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Abstract

Background: Multiple myeloma bone disease (MMBD) is indicative of multiple myeloma (MM), and it will reduce patient life quality. In addition to oncological, antineoplastic systemic therapy, surgical therapy in patients with MM represents an essential treatment pillar within the framework of supportive therapy measures and is the task of orthopedic tumor surgery. Nevertheless, there are few reports about applying intramedullary (IM) nailing in treating MM-induced proximal humeral fracture to prevent fixation loss. This paper aims to describe a case of pathological fracture of the proximal humerus caused by multiple myeloma effectively treated with IM nailing without removal of tumors and review the current literature.

Case presentation: This study reported a 64-year-old male patient complaining of serious left shoulder pain and limited movement. X-ray films showed left proximal humeral fracture accompanying with osteoporosis and bone destruction. During the preoperative examinations, tumor markers, whole-body bone imaging and bone marrow biopsy were performed. The patient was finally diagnosed with multiple myeloma (IgA\textsubscript{λ}, IIIA/II). After the treatment of pathological fracture with IM nailing, the patient’s function recovered and the pain was relieved rapidly. The visual analogue scale (VAS) reduced by 7 points to 2 points postoperatively compared with that preoperatively. Histopathological examination results presented plasma cell myeloma. Next, the patient received chemotherapy in the hematology department. Humeral fracture displayed good union in the 40-month follow-up, with complete healing of fracture, and the clinical outcome was still satisfactory.

Conclusion: The pathological fracture of proximal humerus caused by multiple myeloma should be treated by surgery early. IM nail can be used for this kind of fracture without removal of tumors, bone cement augmentation for bone defect or local adjuvant therapy was also employed. Under the combined treatment, the proximal humerus fracture can eventually heal.

Background

Multiple myeloma (MM) represents a kind of plasma cell dyscrasia that is featured by immortalized monoclonal plasma cell proliferation within bone marrow. Different from additional bone metastatic cancers, no new bone is formed in osteolytic bone disease of MM.\textsuperscript{[1]} Complications of osteolysis are fractures of the long bones, which often occur in the proximal humerus and femur - usually after a minor trauma or atraumatic - and vertebral body fractures.\textsuperscript{[2]} The clinical presentations and radiological features are unspecific, making it more difficult for diagnosis. IM nailing accounts for an approach to treat humeral diaphysis fracture with minimal invasion, and it is advantageous in its small lesion, reduced operation time, little soft tissue dissection, as well as early recovery.\textsuperscript{[3]} But, it remains controversial about whether IM nailing should be applied to treat proximal humeral fracture because it may lead to fixation loss.\textsuperscript{[4]} As a result, IM nailing is currently restricted to the treatment of diaphyseal fractures.\textsuperscript{[3, 5–9]} So far, few studies have described the techniques applied in proximal humeral fractures, particularly, the skeletal defect is not augmented by the application of bone cement. This study reported a 64-year-old male
patient suffering from MMBD in proximal humerus. He received combined therapy with IM nailing and chemotherapy. Additionally, related reports of MMBD are reviewed.

**Case Presentation**

We report a case of a 64-year-old man who came to our emergency department complaining of the left shoulder pain. This case had mild left shoulder trauma due to accidental falling down from the standing height when he was walking. Besides, his past medical history included atrial fibrillation treated with aspirin enteric-coated tablets (Bayer S.P.A), metoprolol succinate sustained release tablets (AstraZeneca AB) and chronic atrophic gastritis. The patient denied any history of smoking, alcohol consumption or drug medication, or any family history of pathological fracture or osteoporosis. Physical examination demonstrated swelling of the left shoulder, localized tenderness, and percussion pain on the proximal humerus, and limited shoulder motion. The muscular tone of the upper limb was normal, and no hypoesthesia of the upper limb was observed. Physiological reflexes were existent without any pathological ones.

