip shape modeling and its setup strategy in hot strip mill process. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2014, 72: 589-605.
- [18] X F Li, S J Wu, X Y Li, H Y, D Zhao. Particle Swarm Optimization-Support Vector Machine Model for Machinery Fault Diagnoses in High-Voltage Circuit Breakers. *Chinese Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, 2020, 33, 6.
- [19] B Yuce, Y Rezgui, M Mourshed. ANN-GA smart appliance scheduling for optimised energy management in the domestic sector. *Energ Buildings*, 2016, 111: 311-325.
- [20] Y S Kong, S Abdullah, D Schramm, M Z Omar and S M Haris. Design of artificial neural network using particle swarm optimisation for automotive spring durability. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 2019, 33: 5137-5145.
- [21] D Ekmekci. A Pheromonal Artificial Bee Colony (pABC) Algorithm for Discrete Optimization Problems. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 2019, 33 (11): 935-950.
- [22] X B Ma, D C Wang, H M Liu, S Zhang. Influence of profile indicators of hot-rolled strip on transverse thickness difference of cold-rolled silicon steel. *Metallurgical Research & Technology*, 2018, 116 (1).
- [23] C Ma, L Zhao, X Mei, etc. Thermal error compensation of high-speed spindle system based on a modified BP neural network. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol*, 2016, 89 (9): 3071-3085.