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Abstract

Background

Immune dysregulation plays an important role in cancer onset and development. The causal relationships between innate leukocytes prior to cancer and the risk of digestive system cancers remain unknown. This study assesses the causal correlations between white blood cells (WBC) and digestive system cancer risk in East Asians and Europeans.

Methods

Summary-level data of WBC-related genetic variation were extracted from Biobank Japan (107,964 and 62,076 participants), and a recent large-scale meta-analysis (563,946 participants). Summary-level data for the cancers were obtained from Biobank Japan (212,978 individuals) and the FinnGen consortium (178,802 participants). Univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis was performed in East Asians and Europeans separately.

Results

Univariable MR analysis demonstrated the significant association between circulating eosinophil counts and risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) in the East Asians (odds ratio (OR) = 0.80, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.69–0.92, P = 0.002) and a suggestive relationship in the European population (OR = 0.86, 95% CI: 0.77–0.97, P = 0.013). An inverse suggestive association was observed between levels of basophil and risk of gastric cancer (GC) in East Asians (OR = 0.83, 95% CI: 0.72–0.97, P = 0.019). The multivariable MR analysis showed the independent causal effect of eosinophil count on CRC risk in East Asians (OR = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.57–0.92, P = 0.009) and Europeans (OR = 0.80, 95% CI: 0.70–0.92, P = 0.002). Circulating basophils served as the negative causal factor in GC risk in East Asians (OR = 0.80, 95% CI: 0.67–0.94, P = 0.007).

Conclusions

Our MR analyses reveal the genetically causal effect of blood eosinophils on decreased risk of CRC in both Europeans and East Asians, and of basophils on decreased risk of GC in Europeans.

1 Introduction

The immune system plays a complex role in the formation and progression of cancer. The release of tumor-associated antigens/peptides lead to the stimulation of the innate and adaptive immunities, including intratumoral lymphocytic infiltrate and systemic immunosurveillance, posing an essential defense against tumorigenesis (1). Recent studies have demonstrated that locally specific tumor
Elevated levels of peripheral leukocyte subtypes, especially for CD8+ infiltrating T cells, have a close association with the prognosis of cancer (2,3). Inflammation-associated prognostic indicators assessed using various circulating white blood cell (WBC) subtypes measured in routine blood examination showed favorable predictive values for tumor metastasis and mortality, such as the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratios (4–6). Additionally, the level of peripheral WBCs reflects the innate immune function to a certain degree. The application of immunosuppressive agents leads to leukopenia, lymphopenia, and immune impairment. Whether the levels of circulating leukocyte subtypes before cancer diagnosis have a causal relationship with the risk of cancer remains largely unexplored.

A previous study explored the relationship between the systemic immune-inflammation index, which is calculated by multiplying the platelet count by the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio prior to cancer diagnosis, and solid cancer risk. The results of this study showed that a high systemic immune-inflammation index is a strong and independent risk factor for solid tumors (7). Another similar study investigated the correlations between four systemic inflammation markers, including the systemic immune-inflammation index and the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte, lymphocyte-to-monocyte, and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios, and the incidence of multiple cancers. Peripheral cell ratios before diagnosis were found to have a favorable predictive value in the incidence of colorectal and lung cancers (8). Additionally, Wong et al. explored the connection between total and differential WBC counts and lung cancer risk, using UK Biobank data (9). A significant relationship between an elevated total WBC count and increased lung cancer risk was observed, driven primarily by elevated neutrophil fraction. However, the cause-effect relationship between the total and differential leukocyte counts and tumor risk was not established. Although a large number of participants were recruited in these observational studies, potential confounding factors and other biases cannot be ignored.

