

Interaction Between Genetic Predisposition, Smoking, and Dementia Risk: A Population-based Cohort Study

Na Zhang

Peking University

Janice Ranson

University of Exeter

Zhi-Jie Zheng

Peking University

Eilis Hannon

University of Exeter

Zhenwei Zhou

Boston University

Xuejun Kong

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

David Llewellyn

University of Exeter

Daniel King

Stanford University

Jie Huang (✉ jiehuang001@pku.edu.cn)

Peking University

Research Article

Keywords: APOE, confidence intervals (CIs), GWAS, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-270026/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

We conducted a population-based retrospective cohort study to evaluate whether the association between cigarette smoking and dementia risk is modified by genetic predisposition including apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype and polygenic risk (without APOE gene). We included 193,198 UK Biobank participants aged 60 to 73 years without dementia at baseline who were recruited from 2006 to 2010 and followed until 2018. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards model. The cohort was followed up for 1,700,886 person-years (median [interquartile range] follow-up, 9.0 [8.3-9.7] years). 1788 participants with incident dementia cases were detected. Of non-APOE- ϵ 4 carriers, 0.89% (95% CI, 0.73%-1.08%) current smokers developed dementia compared with 0.49% (95% CI, 0.44%-0.55%) of never smokers (adjusted HR 1.78; 95% CI, 1.39-2.29). Of participants with high polygenic risk, 1.77% (95% CI, 1.35%-2.27%) current smokers developed dementia compared with 1.05% (95% CI, 0.91%-1.21%) of never smokers (adjusted HR 1.63; 95% CI, 1.16-2.28). A significant interaction was found between APOE genotype and smoking status ($P = 0.002$) while no significant interaction was identified between polygenic risk and smoking status on risk of dementia ($P = 0.25$). APOE genotype while not polygenic risk modified the effect of smoking on dementia risk.

Introduction

Dementia is one of the major causes of disability and dependency in later life¹. Both genetic and lifestyle factors contribute to the development of dementia^{2,3}. Apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene polymorphism is strongly associated with late-onset Alzheimer Disease (AD)^{4,5}. It also plays a role in risk for vascular dementia⁶, Lewy body dementia^{7,8} and frontotemporal dementia⁹. There are three APOE isoforms, APOE2, APOE3, APOE4, encoded by 3 common alleles, ϵ 2, ϵ 3 and ϵ 4. Compared to the reference ϵ 3 allele, ϵ 2 is protective against AD, while ϵ 4 is the largest known genetic risk factor of late-onset sporadic AD in a variety of ethnic groups¹⁰. In European populations, a single ϵ 4 allele increases an individual's risk for AD by three-fold¹¹, while two ϵ 4 alleles increase the rate by nearly 30-fold by 75 years of age. APOE ϵ 4 carriers are also more likely to develop other types of dementia^{9,12-14}. A polygenic risk score (PRS) indicates cumulative genetic propensity using multiple risk alleles identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS)¹⁵. Individuals with higher PRS are more likely to develop dementia^{16,17}, and may also have an earlier onset of the disease¹⁸.

Compelling evidence suggests that tobacco smoking is associated with a higher risk of dementia^{14,19-21}. In addition to an independent effect, smoking may interact with genetic risk factors for dementia. However, prior findings have been inconsistent. Evidence from the population-based Rotterdam study suggested that smoking was only associated with dementia risk in ϵ 4 allele non-carriers^{22,23} whereas a population-based study in Finland found that smoking increased risk of dementia among ϵ 4 allele carriers²⁴. Furthermore, no studies to our knowledge have examined the gene-smoking interaction on dementia risk at a genome-wide level. Here, we used prospective data from a large population-based study to examine the interaction between genetic predisposition including APOE genotype and polygenic

risk and smoking in contributing to the risk of dementia. It aims to clarify whether dementia risk reduction strategies incorporating smoking cessation are likely to be effective regardless of genotype, or conversely are only likely to be effective in targeted groups.

Methods

Study design, data sources and participants

We conducted a population-based retrospective cohort study using UK Biobank data. The UK Biobank (UKB) cohort consists of over 500,000 adults aged 37-73 years who were recruited from 22 research centers across the UK between 2006 and 2010²⁵. Our analytic sample was restricted to individuals with White British ancestry because APOE ϵ 4 allele frequencies vary between different populations²⁶ and the polygenic risk score for Alzheimer disease was based upon GWAS results from White participants. Our sample was also limited to those at least 60 years old at baseline because our study was focused on the prediction of sporadic late-onset dementia. Participants younger than 60 years ($n=285,022$), without ethnic background information ($n=1182$) or not White British ($n=17,400$), with missing APOE genotype information ($n=5324$) or ϵ 1 allele ($n=25$), with unmatched self-reported sex and genetic sex ($n=121$) and excessive relatives ($n=88$), and with dementia at baseline or prior to recruitment ($n=145$) were excluded (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Ethics declarations

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. The generic ethical approval was obtained by UK Biobank from the NHS National Research Ethics Service (approval letter dated June 17th 2011, Ref 11/NW/0382). All participants provided written informed consent to participate in the UK Biobank.

