Modelling infectious diseases with herd immunity in a randomly mixed population

Kian Boon Law (✉ kblaw@crc.gov.my)

Institute for Clinical Research, Malaysia   https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1175-1307

Kalaiarasu M Peariasamy

Institute for Clinical Research, Malaysia

Hishamshah Ibrahim

Office of the Director General, Ministry of Health Malaysia

Noor Hisham Abdullah

Office of the Director General, Ministry of Health Malaysia

---

1   **Modelling infectious diseases with herd immunity in a randomly mixed population**
2
3

	Author names	Degree	Affiliation and full address
1	Law Kian Boon	M.Sc.	Digital Health Research and Innovation, Institute for Clinical Research, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, NIH Complex, Jalan Setia Murni U13/52 Seksyen U13, Setia Alam, 40170 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
2	Kalaiarasu M Peariasamy	FDSRCS	Institute for Clinical Research, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia, NIH Complex, Jalan Setia Murni U13/52 Seksyen U13, Setia Alam, 40170 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia
3	Hishamshah Ibrahim	Master of Medicine	The Office of Director General, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Kompleks E, Pusat Pentadbiran Kerajaan Persekutuan, 62590, Putrajaya Malaysia
4	Noor Hisham Abdullah	Master of Surgery	The Office of Director General, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Kompleks E, Pusat Pentadbiran Kerajaan Persekutuan, 62590, Putrajaya Malaysia

4

5   **Corresponding author:**
6   Law Kian Boon
7   Email: kblaw@crc.gov.my, telephone details: +6012 7040414

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Abstract

Background
The conventional susceptible-infectious-recovered (SIR) model tends to overestimate transmission dynamics of infectious diseases and ends up with total infections exceeding the threshold required for control and eradication of infectious diseases. The study aims to overcome the limitation by allowing the transmission rate of infectious disease to decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection.

Methods
Two new SIR models were developed to mimic the declining transmission rate of infectious diseases at different stages of transmission. Model A mimicked the declining transmission rate along with the reducing risk of transmission following infection, while Model B mimicked the declining transmission rate following recovery. Then, the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B were used to simulate an infectious disease with a basic reproduction number (r_0) of 3.0 and a herd immunity threshold (HIT) of 0.667 with and without vaccination. The infectious disease was expected to be controlled or eradicated when the total immunized population either through infection or vaccination reached the level predicted by the HIT. Outcomes of simulations were assessed at the time when the total immunized population reached the level predicted by the HIT, and at the end of simulations.

Findings
All three models performed likewise at the beginning of transmission when sizes of infectious and recovered were relatively small as compared with the population size. The infectious disease modelled using the conventional SIR model appeared completely out of control even when the HIT was achieved in all scenarios with and without vaccination. The infectious disease modelled using Model A appeared to be controlled at the level predicted by the HIT in all scenarios with and without vaccination. Model B projected the infectious disease to be controlled at the level predicted by the HIT only at high vaccination rates. At lower vaccination rates or without vaccination, the level at which the infectious disease was controlled cannot be accurately predicted by the HIT.

Conclusion
Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity can accurately be modelled by allowing the transmission rate of infectious disease to decline along with the combined risk of contact infection. Model B provides a more realistic framework for modelling infectious diseases with herd immunity in a randomly mixed population.

Funding
No funding sources

Keywords
Herd immunity; Deterministic model; Vaccine; Vaccination; Population immunity; Herd immunity threshold

Introduction

Herd or population immunity refers to the indirect protection from infectious diseases among remaining susceptible individuals when most people in a population are immune to infectious diseases either through vaccination or infection. The concept of herd immunity became a fixture of epidemiology in 1930s, and took on fresh prominence in 1950s and 1960s as new vaccines raised crucial questions for public health policy on the proportion of the vaccinated population for the eradication of infectious diseases[1]. Herd immunity takes effect when the transmission rate of infectious diseases starts to decline along with the reducing risk of infection due to the presence or proximity of immune individuals in a randomly mixed population[2]. Although the effect of herd immunity has been observed in many vaccinated populations of periodical childhood epidemics, such as measles, mumps, rubella, pertussis, chickenpox and polio, it has not been successfully attained through mathematical modellings.

Mathematical models such as the susceptible-infectious-recovered (SIR) and its variants are widely used to simulate the transmission pattern of infectious diseases. Those models use a flexible compartmental framework with robust assumptions for a wide range of applications[3–6]. The compartmental framework of SIR model simplifies transmission dynamics of infectious diseases by classifying individuals based on their epidemiological status and ability to host and transmit a pathogen[7]. Other than the framework, the SIR model also assumes complete immunity can be acquired through infection, hence encompassing the epidemiological notion of herd immunity through infection[8,9].

