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Abstract

Purpose: Carvedilol (CRV) is a non-selective beta-blocker used for hypertension treatment, angina pectoris, and heart failure. Oral administration of CRV showed poor bioavailability (25%), which may be due to exposure to the first-pass metabolism. Buccal delivery was used to boost its bioavailability.

Methods: In this study carboxymethylcellulose/hydroxypropyl cellulose (CMC/HPC) composite buccal sponge enriched with CRV bilosomes was developed. Bilosomes were prepared using the thin-film hydration-sonication technique by applying a $3^2$ factorial design.

Results: BL9 possessed the highest desirability value (0.861) and therefore, it was chosen as an optimal bilosomes. It exhibited a spherical shape with 217.2 nm, 87.13% entrapment efficiency, and a sustained release of CRV up to 24h. Consecutively, BL9 was incorporated in a CMC/HPC gel and lyophilized for 24 h to obtain a CMC-HPCL9 bilosomal sponge to enhance CRV buccal delivery. Morphological analysis of the prepared sponge with improved swelling showed a porosity of 67.58 percent. The in vivo assessment of rats indicates that the CMC-HPC/BL9 sponge enhances systolic/diastolic blood pressure, lipid profiles, oxidative stress biomarkers, and heart biomarkers with improved heart tissue quality.

Conclusion: These results strongly encourage the use of this novel CMC-HPC/BL9 composite buccal sponge for the management of hypertension.
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Introduction:

Carvedilol (CRV) is a non-selective beta-blocker used for hypertension treatment, angina pectoris, and heart failure. It is practically insoluble with a solubility value of 4.4 μg/mL in water and it has a weak basic character (pKa value 6.8) [1]. Because of its low solubilities and exposure to first passe metabolism, oral administration of CRV showed very low bioavailability (25%) [2].

Recently, various nano-systems, have been used to improve the solubility, bioavailability, and stability of lipophilic drugs through lipid-based formulations [3]. Among these nano-systems, liposomes showed a great promising drug delivery by enhancing their distribution, thus enhancing their efficacy and/or reducing their toxicity. Liposomes (LPs) can entrap both lipophilic and hydrophilic drugs [4]. However, drug leakage and storage instability are identified as the primary disadvantages of liposomal carriers. The presence of bile salts increases the stability of liposomes [5]. So, encapsulation of lipophilic drug in a bile salt liposome (bilosome) platform followed by incorporation into a buccal mucoadhesive dosage form would overcome the fore mentioned problems [4].

The buccal cavity has been tested as an alternative to the oral route as a site for drug administration [6]. Literature reported different buccal liposomal dosage forms including silymarin liposomes [7], buccal deformable insulin liposomes [8].

More outstandingly, the incorporation of bilosomes in sponge can combine the advantages of both platforms and thus opens the door to more enhanced buccal drug delivery with unique advances such as improved localization in the buccal mucosa, minimized burst release, and controlled drug release [9] [10].

The sponge is a polymeric dispersion lyophilized to give a porous solid structure [10]. Attempts for extended and enhanced drug contact with the mucosa have led to the development of mucoadhesive sponges [4]. This could be achieved by using mucoadhesive polymers [9]. Cellulose derivatives are the most used polymers. Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) is a well-known polysaccharide-mucoadhesive, water-soluble, ionizable polymer in which -CH2COOH groups are substituted on the glucose units of the cellulose chain [11]. Hydroxypropyl cellulose is also a cellulose derivative, available at low cost and wide range of molecular weights, soluble in water and most organic solvents. It has thickening and stabilizing properties making it an attractive biomaterial [12].

Yet, there is no published work that used CRV in a bilosomal sponge. The novelty of this work is to exploit the lucid biocompatibility and biodegradability of CMC-HPC sponge loaded with CRV bilosomes (CRV BL-SP) as an innovative antihypertensive dosage form. Consequently, this
in vitro and in vivo study aimed to evaluate the antihypertensive efficiency of CMC-HPC sponge enriched with CRV- BLS. The in-vivo study was done by the pharmacodynamic evaluation of certain biochemical parameters as lipid profile (cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, and HDL), oxidative stress parameters (ROS, NO, TAC) in the heart to assess the efficacy of this innovative formula to improve cardiac functions compared to CdCL₂ and Carvid©.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Carvedilol (CRV), SAGA Pharmaceutical Co. (6th October, Egypt). Soya bean phosphatidylcholine (SPC), cholesterol (CH), sodium deoxycholate (SDC), dialysis membrane (12,000–14,000 molecular weight cut-off), Griess reagent, and thiobarbituric acid, Sigma (Missouri, USA). Carboxymethylcellulose, Hydroxypropyl cellulose, Fluka Co. All other chemicals and reagents used were of analytical grade.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of bilosomes (BLS)

CRV loaded BLS were prepared by thin-film hydration-sonication technique [16]. Briefly, the required amount of phosphatidylcholine, cholesterol, diacetylphosphate, and CRV was completely dissolved in 5 ml dichloromethane. The resultant lipid solution was then evaporated at 60°C in a rotavap (Rota-Vap, Buchi, Germany) under reduced pressure to produce a film of lipids. The lipid film was then hydrated using 25 ml distilled water containing 25mg sodium deoxycholate (SDC) and sonicated for 5 min at 60°C (Sonicator, Crest Ultra Sonicator Model 575DAE, Trenton, NJ). The BLS dispersions were obtained and then stored in a refrigerator at 5°C till further investigations. The composition of the prepared bilosomes is given in Table 1.

