

# Differences in results and related factors between Hospital-at-Home modalities in Catalonia: a cross sectional study

**CURRENT STATUS:** POSTED



Jorge Arias de la Torre  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

✉ [jorgeariasdelatorre@gmail.com](mailto:jorgeariasdelatorre@gmail.com) *Corresponding Author*

Evangelia Anna María Zioga  
Hospital dos de Mayo

Lizza Macorigh  
Hospital General de Granollers

Laura Muñoz  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

Oriol Estrada  
Generalitat de Catalunya

Montse Mias  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

Maria-Dolors Estrada  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

Elisa Puigdomenech  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

Jose M Valderas  
University of Exeter Medical School

Vicente Martín  
Universidad de Leon

Antonio J Molina  
Universidad de Leon

Mireia Espallargues  
Agencia de Qualitat i Avaluacio Sanitaries de Catalunya

**DOI:**

10.21203/rs.2.11890/v2

**SUBJECT AREAS**

*Health Economics & Outcomes Research    Health Policy*

**KEYWORDS**

*Hospital-at-home; Readmission; Mortality; Average stay; Cross-sectional study.*

## Abstract

Background Hospital-at-home (HaH) is a healthcare modality that provides active treatment by healthcare staff in the patient's home for a condition that would otherwise require hospitalization. Previous studies have described two basic types of HaH: hospital admission avoidance and early discharge. The aims of this study were: To describe the characteristics of different types of hospital-at-home (HaH) contacts; to assess readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay for each HaH modality; and to examine which factors could be related to these results. Methods A cross-sectional study based on data from all 2014 HaH contacts from Catalonia was designed. The following HaH modalities were considered: admission avoidance (n=7,214; 75.1%) and early discharge (n=2,387; 24.9%). The main outcome indicators were readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay (days). Contact characteristics were compared at bivariable level and indicators were calculated for each HaH modality. Multivariable General linear models were fitted to assess the association between explanatory factors and outcomes. Results Differences in contact characteristics between HaH modalities were observed at bivariable level. In the hospital avoidance modality there were 8.3% readmissions, 0.9% mortality, and a mean length of stay (SD) of 9.6 (10.6) days. In the early discharge one, these figures were 7.9%, 0.5%, and 9.8 (11.1), respectively. In both modalities, readmission and mean length of stay were related to comorbidity and type of hospital, and mortality with age. Conclusions The results show that the HaH results in Catalonia are acceptable and similar to those observed in other contexts. The factors related to these results could help improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the different HaH modalities.

## Background

Hospital-at-home (HaH) is a healthcare modality that, for a limited period of time, provides active treatment by healthcare staff in the patient's home for a condition that would otherwise require hospitalization [1–4]. Previous studies have described two basic types of HaH: hospital admission avoidance and early assisted discharge [5–7]. The admission avoidance model is usually employed with elderly individuals who, instead of being admitted to acute care hospitals, are treated at home [6, 8, 9]. The model mainly focuses on short-term interventions (days) for the acute phase of an

illness. With respect to admission to this HaH modality, patients are mostly included after being attended by emergency services or, less commonly, after a referral from their family doctor. In contrast, the early assisted discharge HaH model is for hospitalized patients who are able to continue their treatment at home, thus reducing the duration of their stay [7].

Within the context of Catalonia, since 1985 the HaH model has been officially recognized as a healthcare activity or service. In spite of this legal framework, the posterior evolution of the healthcare system within the territory has not led to the program's homogenous development [8, 10-14]. This has resulted in the appearance of HaH units without any pre-established or defined resource structures and with varying service portfolios, all of which have hindered a common evaluation.

Nevertheless, in spite of the lack of homogeneity, the patients included in HaH programs can be categorized according to two modalities: hospital admission avoidance and early assisted discharge. Although such a classification is very general [5-7], it does permit an evaluation of their respective results at a population level, and the determination of factors potentially related for each of the modalities. The delimitation of the factors associated with such results for each modality in a particular context, might allow populations that could have a higher potential benefit of receiving this attention to be defined. This identification could be a first step for causal research to define and establish the most effective and efficient healthcare circuits, thus helping to improve the modalities' results and avoiding unnecessary costs to the healthcare systems.

The objectives of this study were: 1) to describe the contact characteristics of both HaH modalities (admission avoidance and early assisted discharge) in Catalonia during 2014; 2) to evaluate the rates of readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay for each of the modalities; and 3) to examine which factors could be related to their results.

