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Abstract

In this paper, new salinity and temperature sensor according to deformed one-dimensional photonic structure is proposed. The structure is constructed by alternating the couple of layers Air/Fused-Silica P-times. In the middle of the structure, a cavity containing the seawater is inserted to measure its salinity and temperature. The Transfer Matrix Method (TMM) is used to simulate the wave-transmittance spectra. It is showed that, the quality factor (Q-factor) of the resonance peaks depends to the repetitive number (P) of layers. After that, the thickness of the layers is deformed by changing the deformation degree (h). The parameters P and h are optimized to get the maximal Q-factor with the minimal number of layers and structure’s thickness. The best sensitivity $S_s$ of the proposed salinity sensor is 558.82 nm/RFIU with a detection limit of 0.0034 RFIU. In addition, the best sensitivity $S_T$ of the designed temperature sensor is 600 nm/RFIU with a detection limit of 0.0005 RFIU.
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1. Introduction

The electronic sensing devices in industrial and biological fields become a necessity nowadays. They had evolved steadily since the invention of electricity, the development of the control circuits as well the electronic chips. The health of living organisms such as human, plants and animals depends on the quality of water (like the non-existence of bacteria and the low level of mineral salts) [1]. Consequently, the necessity to develop an accurate sensing devices are requested for salinity detection [1]. Until now, these electronic devices are sensitive to the surrounding factors like electromagnetic field, heat and humidity. In addition, their accuracy is affected by the called "Joule effect" [2], which is known by the raise of the electronic devices temperature due to the flow of electrons inside them. In addition, the portable ones of these devices have a high disposable batteries consumption, which in turn is considered a source of pollution to the environment.

Photonic crystals also known photonic band gap materials are made by alternating two or more different materials. They represent the optical analogy to a crystal lattice, where atoms or molecules are periodically arranged and the periodic potential introduces gaps into the energy band structure of the crystal [3-8]. There are three different families of photonic structure, according to the direction of materials alternation, namely one-dimensional (1D), two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) structures. In addition, the alternation of materials can be periodic or quasi-periodic (where the alternation of materials follows mathematical sequences). The emergence of these photonic structures [3-8] permits to discard several problems of the olds electronic devices such as the called Joule effect [2]. These structures represent a serious opportunity for researchers to study and improve their properties to be suitable in sensing applications. The photonic sensing devices are known by their accurate and precise response [9-11] also they have less energy consumption with rapid response because photons are faster than electrons (photons displaces with the speed \(3 \times 10^8 \text{m/s}\)) [9].

Some of the previous researches were interested in studying photonic structures for sensing application. For example, the papers of D. Vigneswarana et al. [1] and Sameeha R. Qutb et al. [26] studied the photonic structures to measure salinity and temperature of water. The research of Harikesavan Thenmozhi et al. [12] presents optical glucose sensor. Furthermore, the paper of Francis Segovia-Chaves et al. [13] studied temperature and pressure sensors. Ida Pavlichenko et al. [14] proposed photonic crystal as temperature and humidity sensors. In addition, Arafa H Aly
et al. [15] studied hemoglobin sensor and N. R. Ramanujam et al. [16] used these optical devices for early detection of several types of cancer cells.

2. Problem formulation

2.1. Photonic structure Design

To simulate the wave transmittance through a photonic structure contains a seawater layer as defect; the TMM (introduced by Yeh and Yariv [17]) is deployed. The sensitivity of the optical properties to salinity and temperature variations of seawater is studied. The studied photonic structure is constructed by alternating the Air (A) and the Fused Silica (F) as two elementary layers, and at the middle of the structure we find a cavity containing the seawater (S), which we want to measure, its salinity and temperature (see figure 1). The refractive index of Air is $n_A = 1$ and the refractive index of the fused silica ($n_F$) as function of wavelength and temperature is determined, via the Sellmier’s equation [1, 22]:

$$n_F^2(\lambda, T) = (1.31552 + 6.90754 \times 10^{-6}T) + \frac{(0.788404 + 23.5835 \times 10^{-6}T)\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - (0.0110199 + 0.584758 \times 10^{-6}T)} \frac{(0.91316 + 0.548368 \times 10^{-6}T)\lambda^2}{\lambda^2 - 100}$$