It is generally believed that infectious diseases can be controlled or eradicated when the total immunized population reaches a level predicted by the herd immunity threshold (HIT). The HIT indicates a level of one infected individual generating less than one secondary case on average[10]. The transmission of infectious diseases becomes unsustainable in a population beyond the HIT. The HIT can be calculated from the basic reproduction number (r_0) of infectious diseases to guide the vaccination strategy for controlling an epidemic or pandemic, either through vaccine or infection[2,11]. For instance, to control or eradicate the COVID-19 pandemic, vaccination should cover 50.0% to 66.7% of the world population based on the r_0 of 2.0 to 3.0 for the novel coronavirus[12–15].

The conventional SIR model often overestimates transmission dynamics of infectious diseases. For instance, an infectious disease with r_0 of 3.0 would eventually infect up to 94.0% of a population, a level way beyond the expected HIT, even with the presence of herd immunity if modelled using the conventional SIR model. This problem is of great concern especially for time being as many models have been developed based on the conventional SIR framework to guide public health planning and preparedness against the COVID-19 pandemic[16–19]. This study aims to investigate and overcome the aforesaid limitation of using the SIR model in modelling infectious diseases with herd immunity in a randomly mixed population. We proposed a key modification to the conventional SIR model that allows the transmission rate of infectious disease to decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection, which is more in line with the principle of herd immunity.

119   **Methods**
120
121   **Conventional SIR model**
122

A) Compartmental structure

$$S(t) \xrightarrow{FS(t)} I(t) \xrightarrow{\sigma I(t)} R(t)$$

B) Model equations

$$S(t) = -FS(t)$$
$$I(t) = FS(t) - \sigma I(t)$$
$$R(t) = \sigma I(t)$$

**Force of infection:**

$$F = \beta \frac{I(t)}{N}$$

Figure 1: The compartmental structure and model equations of Kermack & Mckendrick's SIR model.

123
124
125   Kermack & McKendrick postulated the first SIR model for infectious diseases in 1927
126   before vaccines became popular in 1950s for control and eradication of infectious diseases[9].
127   Then, the SIR model became the fundamental of most infectious disease models developed
128   since. The conventional SIR model divides a homogenous population, N into three basic
129   compartments: susceptible denoted by S(t), infectious denoted by I(t), and recovered or removed
130   denoted by R(t), and assumes infectious diseases spread from affected to unaffected through
131   contact infection (Fig.1). Susceptible are individuals who have equal risk of being infected.
132   Infectious are individuals who have developed infectivity and can transmit pathogen to those
133   who remain susceptible. Recovered or removed are individuals who have recovered from
134   infection and immune to reinfection. In brief, the conventional SIR model describes the
135   transmission of infectious disease with herd immunity through infection. The SIR model can be
136   described mathematically by a set of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) as shown in Fig. 1.
137
138   According to model equations (Fig. 1), the rate of individuals moving from compartment
139   S(t) to I(t) due to contact infection is determined by S(t) and the force of infection, F, which
140   consists of the product of a constant contact rate (β) and the proportion of infectious individuals,
141   $\frac{I(t)}{N}$. And, the rate of individuals moving from compartment I(t) and R(t) following recovery is
142   determined by I(t) and the reciprocal of infection duration, denoted by σ. Therefore, the
143   conventional SIR model often simulates the I(t) to increase at the beginning of transmission and
144   subsequently diminishes due to the exhausting stock of S(t).
145
146   Without vital dynamics, the population size is constant and can be given by:
147
148   $$N = S(t) + I(t) + R(t) \qquad (1)$$
149
150   Equation (1) can be converted into prevalence or proportion by dividing each notation with the
151   population size, N:
152
153
154   $$1 = \frac{S(t)}{N} + \frac{I(t)}{N} + \frac{R(t)}{N}. \qquad (2)$$
155

4

According to Equation (2), I(t) and R(t) are often very small as compared with N at the beginning of transmission, therefore, $\frac{I(t)}{N} \approx 0$, $\frac{R(t)}{N} \approx 0$, and $\frac{S(t)}{N} \approx 1$. At the end of transmission, the I(t) would become very small again, therefore, $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N} \approx \frac{S(t)}{N}$. To model infectious diseases with herd immunity, $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ or $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N}$ can be incorporated into the force of infection to mimic the reducing risk of contact infection at different stage of infection.

