

# Whole Exome Sequencing of Six Chinese Families with Hereditary Non-syndromic Hearing Loss

## Pengfei Liang

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7892-0868>

## Fengping Chen

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital; Beijing Highthink Pharmaceutical Technology Service CO., Ltd

## Shujuan Wang

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital

## Qiong Li

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital

## Wei Li

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital

## Jian Wang

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital

## Jun Chen

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital

## Dingjun Zha (✉ [zhadjun@fmmu.edu.cn](mailto:zhadjun@fmmu.edu.cn))

Air Force Medical University Xijing Hospital: Xijing Hospital <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9380-9209>

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** deafness, non-syndromic, genetic etiology, whole-exome sequencing, mutation

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-294215/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

# Abstract

## Background:

Hereditary non-syndromic hearing loss (NSHL) has a high genetic heterogeneity with >152 genes identified as associated molecular causes. The present study aimed to detect the possible damaging variants of the deaf probands from six unrelated Chinese families.

## Methods:

After excluding the mutations in the most common genes, *GJB2* and *SLC26A4*, 12 probands with prelingual deafness and autosomal recessive inheritance were evaluated by whole-exome sequencing (WES). All the candidate variants were verified by Sanger sequencing in all patients and their parents.

## Results:

Biallelic mutations were identified in all deaf patients. Among these six families, 10 potentially causative mutations, including 3 reported and 7 novel mutations, in 3 different deafness-associated autosomal recessive (DFNB) genes (*MYO15A*, *COL11A2*, and *CDH23*) were identified. The mutations in *MYO15A* were frequent with 7/10 candidate variants. Sanger sequencing confirmed that these mutations segregated with the hearing loss of each family.

## Conclusions:

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) approach becomes more cost-effective and efficient when analyzing large-scale genes compared to the conventional polymerase chain reaction-based Sanger sequencing, which is often used to screen common deafness-related genes. The current findings further extend the mutation spectrum of hearing loss in the Chinese population, which has a positive significance for genetic counseling.

## Background

Hearing loss is one of the most common sensorineural disorders with high heritability [1]. More than 5% of the world's population has disabling hearing loss (432 million adults and 34 million children) (<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs300/en/>, updated March 2018). In China, about 30,000 newborns suffer from congenital hearing impairment for every 20 million live births each year [1]. Approximately 70% of the cases are non-syndromic hearing loss (NSHL), which can be divided into autosomal dominant deafness (DFNA, 15–20%), autosomal recessive deafness (DFNB, 80%), X-linked deafness (DFNX, 1%), and mitochondrial deafness in 1% [3, 4].

Genetic factors account for an estimated 60% of profound hearing loss present at birth or during early childhood [3, 4]. Among various ethnic groups, the most common cause for DFNB is mutated Connexin 26, encoded by *GJB2* gene (MIM 121011) [6, 7]. In the Chinese population, mutations in the *SLC26A4*

gene (14.5%) are the second common pathogenic cause of DFNB in addition to the GJB2 gene (17.9%) [8, 9]. Sanger sequencing to detect mutations in GJB2 and SLC26A4 is a routine method during genetic testing and counseling for deafness patients. However, for GJB2 or SLC26A4-negative patients, especially those from small-sized recessive families, the potential genetic causes for deafness are yet unclear.

The genetic heterogeneity of hearing loss, with about 152 deafness-associated genes, identified to be associated with NSHL [10], makes conventional polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based Sanger sequencing impractical for large-scale gene detection because of its cost-inefficiency and time-consumption [11]. In recent years, next-generation sequencing (NGS) approach may make it possible to analyze multiple genes, including all the deafness-associated genes, in one test [12]. Whole exome sequencing (WES), a platform of NGS, offers powerful applications for diagnosis as well as identifying rare variants or new causative genes [13, 14].

In this study, we utilized WES to investigate the contributing genetic factors in patients from six unrelated Chinese families with autosomal recessive hearing loss but did not harbor GJB2 and SLC26A4 mutations.

## Methods

Six unrelated Chinese families (code as HL01–06) were recruited from the outpatient clinic of the Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Xijing Hospital (Xi'an, Shaanxi, China) in 2019. These families presented an autosomal recessive pattern of hearing loss, with at least two affected siblings in each family but with unaffected parents. Physical and neurological examination excluded any putative environmental factors responsible for the condition or syndromic hearing loss.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Xijing Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study subjects or their guardians.

