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Abstract

By developing a new technique called the bi-coupling argument, we estimate the relative entropy between different diffusion processes in terms of the distances of initial distributions and drift-diffusion coefficients. As an application, the log-Harnack inequality is established for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with multiplicative distribution dependent noise, which appears for the first time in the literature.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we introduce the bi-coupling argument to estimate the relative entropy between two diffusion processes. The relative entropy, also called the Kullback-Leibler divergence or the information divergence, is a physical quantity measuring the chaos of one distribution with respect to another. As an application, we establish the log-Harnack inequality for McKean-Vlasov SDEs with multiplicative distribution dependent noise, which is unknown so far.

As a member in the family of dimension-free Harnack inequalities (see [18, 19, 21]), the log-Harnack inequality bounds the entropy by the quadratic Wasserstein distance, hence can be regarded as an inverse of the Talagrand inequality [17]. The log-Harnack inequality has crucial applications in optimal transport, curvature on Riemennian manifolds or metric measure spaces,
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and exponential ergodicity in entropy, see for instance [1, 15, 19]. See [20] for more applications of this type inequalities.

Let \( T > 0 \), and let \( \Gamma \) be the space of \((a, b)\), where

\[
b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, \quad a : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d
\]

are measurable, and for any \((t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\), \(a(t, x)\) is positive definite. For any \((a, b) \in \Gamma\), consider the time dependent second order differential operators on \(\mathbb{R}^d\):

\[
L_{t}^{a, b} := \text{tr}\{a(t, \cdot)\nabla^2\} + b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Let \((a_i, b_i) \in \Gamma, i = 1, 2\), such that for any \(s \in [0, T)\), each \((L_{t}^{a_i, b_i})_{t \in [s, T]}\) generates a unique diffusion process \((X_{s,t}^{i,x})_{(t,x) \in [s,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d}\) with \(X_{s,s}^{i,x} = x\), and for any \(t \in (s, T]\), the distribution \(P_{s,t}^{i,x}\) of \(X_{s,t}^{i,x}\) has positive density function \(p_{s,t}^{i,x}\) with respect to the Lebesgue measure. When \(s = 0\), we simply denote

\[
X_{0,t}^{i,x} = X_{t}^{i,x}, \quad P_{0,t}^{i,x} = P_{t}^{i,x}.
\]

The associated Markov semigroup \((P_{s,t}^{i,x})_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}\) is given by

\[
P_{s,t}^{i,x} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s,t}^{i,x})], \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

If the initial value is random with distributions \(\nu \in \mathcal{P}\), where \(\mathcal{P}\) is the set of all probability measures on \(\mathbb{R}^d\), we denote the diffusion process by \(X_{t}^{i,\nu}\), which has distribution

\[
P_{t}^{i,\nu} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P_{t}^{i,x}\nu(dx), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t \in (0, T].
\]

Let \(p_{t}^{i,\nu}\) be the density function of \(P_{t}^{i,\nu}\) with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

We estimate the relative entropy

\[
\text{Ent}(P_{t}^{1,\nu_1} | P_{t}^{2,\nu_2}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \log \frac{dP_{t}^{1,\nu_1}}{dP_{t}^{2,\nu_2}} \right) dP_{t}^{1,\nu_1} = \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \log \frac{P_{t}^{1,\nu_1}}{P_{t}^{2,\nu_2}} \right)(X_{t}^{1,\nu_1}) \right], \quad t \in (0, T].
\]

Before moving on, let us recall a nice entropy inequality derived in [5]. For a \(d \times d\)-matrix valued function \(a = (a_{kl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq d}\), the divergence is an \(\mathbb{R}^d\)-valued function defined by

\[
\text{div}a := \left( \sum_{l=1}^{d} \partial a_{kl} \right)_{1 \leq k \leq d},
\]

where \(\partial_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\) for \(x = (x^t)_{1 \leq t \leq d} \in \mathbb{R}^d\). Let

\[
\Phi^{\nu}(s, y) := (a_1(s, y) - a_2(s, y)) \nabla \log p_{s}^{1,\nu}(y) + \text{div}(a_2(s, \cdot) - a_2(s, \cdot))(y)
\]

\[
+ b_2(s, y) - b_1(s, y), \quad s \in (0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \nu \in \mathcal{P},
\]

where \(\nabla\) is the gradient operator for weakly differentiable functions on \(\mathbb{R}^d\). In particular, \(\|\nabla f\|_{\infty}\) is the Lipschitz constant of \(f\).

By [5, Theorem 1.1], the entropy inequality

\[
(1.1) \quad \text{Ent}(P_{t}^{1,\nu} | P_{t}^{2,\nu}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( a_2(s, X_{s}^{1,\nu}) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi^{\nu}(s, X_{s}^{1,\nu}) \right)^2 \right] ds, \quad t \in (0, T]
\]

holds under the following assumption \((H)\).
(H) For each $i = 1, 2$, $b_i$ is locally bounded, and there exists a constant $K > 1$ such that
\[ \|a_i(t, x)\| \vee \|a_i(t, x)^{-1}\| \vee \|\nabla a_i(t, \cdot)(x)\| \leq K, \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d. \]

Moreover, at least one of the following conditions holds:

1. \[ \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{n_1 a_i(t_w, X_{t_w}^{i, \nu})}{1 + \|X_{t_w}^{i, \nu}\|^2} \right] dt < \infty; \]
2. there exist $1 \leq V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $V(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$, and a constant $K > 0$ such that
\[ L_{t, b}^V x \leq KV(x), \quad \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[ \frac{V(X_{t+w}^{i, \nu})}{V(X_t^{i, \nu})} \right] dt < \infty. \]

It is well known that $(H)$ implies the existence and uniqueness of the diffusion processes $(X_{t+i}^{i, \nu})_{i=1,2}$ for any $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, and the existence of the density functions $(p_{t+w}^{i, \nu})_{i=1,2}$, see for instance [4].