2.2.2. Experimental design

Full-factorial design $3^2$ was used to study the influence of the independent variables namely: lipid concentration (X1) and cholesterol concentration (X2) on the dependent variables shown in Table 2. Design-Expert ®7 Soft-ware (Stat-Ease Inc., USA) was used to conduct a statistical study of responses.
2.3. In-vitro characterization of BLS formulations

2.3.1. Determination of particle size

A laser scattering particle size analyzer (Malvern Instrument Ltd., Worcestershire, UK) was used to determine particle size, polydispersity index, and zeta potential of the bilosomes.

2.3.2. Entrapment efficiency (EE %) and drug loading (DL)

CRV entrapped in BLS dispersions was separated from the un-entrapped drug by centrifugation of the dispersion for 0.5 h at 4°C using a cooling centrifuge (Beckman, Fullerton, Canada). The supernatants were then analyzed for CRV content. EE and DL were computed by the following equations [13].

\[
EE\% = \frac{(D_i - D_n)}{D_i \times 100} \quad (1)
\]

\[
DL = \frac{(D_i - D_n)}{L \times 100} \quad (2)
\]

Where \(D_i\): initial drug amount, \(D_n\): unentrapped drug, and \(L\): total lipid.

2.3.3. In vitro drug release study

Release of CRV-BLS or drug suspension equivalent to 6.25mg drug were determined using the dialysis bag method [14]. The bags were placed in 250 mL PBS, pH 6.8 containing 0.5% sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS), at 37°C and stirred at 50 rpm for 24 h. Samples were withdrawn at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12 and 24 h and then CRV% was determined spectrophotometrically at 242 nm and computed by equation 3.

\[
\%CRV \text{ released} = \frac{M_t}{M_i \times 100} \quad (3)
\]

Where \(M_i\) is the initial amount of the CRV in BLS and \(M_t\) is the amount of drug released at time \(t\).

The release of the free drug suspension (6.25 mg) was also investigated in the same way. Triplicate experiments were carried out and the findings are shown as mean ±S.D.

The results obtained from the release studies were kinetically analyzed for the order of drug release. The different formulae were fitted to Zero-order, first order, and the Higuchi diffusion models, and the correlation coefficient values (R²) were determined.

2.3.4. Ex-vivo permeation
The permeation of CRV-BLS was investigated using the sheep buccal mucosa to detect the ability of BLS for enhancing drug permeation in vivo. The results were compared with the CRV permeation rate and extent from CRV suspension in a buffer. The buccal mucosa was thawed, fixed at one end of a glass cylinder and the other end of the cylinder was connected to the shafts of dissolution apparatus I. All other conditions of the test were as previously employed in the in vitro dissolution test. CRV-BLS volume equivalent to 6.25 mg drug, was placed in the glass cylinders. Samples of 3 ml were withdrawn from the permeation medium at the specified time intervals: 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 h, followed by compensation with equal volumes of fresh medium. The amount of drug permeated was analyzed using a UV spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) at 242 nm. The accumulated quantity of CRV per unit area was graphically displayed as a function time (μg/cm²), and the permeation parameters could be determined. The flux of CRV from control suspension or BLS at 24 h (Jmax) and enhancement ratio (ER) was calculated according to the following equations [4]:

\[
J_{\text{max}} = \frac{\text{Amount of drug permeated per unit area}}{\text{Time}}
\]

(4)

\[
ER = \frac{J_{\text{max bilosomal formula}}}{J_{\text{max of control (CRV susp)}}}
\]

(5)

Besides, the permeability coefficient Kp (cm/h) of CRV from BLS can be calculated by dividing the slope of the curve by initial drug concentration.

One-way ANOVA was utilized to statistically evaluate the differences in Jmax values and total amount permeated of the drug from BLS using SPSS 18.0 software.

2.3.5. Selection of the optimized formulation of the BLS

Design Expert® software (Version 7, Stat-Ease Inc., MN, USA) was utilized to optimize BLS formulations. The optimum formula that has the highest EE%, CRV cumulative % released after 24 h with lower PS and PDI. Desirability values were calculated. The formula obtained with the highest desirability value was considered as the optimum formula.

2.4. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) study

CLSM was used to study the depth of penetration of the optimized bilosomes into the sheep buccal mucosa. Rhodamine B solution and optimum BLS formula loaded with rhodamine B were applied to sheep buccal mucosa, followed by its removal, and washing with distilled water. The applied area was frozen at –20°C, then was sectioned with a cryostat into 20 μm slices and put-on slides and covered by glass coverslips. The slides were then microscopically inspected using an inverted laser scanning confocal microscope LSM 710 (CRVI Zeiss,
Germany) without any extra processing. The rhodamine energized with a He/Ne laser was at 524 nm and light emission was at 580 nm [15].