### Clinical test

All affected individuals underwent a comprehensive auditory evaluation, including otoscope examination, tympanometry, pure-tone audiometry (PTA, at frequencies from 250 to 8000 Hz), auditory brainstem response (ABR), distortion product otoacoustic emissions (DPOAEs), or steady-state auditory response (ASSR) test in children aged <5 years. The level of hearing impairment was assessed by the World Health Organization (WHO)'s standard: "slight/mild (26–40 dB); moderate (41–70 dB); severe (71–90 dB), and profound (>90 dB)" [15]. Computed tomography (CT) scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed to detect normal development of the inner ear and auditory nerve.

### DNA preparation

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood using a blood DNA extraction kit (CwBio, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer's protocol, and stored at 4 °C. DNA purity and concentration were

assessed by Nano Photometer<sup>®</sup> spectrophotometer (Implen, CA, USA). The absorbance (OD260/OD280) of the qualified sample was 1.8–2.0.

### **Pre-screening of common deafness genes**

The pre-screening of common deafness genes, *GJB2* and *SLC26A4*, was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification (Bio-Rad, USA), and the exons and flanking splicing sites were further assessed by Sanger sequencing.

### **WES**

A total of 12 patients from six families were analyzed by WES. Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V6 DNA Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used for whole-exome capturing. The qualified genomic DNA was randomly fragmented to an average size of 180–280 bp by Covaris S220 sonicator. Next, the DNA fragments were end-repaired and phosphorylated, followed by A-tailing and ligation at the 3'-ends with paired-end adaptors (Illumina) with a single "T" base overhang; the purification was carried out using AMPure SPRI beads from Agencourt. Then, the size distribution and concentration of the libraries were determined by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and quantified using real-time PCR (2 nM). Finally, the DNA library was sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 4000 for paired-end 150-bp reads. The variants were filtered based on the monogenic autosomal recessive trait.

### **Filter and Evaluation**

The variants obtained from previous steps were filtered with the minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1% in the databases, including the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (<http://exac.broadinstitute.org>) and the 1000 Genomes databases (<http://browser.1000genomes.org>). Only variants, such as missense, nonsense, splicing site (splicing junction 10 bp), in-frame indels, and frameshift indels, which can cause amino acid changes, were analyzed further. According to the principle of autosomal recessive inheritance, homozygous or compound heterozygous variants shared by affected siblings from the same family were evaluated. Searching the Deafness Variation Database (DVD; <http://deafnessvariationdatabase.org>), Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man database (OMIM; <http://www.omim.org>), ClinVar database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/>), and Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD; <http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/>), allowed us to screen the reported pathogenic or putatively pathogenic variants. Furthermore, the novel pathogenic functional nonsynonymous variants were predicted by PolyPhen-2 (<http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/>), SIFT (<http://sift.jcvi.org/>), and Mutation Taster (<http://www.mutationtaster.org/>), while conservation scores were calculated by PhyloP. At least two of these tools were required to show that the nonsynonymous variants were not benign. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines[16] were used to classify the pathogenic and likely pathogenic levels of these variants.

### **Verification**

The variants identified to cause deafness in these families were verified by Sanger sequencing in all patients and their parents. The primers were designed using Primer 3 (<http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3/>), and Genetool was used to analyze the sequencing data.

## Results

### Clinical findings

All the affected members of the six families presented bilateral symmetrical NSHL that began at birth or the critical age of language learning. Immittance test demonstrated normal and bone conduction values equal to the air conduction measurements, suggesting a sensorineural hearing impairment. The CT scan of the temporal bone in the proband excluded inner ear malformations. Among 12 deaf patients from six unrelated families, 10 showed bilateral symmetrical severe hearing loss except, while 2 had moderate hearing loss in the HL01 family. The members of the HL01 family belonged to Hui ethnicity, and the parents of the patients are remote cousins. The clinical information of the deaf probands is summarized in Table 1.

### Common deafness genes sequencing

*GJB2* and *SLC26A4* genes were sequenced in the proband of every family, and no mutations were detected.