By incorporating $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ into the F, we assume herd immunity takes effect to reduce the transmission rate of infectious diseases along with the reducing risk of contact infection following infection. By incorporating $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N}$ into the F, we assume herd immunity takes effect to reduce the transmission rate of infectious diseases along with the reducing risk of contact infection following recovery.

New SIR models

In chemistry, the Law of Mass Action is used to describe the rate of chemical reaction being proportional to the concentration of reactants.[20] Based on the above principle, a contact can be regarded as an interactive event between susceptible individuals and infectious individuals in a randomly mixed environment, with its rate being proportional to $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ and $\frac{I(t)}{N}$. The product of $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ and $\frac{I(t)}{N}$ denotes the combined risk of contact infection. Two new models were developed based on the above principle as below:

Model A: The total transmission rate of infectious diseases in a randomly mixed population depends on the S(t) and new force of infection, F_A, which consists of the product of β, $\frac{I(t)}{N}$ and $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ as follow:

$$F_A = \beta \frac{I(t)S(t)}{N^2}. \tag{3}$$

In Model A, the risk of contact infection is determined by both $\frac{S(t)}{N}$ and $\frac{I(t)}{N}$. Therefore, the transmission rate would decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection when individuals move from compartment S(t) to I(t) due to infection. The compartmental structure and model equations of Model A can be found in Fig. 2.

Model B: The total transmission rate of infectious diseases in a randomly mixed population depends on the S(t) and new force of infection, F_B, which consists of the product of β, $\frac{I(t)}{N}$ and $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N}$ as follow:

$$F_B = \beta \frac{I(t)[N - R(t)]}{N^2}. \tag{4}$$

194 In Model B, the risk of contact infection is determined by both $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N}$ or $\frac{N-R(t)}{N}$ and $\frac{I(t)}{N}$.
195 Therefore, the transmission rate would decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection
196 when individuals move from compartment I(t) to R(t) after recovery. The $1 - \frac{R(t)}{N}$ denotes the
197 inverse of proportion of recovered individuals and is used to mimic the reducing risk of contact
198 infection following recovery. The compartmental structure and model equations of Model B can
199 be found in Fig. 2.
200

Figure 2: The compartmental structure and model equations of the newly developed Model A and Model B.

201
202
203   Both Model A and Model B retain the basic SIR compartmental structure, except for the
204 force of infection (Fig. 2). With the modification, both models can be used to simulate
205 transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection in a
206 randomly mixed population.
207
208 **The basic reproduction number, r_0**
209
210   The equation of I(t) from the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B can be
211 rearranged as follows:
212

213
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \left[\beta \frac{S(t)}{N} - \sigma\right] I(t) \,. \tag{5}$$

214

215
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \left[\beta \left(\frac{S(t)}{N}\right)^2 - \sigma\right] I(t) \,. \tag{6}$$

216

217
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = \left[\beta \left(\frac{S(t)[N-R(t)]}{N^2}\right) - \sigma\right] I(t) \,. \tag{7}$$

218

219   At the beginning of transmission, when $\frac{S(t)}{N} \approx 1$ and $\frac{R(t)}{N} \approx 0$, we would obtain the
220 exactly same equation for all three models as follow:
221

222
$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} = (\beta - \sigma)I(t). \tag{8}$$

223
224   The integral of Equation (8) is an exponential function as follow:
225

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{(\beta - \sigma)t}. \tag{9}$$

Equation (9) shows a crucial condition that determines the widespread of infectious diseases in a population. The transmission of infectious diseases can be sustained if $\beta > \sigma$ or $\frac{\beta}{\sigma} > 1$. The ratio between β and σ denotes the basic reproduction number (r_0) of infectious diseases. The r_0 can also be defined as the number of secondary cases caused by a single primary case in a wholly susceptible population[21,22]. The r_0 can be used to derive the herd immunity threshold (HIT) according to a simple theorem proposed by Dietz (1975)[23].

$$HIT = 1 - \frac{1}{r_0}. \tag{10}$$

The HIT can also be defined as the level that the transmission of infectious diseases becomes unsustainable when one infected person generates less than one secondary cases on average in a population[10]. Often, the HIT can be used to predict total infections achieved at the end of transmission without vaccination. If vaccination is used to control infection diseases, the HIT indicates the share of population that needs to be vaccinated for control of infectious diseases.

Vaccine models

Figure 3: The compartmental structure and model equations of vaccine models modified using the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B.

