Ultrasensitive touch sensor for simultaneous tactile and slip sensing

Caofeng Pan (cfpan@binn.cas.cn)
Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems
https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6327-9692

Yue Liu
Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems

Juan Tao
Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems

Yepei Mo
Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems

Rongrong Bao
Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1145-6882

Article

Keywords: ultrasensitive, touch sensor, phase inversion, sacrificial template, slip sensor

Posted Date: June 26th, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3053818/v1

License: This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Read Full License
Abstract

Touch is a general term to describe mechanical stimuli. It is extremely difficult to develop touch sensors that can detect different modes of contact forces due to their low sensitivity. A high sensitivity response to complex contact states, such as pressure and slip, requires effective material design strategies for the sensor sensitivity layers. In this work, an ultrasensitive piezoresistive touch sensor is developed using a one-step phase-inversion-to-film strategy along with the sacrificial template method. The spectral analysis of the output signal is performed using a wavelet transform. This enables the sensor to be used for normal pressure and slip sensing. This work confirms that an interconnected porous structure can be easily controlled using this strategy. The sensor shows an ultra-high sensitivity of 1167 kPa$^{-1}$ and a low-pressure detection limit of 1.34 Pa due to its considerably low compression modulus of 23.8 Pa. A wavelet transform is used to successfully detect different contact states and identify various materials. This novel fabrication strategy and signal analysis method provides a new direction for the development of tactile/slip sensors.

Introduction

Intelligent touch sensors are used in various fields to control the target object; for example, these sensors provide robots with human-like grasping capabilities$^{1,2}$. A human tactile nerve perceives the world through the pressure that is generated when its surface is deformed during contact with an object$^{3-5}$. Similar to the human skin, an ideal touch sensor should accurately recognize the various contact forces, such as normal pressure, slipping, and shear force$^{6-8}$. Most of the research on touch sensors has focused on tactile sensing, and significant breakthroughs have been achieved in complementary sensing principles, modification of materials, and construction of device structures$^{9-11}$.

As shown in Fig. 1a, when the human hand can detect only tactile sensations but not slipping, it is difficult to determine the relative motion state of a sensor and the contact object$^{12}$. Furthermore, material information, such as the object’s surface roughness, cannot be determined. There is negligible research on touch sensors with tactile/slip sensing. Most of the advanced slip sensors are based on the arrayed design of devices$^{13-17}$. The slip sensing strategy implemented in multipixel design depends on large changes in the location of the detected target$^{18}$. There are only a few studies on individual touch sensors with tactile/slip modes. A flexible tactile sensor based on hierarchical microstructures and nanostructures has been reported to discriminate between normal and shear forces using significant differences in response times$^{19}$. In addition, the stick–slip phenomenon that occurs during slip motion has been used to develop a multifunctional bionic tactile system based on a stick–slip sensing strategy. This system demonstrates high recognition rates in slippage detection$^{20}$. Although these studies have proposed new ideas for the development of tactile/slip sensors, the different pressure sensations generated by fixed normal pressure and slip pressure have not been examined under contact between the human hand and the rough surface of an object (Fig. 1b).
In general, it is not simple for the human body to feel slip. First, it is necessary to obtain the sensation of touch through the skin. This is similar to signal acquisition by a sensor, which is low-level processing (Fig. 1c). In addition, an advanced treatment by the brain is required to achieve perception (Fig. 1d), which is a process of information integration and feature extraction\textsuperscript{21–23}. Therefore, it is speculated that the sensitivity of pressure sensors is limited because of conventional fabrication techniques. This makes it difficult to obtain details about signals and ensure accuracy in distinguishing between different contact states.

The microstructures of sensors play a key role in improving sensitivity. Compressibility and normalized change in the contact area are two important factors that affect the sensing properties of touch sensors\textsuperscript{24}. A porous polymer appears as a 3D skeleton and can effectively regulate the structural stiffness and compressibility of materials by changing structural parameters such as porosity and elastic modulus. Conventional strategies, such as commercial sponges or foams, freeze-drying techniques, and sacrificial template techniques, typically require expensive experimental equipment and long experimental durations\textsuperscript{25–27}. The formation of films using phase inversion with the sacrificial template method is an innovative mass-transfer process accompanied by a change in the phase state of a material. A controllable interconnected porous film can be formed only through a material system composed of a polymer, solvent, and nonsolvent\textsuperscript{28,29}.