APOE haplotype

We first identified each subject's APOE haplotype based on pre-phasing the whole chromosome 19, using the EAGLE software tool²⁷. We characterized the haplotype by race, by ethnicity, and by other demographic parameters. We further confirmed the inferred APOE haplotype in 48,855 samples with exome sequencing data. We excluded those with ϵ 1 haplotypes and generated a factor variable with six possible combinations of ϵ 2 to ϵ 4, namely, ϵ 2 ϵ 2, ϵ 2 ϵ 3, ϵ 2 ϵ 4, ϵ 3 ϵ 3, ϵ 3 ϵ , ϵ 4 ϵ 4. The six APOE genotypes were classified into three categories: zero ϵ 4 allele (ϵ 2 ϵ 2, ϵ 2 ϵ 3, ϵ 3 ϵ 3), one ϵ 4 allele (ϵ 3 ϵ , ϵ 4 ϵ 4), and two ϵ 4 alleles (ϵ 4 ϵ 4) carriers.

Polygenic risk score

A polygenic risk score (PRS) was calculated using the same methods documented in a previous study.¹⁶ In brief, 249,273 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (excluding the APOE gene) with a P value less than 0.5 for association with Alzheimer disease (AD) were used to compute the PRS. The number of risk

alleles carried at each SNP were weighted by its effect size in GWAS for AD ²⁸, then summed and z-standardized ¹⁶. In the present study, the PRS was divided into quintiles and then categorized into low, middle, and high polygenic risk according to lowest quintile, 2-4 quintiles and highest quintile, respectively.

Dementia diagnosis

Dementia was identified using algorithmically-defined dementia outcomes provided by UKB. It includes primary care information with linked data from hospital admissions and death registries ²⁹. The hospital and mortality data are coded using the International Classification of Disease version 10 (ICD-10). The algorithms were developed to identify individuals with ICD-10 codes for any cause of dementia and the subtypes including Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia and frontotemporal dementia.

Tobacco smoking

Smoking status was assessed using a touchscreen questionnaire, and defined as (1) never smokers, if individuals specified that they either never smoked tobacco, or just tried once or twice in the past but less than 100 cigarettes over their lifetime; (2) former smokers, if they smoked on most or all days, or occasionally, or just tried once or twice in the past with more than 100 cigarettes over their lifetime; (3) current smokers, if they smoked on most or all days, or occasionally at present.

Covariates

Covariates measured at the initial assessment visit were incorporated in the analyses as potential confounders. Sociodemographic variables comprised age, sex, educational attainment and deprivation quintiles. Educational attainment was grouped into two categories based on a previous study ³⁰. Townsend deprivation score ³¹ was categorized into *least* (lowest quintile), *intermediate* (quintiles 2-4), and *most* (highest quintile) deprived. Body mass index (BMI) was grouped into four categories according to WHO guidelines ³²: underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²); normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²); overweight (25.0-29.9 kg/m²); obese (at least 30.0 kg/m²). Alcohol consumption was categorized into never, former and current drinker. Family history is a composite risk factor reflecting both genetic and non-genetic risks. Its relevant effects are separable from that of APOE ε4 allele ³³, and could strengthen the prediction power of the model together with PRS ³⁴. Thus, family history is incorporated as a covariate in the model.

Statistical analysis

Cox proportional hazards regression model was used to determine risk of incident dementia during follow-up. Participants who did not develop dementia during the follow-up were censored in this study. Two multiplicative terms *APOE genotype x smoking* and *polygenic risk x smoking* were added into independent Cox models to assess APOE genotype-by-smoking and polygenic risk-by-smoking interactions, respectively. We compared the full model (with the interaction term of *APOE genotype-by-smoking* or *polygenic risk-by-smoking*) with a reduced model (without the interaction term) using a

likelihood ratio test. Besides multiplicative interaction, we also evaluated an additive interaction effect by calculating the relative excess risk due to interaction (RERI), attributable proportion (AP) and synergy index (S). All models were adjusted for age, sex, educational attainment, deprivation quintile, BMI, parental family history of dementia and the first five genetic principal components derived from genetic data³⁵ for population stratification. Effects were estimated by hazards ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The proportional hazards assumption was checked for each Cox model by measuring Schoenfeld residuals, and results indicated no potential violation of the assumption. We used complete case analysis since the proportion of missing data for main variables was less than 5%. We conducted three sensitivity analyses. First, we stratified the sample by sex and repeated the reduced model and full model. Second, we adjusted for self-reported depression assessed by the two-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-2)³⁶. The range of PHQ-2 score is from 0 to 6 with a score ≥ 3 indicative of self-reported depression. Additionally, we conducted the main analyses after excluding individuals within three years of follow-up to reduce the possibility of reverse causation bias. We used R version 4.0.2 for analyses, and a two-tailed *P* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

193,198 participants were included in the analysis. The mean (SD) age of the participants at baseline was 64.1 (2.9) years, and 101,322 (52.4%) were female. They were followed up for 1,700,886 person-years (median [interquartile range] follow-up, 9.0 [8.3-9.7] years). One thousand seven hundred and eighty-eight cases of incident all-cause dementia were identified. Baseline characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 1.