2.5. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of BLS

DSC thermograms of CRV pure powder, SPC, cholesterol, sodium deoxycholate, and the optimized lyophilized CRV BLS formulation were recognized using Shimadzu differential scanning calorimeter (DSC-50, Kyoto, Japan). About 2 mg of each sample was subjected to heat in a range of 10 to 300°C at a constant heating rate of 10°C/min in a standard aluminum pot under an inert nitrogen flow of 25 ml/min.

2.6. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

The morphology of the optimized CRV BLS was detected by TEM (Joel JEM 1230, Tokyo, Japan). It was dried on a carbon-coated copper, stained with phosphotungstic acid, and visualized at 200 kV.

2.7. Stability studies for bilosomes (BLS)

The optimized CRV-loaded bilosomes preparation was stored at a temperature of 4±2 °C for 3 months. Physical stability was evaluated by comparing the results of EE% and PS studies before and after storage time [16].

Results obtained were analyzed statistically using SPSS 18.0® (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) using Student’s- t-test. The difference was considered significant at p ≤ 0.05.

2.8. Preparation of CMC-HPC /CRV-BLS sponge

CMC-HPC sponge enriched with CRV- BLS was prepared in two steps. First, CMC-HPC blend (2% w/v) was prepared by mixing polymeric blend solution (2% w/v CMC and HPC at ratio 1:1) dissolved in distilled water with the optimized BLS dispersion at a ratio of 1:1 and stirred, poured into a silicone mold, frozen and lyophilized for 24 h.

2.9. Characterization of CMC-HPC /CRV-BLS sponge

2.9.1. Sponge pH

The surface pH of the sponge was determined by putting it to swell in contact with 2 ml simulated saliva fluid (pH 6.8) for 2 h at room temperature. This followed by, bringing the
electrode of the pH-meter (Jenway 3510, Barloworld Scientific, UK) in contact with the sponge surface and left to equilibrate for 1 min [17].

2.9.2. Ex Vivo Mucoadhesion Time

The internal side of a beaker was attached to a sheep buccal mucosa. A 50 μl of simulated saliva was moistened with the sponge, which was fixed to the buccal mucosa after applying a slight force. The beaker contained SSF at 37±1°C; a stirring rate of 50 rpm was applied. Mucoadhesive time was recorded when a complete detachment of the sponge occurred [17].

2.9.3. Porosity determination

Samples of known volume (V) and weight (Wi) were immersed in a graduated cylinder containing ethanol and soaked for 24 h [18]. The final wet sponge weight was recorded as Wf. Porosity % was calculated:

\[
\text{Porosity}\% = \left(\frac{W_f - W_i}{\rho_{\text{ethanol}} \div V}\right) \times 100 \quad (6)
\]

Where \( \rho_{\text{ethanol}} \): Density of ethanol

2.9.4. Swelling ratio

The sponge was immersed in PBS for different time points and incubated at 37°C. The swelling ratio was estimated [10]:

\[
\text{Swelling ratio} = \frac{W_w - W_i}{W_i} \quad (7)
\]

Where \( W_i \) is the sponge's initial weight and \( W_w \) is the sponge's weight after hydration and removing the excess buffer from them.

2.9.5. In vitro release of CRV sponge:

BLS sponge and CRV sponge equivalent to 6.25 mg CRV was transferred to a dialysis bag (cutoff 12-14 KDa) and immersed into 250 mL PBS pH 6.8 containing 0.5% sodium lauryl sulfate and the cumulative % of CRV released was conducted as previously discussed (section 2.4.3.) up to 24 h.

2.9.6. DSC of sponge

DSC thermograms of CRV pure powder, CMC, CRV- CMC physical mixture, HPC, CRV- HPC physical mixture, and the prepared sponge were identified using Shimadzu differential scanning calorimeter (DSC-50, Kyoto, Japan). About 2 mg of each sample was subjected to
heat in a range of 10 to 300°C at a constant heating rate of 10°C/min in a standard aluminum pot under an inert nitrogen flow of 25 ml/min.

2.9.7. Sponge morphology

The morphology of the CMC-HPC /CRV- optimum BLS sponge was observed by scanning electron microscopy (Quanta 250 FEG, FEI Co) under a low vacuum at 200x magnification [10].

2.10. In vivo studies

2.10.1. Experimental animals

Male rats (180–200 g) were used. The study protocol was agreed upon by the research ethics committee, Suez Canal University, Egypt (201804RA2). Twenty-four rats were categorized into four groups, housed in different cages. Rats were freely allowed to food and water at a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle at room temperature (25±1°C). They were supplied with a nutritionally appropriate standard laboratory diet.

2.10.2. Experimental protocol

The first group of rats was receiving 0.5% CMC orally (control group). The second group was subjected to intraperitoneal injection with cadmium chloride (1 mg/kg b.wt./day) for 14 days followed by administering 0.5%CMC as a vehicle orally for another 14 days [19] [20]. The third group was injected intraperitoneally with cadmium chloride for 14 days followed by buccal administration of BL-SP equivalent to 6.25 mg/kg CRV for another consecutive 14 days. The fourth group was injected intraperitoneally with cadmium chloride for 14 days followed by oral administration with Carvid® (6.25 mg/kg in 0.5% CMC) daily for another 14 days.