Mendelian randomization (MR) could serve as an effective analytical approach to identify the role of leukocytes in digestive system cancers (10–12). Genetic variants are used as instrumental variables (IVs) in MR to evaluate the potential causal association between the exposure and outcome, because of their random distribution during meiosis (13). Moreover, the germline genotype precedes the onset and progression of the disease, which also minimizes the confounding of the variables. In this study, univariable and multivariable MR analyses were performed on East Asian and European populations separately, to assess whether peripheral leukocytes are related to digestive system cancers, and to determine the causality in connection with publicly available genome-wide association studies (GWASs) (14,15).

2 Methods And Materials

2.1 Study design

An overview of the univariable and multivariable MR design is shown in Fig. 1. The causal association between six leukocyte traits and five digestive system cancers was elucidated in this study. East Asian and European cohorts were used to further assess whether these relationships differ between ethnic
groups. The six leukocyte traits included total WBC, basophil (BASO), eosinophil (EOS), lymphocyte, monocyte, and neutrophil counts. The five digestive system cancers included esophageal cancer (ESCA), gastric cancer (GC), colorectal cancer (CRC), liver cancer (LC), and pancreatic cancer (PC). Apart from the univariable MR, the multivariable method was also conducted in this study to eliminate the interaction among the different leukocyte traits.

2.2 Association between genetic IVs and circulating leukocyte traits

Genetic variants of six peripheral leukocyte traits were collected from recent large-scale datasets on East Asian and European individuals. For East Asians, summary statistics of WBCs and their subtypes were extracted from the study by Ishigaki et al., containing 107,964 total WBCs and 62,076 cases of the five subtypes among Asians (16). For Europeans, a meta-analysis performed by the Blood Cell Consortium was used to collect GWAS data of six circulating leukocyte traits (563,946 Caucasians) (17). Age, sex, and the first 10 principal components were corrected in these two GWAS studies.

2.3 Association between genetic IVs and digestive system cancers

The GWAS data on digestive system cancers including ESCA, GC, CRC, LC, and PC was obtained from the study by Ishigaki K et al., based on East Asian patients (18), and FinnGen (https://www.finngen.fi/en), which contained data on European individuals. The study on East Asians included 1300 ESCA, 6563 GC, 7062 CRC, 1866 LC, and 442 PC cases, and 195,745 controls. The European population cohort contained 232 ESCA, 633 GC, 3022 CRC, 304 LC, and 605 PC patients, and 174006 controls. A differential diagnostic strategy was performed for these cancers. The GWAS summary statistics in the study by Ishigaki K et al. and FinnGen were available in the IEU OpenGWAS database (19).

2.4 Selection of Genetic Variants

The main assumptions for MR were: the genetic predictors of exposure were strongly related to the exposure phenotypes; the genetic predictors were independent of confounders; and, the genetic predictors were associated with the outcome only by affecting the exposure, and no other pathways exist (20). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) strongly and independently ($R^2 < 0.01$) associated with exposure and distance ($< 10$ KB) were selected at the genome-wide significance level (East Asian cohort: $P < 5 \times 10^{-9}$; European cohort: $P < 5 \times 10^{-16}$). SNP-specific F-statistics were calculated to evaluate the instrumental efficiency of SNPs based on $R^2$, exposure sample size, and the number of SNPs (21). SNPs were rejected if F-statistics were less than 10. Additionally, exposures with fewer than three independent SNPs were omitted. We scanned the GWAS Catalog to reveal the associations between SNPs and other potential confounders to reduce the impact of pleiotropic IVs on MR results (Table S1) (22).