APOE genotype, polygenic risk and dementia risk

Dementia risk was significantly higher among APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers compared to non- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers (Supplementary Fig. S2). Specifically, two APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers were at greatest risk of dementia followed by one- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers compared with non-carriers, with an adjusted hazard ratio (HR) of 7.63 (95% confidence interval [CI] 6.46-9.01) and 2.47 (95% CI, 2.22-2.76), respectively (Table 2). The strength of the associations was slightly attenuated after additionally adjusting for smoking status with HR of 7.53 (95% CI, 6.36-8.91) for two- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers and 2.45 (95% CI, 2.20-2.74) for one- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers (Supplementary Fig. S3). Individuals with intermediate and high polygenic risk were at greater risk of developing dementia (Supplementary Fig. S2). The adjusted hazard ratio (HR) of dementia was 1.53 (95% CI, 1.29-1.81) for individuals with high polygenic risk and 1.28 (95% CI, 1.10-1.49) for those with intermediate polygenic risk (Table 2). Strength of the associations between polygenic risk and dementia risk were slightly stronger after additionally controlling for smoking status, with HR of 1.55 (95% CI, 1.31-1.83) for high polygenic risk and 1.29 (95% CI, 1.11-1.50) for intermediate polygenic risk individuals (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Smoking status with dementia risk

Dementia risk was significantly associated with smoking (Supplementary Fig. S2 and Table 2). Current and former smokers had a higher risk of dementia than those who never smoked (HR 1.36; 95% CI, 1.13-1.63) and HR 1.20; 95% CI, 1.07-1.34, respectively). The hazards ratio of dementia risk for current and former versus never smokers remained nearly unchanged after the additional adjustment of APOE genotype and polygenic risk (HR 1.37; 95% CI, 1.14-1.65 and HR 1.19; 95% CI, 1.06-1.32, respectively) (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Interaction between APOE genotype and smoking on dementia risk

Cumulative incidence of dementia according to APOE genotype and smoking status is shown in Figure 1a. Among participants with zero APOE- ϵ 4 allele, 0.89% (95% CI, 0.73%-1.08%) current and 0.64% (95% CI, 0.58%-0.71%) former smokers developed dementia compared with 0.49% (95% CI, 0.44%-0.55%) of never smokers (HR 1.78; 95% CI, 1.39-2.29 and HR 1.23; 95% CI, 1.05-1.46, respectively) (Table 3). No significant difference was detected between smoking and dementia risk among two APOE- ϵ 4 allele carriers (HR of 0.94 [95% CI, 0.49-1.79] for current smokers and HR of 1.32 [95% CI, 0.96-1.81] for former smokers, respectively) or among one APOE- ϵ 4 allele carriers (HR of 1.06 [95% CI, 0.77-1.45] for current smokers and HR of 1.11 [95% CI, 0.94-1.32] for former smokers, respectively). There was significant negative multiplicative interaction between current smoking and one APOE- ϵ 4 allele carriers (HR 0.54; 95% CI, 0.36-0.80). However, the additive interaction between current smoking and one APOE- ϵ 4 allele carriers was not significant (RERI -0.85, 95% CI -1.84-0.13; AP -0.30, 95% CI -0.71-0.12; S 0.68, 95% CI 0.42-1.11). Neither multiplicative interaction (HR of 0.53 [95% CI, 0.27-1.05]) nor additive interaction (RERI -0.93, 95% CI -5.95-4.10; AP -0.12, 95% CI -0.82-0.58; S 0.88, 95% CI 0.43-1.81) between current smoking and two APOE- ϵ 4 allele carriers was significant.