Unlike immunity through infection, vaccination introduces immunity into individuals without developing infectivity, therefore protecting a population from infectious diseases without generating more infections. A simple vaccine model can be created using the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B by allowing individuals vaccinated to move from compartment $S(t)$ straight to $R(t)$ at a constant vaccination rate denoted by ν (Fig. 3). The magnitude of ν depends on factors such as availability of vaccines and resources allocated for vaccinating people, not the size of $S(t)$. Here, we assume individuals who have been vaccinated would develop complete immunity as those developing immunity through infection. Therefore, the compartment $R(t)$ would consist of the total immunized population either through infection or vaccination. Herd immunity was considered achieved when the total immunized population reached the level predicted by the HIT.

Table 1 shows the breakdown of transmission rate and recovery rate of the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. Model A and Model B only differ from the conventional SIR

262 model in the risk of contact infection. By assigning same values to β, σ and ν, all three models
263 can be used to simulate transmission dynamics of the same infectious disease with herd
264 immunity either through infection or vaccination in a randomly mixed population.
265
266 **Table 1:** Breakdown of transmission rate and recovery rate of the conventional SIR model,
267 Model A and Model B.

Models	Components of transmission rate			Total transmission rate from S(t) to I(t)	Components of recovery rate		Total recovery rate from I(t) to R(t)	Vaccination rate
	Force of infection, F		Number of Susceptible		Recovery coefficient	Number of Infectious		
	Contact rate	Risk of contact infection						
Conventional SIR model	β	$\frac{I(t)}{N}$	S(t)	$\beta\frac{I(t)S(t)}{N}$	σ	I(t)	σI(t)	ν
Model A	β	$\frac{I(t)S(t)}{N^2}$	S(t)	$\beta\frac{I(t)[S(t)]^2}{N^2}$	σ	I(t)	σI(t)	ν
Model B	β	$\frac{I(t)[N-R(t)]}{N^2}$	S(t)	$\beta\frac{I(t)S(t)[N-R(t)]}{N^2}$	σ	I(t)	σI(t)	ν

268
269
270 **Simulations and sensitivity analyses**
271
272   Model ODEs of all three models can be solved by using numerical integration. First, we
273 simulated all three models under the exact and arbitrary condition with parameter values as
274 presented in Table 2. These parameter values allowed all three models to project transmission
275 dynamics of the same infectious disease in a homogenous population. We assumed complete
276 immunity can be acquired either through infection or vaccination, therefore, herd immunity can
277 be developed either through infection or vaccination. Herd immunity was considered achieved
278 when the total immunized population reached the level predicted by the HIT.
279
280   Transmission dynamics of the infectious disease with herd immunity through infection
281 only were simulated using models as presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. We expected the infectious
282 disease to subside when the total immunized population or R(t) reached the level predicted by
283 the HIT. We evaluated the size of each compartment at the time when the HIT was reached, and
284 at the end of simulation (t=200).
285
286   Transmission dynamics of the infectious disease with herd immunity through vaccination
287 and infection were simulated using models as presented in Fig. 3. The total immunized
288 population would consist of those who had developed immunity through infection or
289 vaccination. At high vaccination rates, the total immunized population was largely contributed
290 by those acquiring immunity through vaccination. At low vaccination rates, the total immunized
291 population was largely contributed by those acquiring immunity through infection. We simulated
292 all vaccine models at three vaccination rates, as stated in Table 2. At all vaccination rates, we
293 evaluated the size of each compartment at the time when the HIT was reached, and at the end of
294 simulation (t=500 for ν=1.0%, t=300 for ν=0.5%, and t=200 for ν=0.1%). Vaccination rate was
295 set to zero after the HIT was reached until the end of simulation.

8

296

297   In sensitivity analyses, we evaluated total infections generated by all three models at the
298 end of simulation across r_0 from 1.1 to 4.0 without vaccination. Numerical integrations and
299 simulations were performed in R version 3.6.3 by using "deSolve" package. Graphics were
300 generated by using Microsoft Excel 2019.

301

302 **Table 2:** Parameter values used in simulations and sensitivity analyses

303

Parameters	Values
Contact rate, β	0.3
	0.11 to 0.4 (Sensitivity analysis)
Recovery coefficient, σ	0.1
Infection duration	10
Basic reproduction number, r_0	3.0
	1.1 to 4.0 (Sensitivity analysis)
Herd immunity threshold, HIT	0.667 (66.7%)
Vaccination rate, ν	1.0% population per unit t
	0.5% population per unit t
	0.1% population per unit t
Population size, N	1000000
Initial value for I(t)	1
Initial value for S(t)	N-I(t)
Initial value for R(t)	0
Initial value for Total infections	1

304

305 **Results:**

306

307 **Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection**

308

309

9

Figure 4: Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection

Part A, B and C presents transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection simulated by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. Part D and E presents proportion differences between the conventional SIR model and Model A and between the conventional SIR mode and Model B. Part F presents total infections generated by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B with varying r_0.