Ultra-high sensitivity is needed to achieve complex tactile perception, such as the detection of positive pressure and slip states simultaneously. In this study, we developed an ultrasensitive piezoresistive touch sensor using a one-step phase-inversion-to-film strategy with the sacrificial template (PI–ST) method. Additionally, we performed the spectral analysis of the output signal using a wavelet transform to enable sensor application for pressure and slip detection. The touch sensor achieved an ultra-high sensitivity of 1167 kPa\textsuperscript{−1} at pressures below 1179 Pa and a sensitivity of 25 kPa\textsuperscript{−1} at pressures of 1179–10240 Pa due to its extremely low compression modulus of 23.8 Pa and good compressibility of the active layer with an interconnected porous structure. The minimum detectable pressure of the sensor was approximately 1.34 Pa and it could be stably operated for more than 2000 loading–unloading cycles under a load of 500 Pa. Additionally, the detection of extremely low static pressure, dynamic pressure, weak physiological signals, and weak vibrations was demonstrated to verify the robust performance of the touch sensor in practical applications. The output signal was processed using a wavelet transform to obtain the frequency-domain characteristics of the slip signal, thereby detecting slip and identifying various materials. The recognition accuracy of tactile and slip signals was improved using machine learning algorithms. In this paper, we show that, compared with the current class of touch sensors, our proposed touch sensor achieves the best sensing sensitivity, detection range, and detection limits. Currently, only aerosol-based sensors have similar sensitivity, albeit with a much narrower detection range\textsuperscript{30}. Therefore, our sensors can robustly detect complex contact states, including pressure and slip. The fabrication strategy for the ultrasensitive tactile sensor and its combination with data characterization tools can provide a new pathway for the construction of individual tactile/slip sensors with high potential for the development of intelligent manipulators.
Results

**Design concept and principle of the touch sensor based on the PI–ST method.** The steps for designing the sensitive layer with an interconnected porous structure are shown in Fig. 2a. The ternary material system used in the PI–ST method consists of a polymer, solvent, and nonsolvent, i.e., thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU)/Ag nanowire (NW)/NaCl composite materials, N, N-dimethylformamide (DMF), and a Ag NW aqueous (Ag NW aq.) solution, respectively. The interconnected porous structure of the conductive TPU film is constructed during the PI–ST process by introducing Ag NWs as conductive fillers and soluble NaCl particles as sacrificial templates.

The one-step formation mechanism of the conductive TPU film is analyzed based on thermodynamics and kinetics. In terms of thermodynamics, the Flory–Huggins theory is used to draw the ternary phase diagram of this material system (Fig. 2b). P, S, and NS represent the polymer (TPU/Ag NW/NaCl composite materials), solvent (DMF), and nonsolvent (Ag NW aq. solution), respectively. A represents the composition of the initial casting liquid (DMF solution of TPU/Ag NW/NaCl). The casting liquid enters the phase separation zone under the action of DMF and the Ag NW aq. solution, thus forming two equilibrium liquid phases (B’ and B” ) to realize phase inversion. The expressions for the free energy and interaction parameters of this ternary material system are provided in Supplementary Eq. (1) and Supplementary Table 1. From the perspective of kinetics, when TPU is initially immersed in the Ag NW aq. solution, the overall movement and migration cannot occur because of its large molecular weight. However, the Ag NW aq. solution shows a low diffusion resistance to DMF. Therefore, the mass of DMF that is removed from the polymer solution is more than the mass of the Ag NW aq. solution that is added to the solution. This results in a rapid increase in the TPU concentration and the components of the polymer solution are rapidly separated through the metastable zone. The apparent diffusion coefficient is expressed in Supplementary Eq. (2).

Different pores are formed during phase inversion. However, the surface solvent continuously evaporates into the interior and pores of aggregates, resulting in smaller pores. Although this process can be controlled to modulate the micropore morphology, it is still difficult to obtain the interconnected porous structure when only phase inversion is used. Therefore, NaCl particles are used as sacrificial templates to resolve this issue. The SEM images of the film formed using phase inversion (left) and the PI–ST method (right) are shown in Fig. 2a. It is noteworthy that the TPU film and interconnected porous structure are simultaneously created. NaCl particles are readily soluble in the Ag NW aq. solution, which is the nonsolvent in phase inversion. Thus, a conductive TPU film with a controllable interconnected porous structure is created using the PI–ST method. This structure acts as a sensitive layer of the touch sensor and is highly effective in improving the compressibility of TPU to significantly increase the sensitivity of the touch sensor.

