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Abstract

Background

Healthcare interventions shown to be effective, are commonly then found to be poorly implemented in clinical practice. It is suggested that insufficient attention is given to the implementation process at the developmental phase of intervention. This study outlines a 5 step process for considering both implementation and effectiveness issues from the outset of intervention development. We use the development of a complex intervention Treatment of anxiety and or depression in patients with chronic obstructive disease (TANDEM) to illustrate this process.

Methods

Intervention development built upon the Medical Research Council framework for developing complex interventions and the Person Based Approach to development of behavioural interventions. Evidence synthesis, stakeholder engagement, qualitative data collection and pre-piloting were all critical steps in intervention development.

Results

Contact with experts in the field ensured efficiency of design. Qualitative work suggested guiding principles for the intervention such as introducing mood in relation to breathlessness, and providing flexible tailoring to patients’ needs, whilst implementation principles focused on training selected respiratory professionals and requiring supervision to ensure standards of care. Subsequent steps of intervention development, pre-piloting and intervention refinement led to an intervention that was deemed acceptable and if successful will be ready for implementation.

Conclusions

By following the 5-step process recommended, both effectiveness and implementation concerns can be addressed in developing health care interventions, with the likelihood that such interventions will have a more rapid translation in to health care benefits, if shown to be effective.

Contributions To The Literature

- We describe a five-step process to support intervention developers in considering both effectiveness and implementation strategies throughout the development process.
- A complex psychological intervention for people with COPD (TANDEM) is used as a case study to illustrate the process.
The approach builds on and integrates MRC complex intervention framework and the Person Based Approach to intervention development, and includes both health care professional and patient input from the outset to ensure a focus on implementation.

The study illustrates how a pre-pilot trial can be used to promote effectiveness and develop implementation strategies prior to formally piloting the trial processes.

**Background**

In recent decades the science of developing complex interventions has made significant strides forwards with publication of guidelines and frameworks (1–3). Recognised methods for categorising and describing interventions have become available e.g. TIDieR (4) and WIDER (5) and most recently, for describing intervention development GUIDED (6). These methods are recommended with a view to enabling replication by healthcare services. Despite this there is commonly a gap between an intervention being shown to be clinically and cost effective and its implementation into practice (7, 8). This is increasingly leading to multiple research phases where an effectiveness trial is followed by development and evaluation of implementation strategies (9, 10).

Improving implementation is important, but this trajectory of stepwise development is costly, inefficient, and may delay attainment of beneficial health outcomes. Recent guidelines therefore recommend consideration of implementation issues at the outset when developing complex interventions (11), but little guidance is given on how to integrate implementation considerations alongside efficacy or effectiveness features which still predominate in intervention development.

The current article uses the development of a complex intervention, TANDEM (Tailored intervention for ANxiety and DEpression Management) for patients with moderate to very severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and mild to moderate anxiety and/or depression, to illustrate how implementation can be considered alongside initial development of an intervention. We aimed to optimise not only effectiveness but also implementation for the intervention if shown to be effective.

**Managing Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD)**

COPD has a global prevalence of 11.7% in adults aged over 30 years (12) and is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality (13) with indications that it will be among the top three causes of death by 2030 (14). Progressive reduction in lung function and increased breathlessness affect the physical, social and emotional worlds of patients (15). People with COPD typically have multi-morbidity, including psychological conditions (16–18), such as anxiety and depression, which have a major influence on quality of life (19, 20). Anxiety is reported across all ranges of COPD severity, with cited prevalence ranging from 10 to 50% (21, 22). The prevalence of depression (typically around 30% of all COPD patients (21, 23) increases with the severity of COPD (24). Importantly people with COPD and
anxiety/depression experience more exacerbations, more frequent and longer hospital admissions, and reduced survival (22, 25–28).

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) is an evidence-based, guideline-recommended intervention for the management of COPD (29), which improves functional capacity, psychological well-being, and quality of life (29–31). However, uptake and reach of PR is less than optimal (32) as practitioners under-refer, and patients fail to attend, or complete, their PR course (33) in part due to their anxiety and/or depression (34). Psychological interventions using a cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) approach either alone, or as a component of PR, have shown promise (30, 35, 36). However the limited evidence to date, has not considered implementation issues such as reach, or the practicalities of workforce delivery. TANDEM was developed to address this research gap and specifically to design an implementable, cognitive behavioural approach (CBA) intervention, delivered in tandem with PR, aiming to both improve symptoms of anxiety and depression and increase uptake and completion of PR, which itself further improves psychological well-being. The protocol for the TANDEM trial is published (37). By CBA we recognize that our intervention does not deliver full CBT but rather draws on the underlying theory to deliver an approach based on CBT. From the outset the process of developing the intervention was understood to be complex, and an open mindset to different guidance and methodologies was applied. This has subsequently been distilled into a five step process which is described below and illustrated in Figure one.