At the end of the experiment, rats were killed, and blood samples were collected in absence of an anticoagulant. The separated serum was used for the assessment of lactate dehydrogenase [21] and creatine phosphokinase [22] activities using commercial enzymatic kits (Reactivos GPL, Barcelona, Spain). Cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and TG were assessed too. Also, total antioxidant capacity (TAC) was assessed using a commercial kit (Biodiagnostic, Egypt). All measurements were performed according to the catalog-instruction guidelines.

2.10.3. Heart homogenate isolation

The heart of each animal was excised instantly, washed with saline, and stored at -80 °C. A piece of the heart was weighed and homogenized (10%) in chilled 50 mmol phosphate-
buffered saline (pH 7.4), centrifuged for 15 min at 1200 and 4 °C using a cooling centrifuge (Sigma 30K, Germany), then the supernatants were used for the detection of the oxidative stress parameters.

**2.10.4. Lipid peroxidation determination (LPO)**

Lipid peroxidation (LPO) measurement of the heart was done by a colorimetric reaction with thiobarbituric acid as described by [23].

**2.10.5. Determination of Nitric oxide (NO)**

Nitric oxide was estimated as nitrite concentration. The technique used depends on the Griess reaction, which converts nitrite to a deep purple azo-compound, that measured photometrically at 540 nm according to [24].

**2.10.6. Reactive oxygen species determination (ROS)**

This test measures the intracellular conversion of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) to formazan by superoxide anion, which was used to measure the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) as described by [25].

**2.11. Histopathological examination**

In different groups, autopsy samples were taken from the heart of rats and fixed for 24 hours in 10 percent formal saline. Wash was performed in tap water supplemented by serial alcohol dilutions (methyl, ethyl, and absolute ethyl) used for dehydration. Specimens were cleared in xylene and submerged for 24 h in hot air ovens at 56°C. The tissues were examined by the light electric microscope [26].

**2.12. Statistical analysis**

In vivo results are presented as the mean of six replicates ± standard error (SE) and statistically presented using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, CA). Values were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Post hoc testing was done for inter-group comparisons using Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Differences were considered statistically significant at p≤0.05.

**3. Results and discussion**

**3.1. Preparation and characterization of the BLS**
Bilosomes were successfully prepared by thin-film hydration-sonication technique producing homogenous dispersion. No precipitation or coagulation was observed.

3.1.1. Physical characterization of bilosomes

The mean size, PDI, and ZP of the prepared bilosomes are shown in Table 3. Fig. 1a shows the effect of the two independent variables on the PS. High lipid concentration (3%) produced significantly (P < 0.0001) smaller bilosomes as it has a great surface area that accommodates CRV into the vesicle bilayer showing enhanced packing of vesicles and consequently particle size decrease [27]. The same findings were obtained by El Menshawe et al. upon preparing terbutaline bilosome; using high lipid level result in size reduction of the prepared bilosome [28].

High cholesterol concentration also had a significant effect (P = 0.002) on the PS of bilosomes. As the cholesterol concentration increased, the particle size decreased. This might be attributed to that cholesterol making the bilayer to be more compact [28]. This is in agreement with the results stated by [29] who found that cholesterol concentration had a significant impact in reducing the PS of lycopene-in-β-CD-phospholipid vesicles.

The data of polydispersity index (PDI) showed a homogenous distribution of the studied vesicular systems as all values are less than 0.7 which designates the narrow size distribution of particles [30].

It was also observed that the prepared bilosomes confirmed satisfactory ZP values around ±30 mV that confirm their stability due to electrical repulsion between particles [31] [6] [30].

3.1.2. Entrapment efficiency (EE%) and drug loading (DL):

The EE% and DL of all bilosomes is shown in Table 3. It was observed that there was a direct correlation between DL and EE% at the same lipid concentration. The ANOVA results illustrated in Fig. 1b shows that increasing lipid concentration significantly (p<0.0001) increased EE% due to high surface area obtained from a high concentration of lipid bilayer vesicles of CRV-BLS incorporated into the bio-membrane of phospholipid [32]. Furthermore, higher lipid concentration may provide more lipophilic area that accommodates lipophilic CRV which consequently leads to an increase in EE% [33].

Furthermore, it was observed that the higher cholesterol concentration gave significantly (p<0.0001) higher EE% than the lower concentration. This is in agreement with the findings reported by [34], who stated that the addition of cholesterol boosted the encapsulation
efficiency of nevirapine when compared to formulae without cholesterol. Besides, the cementing outcome of cholesterol on the membrane packing prevented the drug efflux from the vesicles [28].

2.1.3. In vitro drug release study

Figure 2a showed that the prepared bilosomes generally sustained the release of CRV when compared to a drug suspension. Additionally, all the formulated bilosomes had biphasic release profiles with quick release in the initial 3 hours (ranged from 20.03 to 44.67%) followed by a sustained release profile till 24 h. The initial fast release may probably be due to the presence of the drug in the outer shell of the bilosome vesicles [35].