Interaction between polygenic risk and smoking status on dementia risk

Cumulative incidence of dementia according to polygenic risk category and smoking status is shown in Figure 1b. No significant association was observed between smoking and dementia risk among individuals within low polygenic risk (HR of 1.28 [95% CI, 0.79-2.07] for current smokers and HR of 1.04 [95% CI, 0.78-1.40] for former smokers, respectively) (Table 3). Among intermediate polygenic risk individuals, 1.01% (95% CI, 0.93%-1.11%) former smokers developed dementia compared with 0.80% (95% CI, 0.72%-0.87%) of never smokers (HR 1.23; 95% CI, 1.06-1.41), while no significant association between current smokers and dementia risk was detected (HR 1.25; 95% CI, 0.97-1.60). Among individuals with high polygenic risk, 1.77% (95% CI, 1.35%-2.27%) current smokers developed dementia compared with 1.05% (95% CI, 0.91%-1.21%) of never smokers (HR 1.63; 95% CI, 1.16-2.28), while no significant association between former smokers and dementia risk was detected (HR 1.21; 95% CI, 0.97-1.50) (Table 3). Neither multiplicative interaction (HR of 1.17 [95% CI, 0.66-2.08]) nor additive interaction (RERI 0.49, 95% CI -0.43-1.42; AP 0.22, 95% CI -0.15-0.58; S 1.62, 95% CI 0.59-4.44) between current smoking and high polygenic risk was significant. Moreover, there was no significant multiplicative interaction or

additive interaction (RERI -0.05, 95% CI -0.77-0.67; AP -0.03, 95% CI -0.51-0.44; S 0.91, 95% CI 0.26-3.24) between current smoking and intermediate polygenic risk (Table 4).

Sensitivity analyses

The association between genetic predisposition and dementia risk was similar between men and women (Supplementary Fig. S4). However, current and former smoking were associated with greater dementia risk among women (HR 1.55; 95% CI, 1.16-2.07 and HR 1.29; 95% CI, 1.10-1.52, respectively) compared with never smokers but not in men (HR 1.25; 95% CI, 0.98-1.59 and HR 1.10; 95% CI, 0.95-1.28, respectively). There was significant multiplicative interaction between smoking status and APOE genotype among women (interaction $P = 0.01$) while no additive interaction was detected (Supplementary Table S1). Neither multiplicative interaction nor additive interaction between smoking status and polygenic risk was observed on dementia risk among women. For men, there was significant additive interaction (RERI 3.81, 95% CI 0.67-6.96; AP 0.40, 95% CI 0.14-0.66; S 1.81, 95% CI 1.09-3.01) between one APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers and former smoking on dementia risk while non-significant multiplicative interaction was identified (HR 1.49; 95% CI, 0.92-2.41) (Supplementary Table S2). The interaction between smoking and genetic predisposition was similar with the main analyses after additionally adjusting for self-reported depression (Supplementary Table S3) and after excluding participants followed up for less than three years (Supplementary Table S4).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study is the first large population-based analysis exploring the interaction between APOE, polygenic risk and smoking in relation to dementia risk. APOE genotype modified the association between smoking and dementia risk. However, there was no interactive effect of current or past smoking with polygenic risk on the risk of dementia. Carriers of two $\epsilon 4$ alleles (APOE $\epsilon 4\epsilon 4$ genotype) had the greatest risk of developing dementia after adjusting for potential confounders. APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele is the strongest genetic risk for late-onset form of Alzheimer's disease (LOAD). Individuals with higher polygenic risk had a greater dementia risk indicative of the polygenic architecture of dementia¹⁴.

Tobacco usage may worsen cognitive function and increase risk of dementia^{23,37,38}. Smoking may induce cerebral oxidative stress that accelerate Alzheimer disease pathology and increase its risk³⁹. In this large population-based study, both current and previous smokers were at increased risk of dementia. Conversely, neither current nor former smokers had higher dementia risk among carriers of one or two $\epsilon 4$ alleles. Our study indicated that APOE- $\epsilon 4$ genotype was that strongest risk for dementia in the model adjusting for genetic predisposition and smoking. It increased dementia risk such that the relative risk of other risk factors including smoking on dementia weakened in APOE $\epsilon 4$ heterozygotes, and even disappeared in $\epsilon 4$ homozygotes. APOE genotype modified the association between smoking and dementia risk. APOE- $\epsilon 4$ allele carriers who were also smokers demonstrated greater cortical amyloid deposition, poor auditory-verbal learning and memory⁴⁰ which might increase the risk of developing dementia. Our findings were in agreement with the results of two Rotterdam studies^{22,23} that the