## Methods

### **Study design and population**

An exploratory cross-sectional study based on the Minimum Basic Data Set from Acute-care Hospitals (MBDSHA) was performed. The MBDSHA included 24 public hospitals in the Catalonian territory and HaH contacts for 2014. A contact was every time a patient received any kind of treatment from

commencement to finalization. The same individual could present more than one contact during the study period. Programmed contacts with a specific diagnosis according to the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) were included (n=9,805) [15]. Those who belonged to a diagnostic group with an insufficient number of contacts for robustness (n=95, 1.0%), lacked an identification number (n=49, 0.5%), had no established age (n=2, <0.1%), and whose dates of admission and discharge were wrongly codified (58, 0.6%) were excluded. Finally, a total sample of 9,601 HaH contacts was considered for analysis: 7,214 (75.1%) admission avoidance and 2,387 (24.9%) early assisted discharge.

### **Main outcomes**

Three indicators were established as the main outcomes for the exploratory analysis. These indicators were selected both based on their relevance to assess the performance of the HaH modalities, as well as based on the recommendations for the assessment of HaH from previous systematic reviews [6, 7].  
Readmission: consecutive HaH contacts, either HaH or conventional hospitalization (CH), in a period  $\leq$  30 days provided they were related to the first contact.

Mortality prior to discharge: HaH contacts in which the patient status at discharge was death.

Mean length of stay: for the admission avoidance modality, duration (days) of HaH contact from date of program admission to finalization. For early assisted discharge, duration was a combination of the immediately preceding contact of CH and the HaH one, taking it from the CH contact date of admission to HaH finalization.

### **Contact characteristics**

Sex: male and female.

Age (years): considered a continuous variable.

Diagnosis: categorized according to the ICD-9-CM chapters [15].

Comorbidity according to the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) [16, 17]. The CCI, considered to be an objective measurement of an individual's general state of health, is employed to predict mortality in terms of the patient's comorbidity. General comorbidity is calculated through the weight assigned to the presence of each of the 19 conditions making up the index. The results are classified as: 0 or 1, 2,

and  $\geq 3$ .

Type of hospital (according to the portfolio of services offered in the hospital itself, irrespective of the patient's territorial assignment): reference hospital, district hospital, high-technology general hospital, and high-resolution hospital.

Number of contacts per patient (number of HaH episodes): 1 or more than 1.

### **Data analysis**

A descriptive analysis of the characteristics of the contacts according to HaH modality was performed and results were evaluated at the bivariable level. To compare possible differences in the explicative variables between the two modalities, chi-square and Fisher's exact tests were employed for the categorical variables, and the Mann Whitney U test for age and mean length of stay due to the lack of normality of their distributions. The selected outcomes were then calculated for each modality, and the association between the contacts' characteristics and each of these indicators was assessed with multivariable models. Due to the characteristics of the variables considered as outcomes, logistic regression models were fitted for readmission and mortality, and Poisson models for mean length of stay. From these results, the  $\beta$  coefficients and their respective 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were obtained, and their exponential was presented to aid interpretation (the Odds Ratio in logistic regression models and ratios for Poisson models). All multivariable models were done individually for the two HaH modalities and adjusted for sex, age, comorbidity (CCI), and type of hospital. The absence of relevant interactions between explanatory variables was tested using a Chunk test. All analyses were carried out with STATA v.14® [18] software and statistical significance set at  $\alpha=0.05$ .

### **Results**

Table 1 shows the contacts' characteristics in terms of their HaH modality. The contact frequency for admission avoidance during 2014 in Catalonia ( $n=7,214$ ) was greater than that of early assisted discharge ( $n=2,387$ ). Differences were observed between the two HaH modalities for sex, diagnostic group, and type of hospital. The diagnostic groups with the most contacts, was diseases of the respiratory system. With respect to the indicators calculated for each of the HaH modalities (Table 2), while significant differences were not found for readmissions or for mean length of stay, differences

( $p=0.04$ ) in mortality before discharge were found.