Films based on various material systems are prepared using phase inversion to verify the generalizability (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2). The interaction parameters and apparent diffusivities of these ternary material systems are listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. The optical photographs of the TPU,
polyvinylidene fluoride, polyacrylonitrile, cellulose acetate, polyvinyl chloride, and polystyrene micropore films and their corresponding cross-sectional SEM images are shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. These images demonstrate the feasibility of this method for preparing touch sensors with different polymers. Additionally, the phase inversion processes of these polymers are shown in Supplementary Movies 1 and 2.

**Interface characteristics and performance optimization of sensitive layer.** The electromechanical properties of sensitive layers are crucial for ultrasensitive touch sensors. The content of Ag NWs is an important factor that affects the electrical properties of the sensitive layer\(^{34}\). The effective injection amount of Ag NWs in TPU can be increased through the mechanical mixing of these materials as composite polymers and introducing the Ag NW aq. solution as a nonsolvent. According to the formula of the percolation threshold\(^{35}\), the electroosmotic phenomenon occurs when the volume percentage of Ag NWs in TPU is 0.15 vol% (the corresponding mass fraction is 0.012 wt%). However, if the nonsolvent in-phase inversion is deionized (DI) water, the volume resistance is still high when the Ag NW content is considerably larger than the theoretical value. In contrast, the volume resistance of the composite film decreases by 6 orders of magnitude when the Ag NW aq. solution is used as the nonsolvent (Supplementary Fig. 3). This proves that the Ag NW aq. solution increases the injection and entanglement of Ag NWs on the TPU skeleton with the interconnected porous structure during phase inversion. The LED emits light even when the film is connected in series to the circuit; this demonstrates the excellent conductivity of the composite film (Supplementary Fig. 4).

According to electroosmotic flow and the tunnel conduction theory, the uniform distribution of conductive fillers (DMF solution of Ag NWs) in a polymer solution (DMF solution of TPU) affects the conductivity and response sensitivity of a prefabricated composite film\(^{36}\). Thus, interface adhesion has been studied in the structure–activity relationship of touch sensors. Compared to the DMF solution of Ag NWs, there is no significant sedimentation in the composite solution (DMF solution of Ag NWs and TPU) within 48 h, indicating better stability (Supplementary Fig. 5). Ultraviolet–visible spectroscopy is performed to further analyze the homogeneity of the composite solution (Supplementary Fig. 6). The relationship between the Ag NW concentration and the absorbance of the composite solution at a wavelength of 409 nm (inset of Supplementary Fig. 6) is approximately linear. This verifies the homogeneity of the composite solution\(^{37}\). A detailed analysis is provided in the Supporting Information. The energy spectrum of the composite films is obtained via energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. The results show that C, N, O, and Ag are uniformly distributed in the analysis area (Supplementary Fig. 7). Van der Waals and frictional forces affect the stability of the interface between Ag NWs and TPU, resulting in the stability of the composite solution\(^{38,39}\). The results of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 8), a wettability test (Supplementary Fig. 9), and a friction theory test (Supplementary Fig. 10) are presented in the Supplementary Information.

The compressibility of the Ag NW/TPU composite film can be adjusted by varying the NaCl particle content to optimize the sensitivity of the device. All composite films with different porosities show
uniform porous structures with pore sizes of 100–200 µm (Supplementary Fig. 11). Tensile tests are carried out to investigate the mechanical properties (see the pressure-compressive strain relationship in Supplementary Fig. 12). The composite film with a porosity of 45.4% exhibits the highest compressive strain under equal pressure, with an ultra-low compressive modulus of 23.8 Pa (Supplementary Fig. 13). An increase in porosity causes structural collapse owing to the insufficient supporting force of the composite skeleton. Deformation under compressive stress is investigated for the three samples under identical parameters (Supplementary Fig. 14); the three curves overlap, indicating that the prepared films exhibit consistent mechanical properties.