magnitude of risk elevation seen between current smokers and never smokers was greatest among non-carriers of the $\epsilon 4$ allele. However, the later Rotterdam study in 2007 concluded that there was no interaction between smoking status and APOE genotype on risk of dementia ²³. One possible explanation for this inconsistency may lie in the larger sample size in our study which increases statistical power to detect interactions. Our study demonstrated current smokers within the highest polygenic risk quintile had an increased risk of developing dementia compared to never smokers, whereas there was no significant association between current smoking and dementia risk among individuals at lower or intermediate polygenic risk. Consistent with a previous study that reported non-significant interaction between lifestyle and polygenic risk on dementia risk ¹⁶, we also found no significant multiplicative or additive interaction between smoking status and polygenic risk. Our sensitivity analyses showed that current and past smoking increased the dementia risk only among women, after adjusting for genetic predisposition and other covariates. Female smokers are more vulnerable to cardiovascular disease ⁴¹ which further increases their risk of dementia. APOE genotype modified the effect of smoking status on dementia risk among women and men in different ways. Current smoking and $\epsilon 4$ heterozygotes had significant negative multiplicative interaction while non-significant additive interaction among women. However, among men, past smoking and $\epsilon 4$ homozygotes had significant additive interaction while non-significant multiplicative interaction among men. It is possible that smoking status and APOE genotype have a negative multiplicative interaction while non-significant additive interaction or a significant positive additive interaction effect while non-significant multiplicative interaction since the two effects depend on different scale. The additive interaction is based on a risk difference scale with a larger effect while the multiplicative interaction is based on a risk ratio scale with a relatively smaller effect ⁴².

The strengths of this study include the large population-based sample, the long follow-up period, the comprehensive approach to investigating genetic risk, the novel investigation of interactions, the careful adjustment for potential confounders, and the use of sensitivity analyses to investigate the robustness of findings.

Our study also had a number of limitations: First, the algorithmically-defined dementia cases including both primary care information and hospital or death registry linked data used in this study is likely to include misclassified or misdiagnosed participants. However, the algorithm was developed to balance sensitivity and specificity ²⁹. Incorporating primary care data may reduce the proportion of missed dementia cases or false negatives in health or death registry records. Second, data on smoking status was addressed only once, at baseline, which would not capture change of these health behaviors during the follow-up. Third, although the models were adjusted for known potential confounders, the possibility of residual or unmeasured confounders may affect the results. Lastly, the participants in this study was restricted to older White British adults that might limit the generalizability of the findings to other ethnicities.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates how genetic predisposition modifies the association between smoking status and dementia risk. Current smokers had a higher risk of dementia risk among non-APOE-

ε4 allele carriers and among individuals within high polygenic risk.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

This research was conducted using the UK Biobank resources under application 19416. We thank the participants for sharing their health related information.

Author contributions

J.H., N.Z., J.M.R., and D.J.L. contributed to the study concept and design. J.H. contributed to the acquisition of the data. N.Z., J.H., and Z.W.Z. contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. N.Z. and J.H. contributed to the original drafting of the manuscript. J.M.R., Z.J.Z., E.H., Z.W.Z., X.J.K., D.J.L., and J.H. contributed to the critical revision of the manuscript. J.H. is the guarantor of this work and had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors reviewed the manuscript and provided final approval of the version to be published.

Competing interests

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this study.

Funding

This work was partly supported by Grant 2020YFC2002900 from the National Key Research and Development Program of China. The funder did not participate in any of the study activities, including its design, methods, analysis, interpretation, or preparation of the article. Dr. Huang was supported by Grant 2020YFC2002900 from the National Key Research and Development Program of China. Prof. Llewellyn and Dr. Ranson were supported by Alzheimer's Research UK. Prof. Llewellyn also received funding from National Institute for Health Research Applied Research Collaboration South West Peninsula, National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC), JP Moulton Foundation, National Institute on Aging/National Institutes of Health (RF1AG055654), Alan Turing Institute/Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/N510129/1).

References

1. WHO. The epidemiology and impact of dementia: current state and future trends. Document WHO/MSD/MER/15.3. http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/dementia/dementia_thematicbrief_epidemiology.pdf (2015).
2. Livingston, G. *et al.* Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet* **396**, 413-446. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30367-6 (2020).
3. Loy, C. T., Schofield, P. R., Turner, A. M. & Kwok, J. B. J. Genetics of dementia. *Lancet* **383**, 828-840. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60630-3 (2014).
4. Farrer, L. A. *et al.* Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease. A meta-analysis. APOE and Alzheimer Disease Meta Analysis Consortium. *JAMA* **278**, 1349-1356. doi:10.1001/jama.1997.03550160069041 (1997).
5. Liu, C. C., Kanekiyo, T., Xu, H. & Bu, G. Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms and therapy. *Nat Rev Neuro* **9**, 106-118. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2012.263 (2013).
6. Chuang, Y. F. *et al.* Association between APOE epsilon4 allele and vascular dementia: The Cache County study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* **29**, 248-253. doi:10.1159/000285166 (2010).
7. Mirza, S. S. *et al.* APOE epsilon4, white matter hyperintensities, and cognition in Alzheimer and Lewy body dementia. *Neurology* **93**, e1807-e1819. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000008377 (2019).
8. Bras, J. *et al.* Genetic analysis implicates APOE, SNCA and suggests lysosomal dysfunction in the etiology of dementia with Lewy bodies. *Hum Mol Genet* **23**, 6139-6146. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu334 (2014).
9. Bernardi, L. *et al.* The effects of APOE and tau gene variability on risk of frontotemporal dementia. *Neurobiol Aging* **27**, 702-709. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2005.03.008 (2006).
10. Sadigh-Eteghad, S., Talebi, M. & Farhoudi, M. Association of apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele with sporadic late onset Alzheimer's disease. A meta-analysis. *Neurosciences (Riyadh)* **17**, 321-326. (2012).
11. Harold, D. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1088-1093. doi:10.1038/ng.440 (2009).
12. Rasmussen, K. L., Tybjaerg-Hansen, A., Nordestgaard, B. G. & Frikke-Schmidt, R. Absolute 10-year risk of dementia by age, sex and APOE genotype: a population-based cohort study. *CMAJ* **190**, E1033-E1041. doi:10.1503/cmaj.180066 (2018).
13. Borroni, B. *et al.* APOE genotype and cholesterol levels in lewy body dementia and Alzheimer disease: investigating genotype-phenotype effect on disease risk. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **14**, 1022-1031. doi:10.1097/01.JGP.0000225088.29353.08 (2006).