Moreover, the ANOVA results (figure (2b)) revealed that the lipid concentration (X1) showed a significant impact (p <0.05) on the Q24 h of the prepared bilosomes formulae, which could be due to the amphiphilic properties of soya bean phosphatidylcholine (SPC). Also, as previously stated in the PS evaluation, the high lipid concentration gave small PS; therefore, the boom in the percentage of CRV release is predictable [27]. In contrast, cholesterol concentration (X2) does not show any significant effect on Q 24 h of the prepared BLS formulae. Mathematical analysis of CRV release data showed that the drug diffusion from the majority of the formulated bilosomes dispersions obeyed the Higuchi kinetics release model, illustrating a diffusion-controlled mechanism [15], while CRV suspension showed first-order kinetics.

3.1.4. Ex-vivo permeation studies

Table 4 and figure 2c illustrate the results of CRV permeation obtained from different bilosomes formulae (BL1-BL9) in comparison with CRV suspension. The flux of CRV via the buccal membrane from CRV suspension was lower than all nine bilosomes formulae. Based on the permeation profiles, the total drug concentration permeated and Jmax, it can be concluded that the encapsulation of CRV in bilosome vesicles leads to a significant permeation improvement (p< 0.05) across the mucosal membrane relative to the control CRV suspension with a 2.9-fold increase. This may be attributed to the small vesicle size of CRV BLS thus increased their permeability [27].

Also, formula BL9 possessed higher permeability parameters relative to the other bilosomes formulae and CRV control suspension. This may be ascribed to the small PS and high concentration of phospholipids which increase the formula affinity to the biological membranes thus increasing its permeation [36].
3.1.5. Selection of the optimum CRV loaded bilosome formula.

The optimum formula was selected to have the least PS with maximum entrapment efficiency and maximum cumulative percent of CRV released after 24 h (Q24). Numerical analysis for results using the Design Expert® software showed that the formula BL9, containing lipid concentration (3%) and cholesterol (30% w/w for total lipid), had the highest desirability value (0.861). Accordingly, it was chosen as the optimum formula among all other formulations for further investigations.

3.2. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) study

Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) is a useful image tool to study the fate of bilosomes into the mucosal membrane. The optimum bilosomes formula (BL9) was selected for this study. As illustrated in Figure 3, CLSM study results showed that bilosomal formula BL9 was severely penetrated across the mucosal membrane with great fluorescence intensity and homogeneous distribution compared to rhodamine B solution. This deep penetration is evident for the potential of buccal bilosome as a penetration enhancer. These results settle well with the ex-vivo permeation study. The same results were achieved by Aboud et al. [15] who found that there is a deep penetration of CRV vesicles to nasal mucosa compared to rhodamine B solution.

3.3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

As shown in figure 6, the DSC thermogram of pure CRV unveiled a melting endotherm at 116°C, corresponding to its melting point. Consistently, soya bean phosphatidylcholine (SPC) exhibited three endothermic peaks at 134.69°C, 173.03°C, and 274.93°C, while sodium deoxycholate (SDC) showed an endothermic peak at 77.3°C corresponding to its melting temperature. In the lyophilized optimized bilosome formulation thermogram, CRV endothermic peak disappeared, signifying that the drug is completely entrapped throughout the formulation [15].

3.4. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

The TEM micrograph of the optimum bilosome formulation (BL9) is established in Figure 4a. It is clearly shown that it exists in well-identified small unilamellar vesicles with a spherical shape. No accumulation or drug crystals were detected.

3.5. Stability study
After three months of storage at 4-8 °C, there was no obvious alteration in the appearance of the bilosome dispersion (BL9) as shown in table 5. A non-significant difference was observed (p > 0.05) in the EE% and PS of the stored bilosomes in comparison to the fresh ones. This indicates the physical stability of bilosomal dispersion under the storage condition.

3.6. Preparation of CMC-HPC /CRV-BLS sponge

BL9 bilosomes loaded sponge and non-vesicular CRV sponge were successfully prepared by the solvent casting method. The lyophilized sponge had a smooth surface texture with a porous structure. The porous structure of the sponge is created after water removal during lyophilization.

3.7. Characterization of CMC-HPC /CRV-BLS sponge

Data of sponge characterization is shown in table 6. The sponge pH was found to be around neutral, thus does not irritate the buccal mucosa [37]. The swelling was adequate (figure 7) to be an adhesive buccal system and confirming controlled CRV release. The sponge residence time was suitable for making a connection with buccal mucosa helping to wet the dosage form with the mucosal substrate, thus allowing the interpenetration of polymeric chains into the mucus film [10]. BL9 sponge showed improved porosity concerning CRV sponge (Figure 8) due to the incorporation of bilosome vesicles (nanoparticles) in the suspension form, consequently, lyophilization would produce a highly porous structure [18].

Figure 2d shows that the percent CRV release from BL9 sponge after 12 h was much slower than sponge containing CRV, 64.77%±0.87 and 84.83%±1.04, respectively. This may be due to that upon sponge hydration, the polymer regains its gel structure leading to an increase in diffusional path length of the drug which may delay the release [18].