Table 3 shows that in admission avoidance readmission was related to a CCI  $\geq 3$  ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.69; CI95%: 1.39-2.07), type of hospital, and age ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.02; CI95%: 1.01-1.02); mortality prior discharge was related to a CCI  $\geq 3$  ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.89; CI95%: 1.08-3.31), a high-resolution hospital ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 2.11; CI95%: 1.04-4.27), and age ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.07; CI95%: 1.05-1.10). Mean length of stay was greater in women than in men ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 0.94; CI95%: 0.92-0.96) and related to the CCI, type of hospital, and age. For the early assisted discharge modality, readmission was related to the CCI and type of hospital; mortality was associated with age ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.11; CI95%: 1.04-1.20); and mean length of stay was related to sex, having a CCI  $\geq 3$  ( $\exp(\beta)$ : 1.07; CI95%: 1.03-1.10), to the type of hospital, and to age.

## Discussion

Our findings show that in 2014, in Catalonia, the results for readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay for the two HaH modalities, in spite of their heterogeneous development, could be similar to those observed in previous studies throughout the world [5–7, 19]. In addition, irrespective of the modality, it was observed that comorbidity and type of hospital are related to readmission and mean length of stay whilst the patient's age is linked to mortality. Such information could serve as a starting point to guide further research on causal relationships between the studied variables and the results of HaH. This research could help more precisely define the most suitable healthcare circuits, and the type of patient who could most benefit from the different HaH modalities.

Previous studies comparing HaH and CH [5, 13, 20–22] have reported that home care can have similar results to CH and would save both human and economic resources [23–26]. In this sense, both the results obtained and the available evidence suggest that, provided the patient's indication permit it and new causal research confirm them, HaH treatment could be a suitable, effective, and perhaps efficient alternative to CH [10, 19, 24, 27].

Regarding the HaH modalities, we observed that whilst admission avoidance was more frequent in less complex hospitals, early discharge was more common in more complex ones. Despite this, it is important to note that admission avoidance was applied more often than early discharge in both types of hospitals. More complex or more serious cases could be addressed to a greater extent in

high-technology and high-resolution hospitals. In addition, these hospitals generally have a wide range of technology and services which results in a greater demand for healthcare. Thus, the results obtained could allow the hypothesis that adopting an early assisted discharge program in hospitals of greater complexity, providing the patient's indication allows it, could result in the optimization of services that are only available in these institutions. Further causal studies centered on the early assisted discharge modality in high-technology and high-resolution hospitals could help assess the optimization of such specialized services.

With respect to the factors related to the considered outcomes, in both modalities it was observed that whilst readmission and mean length of stay were associated with the CCI and type of hospital, mortality was related to the patient's age. In addition, in the admission avoidance modality, mortality was only related to comorbidity in patients with the highest CCI scores. Nevertheless, in spite being non-significant, the relationship in patients with a lower CCI was expected as found, possibly predicting risk. In this manner, as reported by previous studies [6, 30], our findings suggest that comorbidity could be a particularly relevant factor when choosing this modality. Further longitudinal studies aimed to compare both modalities with a greater sample size could be valuable in confirming this hypothesis and thus better aid indication and improve results.

As limitations of the study, it is worth highlighting the reductionism in which the classification of HaH modalities falls. Nevertheless, this classification has been previously employed on numerous occasions when evaluating HaH [6, 7, 21, 22]. In addition, given the heterogeneity observed amongst the HaH units in Catalonia, it permits a general evaluation of the factors potentially related to their results at a population level. Another limitation is related to the cross-sectional design of the study. It does not allow the direction of the relationship amongst the variables to be established, precluding the establishment of causal relationships between variables. Nevertheless, as our study is an exploratory analysis to find out what factors could be related to the proposed outcomes, our results could serve to establish hypotheses on causal relationships and could also be a starting point for future causal research. Furthermore, taking into account the hospitals included and their type, a multi-level structure of the data is suggested. Despite this possible multi-level structure, our study

could serve to determine the factors potentially related to the outcomes selected globally (for the HaH modalities as a whole) and as a starting point to further multilevel analyses. Lastly, the limitation regarding the variables included should be mentioned. The inclusion of other variables not considered related to the patient or hospital, like social support or specific treatments, could help more accurately define what factors could be associated with the indicators that we analyzed. However, we consider that the included variables allow for the adjustment of more parsimonious and easily interpretable models. In addition, these variables cover basic aspects of both the patients and the care process, to a large extent, and an analysis of these factors is also a basic previous step in conducting studies in greater depth.

## Conclusions

In spite of the heterogeneity of HaH development in Catalonia, our findings in terms of readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay are in line with previous studies in other settings [5-7, 10]. In addition, the results found provide new evidence about which factors might be related to these results for admission avoidance and early assisted discharge HaH modalities. Further causal research based on the results obtained and taking other more specific HaH indicators into account, like cost-saving with respect to the CH or freeing-up beds, could help define more precisely the type of patient and care circuit, thus increasing the effectivity and efficiency of the different modalities.