14. Rasmussen, I. J., Rasmussen, K. L., Nordestgaard, B. G., Tybjaerg-Hansen, A. & Frikke-Schmidt, R. Impact of cardiovascular risk factors and genetics on 10-year absolute risk of dementia: risk charts for targeted prevention. *Eur Heart J***41**, 4024-4033. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa695 (2020).
15. Choi, S. W., Mak, T. S. H. & O'Reilly, P. F. Tutorial: a guide to performing polygenic risk score analyses. *Nat Protoc***15**, 2759-2772. doi:10.1038/s41596-020-0353-1 (2020).
16. Lourida, I. *et al.* Association of Lifestyle and Genetic Risk With Incidence of Dementia. *JAMA* **322**, 430-437. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.9879 (2019).
17. Stocker, H. *et al.* Prediction of clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease, vascular, mixed, and all-cause dementia by a polygenic risk score and APOE status in a community-based cohort prospectively followed over 17 years. *Mol Psychiatry*. doi:10.1038/s41380-020-0764-y (2020).
18. Ajnakina, O., Cadar, D. & Steptoe, A. Interplay between Socioeconomic Markers and Polygenic Predisposition on Timing of Dementia Diagnosis. *J Am Geriatr Soc***68**, 1529-1536. doi:10.1111/jgs.16406 (2020).
19. Lu, Y., Sugawara, Y., Zhang, S., Tomata, Y. & Tsuji, I. Smoking cessation and incident dementia in elderly Japanese: the Ohsaki Cohort 2006 Study. *Eur J Epidemiol*, **35**, 851-860. doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00612-9 (2020).
20. Rasmussen Eid, H. *et al.* Smoking and Obesity as Risk Factors in Frontotemporal Dementia and Alzheimer's Disease: The HUNT Study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Dis Extra***9**, 1-10. doi:10.1159/000495607 (2019).
21. Rusanen, M., Kivipelto, M., Quesenberry, C. P., Jr., Zhou, J. & Whitmer, R. A. Heavy smoking in midlife and long-term risk of Alzheimer disease and vascular dementia. *Arch Intern Med***171**, 333-339. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2010.393 (2011).
22. Ott, A. *et al.* Smoking and risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease in a population-based cohort study: the Rotterdam Study. *Lancet***351**, 1840-1843. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(97)07541-7 (1998).
23. Reitz, C., den Heijer, T., van Duijn, C., Hofman, A. & Breteler, M. M. B. Relation between smoking and risk of dementia and Alzheimer disease - The Rotterdam Study. *Neurology***69**, 998-1005. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000271395.29695.9a (2007).
24. Rusanen, M. *et al.* Midlife smoking, apolipoprotein E and risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease: a population-based cardiovascular risk factors, aging and dementia study. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord***30**, 277-284. doi:10.1159/000320484 (2010).
25. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *Plos Med***12**, e1001779. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1001779 (2015).
26. Kern, S. *et al.* The distribution of apolipoprotein E genotype over the adult lifespan and in relation to country of birth. *Am J Epidemiol***181**, 214-217. doi:10.1093/aje/kwu442 (2015).
27. Loh, P. R. *et al.* Reference-based phasing using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. *Nat Genet***48**, 1443-1448. doi:10.1038/ng.3679 (2016).