**Methods**

Step One – Review of previous evidence and engagement with experts in the area.

Development of TANDEM arose out of a commissioned funding bid for a tailored psychological intervention “combined with physical retraining” for individuals with anxiety and or depression and moderate to severe COPD. Reviews cited within the commissioning brief suggested there was synergy between psychological approaches and physical activity in improving COPD. The study team proposed combining CBA and a supportive self-management intervention with exercise through PR in order to build upon an evidence-based service already embedded within the NHS. It was envisaged from the outset that the intervention would involve both patient-facing components and training of facilitators to deliver the intervention. To ensure we built upon previous evidence we engaged with national investigators who were delivering psychological or self-management interventions with similar aims to TANDEM. A patient and public involvement (PPI) group was set up at this point to provide expertise throughout the whole process of intervention development (38).

Step Two - Identification of theoretical underpinnings and mapping to the intervention

In line with Medical Research Council (MRC) guidance (1) we reviewed the literature to consider whether:

   i. CBT was an appropriate underlying theory for the patient-facing element of the intervention and whether any other theoretical approaches should be included
whether any adult learning theories were appropriate to guide the facilitator training element of the intervention

whether there was an appropriate intervention development approach that should be followed.

In addition, we reviewed the literature on attendance of COPD populations at PR and/or psychosocial interventions to anticipate any issues with implementation and identify theoretical constructs that should be targeted. Theory use was therefore at multiple levels with multiple objectives (e.g. to guide the intervention development process, to inform the intervention, to inform training in delivery of the intervention, to inform implementation). Given this theoretical complexity we aimed to develop a programme theory showing how different approaches could work together and complement each other in the overall TANDEM programme.

Step Three – Qualitative research to understand participant and implementation needs.

Building on the theory and evidence base from steps one and two, understanding ‘real life’ and implementation challenges to the patients, health care professionals and health systems, was considered essential to inform intervention development. We therefore conducted exploratory qualitative work with both health care professionals and patients with topic guides that addressed three issues:-

i. Difficulties in living with COPD

ii. Opinions on the proposed intervention

iii. Critical elements for successful implementation

We identified respiratory health care professionals (rHCPs) who had an interest in delivery of psychological interventions to patients with COPD through social media and professional networks and invited them to participate in either an individual interview (face to face or telephone) or focus group dependent on participant preference.

We arranged two focus groups for patients and carers. One included COPD patients or carers who had previously experienced CBT (including participants from a specific CBT trial (39); and one with patients and carers attending a Breathe Easy group (a UK support group run by the British Lung Foundation (BLF) who may, or may not, have experienced CBT.

Informed consent to participate and audio-record data was obtained for all participants. Recordings were then transcribed. As the purpose of this qualitative work was to inform intervention development we conducted a rapid thematic analysis informed by a framework approach (40) with the key aim of identifying factors that should be included in the intervention to increase acceptability and ease implementation into routine service if shown to be effective.

Step four – Design of intervention materials
An intervention working group with responsibility for developing the intervention, was formed including the joint Principal Investigators (PIs), both academic general practitioners (ST, HP), health services researcher (RS), sociologist and qualitative expert (MK), consultant respiratory nurse and cognitive behavioural therapist (KHM), health psychologist (LS), consultant clinical psychologist (SSW) and PPI representative (CW). Formal meetings were convened at three key points.

i. after steps one to three in order to agree guiding principles and basic outline of the intervention and its design

ii. prior to the pre-pilot (step five) to confirm intervention content for both patients and rHCPs

iii. after the pre-pilot (step five) to synthesise qualitative data on acceptability of the intervention, ease of implementation and any refinements required.

In addition, members of the group liaised via e-mail throughout the development process to comment on intervention materials and delivery options. Patient-facing materials were also discussed with PPI colleagues during this development phase to enable on-going patient and carer input. Finally, a reflective intervention development log was kept to ensure the process, considerations and decisions taken were recorded and transparent.