2.5 Statistical analysis

Univariable and multivariable MR analyses were conducted to assess the potential causal associations between the six peripheral leukocyte traits and the risk of five digestive system cancers. The potential
outliers are detected through the Mendelian Randomization Pleiotropy RESidual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) analysis, while the causal estimates are obtained after removing outlier variants (23). Additionally, heterogeneity among the SNPs was assessed by Cochran's Q test. For univariable MR analysis, the inverse variance weighted (IVW) analytical approach was used as the main MR analysis (20,24). Due to the existence of the uncertain “exclusion-restriction” assumption, other sensitivity analyses were applied along with the MR-Egger analysis and the weighted median (WM) (21,25). The slope and intercept of the MR-Egger analysis were used to evaluate potential causal estimates and the degree of pleiotropy, respectively (25). Moreover, the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction for six leukocyte traits was performed for multiple comparisons (26). Although multiple testing was not necessary for multivariable MR analysis for mutual adjustment, “leave-one-out” analyses were conducted to evaluate the stability of our findings. For multivariable MR analysis, the mutual interactions between different leukocyte subtypes, except for the total WBC, were assessed (27). Detailed information on other methods applied in this study is provided in the Supplementary material. All analyses were two-sided and performed using R version 4.0.2, along with the “TwosampleMR” and “MR-PRESSO” R packages. The mRnd tool was used to calculate the statistical power (28).

3 Results

3.1 The characteristics of instrumental variables

All six peripheral leukocyte traits had three or more independent genome-wide significant SNPs in both the East Asian and European cohorts. Tables S2–3 show the final SNPs included in further univariable analyses. The characteristics of each exposure and genetic IVs are presented in Table S4. The F-statistics of our univariable MR analysis ranged from 46.00 to 90.47 in the Asian population and 152.59 to 258.63 in the European population, suggesting that weak instrument bias may not be substantial in this study (Table S5) (29). No directional pleiotropy was observed in these filtered IVs, implying that MR assumptions were likely to be not violated (Table S6–7).

3.2 Low peripheral BASO level increased the risk of GC in the East Asian cohort

The MR association estimates of the causal association between the six leukocyte traits and the risk of the five digestive system cancers in Asians are shown in Fig. 2 and detailed outcomes are shown in Table S6. The IVW showed that lower BASO levels have a suggestive association with the increased risk of GC. Specifically, each standard deviation (SD) (41 cells/µL) decrease of BASO increased the GC risk, with an odds ratio (OR) = 0.83 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.72–0.97; P = 0.019). The same effect of BASO on GC was also observed using the WM method (OR = 0.80; 95% CI: 0.64–0.99; P = 0.044). Additionally, the effect directions of the MR-Egger method were consistent with the IVW and WM approaches, although no significant effects were observed (Fig. 3A). No horizontal pleiotropy was detected in the MR-PRESSO global test and the MR-Egger intercept test, and no heterogeneity was observed in Cochran’s Q tests. The leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated the stability and efficiency of BASO-based genetic prediction (Fig. 3B). Multivariable MR analysis was performed for further analysis to confirm the
reliability of these results. Detailed information on SNPs included for further multivariable analyses is presented in Table S7. No weak instrument bias existed in the five leukocyte subtypes. Our results also demonstrate that BASO has an independent effect on GC (OR = 0.80; 95% CI: 0.67–0.94; P = 0.007) (Fig. 3C).

3.3 Low peripheral EOS level increased the risk of CRC in the East Asian cohort

The outcome of the univariable MR analysis revealed a significant causal association between the EOS level in the blood and the risk of CRC in East Asians (Fig. 2). Detailed results can be seen in Table S6. Specifically, each SD (143 cells/µL) decrease of EOS increased CRC risk (OR = 0.80; 95% CI: 0.69–0.92; P = 0.002). The same effect of EOS on CRC was also observed in the MR-Egger (OR = 0.54; 95% CI: 0.32–0.92; P = 0.032) and WM methods (OR = 0.74; 95% CI: 0.60–0.90; P = 0.003). The direction of the effect is the same in these three methods (Fig. 3D). Horizontal pleiotropy was not detected in the MR-PRESSO global and MR-Egger intercept tests, while heterogeneity was not observed in Cochran's Q tests. The leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated the stability and efficiency of EOS-based genetic prediction (Fig. 3E). Detailed information on SNPs included for further multivariable analyses is presented in Table S8. No weak instrument bias existed in the five leukocyte subtypes. A negative relationship between the circulating EOS and CRC risk was identified through the multivariable MR analysis (OR = 0.72; 95% CI = 0.57–0.92; P = 0.009) (Fig. 3C).