The thick gel layer of the swollen surface of the sponge can prevent the disintegration of the matrix and thus regulate further water penetration to slow down the release of the drug. In the case of the CRV sponge, the gel matrix effect on the drug release was minimal due to the presence of the drug dispersed into the sponge. Whereas BL9 sponge contains CRV encapsulated into bilosomes which may greatly delay the drug release [10].

DSC thermograms of sponge polymers showed that pure CRV displayed an endotherm peak at 116˚C, equivalent to its melting point. Likewise, CMC displayed an endotherm peak at 89˚C matching its thermal melting point. HPC showed an endothermic peak at 45˚C corresponding to its melting point. The melting peak of CRV in the CRV-CMC-HPC physical mixture has not
changed indicating no interaction between them. While the melting peak of CRV vanished in the sponge as shown in figure 9 due to encapsulation into bilosomes inside the sponge [38].

The SEM micrographs of BL9 loaded sponge illustrates a porous structure as shown in figure 4b. This porous structure has resulted from the lyophilization process. The removal of water from the BL9 dispersion incorporated into the sponge by lyophilization created the vacant spaces which contributed to the recognized large number of uniform pores [18].

3.8. In-vivo studies

3.8.1. Effect on body weight (BW) and blood pressure (BP)

It was observed that the Cadmium exposure leads to a decrease in BW and increase in BP compared to the control group (Figure 10).

BW was significantly increased, and BP was significantly decreased after treatment of BLSP and Carvid® compared to the CdCl₂ group.

The present study revealed that cadmium chloride (CdCl₂ 1.0 mg/kg, i.p.) treatment in albino rats for 15 days resulted in the elevation of blood pressure figure 11.

Our results illustrated that systolic and diastolic B.P. significantly decrease in BL-SP (6.25 mg/kg, buccally) and Carvid© (6.25 mg/kg, orally) groups for 28 days.

In agreement with our findings, it has been shown that carvedilol is a multiple action antihypertensive drug currently marketed for the treatment of mild to moderate hypertension [39].

3.8.2. Effect on the serum heart marker enzymes

In the present study, there is a marked elevation in the serum lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and creatine kinase (CK) activities for CdCl₂-intoxicated rats as compared to the control animals (Figure 12). However, pre-treatment of BLSP and Carvid® resulted in a significant (P<0.05) reduction in the activities of these enzymes as compared to the CdCl₂-intoxicated rats. These enzymes are released to the bloodstream from the heart, which mirrors the alterations in myocardial membrane permeability [40]. The efficacy of BLSP and Carvid® on Cd-induced hypertensive rats might be due to the powerful antioxidant activity of BLSP or Carvid® that reduces the LDH and CK enzyme activities, therefore limiting the seepage of CK and LDH enzymes from myocardium representing reduced myocardial impairment. The effect of the BL9 sponge was more significant in restoring cardiac enzymes to their normal values as
in the negative control group that does not receive any treatment which was beneficial in maintaining the normal cardiac function.

3.8.3. Effect serum lipid profile parameters

Figure (13) showed the lipid profile determined in the serum of various experimental groups. Cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and TG levels in serum showed significant elevations (P<0.05) in CdCl2-treated rats’ group as compared to the normal ones. However, BLSP and Carvid® pretreatment significantly decreased the levels of Cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and TG as compared to the corresponding CdCl2 treated group with a higher effect of BLSP than Carvid® which was due to better penetration of BLSP to the buccal membrane as shown in confocal microscope study. The gathering of cholesterol on the blood vessels wall causes atherosclerosis, which rises with age [40].

Efficacy of BLS sponge and Carvid® in lessening the levels of cholesterol, LDL, and TG with increasing effect on HDL that may be linked to the blocking action of Carvedilol to the oxidation pathway of low-density lipoproteins (LDL). Therefore, it prevents the creation of oxidized-LDL which enhances the formation of atherosclerotic plaque. Thus, avoids endothelial destruction [39]. This effect was more observed in BLSP than Carvid® which may be due to better penetration of the vesicular system(bilosome) in the sponge to the buccal membrane rather than Carvid® tablet which was orally administered and destroyed by hepatic enzymes in the first-pass metabolism [30].

3.8.4. Effect of sponge and Carvid® on oxidative stress parameters

The administration of CdCl2 significantly (P<0.05) elevated the oxidative stress parameters content in the heart as ROS, LPO, and decreased NO and TAC levels compared to the control group. This effect plays a major role in vascular dysfunction [41].

BLSP and Carvid® treatments significantly (P<0.05) decreased the heart contents of ROS, lipid peroxide while increasing the content of NO, and TCA compared to the CdCl2-treated animals (Fig. 14).

Besides its action as a β-blocker, CRV presents an antioxidant capacity due to a carbazole moiety [42] [43]. It has been proposed that CRV has two antioxidant mechanisms: chelation of ferrous ions and/or hunting of free radicals [44]. Normally, electron-donor atoms on the chelating molecule include sulfur, nitrogen, and/or oxygen [45]. The chelation of free iron inhibits the Fenton reaction and consequently the
formation of hydroxyl radicals, a highly reactive oxygen species that cannot be detoxified by enzymatic reactions [46]. Therefore, this mechanism supports the effectiveness of CRV against the cardiotoxicity induced by cadmium. These findings are in line with our results which revealed that CRV and BLSP reduce lipid peroxidation and ROS levels and increase total antioxidant capacity (TAC) in heart rats treated with cadmium[47].