28. Lambert, J. C. *et al.* Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet***45**, 1452-1458. doi:10.1038/ng.2802 (2013).
29. Wilkinson, T. *et al.* Identifying dementia outcomes in UK Biobank: a validation study of primary care, hospital admissions and mortality data. *Eur J Epidemiol***34**, 557-565. doi:10.1007/s10654-019-00499-1 (2019).
30. Davies, G. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of cognitive functions and educational attainment in UK Biobank (N=112151). *Mol Psychiatry***21**, 758-767. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.45 (2016).
31. Townsend, P. Deprivation. *J Soc Policy***16**, 125-146. doi:Doi 10.1017/S0047279400020341 (1987).
32. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser***894**, i-xii, 1-253 (2000).
33. Donix, M., Small, G. W. & Bookheimer, S. Y. Family History and APOE-4 Genetic Risk in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuropsychol Rev***22**, 298-309. doi:10.1007/s11065-012-9193-2 (2012).
34. Lambert, S. A., Abraham, G. & Inouye, M. Towards clinical utility of polygenic risk scores. *Hum Mol Genet***28**, R133-R142. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz187 (2019).
35. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature***562**, 203-209. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z (2018).
36. Lowe, B., Kroenke, K. & Grafe, K. Detecting and monitoring depression with a two-item questionnaire (PHQ-2). *J Psychosom Res***58**, 163-171. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychores.2004.09.006 (2005).
37. Juan, D. *et al.* A 2-year follow-up study of cigarette smoking and risk of dementia. *Eur J Neuro***11**, 277-282. doi:10.1046/j.1468-1331.2003.00779.x (2004).
38. Ohara, T. *et al.* Midlife and Late-Life Smoking and Risk of Dementia in the Community: The Hisayama Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society***63**, 2332-2339. doi:10.1111/jgs.13794 (2015).
39. Durazzo, T. C., Mattsson, N., Weiner, M. W. & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I. Smoking and increased Alzheimer's disease risk: a review of potential mechanisms. *Alzheimers Dement***10**, S122-145. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2014.04.009 (2014).
40. Durazzo, T. C., Mattsson, N., Weiner, M. W. & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging, I. Interaction of Cigarette Smoking History With APOE Genotype and Age on Amyloid Level, Glucose Metabolism, and Neurocognition in Cognitively Normal Elders. *Nicotine Tob Res***18**, 204-211. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntv075 (2016).
41. Bolego, C., Poli, A. & Paoletti, R. Smoking and gender. *Cardiovasc Res***53**, 568-576. doi:10.1016/s0008-6363(01)00520-x (2002).
42. VanderWeele, T. J. The Interaction Continuum. *Epidemiology***30**, 648-658. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000001054 (2019).

Tables

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants

	No Incident Dementia (n=191,410) (%) ^a	Incident Dementia (n=1788) (%) ^b
Age, mean (SD), years	64.1 (2.8)	65.8 (2.7)
Sex		
Female	100,525 (52.5)	797 (44.6)
Male	90,885 (47.5)	991 (55.4)
Educational attainment		
Higher education	47,905 (25.0)	322 (18.0)
Other qualifications [‡]	141,100 (73.7)	1416 (79.2)
Missing	2405 (1.3)	50 (2.8)
Deprivation quintile		
1 (Least deprived)	38,317 (20.0)	317 (17.7)
2-4 (Intermediate deprived)	114,828 (60.0)	969 (54.2)
5 (Most deprived)	38,105 (19.9)	501 (28.0)
Missing	160 (0.1)	1 (0.1)
Number of APOE ε4 alleles		
Zero	137,642 (71.9)	817 (45.7)
One	49,458 (25.8)	763 (42.7)
Two	4310 (2.3)	208 (11.6)
Polygenic risk category		
Low	38,386 (20.1)	254 (14.2)
Middle	114,861 (60.0)	1057 (59.1)
High	38,163 (19.9)	477 (26.7)
Smoking status		
Never	94,979 (49.6)	771 (43.1)
Previous	80,067 (41.8)	818 (45.7)
Current	15,458 (0.1)	185 (10.3)
Missing	906 (0.5)	14 (0.8)
Alcohol-intake status		

Never	7933 (4.1)	121 (6.8)
Previous	6973 (3.6)	136 (7.6)
Current	176,340 (92.1)	1526 (85.3)
Missing	164 (0.1)	5 (0.3)
Body Mass Index (BMI), kg/m ²		
Normal	56,088 (29.3)	518 (29.0)
Underweight	809 (0.4)	15 (0.8)
Overweight	86,562 (45.2)	773 (43.2)
Obese	47,272 (24.7)	465 (26.0)
Missing	679 (0.3)	17 (1.0)
Parents who had dementia		
None	146,627 (76.6)	1209 (67.6)
Father	7946 (4.2)	89 (5.0)
Mother	19,718 (10.3)	237 (13.3)
Both	1266 (0.7)	20 (1.1)
Missing	15,853 (8.3)	233 (13.0)

^aOther qualifications indicate without a college or university-level degree.