## List Of Abbreviations

Hospital-at-home (HaH)

Conventional Hospitalization (CH)

Minimum Basic Data Set from Acute-care Hospitals (MBDSHA)

International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)

Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI)

## Declarations

### **ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE**

The Agency for Health Quality and Assessment of Catalonia (AQuAS) as a public evaluation agency belonging to the health administration is in charge of the comparative examination of the quality of healthcare. Due to this, it has access and permission from the health authorities to make use of the

data of the Minimum Basic Data Set at Hospitals Discharge (MBDS-HD) and other administrative clinical databases for their studies and analysis. Due to the anonymized nature of such data, this research does not require approval by an ethics committee or consent from users of the Health Services.

#### **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

Not applicable

#### **AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL**

Data is available under reasonable request to [jariasdelatorre@gencat.cat](mailto:jariasdelatorre@gencat.cat)

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

#### **FUNDING**

This article is financed by the CIBERESP short stay grants for Jorge Arias de la Torre in 2017 and 2018.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JAT, EAMZ, LM, LMU, OE, MM, MDE, EP, JMV, VM, AJM and ME conceived the study design, participated in writing the manuscript, and have critically reviewed and agreed on the final version of this article.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful for the support received from Kayla Smith and CIBER de Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP) to carry out this research.

#### **References**

1. Leff B, Soones T, DeCherrie L. The Hospital at Home Program for Older Adults. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176:1724. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.6307.
2. Conley J, O'Brien CW, Leff BA, Bolen S, Zulman D. Alternative Strategies to Inpatient Hospitalization for Acute Medical Conditions. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176:1693. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.5974.
3. Leff B. Defining and disseminating the hospital-at-home model. *Can Med Assoc J.* 2009;180:156-7. doi:10.1503/cmaj.081891.

4. Danielsson P. Hospital at Home and Emergence of the Home Hospitalist. *J Hosp Med*. 2019. doi:10.12788/jhm.3162.
5. Shepperd S, Iliffe S. Hospital at home versus in-patient hospital care. In: Shepperd S, editor. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2005. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000356.pub2.
6. Shepperd S, Iliffe S, Doll HA, Clarke MJ, Kalra L, Wilson AD, et al. Admission avoidance hospital at home. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007491.pub2.
7. Gonçalves-Bradley DC, Iliffe S, Doll HA, Broad J, Gladman J, Langhorne P, et al. Early discharge hospital at home. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000356.pub4.
8. Jiménez S, Aguiló S, Antolín A, Coll-Vinent B, Miró O, Sánchez M. [Home hospitalization directly from emergency department: an efficient alternative to standard inpatient hospitalization]. *Med clínica*. 2011.
9. Barrett D. Admission avoidance: hospital at home. *Br J Community Nurs*. 2019;24:238–9. doi:10.12968/bjcn.2019.24.5.238.
10. Mèlich AC, Cuxart OE. Hospital at home: an opportunity for change. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2012.
11. Bori G, Aibar J, Lafuente S, Gallart X, Valls S, Suso S, et al. Hospital at home in elective total hip arthroplasty. *Hip Int*. 2010.
12. Mas MÀ, Closa C, Santaèugènia SJ, Inzitari M, Ribera A, Gallofré M. Hospital-at-home integrated care programme for older patients with orthopaedic conditions: Early community reintegration maximising physical function. *Maturitas*. 2016;88:65–9. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.03.005.
13. Closa C, Mas MÀ, Santaèugènia SJ, Inzitari M, Ribera A, Gallofré M. Hospital-at-home