Cd induces endothelial dysfunction resulting from a reduction in NO• bioavailability through oxidative stress which causes altering NO• metabolism [48]. revealed that Cd exposure causes an increase in O2•- production in the thoracic aorta, and this could effectively scavenge NO to form strong oxidant peroxynitrite (ONOO-). In agreement with our findings, It has been reported a reduction in serum NO levels in cadmium-treated rats may play a role in the observed reduction in endothelium-dependent relaxation responses in cadmium-hypertensive rats [49]. Treatment with Carvid or BLSP markedly increased NO level. It might result in reduced formation of peroxynitrite in the endothelial cells and increase the bioavailability of NO through scavenging superoxide ions and inhibiting the production of superoxide radicals [50].

3.9. Histopathological examination

The purpose of this examination is to explore the cardio protection effect of different formulae on the tissue’s organization. Photographs of stained histological samples of normal, CdCl2 treated, Carvid® and BLSP rats’ hearts are established in Figure 5.

Normal rats’ heart section Figure 5(a) discovered no histological change in the structure of the myocardial cells. Untreated CdCl2 poisoned rat heart section (Figure 5 b&c) showed a focal fatty change in some myocardial cells allied with blood vascular spaces lined by injured endothelium in the myocardium. Also, there was edema with inflammatory cells in the pericardium. Post-treatment with Carvid® after CdCl2 induction Figure 5 (d) showed mild dilatation in the myocardial blood capillaries. Finally, Post-treatment with BLSP after CdCl2 initiation Figure 5(e) showed Focal few inflammatory cells in the myocardium linked with mild dilatation in the myocardial blood vessels. This indicates potential BLSP as an inventive cardioprotective treatment.

Conclusion

The optimized bilosomes formula BL9 was constructed with a focus on surface response analysis. The use of high lipid concentration has a significant effect in reducing particle size, optimizing entrapment, and great enhancement in the buccal permeability. These findings
were like CLSM images which show deeper penetration of bilosomes through sheep buccal mucosa relative to rhodamine B solution. Also, a bilosomes loaded sponge was successfully prepared using a 2% W/V CMC/HPC polymer blend with satisfactory characteristics and respectable residence time that allow enough contact time for penetration. In vivo study revealed significant enhancement in SBP, DBP, oxidative stress biomarkers, cardiac markers, and lipid profile. Histopathological studies showed the superiority of BL9 sponge in the protection of heart tissues over Carvid®. Accordingly, the data collected recommended that bilosomes based sponge give a talented improvement in the buccal delivery of CRV with better efficacy in the protection of heart tissue over the current product (Carvid®).
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**Tables**