Initially, the X-ray films of the left shoulder were obtained, showing only comminuted fractures and degenerative changes in the upper left humerus (Fig. 1). Then, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed to show the abnormal signal intensity of proximal humerus associated with comminuted fracture, and there existed multiple soft tissue masses around the broken end, ranging from 4.8cm * 3.9cm, which presented as hypointensity and isointensity, as well as hybrid hyperintensity on T1-weighted (T1-W) together with T2-W images, respectively. The left humerus, glenoid, and clavicle had multiple sheet-like hyperintensity images on T2 weighted (T2-W) images (Fig. 1). It was highly suspected that the patient had a neoplastic lesion with radiological characteristics.

Our case received further laboratory tests and imaging examinations. As revealed by CT scans and X-ray examinations, multiple areas of reduced bone density throughout the body. Besides, high 18-F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18-FDG) metabolism was observed in several ribs on bone scans, the fifth lumbar vertebra (L5), and left proximal humerus (Fig. 2). In contrast, routine laboratory evaluation on admission except anemia remained unremarkable. Finally, plasma cell myeloma was diagnosed by bone marrow aspirate and trephine that microscopically, hematopoiesis accounted for 40%, the ratio of granulocyte to erythrocyte was approximately 2:1, and megakaryocytes were 2–7 / hpf. Additionally, multifocal plasmacytoid cells aggregation was also observed. The immunochemistry showed: CD38 (+), kappa (+), lambda (+); MPO (+), CD61 (+) and CK (-) (Fig. 3).

Following consultation with anesthesiologists and medical oncologists about the perioperative risks as well as life expectancy of the patient, locked IM nailing (Sanatmetal Orthopaedic & Traumatologic Equipment Manufacturer Ltd.) was chosen for strongly fixing the humeral fracture via the trans-deltoid muscle approach. With greater trochanter as the entry point, an IM nailing was directly inserted into the humeral medullary cavity without removal of tumors. Additionally, the bone defect was not augmented with bone cement. No local adjuvant therapy was employed. We inserted the nail with great caution not to
impinge the acromion or rotator cuff tendons by the nail proximal end. In addition, we placed 3 proximal screws as well as 2 distal interlocking screws into this case. On the first day after surgery, the patient was encouraged to move his shoulder gently. At 1 week after surgery, the patient was encouraged to conduct passive stretching as well as gravity-resistance exercises.

After surgery, our case had continuously relieved pain and improved activity in the left upper limb, and no complication was reported. At 24 h postoperatively, the visual analogue score (VAS) declined by 7 points to 2 points. The histopathological examination results of the sample revealed MM (IgAλ, IIIA/II). One week postoperatively, the patient regularly received the dexamethasone and bortezomib regimen chemotherapy at the department of hematology until now. In the 40-month follow-up, the humeral fracture exhibited favorable, complete lesion healing was achieved (Fig. 4), and no pain was reported in our case. In comparison with the contralateral shoulder, forward flexion, and abduction were limited at the terminal 10°, while complete external and internal adduction and rotations were achieved.

**Discussion And Conclusion**

MM occupies 1% of cancer cases, as well as about 10% of hematologic cancer cases.\[^{10}\] MM shows a relatively higher morbidity in male than in female, and its morbidity is two-fold in the blacks as high as that in the whites.\[^{11,12}\] MM will affect the old population, and 70% cases are diagnosed when they are 60 years old.\[^{13}\] MM stands for a kind of plasma cell dyscrasia that is featured by immortalized monoclonal plasma cell growth within bone marrow. Nearly each MM case progresses from the asymptomatic monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) at the pre-malignant stage.\[^{14,15}\] Moreover, MGUS will further develop into MM or associated cancers at an annually growing rate of 1%.\[^{16,17}\]