Table1. Patients with HL from six Chinese families analyzed by WES

Family and patients code	Hearing status	Year of birth	Sex	Ethnicity
HL01:				
VI-1	congenital moderate sensorineural HL	2008	female	Hui
VI-2	congenital moderate sensorineural HL	2011	male	Hui
HL02				
II-1	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2003	male	Han
II-2	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2015	male	Han
HL03				
II-1	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2004	female	Han
II-2	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2007	female	Han
HL08:				
II-1	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2001	male	Han
II-2	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2006	male	Han
HL09:				
II-1	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2006	male	Han
II-2	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2009	male	Han
HL15:				
II-1	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2015	male	Han
II-2	congenital profound sensorineural HL	2018	female	Han

HL- hearing loss; WES – whole exome sequencing.

### Candidate pathogenic variants identified by whole-exome sequencing

Among all the patients from the six families showing NSHL with autosomal recessive inheritance, we screened 76 genes recorded in the Hereditary Hearing Loss Homepage database (<http://hereditaryhearingloss.org/>. Accessed Aug 2020), and these were related to non-syndromic autosomal recessive hearing loss.

Consistent with the recessive inheritance, 10 possible causative variants in 3 deafness-related genes (*MYO15A*, *COL11A2*, and *CDH23*) were detected in homozygotes (two families) or compound heterozygotes (four families, Additional file 1). Although the genes previously known to be associated with hearing loss, except for 3 variants (p.T323Hfs\*19 in *COL11A2*, p.3417delS and c.5964+3G>A in the *MYO15A* gene) associated with non-syndromic deafness in previous studies [17-21], 7/10 variants were identified in this study for the first time; these included 4 missense variants (p.C1297F and p.K2217E in *CDH23*, p.G1315R and p.F2861S in *MYO15A*), 2 frame-shifting (p.Q2749Efs\*93 and p.S3474Pfs\*43 in *MYO15A*), and 1 splicing site (c.4875+1G>T in *MYO15A*). Moreover, c.4875+1G>T at the conserved donor splice site of *MYO15A* might affect the correct translation of the protein. c.8240-8241delAC (p.Q2749Efs\*93) and c.10419-10423delCAGCT (p.S3474Pfs\*43) in *MYO15A* are predicted to generate a premature termination codon. For 4 missense variants, c.3943G>A (p.G1315R) and c.8582T>C (p.F2861S) in *MYO15A*, c.3890G>T (p.C1297F) and c.6649A>G (p.K2217E) in *CDH23*, evolutionary conservation prediction showed that the amino acid substitutions were highly conserved across vertebrates. The first 3 missense variants interrupt the normal protein function, as predicted by the bioinformatic programs (Polyphen2, SIFT, and Mutation Taster). However, p.K2217E in *CDH23* was a benign variant predicted by Polyphen2 but damaging by other programs; albeit it has not been recorded in 1000 Genome database and has an extremely low frequency in the ExAc database. In addition, p.K2217E was found only in patients from HL02 family with another missense mutation (p.C1297F); the members were cosegregated with hearing loss, suggesting that this was likely pathogenic rather than a benign variant.

### Parental genotyping of the candidate variants

Parental genotypes were assessed using Sanger sequencing to determine whether these candidate variations followed the recessive inheritance pattern or de novo pattern. All the 10 candidate variants were biallelic and showed co-segregation with the deaf phenotype of the families (Fig. 1).

## Discussion

In this study, WES was applied to search for molecular etiology in 12 patients from six unrelated Chinese families with non-syndromic deafness. These families showed obvious autosomal recessive inheritance based on at least two affected individuals with normal parents. After excluding the common *GJB2* and *SLC26A4* mutations, we analyzed the WES results of 76 non-syndromic autosomal recessive HL-related genes. Overall, 3 reported and 7 novel variants in 3 distinct deafness genes (*COL11A2*, *CDH23*, and *MYO15A*) were identified and cosegregated with HL. The corresponding amino acid

changes included 4 missense mutations, 3 frameshift indel mutations, 1 in-frame mutation, and 2 splicing-site mutations.