Table (1): The composition of the prepared CRV bilosomes (BLs) formulae*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula code</th>
<th>Lipid conc. (%</th>
<th>Soya bean phosphatidylcholine conc. (%w/w of lipid)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L6</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L7</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L9</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Each formula contains 62.5 mg CRV, Diacetyl phosphate 5% w/w of total lipid, and 25 mg Sodium deoxycholate.
Table (2): Factorial design $3^2$ for CRV loaded bilosomes (BLs) with their measured responses and their required constraints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1: Lipid concentration (%)</td>
<td>1% 2% 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2: Cholesterol concentration (w/w of lipid)</td>
<td>0% 10% 30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
<th>Required constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1:EE (%)</td>
<td>Maximize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2:PS (nm)</td>
<td>Minimize</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3:Q24h (%)</td>
<td>Maximize</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (3): entrapment efficiency (E.E) drug loading (DL), particle size (P.S.), polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential (Z.P.) of different CRV-loaded bilosomes (BLS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula code</th>
<th>E.E* (%)</th>
<th>DL* (nm)</th>
<th>P.S* (nm)</th>
<th>PDI*</th>
<th>Z.P* (mv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL1</td>
<td>51.03±0.48</td>
<td>1.86±0.04</td>
<td>271.2±5.80</td>
<td>0.41±0.005</td>
<td>-28.54±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL2</td>
<td>59.81±1.43</td>
<td>2.15±0.04</td>
<td>311.5±4.3</td>
<td>0.54±0.003</td>
<td>-26.11±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL3</td>
<td>77.05±0.9</td>
<td>2.26±0.03</td>
<td>252.8±5.09</td>
<td>0.67±0.004</td>
<td>-33.57±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL4</td>
<td>78.81±1.53</td>
<td>1.1±0.00</td>
<td>241.3±5.80</td>
<td>0.36±0.015</td>
<td>-34.81±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL5</td>
<td>82.8±2.75</td>
<td>1.12±0.04</td>
<td>251.4±3.40</td>
<td>0.37±0.006</td>
<td>-34.7±2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6</td>
<td>85.72±1.35</td>
<td>1.15±0.02</td>
<td>235.1±5.10</td>
<td>0.21±0.004</td>
<td>-38.11±1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL7</td>
<td>79.57±1.29</td>
<td>0.73±0.01</td>
<td>229.5±6.00</td>
<td>0.43±0.003</td>
<td>-37.55±1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL8</td>
<td>82.4±1.76</td>
<td>0.75±0.01</td>
<td>211.3±7.1</td>
<td>0.43±0.002</td>
<td>-43.3±1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL9</td>
<td>87.13±0.5</td>
<td>0.78±0.02</td>
<td>217.2±2</td>
<td>0.18±0.002</td>
<td>-46.1±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are expressed as the mean ± SD, n=3.
**Table (4): Ex vivo permeation parameters of different carvedilol-loaded bilosomes (CRV/BLS) versus carvedilol suspension (control)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula code</th>
<th>The total amount of drug permeated* (µg/cm²)</th>
<th>Flux at 24 h* (J max) (µg/cm²/h)</th>
<th>Enhancement Ratio* (ER)</th>
<th>Permeability Coefficient* (Cp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL1</td>
<td>297±5.5</td>
<td>12.37±0.33</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.0036±0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL2</td>
<td>324.8±3.2</td>
<td>13.53±0.18</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.0043±0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL3</td>
<td>375±2</td>
<td>15.62±0.11</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>0.0060±0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL4</td>
<td>403.97±3.55</td>
<td>16.83±0.21</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.0046±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL5</td>
<td>412.3±3.20</td>
<td>17.04±0.21</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.0063±0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL6</td>
<td>422.33±3.21</td>
<td>19.67±3.10</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.0069±0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL7</td>
<td>455±3.5</td>
<td>18.96±0.21</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.0069±0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL8</td>
<td>506.33±5.31</td>
<td>21.11±0.31</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0070±0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL9</td>
<td>548.43±6.98</td>
<td>22.82±0.41</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>0.0017±0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvedilol suspension</td>
<td>188±1.9</td>
<td>7.83±0.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0017±0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data presented are mean ± SD, n = 3. Statistically significant difference at P < 0.05 from control.
Table (5): Effect of storage on the physical properties of the optimized CRV bilosomal formulation (BL9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Freshly prepared bilosomal formulation</th>
<th>After 3 months of storage at refrigerator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EE (%)</td>
<td>87.13 ± 0.5</td>
<td>84.5±1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (nm)</td>
<td>217.2±2</td>
<td>229.4±2.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (6): In vitro characterization of BL9 loaded sponge:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter*</th>
<th>BL9 loaded sponge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug content (%)</td>
<td>97.75±0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5±0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disintegration (min)</td>
<td>232.5±3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time(min)</td>
<td>170±2.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data presented are mean ± SD, n =3
Figures

Figure 1

3D Surface graph showing the Effect of different formulation variables on PS of bilosomes (a), 3D surface graph showing the effect of different formulation variables on EE of bilosomes (b).
Figure 2

Release profile of different bilosome formulae & CRV Suspension (a), 3D surface plot Effect of different variables on % CRV released from bilosomes formulae (b), Permeation profiles of the prepared bilosomes formulae & drug suspension (250 mL phosphate buffer pH 6.8, 37°C and 50 rpm) (c), Release profile of BL9 loaded sponge and CRV sponge (d).
Figure 3

Confocal images of cross-sections of buccal mucosa after application of (a) rhodamine solution and (b) rhodamine-loaded bilosome (BL9).
Figure 4

Transmission electron micrograph of the optimum bilosomes formula (BL9) (a). SEM micrographs of BL9 loaded sponge (b).
Figure 5

Photomicrographs of H & E stained histological sections of normal rats' heart section (a), CdCl₂ intoxicated rats' heart section (b & c), Carvid® group rats' heart section (d), BL9 sponge treated rats' heart section (e).
Figure 6

DSC thermograms of pure carvidelol (a), SPC (b), CH (c), SDC(d) and lyophilized optimized bilosomes BL9 formula (e).
Figure 7

Swelling of BL9 bilosomes sponge and CRV sponge

Figure 8

Porosity of BL9 bilosome sponge and CRV sponge
Figure 9

DSC thermograms of pure carvidelol (a), CMC (b), HPC (c), physical mixture (d) and lyophilized bilosomes sponge (e).
Figure 10

Effect of cadmium exposure and carvedilol bilosomes loaded sponge on body weight (Ai, Aii) (the columns not sharing the same subscript letters were significantly different at p< 0.05).
Figure 11

Effect of cadmium exposure and carvedilol bilosomes loaded sponge on blood pressure (Bi, Bii) (the columns not sharing the same subscript letters were significantly different at $p < 0.05$).
Figure 12

Effect of cadmium exposure and carvedilol bilosomes loaded sponge on Cardiac markers (LDH & CK) (ci, cii) (the columns not sharing the same subscript letters were significantly different at p< 0.05).
Figure 13

Effect of cadmium exposure and carvedilol and carvedilol bilosomes loaded sponge on serum lipid profile (Di, Dii, Diii, Div) (the columns not sharing the same subscript letters were significantly different at p< 0.05).
Figure 14

Effect of cadmium exposure and carvedilol and carvedilol bilosomes loaded sponge on oxidative stress markers in heart (LPO, ROS, NO& TAC) (Ei, Eii, Eiii, Eiv) (the columns not sharing the same subscript letters were significantly different at p< 0.05).