Without vaccination, all three models described transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection as individuals who had been infected were assumed to recover with complete immunity. Figure 4 presents transmission dynamics of infectious disease with r_0 of 3.0 simulated using the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. Our simulations showed that all three models performed likewise at the beginning of transmission when both I(t) and R(t) were relatively small as compared with the population size, N.

According to the conventional SIR model, the total R(t) or immunized population through infection would reach the level predicted by the HIT at t=134, with total infections reaching 86.9%, I(t) reaching 19.2% and S(t) reaching 13.1%. After that, the infectious disease would continue to infect more people, and ended up with up to 94.0% of population being infected, leaving only 6.0% of population remaining susceptible (Fig. 4A and Table 3). Model A projected the infectious disease to be controlled and eradicated completely at the level accurately predicted by the HIT at t=195 until the end of simulation (Fig. 4B and Table 3). Model B projected the infectious disease to subside at a level higher the HIT, with total infections reaching 75.6%, leaving 24.4% of population remained susceptible in the population (Fig. 4C and Table 3).

According to our simulations, the transmission of infectious disease started to be controlled and suppressed after t=50 in both Model A and Model B as compared with the conventional SIR model (Fig. 4D and 4E). Our sensitivity analysis shows that total infections generated by the conventional SIR model at the end of simulation were completely way above the level predicted by the HIT across all r_0 values. Total infections generated by Model A at the end of simulation were accurately predicted by the HIT across all r_0 values, while total infections generated by Model B at the end of simulation were predicted the HIT when r_0 was small, and deviated away from the HIT at higher r_0 values in Model B (Fig. 4F).

By allowing the transmission rate to decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection, Model A simulated the infectious disease to subside at a level predicted by the r_0 and HIT accurately. However, it might be too ideal to assume that the risk of contact infection and transmission rate can be reduced by herd immunity immediately following infection, unless in the context of high vaccination rates. Model B was more in line with the fundamental of herd immunity. It was more realistic to allow the transmission rate to decline along with the reducing risk of contact infection following recovery.

Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through vaccination

With modification as shown in Fig.3, all three models can be used to describe transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through vaccination. At a very

10

356 high vaccination rate (ν=1.0% population per unit t), our simulations showed that total infections
357 would continue to increase at a lower rate even after the HIT was achieved in the conventional
358 SIR model (Fig. 5A). The conventional SIR model failed to demonstrate either control or
359 eradication of infectious diseases even at a high vaccination rate after the HIT was achieved, let
360 alone lower vaccination rates. On the other hand, both Model A and Model B projected the
361 infectious disease to be controlled and eradicated when the total immunized population reached
362 the level predicted by the HIT. At very high vaccination rate, both Model A and Model B
363 performed likewise and generated the same outcome with total infections controlled at 0.02%
364 after the HIT was achieved at t=67 until the end of the simulation (Fig. 5B and 5C, Table 3).
365
366 At a lower vaccination rate (ν=0.5% population per unit t), total infections continued to
367 increase at a higher rate even after the HIT was achieved at t=100 in the conventional SIR model
368 (Fig. 5D, Table 3). At t=100, the total immunized population reached 67.58% of population,
369 consisting of 18.08% of population immunized through infection and 49.50% of population
370 immunized through vaccination. The infectious disease appeared completely out of controlled
371 and continued to infect more people in the population, causing total infections to increase by
372 another 8.06% and reached 34.37% at the end of simulation (t=500). Both Model A and Model B
373 continued to project the infectious disease to be controlled even at a lower vaccination rate. At
374 ν=0.5% population per unit t, the total immunized population would reach the level predicted by
375 the HIT at t=131 in both models, and total infections were controlled at 1.22% to 1.24% in
376 Model A and 1.24% to 1.26% in Model B, respectively (Fig. 5E and 5F, Table 3).
377
378 At the lowest vaccination rate (ν=0.1% population per unit t), the herd immunity was
379 largely contributed by those immunized through infection. The total immunized population
380 would reach the level predicted by the HIT at t=87, with total infections of 76.33% in the
381 conventional SIR model. Subsequently, total infections continued to increase by 8.09% to reach
382 84.42% at the end of simulation (Fig. 5G, Table 3). As for Model A, the total immunized
383 population would reach the level predicted by the HIT at t=134, with total infections of 54.08%.
384 At the end of simulation, total infections only increased by another 0.36% to 54.44% in Model A
385 (Fig. 5H, Table 3). In model B, the HIT was reach at t=107, with total infections of 60.68%. At
386 the end of simulation, total infections continued to increase only by another 1.44% in Model B
387 (Fig. 5I, Table 3).
388
389
390

Figure 5: Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through vaccination
Part A, B and C present transmission dynamics of infectious diseases at vaccination rate, ν=1.0% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=67 in all three models. Part D, E and F present transmission dynamics of infectious diseases at ν=0.5% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=100 in the conventional SIR model, and at t=131 in both Model A and Model B. Part G, H and I present transmission dynamics of infectious disease at ν=0.1% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=87 in the conventional SIR model, at t=134 in Model A, and t=107 in Model B. Times to reach the HIT was marked by vertical lines.