3.4 Low peripheral EOS level increased the risk of CRC in the European cohort

The univariable MR analysis in this study using the IVW method, found a suggestive causal relationship between the level of circulating EOS and CRC risk, in Europeans (OR = 0.86; 95% CI: 0.77–0.97; P = 0.013) (Fig. 2). Detailed outcomes are presented in Table S9. No significant effects were observed using the WM and MR-Egger methods, while the effect directions of these two methods were consistent with that of the IVW method (Fig. 3F). No horizontal pleiotropy was detected in the MR-PRESSO global and MR-Egger intercept tests and no heterogeneity was observed in Cochran's Q tests. The leave-one-out sensitivity analysis demonstrated the stability and efficiency of EOS-based genetic prediction (Fig. 3G). Detailed information on SNPs included for further multivariable analyses is presented in Table S10. Weak instrument bias was not present in these five leukocyte subtypes. A negative relationship between blood EOS and CRC risk was confirmed through the multivariable MR analysis (OR = 0.80 95% CI = 0.70–0.92; P = 0.002) (Fig. 3C).

4 Discussion

In this study, univariable and multivariable MR analyses were performed to evaluate the causal association between circulating WBCs and their subtypes and digestive system cancers in East Asian and European populations. Through the univariable MR analysis, we found a suggestive causal relationship between the level of circulating BASO before cancer diagnosis and GC risk in East Asians, and a strong causal association between the level of peripheral EOS prior to cancer and CRC risk in Europeans. A significant connection between blood EOS before cancer and the risk of CRC was observed in East
Asians. The multivariable MR analysis confirmed the significance among the three associations and revealed that the level of peripheral EOS levels before cancer diagnosis is an independent causal mediator for CRC in both East Asian and European populations, and BASO levels before cancer diagnosis serve as an independent effect on GC in East Asians. A causal association between other leukocytes and digestive system cancers in these two ethnic groups could not be established.

The results of this study demonstrate that immune dysregulation plays an important role in tumor initiation and development. Lower EOS levels may contribute to the occurrence of CRC. EOS, one of the primitive cells of the innate immune system, is produced by the bone marrow and then released into the circulatory system. EOS was primarily studied concerning helminth infections and allergic diseases such as asthma (30,31). Recent studies have found that EOS also participates in the regulation of tumorigenesis and tumor progression [32]. EOS, activated by IFNg, can synthesize and secrete granule proteins and release reactive oxygen species, having the ability to kill tumor cells when subjected to specific stimuli (33,34). Additionally, EOS is capable of remodeling the tumor microenvironment, by either directly infiltrating tumors or indirectly secreting cytokines to attract immune effect cells, like CD8+ T cells, into the tumor microenvironment (35). EOS has been reported to have a direct cytotoxic effect on CRC cells, including Colo-205, MC38, and CT26 cells (36–38). The cytotoxicity of EOS could be potentiated through the cytokines produced by the autocrine action of EOS and the paracrine functions of other immune cells (37,38). EOS cytotoxicity towards Colo-205 is accompanied by the release of eosinophil cationic protein, eosinophil-derived neurotoxin, and granzyme A, and could be strengthened by IL18 and IL-33 (37–39). Both of these interleukins increased the intracellular adhesion molecule 1 expression by EOS, to promote the adhesion to CRC cells. A recent study confirmed the antitumorigenic role of EOS during CRC tumorigenesis induced by inflammation, in vivo (40). EOS is recruited by spontaneous intestinal adenomas and plays an important role in tumor transformation and progression, independent of CD8+ T-cell antitumorigenic activities. Additionally, EOS counts were reported to have a negative association with tumor stage, in patients with CRC. Results of these in vitro and in vivo studies demonstrated that EOS may act as a tumor suppressor during the initial phase, which is consistent with our findings. This study provides evidence that those having a lower base level of EOS, innately or due to eosinophil-depleting therapies, may be more vulnerable to CRC, among both East Asians and Europeans.