Here $\lambda$ and $T$ represent the free space wavelength ($\mu m$) and the temperature degree ($^\circ C$) respectively. The thicknesses $d_{A,F}$ of the Air and Fused silica layers, fulfill the Bragg condition $n_A \times d_A = n_F \times d_F = \frac{\lambda_0}{4}$, where $\lambda_0 = 1.573\mu m$ is the reference wavelength of the structure. The refractive index $n_S$ of the seawater cavity defect depends on the probing wavelength $\lambda$ (nm), the salinity percentage $S$ (%) and the temperature degree $T$ ($^\circ C$) [1, 23, and 24]:

$$n_S(S, T, \lambda) = 1.3140 + (1.779 \times 10^{-4} - 1.05 \times 10^{-6}T + 1.6 \times 10^{-8}T^2)S - 2.02 \times 10^{-6}T^2 + \frac{15.868 + 0.01155S - 0.00423T}{\lambda} \frac{- 4382 + 1.1455 \times 10^{-6}}{\lambda^2} + \frac{1.1455 \times 10^{-6}}{\lambda^3}$$

$n_S$ is represented in refractive index units (RFIU). The thicknesses $d_S$ of the seawater cavity obey to the condition $n_S \times d_S = \lambda_0$. 
Fig. 1. Schematic representation showing periodic photonic crystal with middle cavity containing the seawater, where F is the fused silica layer, A is the air layer and S is the seawater layer.

2.2. Quality Factor of resonance peak

The quality factor (Q-factor) of a resonance peak given by a defect through a multilayer photonic structure is a measurement (without unit) that determine the central spectral position of the resonator relative to its wavelengths-bandwidth [18-21].

$$Q = \frac{\lambda_{pic}}{\Delta \lambda}$$ (3)

Where $\lambda_{pic}$ is the central-wavelength of the resonance-peak and $\Delta \lambda$ represents his Full Width at Half Maximum (FWHM) [18-21].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimization of Q-factor and the intensity of the transmittance resonance peak

In this part we study the effect of the structure layers number ($P$ vary from 8 to 50) on the Q-factor then for the next parts we will keep the $P$-value which gives the best Q-factor. Here the salinity of the seawater is fixed at 50% and the temperature at $T = 25^\circ C$ (room temperature).

From Fig. 2, we remark the presence of two transmittance peaks the first one located at 1.477 $\mu$m and the second one at 1.774 $\mu$m. The FWHM of the first transmittance peak is $9.62 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mu$m and the FWHM of the second one is $6.07 \times 10^{-3}$ $\mu$m. So the quality factor of the first and second peaks are $Q_1 = 1534$ and $Q_2 = 292$ respectively. Also when we change the layer number of the structure ($P$), the first peak keep the best quality factor, therefore for the rest of the study we will concentrate on the first peak to study its sensibility to the salinity and the temperature.
Table 1 and Fig. 3 show the variation of the structure thickness, the transmittance peak intensity and the Q-factor as function of the layer number $P$. Here it is clear that the peak intensity still upper 0.8 for $P$ varying from 8 to 56 layers, but from 62 layers, the transmittance peak disappear. In addition, the quality factor $Q$ has become more important from $P=50$ layers and the best $Q$ value is obtained with this number ($P=50$). Therefore, for the rest of the study we will keep the structure layers number ($P$) fixed at 50 layers.

From the Table 1, it is clear that the transmittance peak intensity takes the value 0.8111 for $P=50$ layers, so in the next step we will try to improve the maximum value of the transmittance peak intensity, by applying a deformation in the structure layers thickness. The initial optical thickness of fused silica (F) and air (A) layers is $X_{0j} = n_A * d_A = n_F * d_F = \frac{\lambda_0}{4}$ and the initial optical thickness of seawater (S) cavity is $Y_{0j} = n_s * d_s = \lambda_0$. Where $j$ defines the $j^{th}$ layer-position in the photonic structure. By applying the deformation law, the optical thickness of layers varies according to the deformation degree ($h$) and the layer position ($j^{th}$). Therefore for $j \geq 1$, the new optical thickness of fused silica (F), air (A) and seawater (S) layers after deformation takes the forms $X_{0j}' = X_{0j} * [j^{h+1} - (j - 1)^{h+1}]$ and $Y_{0j}' = Y_{0j} * [j^{h+1} - (j - 1)^{h+1}]$ respectively [5, 25].

Fig.4 and Fig.5 illustrate the variation of the transmittance peak intensity and the Q-factor as function of the deformation degree ($h$). It is clear that by increasing $h$ from 0 to 0.03, the best intensity and Q-factor are found for $h = 0.01$ (The values of the transmittance peak intensity and the Q-factor are 0.976 and 15060 respectively). Also with the rising of the deformation degree ($h$), we can remark the shift of the photonic band gap (PBG), and transmittance peak toward the high wavelengths. This physical phenomenon is due to the increase of the structure’s geometric thickness ($d$) from 17.846 μm to 20.13 μm when $h$ varying from 0 to 0.003. Therefore, for the rest of this study we will keep a deformed structure with $h = 0.01$. 