Table 3: Outputs of simulations using the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B.

Outputs	Conventional SIR model	Model A	Model B
Herd immunity through infection			
HIT was achieved at:	t=134	t=195	t=97
- S(t)	13.1	33.3	26.2
- I(t)	19.2	0	6.5
- Total infections	86.9	66.7	73.8
- R(t)	67.7	66.7	67.3
At the end of simulation (t=200)			
- S(t)	6.0	33.3	24.4
- Total infections	94.0	66.7	75.6
- R(t)	94.0	66.7	75.6
Herd immunity through vaccination			
A) v = 1.0 % population per unit t			
HIT was achieved at:	t=67	t=67	t=67
- S(t)	32.74	32.98	32.98
- I(t)	0.08	0	0
- Total infections	0.26	0.02	0.02
- Total immunized	67.18	67.02	67.02
- Through infection	0.18	0.02	0.02
- Through vaccination	67.00	67.00	67.00
At the end of simulation (t=500)			
- S(t)	31.17	32.98	32.98
- Total infections	1.83	0.02	0.02
- Total immunized	68.82	67.02	67.02
- Through infection	1.82	0.02	0.02
- Through vaccination	67.00	67.00	67.00
B) v = 0.5 % population per unit t			
HIT was achieved at:	t=100	t=131	t=131
- S(t)	26.31	33.28	33.26
- I(t)	6.12	0.04	0.04
- Total infections	24.19	1.22	1.24
- Total immunized	67.58	66.69	66.70
- Through infection	18.08	1.19	1.20
- Through vaccination	49.50	65.50	65.50
At the end of simulation (t=300)			
- S(t)	16.14	33.26	33.24
- Total infections	34.37	1.24	1.26
- Total immunized	83.86	66.74	66.76
- Through infection	34.24	1.24	1.26
- Through vaccination	49.50	65.50	65.50
C) v = 0.1 % population per unit t			
HIT was achieved at:	t=87	t=134	t=107
- S(t)	14.97	32.52	28.62
- I(t)	17.82	0.79	4.29
- Total infections	76.33	54.08	60.68
- Total immunized	67.21	66.70	67.09
- Through infection	58.51	53.30	56.39
- Through vaccination	8.70	13.40	10.70
At the end of simulation (t=200)			
- S(t)	6.90	32.16	27.18
- Total infections	84.42	54.44	62.12
- Total immunized	93.12	67.84	72.82
- Through infection	84.42	54.44	62.12
- Through vaccination	8.70	13.40	10.70

Discussion

Our simulations show that the key to model transmission dynamics of infectious disease is to allow the transmission rate to decline along with the reducing combined risk of contact infection following recovery. And, this would not alter the early dynamics and basic reproduction number of infectious diseases. However, such modification would lead to very different endings for the same infection as presented in the result section. Infectious diseases modelled by the conventional SIR model appeared to be overly aggressive, and completely impossible to demonstrate control or eradication through herd immunity. This raises a critical concern of using the conventional SIR model or its variants to simulate the ending of the COVID-19 pandemic through herd immunity.

Although Model A successfully demonstrated control and eradication of infectious disease at the level predicted by the HIT, it was not realistic to assume that the transmission rate would decline following infection. Model B provides the framework with a more realistic assumption in modelling transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with and without vaccination. According to our simulations, the HIT calculated based on the r_0 of infectious diseases is only accurate if we allow the transmission rate to unrealistically decline following infection with or without vaccination. At lower vaccination rates, the total immunized population contributing to herd immunity may consist of largely individuals immunized through infection, which cannot be predicted using the simple threshold theorem anymore. Therefore, further studies are required to investigate and establish the right threshold for estimating the level for herd immunity.

Importantly, our simulations showed that the transmission rate may decline rapidly after a particular time, depending on the population size, contact rate, β and duration of infection. This might help explain the immediate fall of COVID-19 cases in some countries like the United States, United Kingdom and Indonesia, shortly after the rollout of mass and rapid vaccination against the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, the newly developed model may provide a better framework for the steady fall of COVID-19 cases in India even without vaccination since September 2020[24]. Many researchers attributed the fall of COVID-19 cases without vaccination in India to herd immunity and younger population demographic. A national serological survey conducted by the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) revealed that up to 21% or 290 million of the adult population in India had developed immunity against the COVID-19 virus[25].