Step Five – Real world pre-pilot phase

Given the complexity and multi-level action of the TANDEM intervention it was felt that even after individual materials had been developed and refined the intervention needed to be delivered as a whole, to understand how elements ‘hung’ together. This was seen as part of the design phase of the intervention, and an important step prior to testing any research elements (such as randomisation, or outcome data collection) that would occur in a pilot trial (41). We have labeled this step in intervention development as the real world pre-pilot phase. In TANDEM we identified two key questions which needed to be answered before more formal piloting:-

i. Do participants who receive the intervention when delivered by someone already skilled in CBT find it acceptable (i.e. is the potential TANDEM patient intervention appropriate and acceptable) and receive the intervention as intended?

ii. Do participants who receive the intervention when delivered by a novice in a cognitive behavioural approach (CBA) but trained as part of the TANDEM programme find the intervention acceptable and receive it as intended (i.e. is the proposed TANDEM facilitator training sufficient for delivery of the TANDEM patient intervention and consequently implementable)?

A purposive sample of three rHCPs was recruited and trained to deliver the TANDEM intervention, these included one rHCP trained to CBT diploma level, one trained to basic CBT level (i.e. following a three day training external to TANDEM) and one rHCP who had not previously received any CBT training. Once trained these individuals were referred to as “TANDEM facilitators”.
Following methodology used by Heslop-Marshall (42), training for the TANDEM facilitators participating in the real world pre-pilot was conducted over three days, the first two concurrent and the third approximately 6 weeks later to enable practice of skills. To reflect the group nature of future training, clinical members of the research team also joined the three days training as participant observers. Training was delivered by a CBT qualified consultant respiratory nurse (KHM) a health psychologist (LS) and a consultant clinical psychologist (SSW). At the end of each training day all participants were requested to provide verbal feedback on the content and process of training.

Patients with moderate to severe COPD were eligible for participation in the real world pre-pilot phase. Patients received the full 6–8 TANDEM sessions and were invited to interview post-intervention. With participant consent all intervention sessions were audio-recorded. Following delivery of the patient intervention all three of the rHCP TANDEM facilitators were invited to interview. Semi structured interviews were conducted and covered issues such as acceptability and benefit of training, any omissions or improvements, feasibility of delivering the intervention to patients and usefulness of intervention materials.

Results

Step One – Review of the literature

Dr Karen Heslop Marshall, a clinical academic agreed to be part of the research collaboration, allowing use of her CBT based Lung Manual (42), which had been evaluated in a successful randomized trial (39), as a basis for the development of the TANDEM intervention. We also recognised the importance of providing high quality, standardised information and education. To enable this, whilst allowing for the majority of our development time to focus on the CBA elements, Professor Sally Singh who had developed a self-management programme the SPACE manual for COPD (43) was invited to join the research collaboration. We therefore used SPACE materials as well as information leaflets from the British Lung Foundation to meet educational and self-management needs.

Step Two – Identification of Theoretical Underpinnings and Mapping to the intervention

Both The Lung Manual and the SPACE manual are evidence-based and draw on theory. The Lung Manual applied Beck's theory of CBT (44) for managing anxiety and breathlessness in COPD whilst the SPACE manual applied a self-management approach based on Bandura's social learning/cognitive theory (45, 46). Review of other theoretical constructs predictive of outcomes in COPD suggested that Leventhal’s Self-Regulation theory (47) was also relevant. Figure two shows the logic model for TANDEM. The basic premise is that how an individual thinks about their COPD (cognitions - including illness and treatment beliefs) influences how they behave (including self-management actions taken) and how they feel (both physical symptoms and emotions). These factors have interactional effects such that depression and /or anxiety can be both reduced or exacerbated depending on the individual’s cognitions and behaviours.
Consequently, by targeting change at a cognitive, behavioural or symptom level this will influence emotional outcomes.

Consultation with Education for Health, a health education charity, and a review of the literature suggested that the pedagogical theory that would be relevant for the training of facilitators was the VARK (Visual, Auditory, Read, Kinesthetic (i.e. experience or practice, simulated or real)) model of learning (48). This ensured that the training would suit individuals with different learning styles.

For intervention development the person-based approach (49) with its focus on using qualitative work to inform guiding principles was considered particularly relevant and therefore guided the intervention development process.