**Characterization of pressure sensing properties.** The aforementioned results verify that the compressibility of soft materials is significantly improved when an interconnected porous structure is introduced because air is more easily squeezed due to its low compressive modulus. Mathematically, the rate of variation in the interfacial contact area \( \Delta A / A_0 \) increases with the porosity under compression. However, the initial contact area \( A_0 \) is inversely proportional to porosity. Therefore, high porosity is conducive to the high sensitivity of touch sensors. Supplementary Fig. 15 shows the electrical properties of sensors with different porosities, confirming that the sensitivities conform to the mechanical properties. The degree of deformation of the composite film under different pressures helped to identify two regions where the touch sensor's sensing performance has a linear response to pressure. Supplementary Fig. 16 shows the SEM images of the composite film in different compressed states. The deformation becomes more limited as compressive stress increases. The composite film with 45.5% porosity has a sensitivity of up to 1167 kPa\(^{-1}\) at pressures below 1179 Pa (Fig. 2c). The minimum pressure detection limit is as low as 1.34 Pa (Fig. 2d), which is a significant improvement compared with conventional touch sensors (Fig. 2e)\(^{2,13,14,20,34,40−57}\). The sensitivity reaches 25 kPa\(^{-1}\) even in the sensing range of 1179–10240 Pa. Touch sensors can perceive minor and weak stimuli in daily life owing to their high sensitivity. As shown in Figs. 2f, 2g, and 2h, insect specimens (weights in milligrams) are placed on touch sensors with extremely low pressures of 35 Pa (55 mg), 60 Pa (80 mg), and 110 Pa (120 mg), respectively. Evident electrical output signals are generated even at these low pressures. This verifies that the proposed sensor provides a fast and stable response to minor static pressures. Furthermore, the dynamic response can be achieved, as in the case of continuously falling water droplets and weak airflow (Supplementary Figs. 17 and 18). This ultra-high sensitivity of the touch sensor makes it suitable for the detection and discrimination of tactile and slip signals.

The current–voltage (I–V) curves of the touch sensor at different pressures are shown in Supplementary Fig. 19. Ohmic contact is confirmed, and resistance decreases as pressure increases. The dynamic response curve shows that the sensor can provide a stable real-time response to a preset pressure gradient of 2–1000 Pa (Supplementary Fig. 20). The response time of loading and recovery time of releasing are 46.5 ms and 248.8 ms, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 21). This apparent hysteresis may be caused by the viscoelasticity of the flexible TPU backbone. The repeatability and durability of the touch sensor are presented in Supplementary Fig. 22. It can sustainably function over 2000 loading and unloading cycles under a pressure of 500 Pa at 0.5 V.
Verification of high sensitivity of the touch sensor. The high sensitivity of the touch sensor is verified from other perspectives by attaching it to the carotid artery of an adult female experimenter (Fig. 3a). The sensor can capture weak fluctuations in the carotid pulse and respiratory rate (Fig. 3b). The pulse and respiratory rates are approximately 84 beats/min and 12 cycles/min, respectively, which are consistent with the normal physiological indices of a healthy adult female in a calm state. It is worth noting that the three characteristic peaks of the pulse, namely P (percussion wave), T (tidal wave), and D (dicrotic wave), can be clearly observed (Fig. 3c). In addition, the touch sensor can detect audio vibrations when it is placed on a phone while it is ringing. Python programming language is used to extract the characteristic signals of the time domain for three different ringtones. Then, the frequency information is obtained using a short-time Fourier transform (Supplementary Fig. 23). The signals collected by the touch sensor coincide with the specific characteristic peaks of the three ringtones in the time domain (Figs. 3d–3f). These weak signals can be monitored because of the high sensitivity of the sensor. This shows that the sensor can capture weak signals for slip sensing.

Signal processing and application of slip sensing. Another key advantage of a highly sensitive touch sensor is its ability to discriminate between tactile and slip sensing using a single sensor unit. After signals are collected by the touch sensor, an appropriate wavelet transform analysis method is used to extract the basic information for estimating whether slip occurs.58

The schematics of the experimental procedure, data acquisition, and signal processing are illustrated in Fig. 4a, and the test apparatus is shown in Supplementary Fig. 24. The slipping process is simulated by pulling a weighted object on the surface of the touch sensor. The initial electric signal (current–time) and mechanical signal (tension–time) are collected and analyzed (Fig. 4b). The variation in the tensile force is consistent with the change in current in a complete slipping process. The resistance of the sensor decreases due to an increase in the conductive path. Thus, the tension force (tangential deformation) applied on the sensor increases with the static friction force, resulting in a decrease in resistance and an increase in current (Supplementary Fig. 25). Once slip occurs, the tensile force slightly decreases, accompanied by a slight decrease in current. At this moment, the interaction force between the sensor and object changes from static friction to kinetic friction.