As of this writing, more than 100 million individuals have been infected by the novel coronavirus with a death toll surpassing 2·5 million[24]. At the same time, many countries have started mass and rapid vaccination with the hope to end the COVID-19 pandemic with herd immunity through vaccination. Therefore, the use of right modelling frameworks for herd immunity becomes critically important and relevant to support post-vaccination public health planning and preparedness against the pandemic.

Conclusion

The key to simulate transmission dynamics of infectious disease with herd immunity is to allow the transmission rate of infectious disease to decline along with the reducing combined risk

of contact infection following recovery. This can be attained by incorporating the inverse of proportion of recovered individuals into the force of infection of a compartmental model. Further studies are required to establish the right threshold for herd immunity in a randomly mixed population.

References

1. Jones, D. & Helmreich, S. A history of herd immunity. *The Lancet* **396**, 810–811 (2020).

2. Fine, P., Eames, K. & Heymann, D. L. "Herd Immunity": A Rough Guide. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **52**, 911–916 (2011).

3. Chowell, G., Sattenspiel, L., Bansal, S. & Viboud, C. Mathematical models to characterize early epidemic growth: A review. *Phys. Life Rev.* **18**, 66–97 (2016).

4. Peak, C. M., Childs, L. M., Grad, Y. H. & Buckee, C. O. Comparing nonpharmaceutical interventions for containing emerging epidemics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 4023–4028 (2017).

5. Mandal, S., Sarkar, R. R. & Sinha, S. Mathematical models of malaria--a review. *Malar. J.* **10**, 202–202 (2011).

6. Law, K. B. *et al.* Tracking the early depleting transmission dynamics of COVID-19 with a time-varying SIR model. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 21721 (2020).

7. Keeling, M. J. & Danon, L. Mathematical modelling of infectious diseases. *Br. Med. Bull.* **92**, 33–42 (2009).

8. Metcalf, C. J. E., Ferrari, M., Graham, A. L. & Grenfell, B. T. Understanding Herd Immunity. *Trends Immunol.* **36**, 753–755 (2015).

9. Kermack, W. O., McKendrick, A. G. & Walker, G. T. A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. Contain. Pap. Math. Phys. Character* **115**, 700–721 (1927).

480   10.   Fontanet, A. & Cauchemez, S. COVID-19 herd immunity: where are we? *Nat. Rev.*

481   *Immunol.* **20**, 583–584 (2020).

482   11.   Kwok, K. O., Lai, F., Wei, W. I., Wong, S. Y. S. & Tang, J. W. T. Herd immunity –

483   estimating the level required to halt the COVID-19 epidemics in affected countries. *J. Infect.*

484   **80**, e32–e33 (2020).

485   12.   Hwang, J., Park, H., Kim, S.-H., Jung, J. & Kim, N. Basic and effective reproduction

486   numbers of COVID-19 cases in South Korea excluding Sincheonji cases. *medRxiv*

487   2020.03.19.20039347 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.03.19.20039347.

488   13.   Joseph T, W., Kathy, L. & Gabriel, L. Nowcasting and forecasting the potential domestic

489   and international spread of the 2019-nCoV outbreak originating in Wuhan, China: a modelling

490   study. *The Lancet* (2020).

491   14.   Zhuang, Z. *et al.* Preliminary estimating the reproduction number of the coronavirus

492   disease (COVID-19) outbreak in Republic of Korea and Italy by 5 March 2020. *Int. J. Infect.*

493   *Dis.* doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.04.044.

494   15.   Zhao, S. *et al.* Preliminary estimation of the basic reproduction number of novel

495   coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in China, from 2019 to 2020: A data-driven analysis in the early

496   phase of the outbreak. *bioRxiv* 2020.01.23.916395 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.01.23.916395.

497   16.   Bartsch, S. M. *et al.* Vaccine Efficacy Needed for a COVID-19 Coronavirus Vaccine to

498   Prevent or Stop an Epidemic as the Sole Intervention. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **59**, 493–503 (2020).

499   17.   Bubar, K. M. *et al.* Model-informed COVID-19 vaccine prioritization strategies by age

500   and serostatus. *Science* eabe6959 (2021) doi:10.1126/science.abe6959.