Step Three – Qualitative findings, themes and development of guiding principles

One focus group comprising six rHCPs (one respiratory consultant, one occupational therapist, three physiotherapists and one exercise practitioner) and seven individual interviews (four psychologists, two physiotherapists and one general practitioner) were conducted. All had experience in working with patients with COPD, either in the community or secondary care. Roles varied, including some with management responsibilities who were able to discuss implementation.

One focus group was held with patients of whom four had COPD, two had other respiratory conditions and two were carers. Very tight timelines precluded formal analysis of transcripts from the patient focus group data, so limiting data to quotes selected from the audio recording. Major local governance delays prevented conduct of the second focus group with patients and their carers who had experience of CBT in time to inform intervention development.

Both patients and professionals presented an overall positive attitude to the idea of the TANDEM intervention:-

*And I do think in the long run something like this could be more cost effective and stuff, things like that...I think it would be really useful (rHCP FG002 Occupational therapist)*

Themes were developed which related to i) life with COPD, ii) intervention considerations and iii) issues for implementation (see supplementary article 1 for qualitative data summary).

Life with COPD

All participants including patients and rHCPs recognised depression and anxiety as common in COPD although patients did not always use this terminology. Other issues such as frustration and embarrassment, along with role adjustment and loss, including of social contacts, were common and seen as contributors to mood problems.

“The approach is good...because of all the other thing happening in people's lives which can stop them attending PR and make them anxious and depressed.” (focus group patient)
Intervention considerations

rHCPs emphasised the challenge of breathlessness to patients with COPD and suggested that discussion and teaching of breathing control early in the intervention is a helpful way to raise issues around mood as well as providing practical help which may increase engagement. There was also recognition that this group may be quite socially isolated and health literacy may vary so the intervention must be accessible to all.

Implementation considerations

The majority of issues for implementation related to the workforce and who would realistically be able to deliver a CBA service. Both rHCPs and psychologists recommended rHCPs to be best placed, however all recognised that there would need to be some selection process and supervision. One clinician was concerned about the cost of the intervention, although others considered that in the long run CBA could be cost-effective.

These themes were subsequently interpreted to provide guiding principles as recommended by the patient based approach to intervention development (49). Table 1 shows these principles and example data extracts.

**Step Four: Design And Refinement Of Intervention**

Having agreed the guiding principles for the intervention the intervention working group met to discuss the design of the TANDEM intervention. It was understood that the intervention would be working at two levels i) patient-facing (i.e. CBA delivery) and ii) facilitator-facing (i.e. training programme).

For the patient-facing CBA a range of materials were designed that could be provided as part of the intervention. These were developed for TANDEM but where possible drew on or used the SPACE manual (43)

handouts. LS developed all materials with iterative refinement from the working group and PPI consultation. Each patient was given a TANDEM folder, in which they could store handouts relevant to them so that individuals had a tailored version of TANDEM materials whilst maintaining consistency in the content provided. In total 6–8 face to face sessions were designed, covering nine topics; those delivered were dependent on individual problems and complexity. Table two provides an overview of TANDEM topics

One topic (dealing with ‘other problems’) was specifically added as a strategy for keeping the focus of initial sessions on COPD whilst having space later to address issues the person may have outside COPD, for example debt, substance abuse etc. This topic looked at how the CBA, learnt in the context of COPD, could be generalised to different problems, with sign-posting to additional sources of help. At the final
session discussion was around pulmonary rehabilitation. If there was to be a delay in individuals commencing PR then the facilitator arranged up to nine weekly telephone calls.

The three day facilitator training was provided with a supporting manual which covered the skills needed to deliver TANDEM.

Table 3 details the content of the programme. There was a high level of practical and experiential learning in the group and supportive links within the group were encouraged. Throughout delivery of the CBA intervention facilitators received telephone supervision, one-to-one with senior cognitive behavioural therapists at approximately fortnightly intervals. This on-going supervision was considered to be an integral part of the intervention.

**Phase Five - Real World Pre-pilot Study**

All three TANDEM facilitators completed the three training days and two went on to deliver the intervention to three patients (one delivered it to two patients and one to a single patient). The third facilitator (respiratory practice nurse without prior CBT training) did not manage to see any patients due to delays because of research governance and consequent change in work commitments.