In family HL01, the patients carried a reported homozygous variant c.966dupC (p.T323Hfs\*19) in the *COL11A2* gene, and both parents were carriers of the heterozygous variant. Remarkably, this was also the only family to present moderate bilateral deafness. The *COL11A2* encoding type XI collagen is critical for the integrity and development of the skeleton [22]. Mutations in *COL11A2* (OMIM 120290) are associated with several conditions that include autosomal dominant (*DFNA13*, OMIM 601868) or recessive NSHL (*DFNB53*, OMIM 609706), as well as Stickler syndrome (OMIM 184840), otospondylmegaepiphyseal dysplasia (OMIM 215150), fibrochondrogenesis (OMIM 614524), and Weissenbacher-Zweymuller syndrome (OMIM 277610). Hitherto, 61 variants have been reported to be pathogenic or likely pathogenic, of which, 12 are associated with sensorineural hearing loss (<http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/>). In addition, c.966dupC (p.T323Hfs\*19) has also been detected in the probands from consanguineous Iranian families that show profound [17] or moderate [18] sensorineural hearing loss. *COL11A2* is considered a “rare gene” responsible for deafness, especially in China. Only a few cases of variants have been reported in the hearing-loss patients from China. Ji et al. [23] reported 4 copy number variations (CNVs) in 11/79 sporadic patients clinically diagnosed with sensorineural hearing loss. Among these, only 1 patient carried two types of CNVs, while the remaining 10 had only one heterozygous form of CNV without any other form of variation in the *COL11A2* gene. Chen et al. [24] detected p.Pro445Ser in 116 Chinese patients with hereditary hearing loss using next-generation sequencing that examined 60 genes relevant to hearing loss. Thus, *COL11A2* gene is not the main cause of deafness and could be easily missed by the conventional sequencing approach.

Homozygous or compound heterozygous variants in *MYO15A* gene were identified in the affected members from families HL02, HL04, HL05, and HL06, who presented similar phenotypes showing a bilateral congenital severe hearing loss. Compound heterozygous c.8240\_8241delAC (p.Q2749Efs\*91)/c.10419\_10423delCAGCT (p.S3474Pfs\*41), compound heterozygous c.4875+1G>T/c.3943G>A (p.G1315R), homozygous c.8582C>T (p.F2861S), and c.5964+3G>A/c.10245-10247delCTC (p.3417delS) were identified as causative pathogenic etiology in the patients from HL02, HL04, HL05, and HL06, families, respectively. *MYO15A* is the corresponding gene of DFNB3 deafness (OMIM: 600316) [25]. Moreover, the variants of *MYO15A* gene are the third or the fourth most common causes of autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss [26]. To date, 244 *MYO15A* pathogenic or likely pathogenic mutations contribute to hearing loss (<http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/>). Such mutations are identified in the sequence encoding all the domains of myosin XVa protein [27]. In this study, we identified 7 variants in *MYO15A* gene, including 2 reported pathogenic mutations: c.5964+3G>A and c.10245-10247delCTC (p.3417delS) [19-21]. Five novel variants included 2 missense mutations (p.G1315R and p.F2861S), 2 protein-truncating mutations (p.Q2749Efs\*93 and p.S3474Pfs\*43), and 1 canonical + 1 splicing-site mutation (c.4875+1G>T). p.G1315 and c.4875+1G>T, occurring in exons 7 and 15, respectively, located within the motor domain, the most important functional unit in myosin XV protein for the dysfunction of the motor domain leads to short stereocilia with a severe deafness phenotype [28]. p.Q2749Efs\*93 and p.S3474Pfs\*43, leading to early termination of its coding peptide chain, might affect

the normal formation of the FERM domain of myosin XVA. p.F2861S variant is also harbored within the conserved FERM domain. The substitution of phenylalanine with serine changed the hydrophobicity and altered the structure of the protein. Earlier findings suggested that mutations, which affect both isoforms of MYO15A, would result in profound deafness [29, 30]. According to ACMG, we hypothesized that these variants occurring in the above exons were the causative pathogenic variants.