- Integrated Care Program for Older Patients With Orthopedic Processes: An Efficient Alternative to Usual Hospital-Based Care. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2017;18:780-4. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2017.04.006.
14. Arias-De La Torre J, María Zioga EA, Muñoz L, Estrada D, Espallargues M, Macorigh L, et al. Early-discharge and admission-avoidance hospital-at-home programs: Outcomes and associated factors. *Emergencias.* 2019.
  15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. ICD - ICD-9-CM - International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification. *Classif Dis Funct Disabil.* 2013;2008:1-2. <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd9cm.htm#ftp>.
  16. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* 1987;40:373-83.
  17. Romano PS, Roos LL, Jollis JG. Adapting a clinical comorbidity index for use with ICD-9-CM administrative data: differing perspectives. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1993;46:1075-9; discussion 1081-90. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8410092>.
  18. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 14.* 2015. 2015.
  19. Mas MÀ, Santaeugènia SJ, Tarazona-Santabalbina FJ, Gámez S, Inzitari M. Effectiveness of a Hospital-at-Home Integrated Care Program as Alternative Resource for Medical Crises Care in Older Adults With Complex Chronic Conditions. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2018;19:860-3. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2018.06.013.
  20. Davies L, Wilkinson M, Bonner S, Calverley PM, Angus RM. "Hospital at home" versus hospital care in patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prospective randomised controlled trial. *BMJ.* 2000;321:1265-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11082090>.

21. Richards SH, Coast J, Gunnell DJ, Peters TJ, Pounsford J, Darlow MA. Randomised controlled trial comparing effectiveness and acceptability of an early discharge, hospital at home scheme with acute hospital care. *BMJ*. 1998;316:1796-801. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9624070>.
22. Mas MÀ, Santaèugènia S. [Hospital-at-home in older patients: a scoping review on opportunities of developing comprehensive geriatric assessment based services]. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol*. 2015.
23. Cryer L, Shannon SB, Van Amsterdam M, Leff B. Costs for “hospital at home” patients were 19 percent lower, with equal or better outcomes compared to similar inpatients. *Health Aff*. 2012.
24. Pericás JM, Aibar J, Soler N, López-Soto A, Sanclemente-Ansó C, Bosch X. Should alternatives to conventional hospitalisation be promoted in an era of financial constraint? *Eur J Clin Invest*. 2013.
25. Soderstrom L, Tousignant P, Kaufman T. The health and cost effects of substituting home care for inpatient acute care: a review of the evidence. *CMAJ*. 1999;160:1151-5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10234345>. Accessed 30 Oct 2017.
26. Harris R, Ashton T, Broad J, Connolly G, Richmond D. The effectiveness, acceptability and costs of a hospital-at-home service compared with acute hospital care: a randomized controlled trial. *J Health Serv Res Policy*. 2005;10:158-66. doi:10.1258/1355819054338988.
27. Cook R, Thomas V, Martin R. People with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations prefer early discharge, then treatment at home. *BMJ*. 2019;;k5339. doi:10.1136/bmj.k5339.
28. Observatori del Sistema de Salut de Catalunya. Central de Resultats. Àmbit hospitalari. Dades 2015. 2016.

29. Jessup RL, O'Connor DA, Putrik P, Rischin K, Nezon J, Cyril S, et al. Alternative service models for delivery of healthcare services in high-income countries: a scoping review of systematic reviews. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e024385. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024385.
30. Higginson IJ, Reilly CC, Bajwah S, Maddocks M, Costantini M, Gao W. Which patients with advanced respiratory disease die in hospital? A 14-year population-based study of trends and associated factors. *BMC Med*. 2017;15:19. doi:10.1186/s12916-016-0776-2.

## Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of the contacts, hospital, and care process according to the hospital- at-home modality.

	Admission avoidance (n=7,214)	Early discharge (n=2,387)	<i>p</i>
	N (%)	N (%)	
Mean age in years (SD)	69.9 (17.2)	69.6 (16.8)	0.309
Sex			0.013
Male	3,800 (52.7)	1,327 (55.6)	
Female	3,414 (47.3)	1,060 (44.4)	
Diagnostic group			<0.001
Respiratory system diseases	2,440 (33.8)	780 (32.7)	
Genitourinary system diseases	976 (13.5)	412 (17.3)	
Circulatory system diseases	1,030 (14.3)	234 (9.8)	
Osteomioarticular and connective tissue system diseases	747 (10.4)	137 (5.7)	
Lesions and intoxications	499 (6.9)	250 (10.5)	
Digestive tract diseases	470 (6.5)	268 (11.2)	
Skin and subcutaneous tissue diseases	363 (5.0)	79 (3.3)	
Neoplasms	247 (3.4)	53 (2.2)	
Central nervous system and sense organ diseases	131 (1.8)	62 (2.6)	
Badly defined symptoms, signs, and conditions	138 (1.90)	46 (1.9)	
Endocrine, nutritional and metabolic diseases, and immunity disorders	106 (1.5)	42 (1.8)	
Blood and hematopoietic organ diseases	67 (0.9)	24 (1.0)	
Comorbidity (Charlson Index)			0.626
0 or 1	4,479 (62.1)	1,477 (61.9)	
2	1,168 (16.2)	405 (17.0)	
>=3	1,567 (21.7)	505 (21.2)	
Type of hospital			<0.001
Reference hospital	2,673 (37.1)	534 (22.4)	
District hospital	2,322 (32.2)	738 (30.9)	
High-technology general hospital	1,029 (14.3)	608 (25.5)	
High-resolution reference hospital	1,190 (16.5)	507 (21.2)	
Number of contacts per patient			0.254
1	5,383 (74.6)	1,809 (75.8)	
>1	1,831 (25.4)	578 (24.2)	