Bone lesions induced by MM are indicative of MM, and they will reduce the patient life quality. About 80% cases develop osteolytic bone disorders when they are diagnosed, besides, they are linked with a higher risk of skeletal-related events (SREs) that will increase the morbidity as well as mortality.\[^{18}\] About 60% MM cases have fractures in the course of disease.\[^{19}\] The uncoupled bone-remodeling process lays the pathogenic foundation of bone disorders associated with myeloma. The myeloma cell-bone microenvironment interaction will eventually activate osteoclasts while suppressing osteoblasts, giving rise to bone loss. Multiple intercellular as well as intracellular signal transduction pathways participate in the complicated course of MM. The crosstalk mediated by myeloma across diverse molecular pathways forms a forward feedback to maintain survival of myeloma cells as well as keep the decomposition of bone, even after reaching the disease plateau.\[^{20}\]

MM is diagnosed when at least one myeloma defining event (MDE) and at least 10% clonal plasma cells are detected through bone marrow examinations or when plasmacytoma is detected in a biopsy. MDEs are constituted by the recognized CRAB (hyperCalcaemia, Renal failure, Anaemia, Bony lesions) characteristics and 3 representative biomarkers, including over one focal lesion detected through MRI, ≥
60% plasma cells in clonal bone marrow, as well as $\geq 100$ serum free light chain (FLC).\textsuperscript{[21]} In the case of suspicious MM in clinic, M protein testing is recommended by combining serum immunofixation (SIFE), serum protein electrophoresis (SPEP), as well as serum FLC assay.\textsuperscript{[22]} About 2% MM cases develop the real non-secretory disorder with no M protein tested in the above-mentioned tests.\textsuperscript{[23]} Positron emission tomography/computed tomographic (PET/CT) and low-dose whole body computed tomography (WB-CT) scans are the best ways to assess the bone disorder severity,\textsuperscript{[22, 24]} which can show the changes of bones and the soft tissues more clearly, and display the boundaries of the lesion. Plain radiographs are usually non-specific which even underestimate the extent of the lesion, and they are performed only when no other advanced imaging can be accessed. MRI is a particularly useful imaging technique of choice due to its noninvasive nature and greater anatomic detail, particularly when smoldering multiple myeloma (SMM) is suspected, so as to eliminate the risk of focal lesion in the bone marrow observed prior to the occurrence of the actual osteolytic disorder. MRI also greatly contributes to the assessment of suspicious cord compression and extramedullary disorder, and when it is necessary to visualize a certain symptomatic region.

MM accounts for a disorder with progressive relapse. The MM cases may possibly recur with clinical symptoms or with biochemical disorders. Holistic and multidisciplinary treatment is needed for each MM case.\textsuperscript{[13]} Treatment qualification involves multiple factors, which needs the collaboration from radiotherapists, orthopedic surgeons, radiologists, hematologists and anesthesiologists. In this regard, it is necessary to construct a prognostic model to predict disease stage, evaluate patient general conditions, and detect the underlying chronic disorders or the possible adjuvant treatments.\textsuperscript{[2]} For optimizing the outcomes for individuals, elucidating the most suitable maintenance treatment or continuous therapy for specific patient group is important; besides, it is important to consider the clinical safety, effectiveness, tolerability, life quality, convenience, feasibility, together with long-time treatment burden on the patients.\textsuperscript{[21]}

In addition to oncological and antineoplastic systemic therapy, surgical therapy in patients with MM represents an essential treatment pillar within the framework of supportive therapy measures and is the task of orthopedic tumor surgery. For SREs related to myeloma, surgery is mainly performed for the sake of maintaining and restoring the involved skeletal function and recovery, reducing patient sufferings like (pain) and improving patient mobility as well as life quality.\textsuperscript{[25, 26]} There is a need for surgical intervention not only for the care and treatment of stability-threatening bone lesions and manifest pathological fractures, but also for the treatment of tumor-related complications, such as neurological deficits, paraplegic clinical pictures or in the case of conservative therapy-refractory bone pain. The surgical methods and time of treatment should be decided individually depending on the risk and prognostic outcomes for myeloma cases.\textsuperscript{[25]} Osteolyses of non-supporting skeletal sections such as the ribs, skull or scapula usually do not require surgical treatment. The physical status grade established by the American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) has been extensively utilized to evaluate the patient general conditions or anesthesia tolerance. Patients with < 2 months survival or ASA = 4 will be treated using conservative methods instead of surgery.\textsuperscript{[27]}
Surgery is usually conducted to treat the MM-induced proximal humeral fracture, so as to relief patient suffering and recover the involved bone function and mobility, as well as patient life quality. However, surgical strategies are complicated and demanding.\[28\]