In general, the stick–slip phenomenon exists between the target object and the touch sensor. This phenomenon can affect the contact area, resulting in a fluctuation in the output signal.20 As mentioned earlier, a weak signal can be captured by an ultrasensitive sensor when an object undergoes a slipping process. Continuous wavelet transform (CWT) and discrete wavelet transform (DWT) are applied to the current signal to magnify the signal difference between the slip and non-slip states. The specific analysis methods are shown in Supplementary Figs. 26 and Fig. 27.

The original electric curve, scale map, and intuitive time–frequency map are shown in Fig. 4c. The slip process is broadly divided into four stages: (i) In the first stage, the tangential deformation and the electrical output signal of the sensor remain unchanged in the presence of only the vertical normal force. Consequently, there are no high-frequency components at this stage. (ii) In the second stage, the mutual
tensile force and tangential deformation gradually increase. A high-frequency component is observed before the object begins to slip. (iii) In the third stage, the tensile force continues to increase until it becomes equal to the maximum static friction force. At the moment of slipping, the tangential deformation of the sensor rapidly increases, leading to an increase in the conduction paths and corresponding high-frequency components. At this point, the highest frequency reaches approximately 600 Hz. (iv) The last stage shows the object in a slipping state. The tensile force is balanced by dynamic friction, and the number of conducting paths and frequency of electrical signals are slightly larger than those in the first stage.

The signal generated by normal pressure is analyzed and compared with the slipping signal to exclude the interference of the frequency component caused by the change in the normal pressure during the slipping process (Fig. 4d). Different frequency components are extracted from the signal by utilizing the DWT to process the electrical signal output. The maximum DWT detail coefficient of the sensor is obtained under different force states. An appropriate slipping threshold is used to determine the occurrence of slipping on the basis of the results of the existing experimental analysis. Slipping occurs when the maximum DWT detail coefficient exceeds the slipping threshold. When normal pressure is applied to the sensor, the DWT detail coefficient shows a small overall fluctuation, with a maximum value of approximately 0.3414. Under tension force, the DWT detail coefficient reaches its maximum value at the moment of slipping, with a value of approximately 1.6125. In addition, on the basis of the surface roughness of the object, the slipping threshold of the object is assumed to be ± 1 to carry out the subsequent experiments.

The slip signals of sandpapers with different values of surface roughness are measured using the touch sensor. The current output signal, DWT images, CWT images, and time–frequency graphs are shown in Supplementary Fig. 28. The maximum DWT detail coefficient increases with roughness. This implies that as roughness increases, the vibrations caused by the change in the motion state become stronger, and it becomes easier to detect the slipping state.

Next, slip-sensing tests are conducted along the device surface using different weights (with acrylic at the bottom). The initial normal loads (weights) are set as 50, 100, 150, and 200 g. The slip speed of the weights is set to 5 mm/s. Figure 5a shows the raw signal of the current response during slipping. The DWT detail coefficients of the touch sensor with different mass loadings exceed the set threshold (± 1) range before the instant when the object initially slips (Fig. 5b). Moreover, the maximum DWT detail coefficient when slip occurs increases with the mass loading. This implies that the slip signal becomes stronger as the pressure increases. The corresponding CWT and time–frequency diagrams are consistent with the results shown in Fig. 5c. This may be because the vibration of the sensor surface becomes stronger as the pressure increases. Therefore, slipping becomes easier to detect when the mass of the object increases.

Acrylic, cotton, and wood are selected as the contact surfaces between the weights and touch sensor, as shown in Figs. 5d–5g. The weights are 100 g each, and the slip speed is 5 mm/s. The DWT detail
coefficient exceeds the set threshold (±1) range for all contact surfaces. The tensile force and maximum DWT detail coefficient are the smallest for the acrylic surface. This may be because acrylic is smoother than the other materials, leading to a small coefficient of friction and low sensor vibration. The static and sliding friction coefficients of the three materials are calculated according to the tensile force change curve recorded by a peel-force testing machine. The values are consistent with the analysis results; this verifies the potential of the ultrasensitive touch sensor for slip detection.