In family HL03, we identified two novel deafness co-segregating variants c.G3890T (p.C1297F) and c.A6649G (p.K2217E) in *CDH23* gene. The two affected sisters, carrying the heterozygous compound variants, showed bilateral congenital profound hearing loss. According to the parents, the individual II:3 had a normal hearing but was not available for testing, neither clinical nor genetic. Mutations in *CDH23* are the pathogenic cause for Usher syndrome 1D (USH1D, OMIM: 601067), autosomal recessive NSHL (DFNB12, OMIM: 601386), and pituitary adenoma 5 (OMIM: 617540). A total of 373 disease-related forms of variations are included in the HGMD database, of which 351 were associated with deafness or Usher syndrome. More than half of the variants were nonsense, splice-site, and frameshift types in the patients with USH1D, causing severe sensorineural deafness, vestibular dysfunction, and retinitis pigmentosa, whereas individuals with DFNB12, presenting only as autosomal recessive moderate-severe sensorineural hearing loss, usually carried missense variants in any domain of *CDH23* gene (data summarized from the website: <http://www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk/ac/>). However, only a few of these variants were detected in the Chinese population [31]. In the present study, two variants were identified in the *CDH23* gene by WES in two patients with severe hearing loss. These two variants were reported for the first time and were contained in the highly conserved domain of the *CDH23* gene. Other than deafness, neither of the patients had visual problems or vestibular dysfunction at the time of this report. Nonetheless, we cannot definitely rule out that they would not develop retinopathy later in their lives, since they are currently only 11- and 5-years-old, respectively. Thus, these two novel mutations expanded the *CDH23* mutation spectrum.

Next, we identified 2 homozygous variants in the affected members from HL01 and HL05 families. HL01 family came from the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region in China. All the members were of Hui nationality, and the parents of the patients were remote cousins. Homozygous variants accounted for a high rate of diagnoses in close and presumed consanguineous multiplex families with recessive inherited disease [32], suggesting us to consider the segregation of homozygous variants. The normal parents of HL05 were not consanguineously married and were of Han nationality from Shaanxi province in China. All the other members were normal, except the deaf offspring. The homozygous variant detected in *MYO15A* indicated its high prevalence in the Chinese population and suggested the necessity of screening *MYO15A* in the recessive inherited families with multiple affected individuals.

## Conclusions

The current data confirmed the utility of WES as an effective supplement to traditional Sanger sequencing for analyzing potential damaging candidate variants. WES-based genetic testing might provide valuable insight into the genetic etiology of a heterogeneous disease, such as hearing loss. The mutations identified in the present study are thought to be pathological or likely pathological because

there are all located in functionally important and evolutionarily conserved domains, and the variants are cosegregated with the deafness phenotype; also, these were not observed in 100 unrelated controls. Moreover, our findings further extended the pathogenic variations of *MYO15A*, *CDH23*, and *COL11A2* genes leading to non-syndromic autosomal recessive deafness, which clarified the diagnosis and provided essential information for accurate genetic counseling and guidance for future gene-based therapies.

## Abbreviations

NSHL: Hereditary non-syndromic hearing loss

WES: whole-exome sequencing

DFNB: deafness-associated autosomal recessive

DFNA: autosomal dominant deafness

DFNX: X-linked deafness

NGS: Next-generation sequencing

NSHL: non-syndromic hearing loss

PCR: polymerase chain reaction

PTA: pure-tone audiometry

ABR: auditory brainstem response

DPOAEs: distortion product otoacoustic emissions

ASSR: steady-state auditory response

ExAC: Exome Aggregation Consortium

DVD: Deafness Variation Database

OMIM: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man database

HGMD: Human Gene Mutation Database

CNVs: copy number variations

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Xijing Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study subjects or their guardians.

### **Consent for publication**

The written informed consent for publication of the enrolled patients` clinical data have been obtained from their parents.

### **Availability of data and materials**

The data supporting the conclusions of this article are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### **Funding**

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81870732, 81800918), National Key Research and Development Project (2019YFB1311605), Shaanxi Provincial Science and Technology Key Project (2018PT-01), Xijing Boost-Advanced Discipline Construction Project (XJZT14X07; XJZT18X23; XJZT19X27). The Funding bodies only provide funds for the purchase of reagents and whole exome sequencing. The funding bodies played no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

### **Authors` contributions**

Conceptualization and Funding acquisition, DJZ; Manuscript drafting, PFL; Exome sequencing and segregation analysis, FPC; Investigation, JW and JC; Ascertained families and obtained clinical data, SJW and QL; PCR and Sanger sequencing, PFL and WL; Supervision, DJZ. PFL and FPC contributed equally to this work. All authors read and agreed to the final version of the manuscript.

### **Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to thank the patients and families for participating and understanding in the study. We are grateful to physicians for their cooperation and examination of patients.