501   18.   Sandmann, F., Davies, N., Vassall, A., Edmunds, W. J. & Jit, M. The potential health and

502   economic value of SARS-CoV-2 vaccination alongside physical distancing in the UK:

503   transmission model-based future scenario analysis and economic evaluation. *medRxiv*

504   2020.09.24.20200857 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.24.20200857.

505   19.   Scherer, A. & McLean, A. Mathematical models of vaccination. *Br. Med. Bull.* **62**, 187–

506   199 (2002).

507   20.   Wilson, E. & Worcester, J. The Law of Mass Action in Epidemiology. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

508   *Sci. U. S. A.* **31**, 24–34 (1945).

509   21.   Heffernan, J. M., Smith, R. J. & Wahl, L. M. Perspectives on the basic reproductive ratio.

510   *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2**, 281–293 (2005).

511   22.   Siettos, C. I. & Russo, L. Mathematical modeling of infectious disease dynamics.

512   *Virulence* **4**, 295–306 (2013).

513   23.   Dietz K. Transmission and control of arbovirus diseases. In: Ludwig D, Cooke KL, eds.

514   Epidemiology. Pheladelphia PA: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1975: 104-

515   21.

516   24.   *Johns Hopkins University Coronavirus Resources Center*. https://coronavirus.jhu.edu/.

517   25.   ICMR sero survey: One in five Indians exposed to Covid-19. *BBC News* (2021).

526 **Author information**
527 **Digital Health Research and Innovation Unit, Institute for Clinical Research, National**
528 **Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia**
529 Kian Boon Law
530
531 **Institute for Clinical Research, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia**
532 Kalaiarasu M. Peariasamy
533

534 **Office of the Director General, Ministry of Health Malaysia**
535 Noor Hisham Abdullah & Hishamshah Ibrahim
536

537 **Author Contributions**
538 K.B.L and K.M.P conceived and planned the study.
539 K.B.L contributed to the design of the compartmental model and simulation.
540 K.M.P, H.S and N.H.A supervised the implementation of the study.
541 Data analysis and graphics were done by K.B.L.
542 All authors contributed to the interpretation of the findings and writing of article and approved
543 the final version for publication.
544

545 **Corresponding author**
546 Correspondence to Kian Boon Law
547

548 **Ethics requirement**
549 The study was registered with National Medical Research Register. No ethics approval was
550 required.

Figures

A) Compartmental structure

B) Model equations

$$S(t) = -FS(t)$$

$$I(t) = FS(t) - \sigma I(t)$$

$$R(t) = \sigma I(t)$$

Force of infection:

$$F = \beta \frac{I(t)}{N}$$

Figure 1

The compartmental structure and model equations of Kermack & Mckendrick's SIR model.

A) Compartmental structure

B) Model equations

$$S(t) = -F_i S(t)$$

$$I(t) = F_i S(t) - \sigma I(t)$$

$$R(t) = \sigma I(t)$$

Force of infection:

$$\text{Model A: } F_{i=A} = \beta \frac{I(t)[S(t)]^2}{N^2}$$

$$\text{Model B: } F_{i=B} = \beta \frac{I(t)[N-R(t)]}{N^2}$$

Figure 2

The compartmental structure and model equations of the newly developed Model A and Model B.

A) Compartmental structure

Vaccination at rate, v

B) Model equations

$$S(t) = -F_i S(t) - v$$

$$I(t) = F_i S(t) - \sigma I(t)$$

$$R(t) = \sigma I(t) + v$$

Force of infection

$$\text{Conventional model: } F = \beta \frac{I(t)}{N}$$

$$\text{Model A: } F_{i=A} = \beta \frac{I(t)[S(t)]^2}{N^2}$$

$$\text{Model B: } F_{i=B} = \beta \frac{I(t)[N-R(t)]}{N^2}$$

Figure 3

The compartmental structure and model equations of vaccine models modified using the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B.

Figure 4

Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection Part A, B and C presents transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through infection simulated by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. Part D and E presents proportion differences between the conventional SIR model and Model A and between the conventional SIR mode and Model B. Part F presents total infections generated by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B with varying r0.

Figure 5

Transmission dynamics of infectious diseases with herd immunity through vaccination Part A, B and C present transmission dynamics of infectious diseases at vaccination rate, v=1.0% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=67 in all three models. Part D, E and F present transmission dynamics of infectious diseases at v=0.5% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=100 in the conventional SIR model, and at t=131 in both Model A and Model B. Part G, H and I present transmission dynamics of infectious disease at v=0.1% population per unit t projected by the conventional SIR model, Model A and Model B. The HIT was reached at t=87 in the conventional SIR model, at t=134 in Model A, and t=107 in Model B. Times to reach the HIT was marked by vertical lines.