n: number of contacts; %: percentage of contacts; SD: standard deviation.

*p*: *p* value obtained with Chi-squared test and Fisher's test for categorical variables, and Mann Whitney *U* for age.

Table 2. Readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay according to the hospital- at-home

modality.

	Admission avoidance (n=7,214)	Early discharge (n=2,387)	
	n (%)	n (%)	p value
Readmission			0.524
No	6,613 (91.67)	2,198 (92.08)	
Yes	601 (8.33)	189 (7.92)	
Mortality prior to discharge			0.040
No exitus	7,146 (99.06)	2,375 (99.50)	
Exitus	68 (0.94)	12 (0.50)	
Mean contact stay (SD) in days	9.6 (10.6)	9.8 (11.1)	0,059
Total days of stay	68,934	23,460	

n: number of contacts; %: percentage of contacts; SD: standard deviation.

p: p value obtained with the chi-squared test and Fisher's test for categorical variables, and Mann Whitney U for mean length of stay.

Table 3. Factors related to readmission, mortality, and mean length of stay according to the hospital-at-home modality.

	Readmission				Mortality prior to discharge		
	n	%	aOR (CI95%)	p	N	%	aOR (CI95%)
<i>Admission avoidance</i>							
Sex							
Male	302	8.0	1.00		37	1.0	1.00
Female	299	8.8	1.17 (0.99-1.39)	0.065	31	0.9	0.87 (0.53-1.44)
Charlson Index							
0 or 1	304	6.8	1.00		28	0.6	1.00
2	108	9.3	1.22 (0.97-1.55)	0.083	16	1.4	1.67 (0.90-3.11)
>=3	189	12.1	1.69 (1.39-2.07)	<0.001	24	1.5	1.89 (1.08-3.31)
Type of hospital							
Reference hospital	156	5.8	1.00		15	0.6	1.00
District hospital	217	9.4	1.48 (1.19-1.83)	<0.001	28	1.2	1.54 (0.81-2.91)
High-technology general hospital	106	10.3	1.62 (1.25-2.11)	<0.001	8	0.8	1.01 (0.43-2.40)
High-resolution hospital	122	10.3	1.76 (1.37-2.27)	<0.001	17	1.4	2.11 (1.04-4.27)
Age			1.02 (1.01-1.02)	<0.001			1.07 (1.05-1.10)
<i>Early discharge</i>							
Sex							
Male	117	8.8	1.00		5	0.4	1.00
Female	72	6.8	0.82 (0.60-1.12)	0.212	7	0.7	1.23 (0.34-4.04)
Charlson Index							
0 or 1	93	6.3	1.00		8	0.5	1.00
2	46	11.4	1.70 (1.16-2.45)	0.006	3	0.7	1.04 (0.27-3.99)
>=3	50	9.9	1.52 (1.05-2.21)	0.028	1	0.2	0.28 (0.03-2.29)
Type of hospital							
Reference hospital	18	3.4	1.00		2	0.4	1.00
District hospital	52	7.1	2.24 (1.29-3.88)	0.004	4	0.5	1.30 (0.24-7.21)
High-technology general hospital	68	11.2	3.60 (2.10-6.16)	<0.001	2	0.3	1.27 (0.17-9.21)
High-resolution hospital	51	10.1	3.20 (1.84-5.56)	<0.001	4	0.8	2.24 (0.40-12.40)
Age			1.01 (1.00-1.02)	0.204			1.11 (1.04-1.20)

n: number of contacts with a positive indicator; % percentage of contacts with a positive indicator; mean: mean of duration; SD: standard deviation.  
aOR/Ratio(CI95%): adjusted Odds Ratio/adjusted Ratio and 95% confidence Interval adjusted by hospital at home modal hospital; p: p value based on multivariate model.