**TANDEM Facilitators**

After the initial training session, facilitators suggested some changes, specifically an overview of the intervention at the beginning of the training in order to orientate individuals. All the facilitators felt that a role-play activity with a simulated patient (actor), which was conducted as part of the original group training on Day one, was too threatening and at too early a stage of skill development. Instead they requested more demonstrations and more practice with developing formulation.

Interviews at the end of delivering TANDEM to patients, revealed that both facilitators felt the intervention had been well received by participants and feasible to implement, although one had to deliver it over a longer period than scheduled due to patient illness.

*Yeah, I mean the two patients who I had were very, very enthusiastic about all elements of the intervention.* (PPHCP01)

Generally the facilitators appeared able to follow the manual and found it a helpful guide, but there was questioning of whether someone without previous CBA training would be able to manage:

*‘I mean section nine, it's got identifying maintenance factors, and it talks about safety behaviours, avoidance and escape, catastrophic interpretation, scanning or hypervigilance, self-fulfilling prophecies, fear of fear; reductions, affectionism, short term rewards. If you're trying to talk to a patient and remember what it says in the manual you might get yourself a little bit flustered.’* (PPHCP02)
One facilitator recommended presenting basic intervention techniques as a toolbox and also the provision of a crib sheet for easy prompting within sessions.

‘I feel that people who come away from the training need to have something like a virtual toolbox of techniques that they can refer to ...they expected quite a lot of you... I made myself a crib sheet type of thing’ (PPHCP01)

One element that was not adhered to as planned was supervision with a senior psychologist, as the facilitators relied on supervision by an experienced member of their team who was already known to them and who was also part of the study team (KHM). However both facilitators reported this supervision was useful.

**Patient perspective**

Patients who had received the TANDEM intervention reported it to be acceptable and beneficial, observing that the facilitators had very good interpersonal skills. There were no substantive suggestions for improvement.

‘And then x and I just seemed to get on very well, he’s a likeable chap, very laid back. And so it went from there. And then we started doing the things that you asked in TANDEM. Planning... They’re just small things, but marvelous’ (PPP01, male participant)

**Refinements To Tandem After The Pre-pilot**

**Refinements to the TANDEM intervention**

Changes and additions were primarily made to the TANDEM facilitator training, as there were few recommendations for changes to patient materials. These are detailed in Table 3 with additions highlighted in bold. All suggestions were followed: e.g. providing a greater overview of TANDEM at the beginning of session one, keeping to a core set of CBA techniques and outlining a “toolbox” of techniques which could be used. We made video recordings to demonstrate therapeutic skills and CBA techniques. These were made available online, with a facilitator chat facility for ongoing support.

The use of a simulated patient was omitted from the first two days of training and replaced by partnered role-play. The simulated patient role-play was, however, added to the end of day three. Each TANDEM facilitator was individually video-recorded conducting a cognitive behavioural assessment and feed back with the actor. Each video was subsequently assessed by LS and an independent assessor to ensure a minimum standard of competency (see study protocol(37)) was acquired. To enhance learning, and boost confidence, facilitators received one-to-one feedback on their video. A training session on the importance of supervision was added with reflection that supervision is a standard part of psychological training and practice (in contrast to more managerial supervision with which HCPs may be more familiar).
Refinements to improve implementation

To improve delivery of the intervention within the trial and future implementation within routine healthcare contexts, five features were added:

i. Facilitators were provided with crib cards for use as prompts within sessions.
ii. An optional session was added for use when a break in sessions had become necessary (e.g. due to patient illness). The aim of this additional session was to refresh topics that had been covered before the break and re-establish current priorities.
iii. Some flexibility in the order of delivery of sessions was allowed reflecting the reality that some patients commenced PR before the end of the TANDEM sessions. It was stipulated that Topics 1-5(or 6) must have been conducted but that if necessary the final topic on expectations of PR could be brought forward as there was no sense in delivering this once PR had started.
iv. A structure for screening potential facilitators including a formal application with a curriculum vitae and telephone interview with one of the principal investigators was developed. The aim was to ensure only fully committed individuals who could meet the study-specific requirements (e.g. flexibility to travel, willingness to complete research modules and good clinical practice training) received training.
v. A booster training session was designed to be delivered to facilitators if there were delays of 3 months or more between initial training and delivery of TANDEM.

For a description of the intervention following TIDieR guidance please see additional file 1.