The sensor can distinguish between the contact forces for tactile and slip perception using a machine learning algorithm (Supplementary Fig. 29). Data are collected for different contact forces and classified as tactile and slip forces. 120 sets of data are tested for each contact force. The contact force dataset consists of 240 samples with a measurement length of 5 s per sample and a sampling rate of 500 Sps; the total number of data points is 5 × 500. We built machine learning models using two popular algorithms, namely decision tree and multilayer perceptron. The confusion matrices of the two trained models show that the overall recognition accuracies for classifying tactile and slip forces are approximately 98.75% and 100%, respectively. Such high accuracies are crucial for automatic multimodal recognition required for intelligent sensing by manipulators.

Discussion

High-pressure sensitivity is typically found in touch sensors prepared from aerosol materials, while their detection range is quite narrow (below 1 Pa). In this article, we introduced a novel method to adjust the sensitivity layer structure and Young’s modulus of pressure sensors, thereby achieving high-pressure sensitivity for elastic polymer materials over a wide detection range of approximately 1 kPa. We developed a new sensing technology for complex contact states based on ultra-high sensitivity pressure sensor components, which can simultaneously detect positive pressure and slip states. A phase inversion method is introduced for the fabrication of flexible touch sensors. The method has a short experimental period, minimal equipment requirements, and a low production cost. The method is demonstrated to be suitable for various material systems, and most elastic polymer materials used in the preparation of tactile sensors can use the proposed method to improve sensing performance. Moreover, the combination of the phase inversion and sacrificial template methods overcomes the challenges in the formation of the interconnected porous structure in the polymer film. The sacrificial template is adjusted to modify the performance of the touch sensor and fabricate an ultrasensitive sensor. The sensor can successfully collect complex fluctuation signals and distinguish between tactile and slip forces by combining mathematical tools to extract signal features. This represents a new method for the fabrication of ultrasensitive touch sensors and provides a basis for investigating different contact force sensors.

Methods

Preparation of conductive composite films with interconnected porous structure. An appropriate amount of TPU particles was added to DMF. The mixture was magnetically stirred at 60°C for 6 h to form a homogeneous solution with a mass fraction of 15 wt%. Dilute the Ag NW-DMF solution with DMF to a
suitable concentration. Then, they were uniformly dispersed using a magnetic stirrer. The diluted Ag NW–DMF solutions were added to TPU dropwise, and the process was carried out under continuous stirring at a speed of 800 rpm. Water-soluble NaCl particles with different masses were added to the Ag NW–TPU solution and continuously stirred until they were uniformly dispersed in the solution. Thus, a NaCl–Ag NW–TPU solution was obtained using DMF as the solvent. This solution was placed on a glass plate (8 × 4 × 0.2 cm in size) in a dropwise manner to allow it to flow naturally until a smooth and uniform liquid film was formed. Then, the liquid film was horizontally immersed in the Ag NW aq. solution for phase inversion until diffusion equilibrium was reached. The glass sheet was removed, and the formed solid film was peeled off and transferred to the Ag NW aq. solution for 30 min. Then, residual DMF and NaCl particles were removed via sonication. The amount of Ag NWs added to TPU was increased to obtain a conductive TPU film with a porous structure.

**Preparation of electrodes and encapsulation layers.** A Ag/TPU nanofiber (NF) composite film was selected as the electrode layer to match the mechanical properties of the sensitive layer. The specific process was as follows: TPU NFs were obtained using the gas spinning technique. First, 7.5 g of TPU particles were dissolved in 50 mL of a DMF solution and magnetically stirred at 20–25°C for 12 h at a speed of 1500 rpm to obtain a uniform TPU precursor solution. Thereafter, the TPU precursor solution was sucked into a plastic syringe, and the liquid was ejected outward at a constant rate of 0.5 ml/h using a programmable syringe pump. The NFs were obtained and collected on a receiver plate with regular holes. Finally, they were gently peeled off from the receiving plate and placed in a vacuum-drying oven at 50°C for 6 h to remove any residual solvent. The ambient temperature and humidity during the entire air spinning process were controlled at 25 ± 5°C and 40 ± 5%, respectively. The obtained TPU NF films were Ag-plated using magnetron sputtering to create a conductive layer. The magnetron sputtering power was 80 W, the sputtering time was 15 min, and the thickness of the Ag film was approximately 150 nm. A commercially purchased polyethylene terephthalate (PET) film was used as the encapsulation layer in the experiment to improve the wear resistance and stability of the device. However, the encapsulation layer can be further improved to enhance the biocompatibility of the device.