In this study, a prominent causal effect of BASO level on GC risk was observed in the East Asian cohort, but not in the European cohort. BASO accounts for less than one percent of human circulating leukocytes, and the function of BASO in experimental and human cancer is inconsistent (41). BASO exerts antitumorigenic effects by releasing granzyme B, TNF-α, and histamine, while promoting angiogenesis and tumor development by releasing various growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor A (41). The prognostic estimate of peripheral BASO counts varies in different solid tumors (42). A higher level of blood BASO has a positive association with better prognosis in melanoma patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors, while CRC and bladder cancer patients with a lower baseline of BASO suffered from poor outcomes and a higher possibility of metastasis (43–46). This association was also observed in a mouse model of breast cancer, and a higher proportion of lung metastases occurred in mice with basopenia (47). These studies show the protective role of basophils in tumors. However, no
independent prognostic level of peripheral BASO was found in breast cancer patients (48). Additionally, He et al. identified BASO surrounding tumors as an independent adverse prognostic factor in GC, and they found that an abundance of BASO has a close association with chemoresistance and immune escape (49). However, our MR analysis with a large sample size revealed a negative relationship between circulating BASOs before cancer diagnosis and GC risk. As for the difference in the effect of BASO on GC in different ethnic groups, the differences in the main risk factor profiles should be taken into consideration. More than half of the total GC cases worldwide, are diagnosed in Eastern Asia each year, and chronic infection with Helicobacter pylori is the most significant risk factor for GC in this region (50). Ten years of Helicobacter pylori infection was found to increase the odds of GC by 5.9-folds, through the function of IL-10 and IL-17 polymorphisms (51). A well-known interaction between BASO and Helicobacter pylori is that the specific IgE immune response induced by Helicobacter pylori contributes to histamine release by BASO, which further leads to chronic gastric inflammation (52). To date, few studies have investigated the possible underlying mechanism of BASO in cancer initiation and development.

Several advantages exist in this investigation, including the strength of the genetic IVs, the design of univariable and multivariable MR analysis, and two ethnic population cohorts containing large-scale individuals. However, there are limitations to our findings as well. First, the counts of WBCs and its subtype are vulnerable to various influences from physical or psychosocial changes. Second, although several potential confounding factors have been adjusted in this study, residual confounding by unmeasured factors cannot be excluded. Consequently, pleiotropy biases may be the main interferences in our findings. However, the results of pleiotropic and extra sensitivity analyses indicate the robustness of the outcomes of our study. Third, detailed information about these individuals concerning tumor stage, mental and physical conditions, and other concomitant diseases was unknown. Future large-scale databases with more detailed individual information are required, to address these problems. Lastly, a reverse MR was not performed in this study, not providing any potential value for clinical practice. As significant changes in leukocytes occur in cancer patients after chemotherapy or radiotherapy, the impact of the tumor itself on WBCs is negligible.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study provides genetic evidence on the causal association between EOS levels and the risk of CRC in both East Asians and Europeans. The causal effect of BASO levels on GC was observed only in East Asians. East Asian and European populations with a low level of peripheral EOS may be vulnerable to CRC. Additionally, East Asians with decreasing BASO levels in the blood are more prone to GC. Further exploration of the underlying mechanisms in these associations is required.
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Figures
Figure 1

Study design of the univariable and multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses of the associations between six leukocyte traits and five digestive system cancers in East Asian and European cohorts. (COAD, Colon adenocarcinoma; ESCA, Esophageal carcinoma; LIHC, Liver hepatocellular carcinoma; PAAD, Pancreatic adenocarcinoma; READ, Rectum adenocarcinoma; STAD, Stomach adenocarcinoma)
### Figure 2