## **References**

1. Lalwani AK, Castelein CM: **Cracking the auditory genetic code: nonsyndromic hereditary hearing impairment.** *Am J Otol* 1999, **20**(1):115-132.
2. P D, X L, F Y, Q Z, Y Y, S Y, Q S, H Y, Y W, D H *et al*: **Molecular etiology of patients with nonsyn-dromic hearing loss from deaf-mute schools in 18 provincesof China.***Chinese Journal of Otology*

- 2006(01):1-5.
3. **Genetics Evaluation Guidelines for the Etiologic Diagnosis of Congenital Hearing Loss. Genetic Evaluation of Congenital Hearing Loss Expert Panel. ACMG statement.** *GENET MED* 2002, **4**(3):162-171.
  4. Hone SW, Smith RJ: **Medical evaluation of pediatric hearing loss. Laboratory, radiographic, and genetic testing.** *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 2002, **35**(4):751-764.
  5. Petit C: **Memorial lecture-hereditary sensory defects: from genes to pathogenesis.** *AM J MED GENET A* 2004, **130A**(1):3-7.
  6. Shearer AE, Hildebrand MS, Smith R: **Hereditary Hearing Loss and Deafness Overview.** (In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJH, Stephens K, Amemiya A, editors. GeneReviews® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993–2020. 1999 Feb 14 [updated 2017 Jul 27].).
  7. Wilcox SA, Saunders K, Osborn AH, Arnold A, Wunderlich J, Kelly T, Collins V, Wilcox LJ, McKinlay GR, Kamarinos M *et al.*: **High frequency hearing loss correlated with mutations in the GJB2 gene.** *HUM GENET* 2000, **106**(4):399-405.
  8. Dai P, Yu F, Han B, Liu X, Wang G, Li Q, Yuan Y, Liu X, Huang D, Kang D *et al.*: **GJB2 mutation spectrum in 2,063 Chinese patients with nonsyndromic hearing impairment.** *J TRANSL MED* 2009, **7**:26.
  9. Yuan Y, Guo W, Tang J, Zhang G, Wang G, Han M, Zhang X, Yang S, He DZ, Dai P: **Molecular epidemiology and functional assessment of novel allelic variants of SLC26A4 in non-syndromic hearing loss patients with enlarged vestibular aqueduct in China.** *PLOS ONE* 2012, **7**(11):e49984.
  10. Azaiez H, Booth KT, Ephraim SS, Crone B, Black-Ziegelbein EA, Marini RJ, Shearer AE, Sloan-Heggen CM, Kolbe D, Casavant T *et al.*: **Genomic Landscape and Mutational Signatures of Deafness-Associated Genes.** *AM J HUM GENET* 2018, **103**(4):484-497.
  11. Yang T, Wei X, Chai Y, Li L, Wu H: **Genetic etiology study of the non-syndromic deafness in Chinese Hans by targeted next-generation sequencing.** *ORPHANET J RARE DIS* 2013, **8**:85.
  12. Metzker ML: **Sequencing technologies - the next generation.** *NAT REV GENET* 2010, **11**(1):31-46.
  13. Tekin D, Yan D, Bademci G, Feng Y, Guo S, Foster JN, Blanton S, Tekin M, Liu X: **A next-generation sequencing gene panel (MiamiOtoGenes) for comprehensive analysis of deafness genes.** *Hear Res* 2016, **333**:179-184.
  14. Shearer AE, DeLuca AP, Hildebrand MS, Taylor KR, Gurrola JN, Scherer S, Scheetz TE, Smith RJ: **Comprehensive genetic testing for hereditary hearing loss using massively parallel sequencing.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, **107**(49):21104-21109.
  15. Kochhar A, Hildebrand MS, Smith RJ: **Clinical aspects of hereditary hearing loss.** *GENET MED* 2007, **9**(7):393-408.
  16. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E *et al.*: **Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology.** *GENET MED* 2015, **17**(5):405-424.