Fig. 2. Transmittance spectrum for periodic photonic structure with 36 alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater have 50% salinity and at room temperature.

**Table 1:** Variation of the peak intensity, peak wavelength, peak FWHM and the Q-factor for different values of P.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Layers (P)</th>
<th>Structure thickness (μm)</th>
<th>Transmittance Peak Intensity</th>
<th>Peak wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>FWHM (μm)</th>
<th>Quality Factor (Q)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.966</td>
<td>0.9935</td>
<td>1.4492</td>
<td>0.1298</td>
<td>11.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.8463</td>
<td>0.9350</td>
<td>1.4752</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
<td>38.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.9662</td>
<td>0.9941</td>
<td>1.4733</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
<td>119.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.8463</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
<td>1.4838</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>329.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>11.966</td>
<td>0.9933</td>
<td>1.47766</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>820.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>13.846</td>
<td>0.9239</td>
<td>1.4850</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>2121.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>15.966</td>
<td>0.9547</td>
<td>1.4771</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>4923.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>17.846</td>
<td>0.8111</td>
<td>1.4852</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>14852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>19.966</td>
<td>0.9000</td>
<td>1.4772</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>14772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>21.846</td>
<td>0.0250</td>
<td>1.4852</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>23.966</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>1.4772</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Variation of the transmittance peak intensity and the quality factor as function of the layer number (P) of photonic structure with P-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater of 50% salinity and at room temperature.

Fig. 4. Variation of the transmittance peak intensity as function of the deformation degree (h) for photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater of 50% salinity and at room temperature.
3.2. Salinity Sensing

In this part we study of the variation of seawater salinity at room temperature (T = 25°C) and when the number of layer $P$ and the deformation degree $h$ are fixed at 50 and 0.01 respectively.

The sensitivity to the salinity of seawater can be defined as $S_s = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{peak}}{\Delta n}$, where $\Delta \lambda_{peak}$ is the wavelength shift of the resonance and $\Delta n$ is the refractive index variation [1].

Table 2 reveals the seawater salt level, its refractive index units (RFIU), the resonance peak wavelength ($\lambda_{peak}$), the sensitivity to the salinity ($S_s$) and the refractive index difference $\Delta n$. In addition, Fig.6 and Fig.7 (a) show that the resonance peak position shift toward the right wavelengths when the salt level increase with an equidistance between all peaks. It is found that the wavelength shift is equal to 9.2 nm when the salt levels changes from 0 to 100% (see Fig.7 (b)). Furthermore, from Table 2, it is found that the best sensitivity $S_s$ of the proposed Salinity sensor is 558.82 nm/RFIU at 20% salinity with a detection limit (DL) of 0.0034 RFIU.

![Graph showing variation of Q-factor as function of deformation degree](image)

**Table 2:** Variation of the RFIU, $\lambda_{peak}$ and $S_s$ as function of salt level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt level (%)</th>
<th>(RFIU)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{peak}(nm)$</th>
<th>$S_s(nm/RIU)$</th>
<th>$\Delta n(RIU) * 10^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3211</td>
<td>1544.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3228</td>
<td>1545.2</td>
<td>529.41</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.3245</td>
<td>1546.2</td>
<td>558.82</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3262</td>
<td>1547.1</td>
<td>549.02</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.3279</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>544.12</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.3295</td>
<td>1549.8</td>
<td>547.62</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.3312</td>
<td>1548.9</td>
<td>544.55</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.3329</td>
<td>1550.7</td>
<td>542.37</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.3346</td>
<td>1551.7</td>
<td>548.15</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.3363</td>
<td>1552.6</td>
<td>546.05</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.3380</td>
<td>1553.5</td>
<td>544.38</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 6.** Optical transmittances as function of wavelength and salt level for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at room temperature.

**Fig. 7.** (a) Wavelength of Transmittance peak and (b) Peak wavelength shift as function of salt level for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at room temperature.
3.3. Temperature Sensing

In this part, we study the variation of the seawater temperature when the number of layer $P$, the deformation degree $h$ and the standard Salinity water are fixed at 50, 0.01 and 35% respectively. The sensitivity to the temperature of seawater is determined by the formula $S_T = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{peak}}{\Delta n}$, where $\Delta \lambda_{peak}$ is the wavelength shift of the resonance and $\Delta n$ is the refractive index variation [1].