Associations between genetically six predicted circulating leukocyte traits and five digestive system cancers in East Asians and Europeans, based on the univariable inverse variance weighted analysis. (Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) represent the change in OR of cancers per 1 SD increase in each leukocyte subtype in the blood.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Exposures</th>
<th>Asian Cohort</th>
<th></th>
<th>European Cohort</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal cancer</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>1.14(0.81-1.60)</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.89(0.57-1.41)</td>
<td>0.626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0.99(0.75-1.30)</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>1.07(0.52-2.19)</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.85(0.61-1.20)</td>
<td>0.351</td>
<td>0.95(0.63-1.43)</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.79(0.45-1.40)</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.88(0.57-1.35)</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.76(0.57-1.01)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.88(0.63-1.22)</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>1.09(0.78-1.51)</td>
<td>0.623</td>
<td>0.86(0.52-1.41)</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric cancer</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>1.01(0.86-1.18)</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.97(0.73-1.28)</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0.83(0.72-0.97)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.07(0.68-1.68)</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>1.01(0.84-1.20)</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>0.97(0.76-1.24)</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>1.08(0.79-1.48)</td>
<td>0.836</td>
<td>0.89(0.68-1.15)</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>1.01(0.88-1.15)</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.91(0.75-1.12)</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>1.02(0.88-1.19)</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>0.94(0.69-1.29)</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>0.89(0.76-1.03)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.91(0.80-1.04)</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>1.05(0.90-1.23)</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>1.18(0.96-1.46)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.80(0.69-0.92)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.86(0.77-0.97)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.91(0.87-1.24)</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.93(0.82-1.05)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>1.00(0.88-1.14)</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.97(0.88-1.07)</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>0.90(0.78-1.03)</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.93(0.80-1.06)</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocarcinoma</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>0.82(0.60-1.12)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>1.04(0.69-1.55)</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>1.00(0.79-1.27)</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.84(0.45-1.57)</td>
<td>0.587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>1.07(0.78-1.46)</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.85(0.59-1.21)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.97(0.55-1.70)</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.91(0.62-1.32)</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>0.94(0.73-1.21)</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.84(0.62-1.12)</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>0.79(0.59-1.04)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.87(0.56-1.35)</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic cancer</td>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>0.85(0.47-1.52)</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.98(0.73-1.32)</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Basophils</td>
<td>0.86(0.54-1.38)</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.89(0.57-1.39)</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eosinophils</td>
<td>0.63(0.35-1.16)</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>1.04(0.81-1.34)</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>0.63(0.20-1.96)</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>0.96(0.73-1.35)</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monocytes</td>
<td>1.01(0.61-1.65)</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>1.07(0.67-1.73)</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>0.93(0.54-1.61)</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>1.02(0.74-1.41)</td>
<td>0.891</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3

The effect of each leukocyte trait on the risk of gastric cancer and colorectal cancer. (A) The effect estimators of blood basophil count on the risk of gastric cancer in East Asians, based on three univariable MR methods (IVW, MR-Egger, and WM). (B) Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for basophil count on gastric cancer in Asians. (C) Multivariable MR estimators representing the effect of each leukocyte count on the risk of gastric cancer in East Asians (left), colorectal cancer in East Asians (middle), and colorectal cancer in Europeans (right).
(middle), and colorectal cancer in Europeans (right). (D) The effect estimators of blood eosinophil count on the risk of colorectal cancer in East Asians, based on three univariable MR methods (IVW, MR-Egger, and WM). (E) Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for eosinophil count in colorectal cancer in Asians. (F) The effect estimators of the blood eosinophil count on the risk of colorectal cancer in Europeans, based on three univariable MR methods (IVM, MR-Egger, and WM). (G) Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis for eosinophil count in colorectal cancer in Europeans. (Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) represent the change in OR of cancers per 1 SD increase in each blood leukocyte subtype.)
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