Discussion

This paper sets out a five step process for developing the TANDEM intervention that considers both intervention and implementation strategies from the outset to maximise the potential for implementation (if successful) and reduce the time period for translation into practice. In particular, consideration was given to the workforce that would deliver the intervention and their training and support needs, as well as designing an intervention that could fit structurally into routine clinical care.

Methodologically, we propose use of a novel ‘real world pre-pilot’ phase within the process of intervention development. This is particularly applicable for complex multi-level or group interventions where the recommended ‘think aloud’ phase (49) of development may be impractical. The benefits of a pre-pilot phase are to test not only individual elements of an intervention but also how multiple elements of an intervention ‘hang together’. This contrasts with traditional feasibility pilot trials, which typically come after intervention development and also test elements of the research process.

Whilst we present a stepped process in reality there was flow between different steps as intervention development is commonly iterative (2). For example, some ideas about the intervention had been considered before the guiding principles were articulated in step three. This was inevitable as our initial
design was reactive to a funding call (with the additional benefit of enabling peer review comment on our ideas). Importantly, however, at step three we were open to any of our original ideas being challenged and the intervention changed. The intervention working group comprised individuals with diverse backgrounds and were encouraged to reflect and discuss critically.

A challenge we recognised from the outset was that for interventions to be implemented within routine health care services they must be as cost effective as possible. One implication of this is that each patient should receive the optimal intervention for them; one size fits all interventions are likely to be cost inefficient either by under or over treating some individuals. Tailoring has been recommended as a way to address this (7), though it is a significant challenge to design an intervention that is both responsive to each individual's needs yet standardised enough to allow for robust evaluation and assessment of fidelity when implemented. TANDEM attempted to balance standardisation with flexibility by using a modular/topic-based approach where topics are standardised, but which topics are addressed and in what order is dependent on the individual or the circumstances (such as timing of the PR course). The success of tailoring in this way is as yet unknown but will be examined as part of the process evaluation of the trial.

A further challenge was that some aspects of implementation were more difficult because of delivery within the context of a research trial. In our pre-pilot we experienced two illustrations of this. The first was that obtaining local research governance approval caused a delay of 6 months between training TANDEM facilitators and being allowed to deliver the intervention. This was detrimental as it risked loss of confidence amongst the facilitators, skill drift and knowledge decrease during the gap between training and implementation. We would urge research governance frameworks to consider the impact of their processes in the context of intervention development and implementation research. Within a clinical service this might be less likely to occur, but clinical practice has its own challenges such as staff turnover, funding pressures, changes in management etc. The implementation strategies we provided, such as booster training and online support resources to overcome study specific issues, could equally apply in the clinical setting and will be explored within our trial process evaluation.

The second was that our TANDEM facilitators could not be embedded within the participants' clinical team (to prevent 'contamination' of controls (37)) with the results that they would not have support, both practical and emotional, from clinical colleagues. The research team attempted to overcome this by providing facilitators with a clinical support network with the chief investigators ST, HP (both general practitioners) and the consultant respiratory nurse (KHM) was available to answer any concerns. This was in addition to the clinical supervision provided for ensuring therapeutic competence and access to a chat facility with other facilitators on the skills website.

**Strengths and Limitations**

A strength of TANDEM is in its multidisciplinary team approach to intervention development. We specifically built upon previous work by collaborating with national experts enhancing the expertise
available to TANDEM and reducing potential duplication. Surprisingly this has not been explicitly recommended in recent guidance (11) but in our view is an important step in ensuring the most efficient use of limited resources.

A further strength is the systematic and transparent approach to intervention development that we have outlined.

In step three where we conducted qualitative work to highlight important issues for the intervention and its implementation, only a limited number of health care professionals and patients could participate. It may be that important voices such as that of practice nurses, health service managers or commissioners, who could have provided different perspectives for implementation, were not heard. This step, however was scheduled within a relatively rapid time-frame to be useful to inform intervention development, and therefore a more pragmatic approach was needed than would be used for a formal qualitative study.

In the pre-pilot phase only three facilitators and three participants experienced the intervention. Greater numbers would have provided greater feedback however again this was not possible due to resource limitations and logistical requirements of the time-scale required for intervention development.