**Device integration.** The device used bottom-up integration according to the following order: packaging layer (PET film), electrode layer (Ag–TPU NF composite film), sensitive layer (Ag NW–TPU composite film), electrode layer (Ag–TPU NF composite film), and packaging layer (PET film).

**Characterization and measurements.** The surface morphologies of the samples were measured using SEM (Nova NanoSEM 450 and SU1510 Hitachi). The elemental types and contents of the material microregion components were analyzed using an energy-dispersive spectrometer (Nova NanoSEM 450 and Raith/EDAX). The contact angles of the samples were determined using a contact-angle analyzer (XG-CAMB1). The structural characteristics of the composite films were determined using a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (VERTEX80v, Bruker). The composition, structure, and substance interactions of the composites were measured using an ultraviolet and visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu/UV3600). The resistance of the composite films was measured using a megger (ZC36). A stepping motor (LinMot E1100) was used to apply normal pressure to the devices, and a commercial
force gauge was used to detect this pressure (Nano17 ATI). A peel strength testing machine was used to apply a shear force to the devices and perform tensile testing (YL-S70). A synthesized function generator (DS 345) provided voltage to the devices, and a low-noise current preamplifier (MODEL SR570) was used to detect the current variations.
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Figure 1
Significance of slip sensing and its realization strategy. a Schematic diagram of the difference between the presence or absence of slip sensing for grasping object states. The inset shows an optical microscope photograph of the rough surface of a strawberry. b Two modes of pressure-sensitive haptics: tactile sensing and slip sensing. Tactile sensing is the finger fixed contact with the rough surface of the object, which only can feel the pressure, can not identify the pressure perception gap. Slip sensing can be used to determine the state of an object by moving the finger over the rough surface of the object, feeling the curvature and the presence of edges through protrusions and changes in pressure. c Low-level processes. Different sensing signals for tactile and slip sensing of objects are captured using ultra-sensitive touch-sensors. d Advanced treatment. Integrate and extract features from the collected signals to achieve machine perception.
Figure 2

Technical route, principle, and performance of the touch sensor. **a** Interconnected porous conductive films are prepared as sensitive layers for the touch sensors using the PI–ST method. The left inset shows the SEM image of the microporous film prepared using only phase inversion (scale bar: 500 µm). The right inset shows the SEM image of the interconnected porous film prepared using the PI–ST method (scale bar: 1000 µm). **b** Film-formation mechanism of the phase inversion method. Thermodynamic analysis of the phase inversion method: a typical ternary phase diagram of liquid–liquid partition. **c** Sensitivity and sensing range of devices with 45.4% porosity. **d** Minimum detection limit of the device: 1.34 Pa.
Comparison of the minimum detectable pressure and corresponding sensitivity at the pressure of the touch sensors with reported work. Monitoring of minor static pressure by the sensor: insect specimens with different pressures.

**Figure 3**

Verification of high sensitivity of the touch sensor. a–c Monitoring of weak physiological signals by the sensor. The sensor can capture weak fluctuations in the carotid pulse and respiratory rate. d–f Monitoring of weak vibration signals by the sensor: three different ringing vibrations. The signals collected by the touch sensor coincide with the specific characteristic peaks of the three ringtones in the time domain.
Figure 4

**Principle and analysis method of slip sensing.** a Schematic of the acquisition system and analysis method. b Curve of tension and current applied to the object during a complete period from rest to slip. c Local analysis of current signals generated by objects in different states through CWT and time–frequency map. d Curves of current transformation and results of DWT for objects subjected to normal pressure and tension force, respectively.
Figure 5

**Influence factors and material identification in slip sensing.** **a–c** Analysis of slip signals of objects with different masses. Current output signal (**a**), DWT diagram (**b**), CWT diagram, and time–frequency diagram (**c**) for objects with different masses when they slip relative to the device. **d–f** Analysis of slip signals of objects with different materials. Tension and current variation curves (**d**), DWT diagrams (**e**), and CWT diagrams (**f**) of objects with acrylic, cotton, and wood pasted on the bottom when they slip relative to the device. **g** Static and sliding friction coefficients of acrylic, cotton, cloth, and wood obtained via calculation.
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