^bPercentages may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

Table 2. Risk of incident dementia according to APOE genotype, polygenic risk and smoking status

	No. of dementia cases/ No. of person at risk	HR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
Model1				
Number of APOE ε4 alleles				
Zero	817/138,459	Reference	Reference	Reference
One	763/50,221	2.47	2.22-2.76	<0.001
Two	208/4518	7.63	6.46-9.01	<0.001
Polygenic risk category				
Low	254/38,640	Reference	Reference	Reference
Middle	1057/115,918	1.28	1.10-1.49	0.001
High	477/38,640	1.53	1.29-1.81	<0.001
Model2				
Smoking status				
Never	771/955,750	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	818/ 80,885	1.20	1.07-1.34	0.001
Current	185/ 15,643	1.36	1.13-1.63	0.001

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Model1 and Model2 were adjusted for age, sex, educational attainment, deprivation quantile, BMI, alcohol-intake status, parental dementia status and 5 principal components of ancestry, respectively.

Table 3. Risk of incident dementia according to smoking status within each APOE genotype and polygenic risk category

	No. of dementia cases/ No. of person at risk	HR	95% CI	<i>P</i> value
Number of APOE ε4 alleles				
Zero				
Smoking status				
Never	339/68,746	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	372/57,740	1.23	1.05-1.46	0.01
Current	101/11,321	1.78	1.39-2.29	<0.001
One				
Smoking status				
Never	346/24,781	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	344/21,223	1.11	0.94-1.32	0.21
Current	67/3975	1.06	0.77-1.45	0.73
Two				
Smoking status				
Never	86/2223	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	102/1922	1.32	0.96-1.81	0.09
Current	17/347	0.94	0.49-1.79	0.84
Polygenic risk category				
Low				
Smoking status				
Never	116/19,552	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	106/15,850	1.04	0.78-1.40	0.77
Current	29/3075	1.28	0.79-2.07	0.32
Middle				
Smoking status				
Never	456/57,324	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	494/48,758	1.23	1.06-1.41	0.01

Current	98/9295	1.25	0.97-1.60	0.09
High				
Smoking status				
Never	199/18,874	Reference	Reference	Reference
Previous	218/16,277	1.21	0.97-1.50	0.09
Current	58/3273	1.63	1.16-2.28	0.005

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

All analyses were adjusted for age, sex, educational attainment, deprivation quantile, BMI, parental dementia status, and 5 principal components of ancestry.

Table 4. Interactions between smoking status and genetic predisposition on dementia risk

Variables	Additive interaction effect			Multiplicative interaction effect		
	RERI	AP	S	HR	<i>P</i> value	<i>P</i> value for interaction
	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)		
Smoking status * Number of APOE ε4 alleles	-	-	-	-	-	0.002
Never * Zero	-	-	-	Reference	Reference	-
Previous * One	-0.11 (-0.63-0.40)	-0.04 (-0.21-0.14)	0.95 (0.74-1.21)	0.82 (0.66-1.03)	0.09	-
Current * One	-0.85 (-1.84-0.13)	-0.30 (-0.71-0.12)	0.68 (0.42-1.11)	0.54 (0.36-0.80)	0.002	-
Previous * Two	2.00 (-0.80-4.81)	0.20 (-0.05-0.44)	1.28 (0.91-1.79)	1.00 (0.71-1.41)	0.99	-
Current * Two	-0.93 (-5.95-4.10)	-0.12 (-0.82-0.58)	0.88 (0.43-1.81)	0.53 (0.27-1.05)	0.07	-
Smoking status * Polygenic risk category	-	-	-	-	-	0.25
Never * Low	-	-	-	Reference	Reference	-
Previous * Middle	0.24 (-0.08-0.56)	0.16 (-0.07-0.39)	2.03 (0.38-10.93)	1.19 (0.87-1.63)	0.29	-
Current * Middle	-0.05 (-0.77-0.67)	-0.03 (-0.51-0.44)	0.91 (0.26-3.24)	0.92 (0.54-1.57)	0.77	-
Previous * High	0.26 (-0.16-0.68)	0.15 (-0.09-0.40)	1.58 (0.60-4.16)	1.17 (0.82-1.67)	0.39	-
Current * High	0.49	0.22	1.62	1.17	0.58	-

(-0.43- 1.41)	(-0.15- 0.58)	(0.59- 4.44)	(0.66- 2.08)
------------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Abbreviation: HR, hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval; RERI, relative excess risk due to interaction; AP, attributable proportion; S,

synergy index; RERI=0; AP=0; S=1: no interaction; RERI>0; AP>0; S>1: positive interaction; RERI<0; AP<0; S<1: negative interaction.

Dash "-": not applicable. *P* value for interaction: results from likelihood ratio test to compare the reduced model excluding interaction term

with the full model including the term. All analyses were adjusted for age, sex, educational attainment, deprivation quantile, BMI, parental dementia status, and 5 principal components of ancestry.