Resonance of TANDEM with Implementation Research

Although we aimed to address integration of implementation issues throughout our intervention development process we did not explicitly do this in our research design. Methodological advances in implementation research have made recommendations for trial designs known as hybrid designs whereby effectiveness and implementation potential of strategies are investigated concurrently (50). Recently there have also been calls for this within behavioural science (51). Three levels of hybrid design are proposed which vary in the relative balance between the focus on effectiveness versus implementation (50). These designs require a set of assumptions to be met around features of the intervention, such as the level of face validity, strength of the existing evidence base, risks associated with the intervention and implementation momentum. TANDEM meets these assumptions and hence can be considered as similar to a hybrid design:

i. It has high face validity given CBT and pulmonary rehabilitation are well recognised and guideline-recommended interventions.

ii. PR has an established evidence base for COPD (29-31) and CBT is showing promise for COPD patients(35, 39).

iii. Few risks have been described in evaluations of CBT or PR and there is little reason to expect increased risk through the integration of these approaches such as proposed in TANDEM;

iv. Importantly there is a current implementation momentum within the clinical system towards both implementation of PR (52), and treatment of psychological issues within chronic illness, in the UK (53). Furthermore recent policy has advocated the expansion of current primary care mental health
services (Integrated Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT)) to work with patients with COPD (54).

Future studies developing interventions for implementation may wish explicitly to consider a greater diversity of research design, including hybrid designs to see if this can facilitate implementation.

**Conclusions**

We recommend that intervention developers play greater consideration to implementation issues both at the early stages and throughout the intervention development phase. We outline five steps focused around understanding and working with prior knowledge, using theory, exploring the needs of the target groups, developing prototypes and testing within a real world pre-pilot, all of which can consider implementation issues as needs as well as that of intervention content. By conducting this work within a framework of critical reflectivity we suggest more successful and implementable interventions are likely to be developed.
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Tables
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention Guiding Principles</th>
<th>Data Extract</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Depression and anxiety are key topics but could be introduced via breathlessness</td>
<td>I think they most often talk about symptoms like breathlessness, rather than saying that they're anxious or depressed. (HCP006 Physio) “terminology is important” such as “dealing with” “living with” (patient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Intervention should be tailored/flexible to individuals</td>
<td>it’s just that patients are all different, and therefore present very differently and the intervention has to be tailored individually to what they’re presenting with. (HCP003, psychologist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sessions could be offered at home, or clinic but there may be limitations to the latter, accessibility is key</td>
<td>So I think having the capacity to start off at home is certainly a good idea. I think just something about accessible locations. (HCP002, Psychologist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear expectations and boundaries should be set at the start of the intervention</td>
<td>So there needs to be quite clear boundaries about what the intervention offers and doesn’t offer. (HCP006, physio)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Implementation Guiding Principles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustrating quotes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery by Respiratory Professionals rather than psychologists is preferable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some selection and training of facilitators will be needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision of facilitators delivering the intervention is essential and should be ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The intervention must be deliverable and supported by management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The intervention should be able to account for patient breaks due to illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topics Covered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session 3–7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session 5–7</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Session 6–8</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3
Overview of TANDEM facilitator training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day One</th>
<th>Day Two</th>
<th>Day Three</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Introductions</td>
<td>• CBA techniques (practical)</td>
<td>• Case study feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• TANDEM overview</td>
<td>o Psychoeducation</td>
<td>• Individual practice with actor (videoed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The patients experience of COPD (group exercise)</td>
<td>o Breathing control</td>
<td>• Delivering TANDEM session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• What are Depression and Anxiety? (group exercise)</td>
<td>o Distraction</td>
<td>by session including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Depression and anxiety in COPD</td>
<td>o Monitoring</td>
<td>o Changing behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Introduction to CBA (group exercise)</td>
<td>o Problem Solving</td>
<td>o Preparing for PR (using a photobook)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Core therapeutic skills (video demonstration)</td>
<td>o Goal setting</td>
<td>• Importance of Supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Making an assessment – recognizing thoughts, feelings behaviours, symptoms (practical)</td>
<td>o Graded practice/simple behavioural experiments</td>
<td>• Risk Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sharing ideas with patients (practical)</td>
<td>o Challenging Thoughts (video demonstration)</td>
<td>• Research Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Feedback on worries and concerns after day one</td>
<td>• Toolbox for Anxiety</td>
<td>Provision of crib cards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Toolbox for Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Preparation for case studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold typeface represents additions to the training after conducting the real world pre-pilot.
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