Endoprosthetic reconstruction has been extensively used to treat proximal humeral fracture, but it can not achieve satisfactory effect on the impaired function. Prosthesis can be a good way to relieve pain and fix the fracture, but it has poor functional recovery than other treatments.\[6,29–31\] Besides, more tendons and muscles are sacrificed during resection, which inevitably impair the function.\[30\] At the same time, plate fixation is associated with numerous drawbacks, like short protection length, massive soft tissue stripping, and risk of nerve injury.\[8,32,33\] Beside, local relapse may give rise to fixation loss or the need of a second operation.\[29,34\] As a result, plates are restricted in treating metastasis.

IM nailing is suggested to be unsuitable to treat proximal humeral fracture because of the bone defect and thin cortex following curettage.\[29\] Therefore, at present, IM nailing is restricted to treating diaphyseal fractures.\[5\] Our results reveal that IM nailing can serve as an efficient and robust way to treat proximal humeral fracture. Generally speaking, applying IM nailing to fix proximal humeral fracture is advantageous in its decreased operation time, small lesion, decreased soft tissue dissection, as well as early recovery.

In this case, we applied the technique in treating proximal humeral fracture, particularly when no bone cement is used to augment the lesion. Finally, at our follow-up visits, our case had markedly relieved pain and improved shoulder function. At the 40-month follow-up, the patient had favorably healed humeral fracture, complete lesion healing was observed, and no pain was reported by the patient. In comparison with contralateral shoulder, the forward flexion and abduction were limited at the terminal 10°, meanwhile, complete external and internal adduction and rotations were achieved.

Despite it is generally incurable, the long-time survival of MM is greatly improved because more treatments are developed recently. Besides, the improved survival is also associated with the application of early treatment.\[35\] As suggested by randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that apply modern treatments, MM has the median survival of about 6 years. The appropriate treatment for metastases is needed to prolong patient survival.\[36\]

Although this technique has achieved satisfactory effect, there are few reports that describe it for the treatment of pathological fractures of the proximal humerus caused by MM. Therefore, it is necessary to conduct a large sample of studies to further validate the efficacy and to identify the surgical indications and related complications.

The patient was satisfied with the current functional recovery and the treatment of primary disease, and agreed to report his case, hoping to benefit more patients suffering from the same disease.
To conclude, when pathological fracture of the proximal humerus caused by multiple myeloma occurs, early surgical treatment is indicated, which could relieve pain effectively and allow early movements. IM nailing can be applied to this kind of fractures, without removal of tumors, bone cement augmentation for bone defect or local adjuvant therapy was employed. Moreover, patients can receive combined therapy and have a good prognosis.
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Figures
Figure 1

Preoperative X-ray films (a, b) of the left shoulder revealed only comminuted fractures and degenerative changes in the upper left humerus. Multiple soft tissue masses around the broken end presented as hypointensity and isointensity on T1-W images (c) and hybrid hyperintensity on T2-W images (d).
Figure 2

Bone scan showed high metabolism of 18-Ffluorodeoxyglucose (18-FDG) in multiple ribs, the fifth lumbar vertebra (L5), and left proximal humerus.
Figure 3

Finally, plasma cell myeloma was diagnosed by bone marrow aspirate and trephine.
Figure 4

Postoperative X-ray films of left shoulder (a, b). Follow-up after 6 months (c, d), 15 months (e, f) and 40 months (h, i) of surgery of the patient. Eventually, proximal humerus fracture healed.