17. Sloan-Heggen CM, Babanejad M, Beheshtian M, Simpson AC, Booth KT, Ardalani F, Frees KL, Mohseni M, Mozafari R, Mehrjoo Z *et al*: **Characterising the spectrum of autosomal recessive hereditary hearing loss in Iran.** *J MED GENET* 2015, **52**(12):823-829.
18. Vona B, Maroofian R, Mendiratta G, Croken M, Peng S, Ye X, Rezazadeh J, Bahena P, Lekszas C, Haaf T *et al*: **Dual Diagnosis of Ellis-van Creveld Syndrome and Hearing Loss in a Consanguineous Family.** *Mol Syndromol* 2017, **9**(1):5-14.
19. Gao X, Zhu QY, Song YS, Wang GJ, Yuan YY, Xin F, Huang SS, Kang DY, Han MY, Guan LP *et al*: **Novel compound heterozygous mutations in the MYO15A gene in autosomal recessive hearing loss identified by whole-exome sequencing.** *J TRANSL MED* 2013, **11**:284.
20. Chang MY, Lee C, Han JH, Kim MY, Park HR, Kim N, Park WY, Oh DY, Choi BY: **Expansion of phenotypic spectrum of MYO15A pathogenic variants to include postlingual onset of progressive partial deafness.** *BMC MED GENET* 2018, **19**(1):29.
21. Miyagawa M, Nishio SY, Hattori M, Moteki H, Kobayashi Y, Sato H, Watanabe T, Naito Y, Oshikawa C, Usami S: **Mutations in the MYO15A gene are a significant cause of nonsyndromic hearing loss: massively parallel DNA sequencing-based analysis.** *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2015, **124** Suppl 1:158S-168S.
22. Lui VC, Ng LJ, Sat EW, Cheah KS: **The human alpha 2(XI) collagen gene (COL11A2): completion of coding information, identification of the promoter sequence, and precise localization within the major histocompatibility complex reveal overlap with the KE5 gene.** *GENOMICS* 1996, **32**(3):401-412.
23. Ji H, Lu J, Wang J, Li H, Lin X: **Combined examination of sequence and copy number variations in human deafness genes improves diagnosis for cases of genetic deafness.** *BMC Ear Nose Throat Disord* 2014, **14**:9.
24. Chen S, Dong C, Wang Q, Zhong Z, Qi Y, Ke X, Liu Y: **Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Successfully Detects Causative Genes in Chinese Patients with Hereditary Hearing Loss.** *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers* 2016, **20**(11):660-665.
25. Friedman TB, Liang Y, Weber JL, Hinnant JT, Barber TD, Winata S, Arhya IN, Asher JJ: **A gene for congenital, recessive deafness DFNB3 maps to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17.** *NAT GENET* 1995, **9**(1):86-91.
26. Rehman AU, Bird JE, Faridi R, Shahzad M, Shah S, Lee K, Khan SN, Imtiaz A, Ahmed ZM, Riazuddin S *et al*: **Mutational Spectrum of MYO15A and the Molecular Mechanisms of DFNB3 Human Deafness.** *HUM MUTAT* 2016, **37**(10):991-1003.
27. Zhang J, Guan J, Wang H, Yin L, Wang D, Zhao L, Zhou H, Wang Q: **Genotype-phenotype correlation analysis of MYO15A variants in autosomal recessive non-syndromic hearing loss.** *BMC MED GENET* 2019, **20**(1):60.
28. Anderson DW, Probst FJ, Belyantseva IA, Fridell RA, Beyer L, Martin DM, Wu D, Kachar B, Friedman TB, Raphael Y *et al*: **The motor and tail regions of myosin XV are critical for normal structure and function of auditory and vestibular hair cells.** *HUM MOL GENET* 2000, **9**(12):1729-1738.

29. Bashir R, Fatima A, Naz S: **Prioritized sequencing of the second exon of MYO15A reveals a new mutation segregating in a Pakistani family with moderate to severe hearing loss.** *EUR J MED GENET* 2012, **55**(2):99-102.
30. Nal N, Ahmed ZM, Erkal E, Alper OM, Luleci G, Dinc O, Waryah AM, Ain Q, Tasneem S, Husnain T *et al*: **Mutational spectrum of MYO15A: the large N-terminal extension of myosin XVA is required for hearing.** *HUM MUTAT* 2007, **28**(10):1014-1019.
31. Yang T, Wei X, Chai Y, Li L, Wu H: **Genetic etiology study of the non-syndromic deafness in Chinese Hans by targeted next-generation sequencing.** *ORPHANET J RARE DIS* 2013, **8**:85.
32. Eaton A, Hartley T, Kernohan K, Ito Y, Lamont R, Parboosingh J, Barrowman N, Innes AM, Boycott K: **When to think outside the autozygome: Best practices for exome sequencing in "consanguineous" families.** *CLIN GENET* 2020, **97**(6):835-843.