Table 3 shows the seawater temperature, its refractive index units (RFIU), the resonance peak wavelength ($\lambda_{peak}$), the sensitivity to the temperature ($S_T$) and the refractive index variation $\Delta n$. In addition, Fig.8 and Fig.9 (a) show that the resonance peak position shift toward the lowest wavelengths when the seawater temperature rise. In contrast to the changes in the salinity degree, the changes in seawater temperature led to changes in peak resonance locations and the distance between them. We noticed an enlargement between peaks positions when increasing the temperature and it is physically explained by the variation of the refraction index of seawater, as function of temperature. This variation is parabolic on the other hand the variation of this index as a function of salinity degree is linear. From Fig.9 (b), it is clear that the wavelength shift is equal to 10.1 nm when the temperature changes from 0 to 100°C. In addition, from Table 3, we noticed that the best sensitivity $S_T$ of the proposed temperature sensor is 600 nm/RFIU when the temperature degree of the seawater is 10 °C with a DL of 0.0005 RFIU.

Table 3: Variation of the RFIU, $\lambda_{peak}$ and $S_T$ as function of temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Degree (°C)</th>
<th>(RFIU)</th>
<th>$\lambda_{Peak}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$S_T$ (nm/RIU)</th>
<th>$\Delta n$ (RIU) $\times 10^{-2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.3289</td>
<td>1548.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3284</td>
<td>1548.3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3275</td>
<td>1547.8</td>
<td>571.43</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3264</td>
<td>1547.2</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.3250</td>
<td>1546.4</td>
<td>564.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.3233</td>
<td>1545.5</td>
<td>553.57</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.3213</td>
<td>1544.4</td>
<td>552.63</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.3190</td>
<td>1543.1</td>
<td>555.56</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.3164</td>
<td>1541.7</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.3136</td>
<td>1540.2</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.3104</td>
<td>1538.5</td>
<td>545.95</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 8. Optical transmittances as function of wavelength and water temperature degree (°C) for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at 35% salt level.

Fig. 9. (a) Wavelength of Transmittance peak and (b) Peak wavelength shift as function of water temperature degree (°C) for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at 35% salt level.

4. Conclusion
The studied deformed photonic structure represented a real opportunity to use optical circuits as sensors for the salinity and temperature of the water. As the first step, the number of layers is optimized to achieve the best quality factor (Q-factor=14852) and after that we tried to deform the layers thickness by applying a mathematic formula. After that, the deformation degree is
optimized to be equal to $h = 0.01$ and the best transmittance peak intensity and Q-factor found are 0.976 and 15060 respectively. By changing the water salinity level from 10 to 100%, we studied the sensitivity of the photonic structure. The resonance-peak-position shift toward the highest wavelengths when the salt level increase with an equidistance between all peaks. It is found that the wavelength shift is equal to 9.2 nm when the salt level changes from 0 to 100%. The best sensitivity of the proposed salinity sensor is $S_s = 558.82$ at 20% salinity of seawater with a DL of 0.0034 RFIU. Finally, the temperature sensitivity is studied. The resonance-peak-position shift toward the lowest wavelengths when the seawater temperature rise and an enlargement between peaks positions is remarked. The wavelength shift is equal to 10.1 nm when the temperature degree changes from 0 to 100°C. The best sensitivity of the proposed temperature sensor is $S_T = 600$ when the temperature degree of the seawater is 10°C with a DL of 0.0005 RFIU.
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Figure 1

Schematic representation showing periodic photonic crystal with middle cavity containing the seawater, where F is the fused silica layer, A is the air layer and S is the seawater layer.
**Figure 2**

Transmittance spectrum for periodic photonic structure with 36 alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater have 50% salinity and at room temperature.

**Figure 3**
Variation of the transmittance peak intensity and the quality factor as function of the layer number (P) of photonic structure with P-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater of 50% salinity and at room temperature.

Figure 4

Variation of the transmittance peak intensity as function of the deformation degree (h) for photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater of 50% salinity and at room temperature.
Figure 5

Variation of Q-factor as function of the deformation degree (h) for photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater of 50% salinity and at room temperature.
Figure 6

Optical transmittances as function of wavelength and salt level for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at room temperature.

Figure 7

(a) Wavelength of Transmittance peak and (b) Peak wavelength shift as function of salt level for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at room temperature.
Figure 8

Optical transmittances as function of wavelength and water temperature degree (°) for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at 35% salt level.

Figure 9
(a) Wavelength of Transmittance peak and (b) Peak wavelength shift as function of water temperature degree (°C) for deformed photonic structure with 50-alternated layers of fused silica and air and with middle cavity filled by seawater at 35% salt level.