As observed in [5, Remark 1.4] that one may have
\[ \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[ |\nabla \log p_s^{1, \nu}|^2(X_s^{1, \nu}) \right] ds < \infty, \]
provided $\nu$ has finite information entropy, i.e. $\rho(x) := \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial x}$ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho |\log \rho|)(x) dx < \infty$. In this case, (1.1) provides a non-trivial upper bound for $\text{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu} | P_t^{2, \nu})$.

However, for a fixed initial value $x$, i.e. $\nu = \delta_x$, $\mathbb{E}[|\nabla \log p_s^{1, x}|^2(X_s^{1, x})]$ behaves as $\varepsilon$ for some constant $c > 0$ and small $s > 0$, so that
\[ \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[|\nabla \log p_s^{1, x}|^2(X_s^{1, x})] ds = \infty, \quad t > 0. \]

Consequently, the estimate (1.1) becomes invalid when
\[ \inf_{(s, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|a_1(s, x) - a_2(s, x)\| > 0. \]

To kill the singularity in (1.1) for small $t > 0$, we introduce a new technique by constructing an interpolation diffusion process which is coupled with each of the given two diffusion processes respectively, so we call it the bi-coupling argument.

### 1.1 Entropy estimates for diffusion processes

We make the following assumption $(A_1)$ and $(A_2)$ where $b_i$ may have a Dini continuous term with respect to a Dini function in the class
\[ \mathcal{D} := \left\{ \varphi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \text{ is increasing and concave}, \varphi(0) = 0, \int_0^1 \varphi(s) ds < \infty \right\}. \]

For $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $t > 0$ and a function $f$ on $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, let
\[ \|f\|_{t, \infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(t, x)|, \quad \|f\|_{r \to t, \infty} := \sup_{s \in [r, t]} \|f\|_{s, \infty}, \quad r \in [0, t], \]
\[ \|f\|_{0 \to T, \varphi} := \sup_{t \in [0, T], x \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( |f(t, x)| + \frac{|f(t, x) - f(t, y)|}{\varphi(|x - y|)} \right). \]
(A1) For each i = 1, 2, \( b_i = b_i^{(0)} + b_i^{(1)} \) is locally bounded, and there exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that
\[
\|b_i^{(0)}\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|\nabla b_i^{(1)}\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|a_i\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|a_i^{-1}\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|\nabla a_i\|_{0 \to T, \infty} \leq K.
\]

(A2) There exist \( i \in \{1, 2\} \) and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \) such that \( \|b_i^{(0)}\|_{T, \varphi} \leq K \).

For any \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P} \), let \( \mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2) \) be the set of all couplings of \( \nu_1 \) and \( \nu_2 \). Consider the quadratic Wasserstein distance
\[
\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \inf_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y|^2 \pi(dx, dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
In the following, \( c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) \) stands for a constant depending only on \( K, T, d \) and \( \varphi \).

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume (A1) and (A2). Then the following assertions hold for some constants \( c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0 \) and \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon(K, T, d, \varphi) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \).

1. For any \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( t \in (0, T] \),
\[
\text{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) \leq \frac{c \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2}{t} + \frac{c}{t} \int_0^t \left\{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 \right\} ds + c \left[ \log(1 + t^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t \to \infty}^2 + \int_{t}^{2} \|\text{div} (a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 ds \right].
\]
(1.3)

2. If there exists a constant \( C(K) > 0 \) such that \( \|b_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty} \leq C(K) \), then
\[
\text{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) \leq \frac{c}{t} \left( \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t \left\{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 \right\} ds \right) + c \left( \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \int_{t}^{2} \|\text{div} (a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 ds \right), \quad \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}, t \in (0, T].
\]
(1.4)

3. If there exists a constant \( C(K) > 0 \) such that
\[
\|\nabla^i b_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|\nabla^i a_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty} \leq C(K), \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
then for any \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( t \in (0, T] \),
\[
\text{Ent}(P_t^{1, \nu_1} | P_t^{2, \nu_2}) \leq \frac{c}{t} \left[ \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s, \infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 \right) ds \right] + \int_{t}^{2} \|\text{div} (a_1 - a_2)\|_{s, \infty}^2 ds.
\]
(1.6)
1.2 Log-Harnack inequality for DDSDEs

Let \( \mathcal{P}_2 := \{ \nu \in \mathcal{P} : \nu(\cdot, \cdot) < \infty \} \), which is a Polish space under \( \mathbb{W}_2 \). Consider the following distribution dependent SDE on \( \mathbb{R}^d \):

\[
(1.7) \quad dX_t = b(t, X_t, t, \mathcal{L}_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, t, \mathcal{L}_t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T],
\]

where \( \mathcal{L}_t \) is the distribution of \( X_t \),

\[
b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \sigma : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d
\]

are measurable, and \( W_t \) is a \( d \)-dimensional Brownian motion on a complete filtration probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}; \mathbb{P})\). When this SDE is well-posed for distributions in \( \mathcal{P}_2 \), i.e. for any initial value \( X_0 \) with \( \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2 \) (correspondingly, any initial distribution \( \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2 \)), the SDE has a unique solution (correspondingly, a unique weak solution) with \( \mathcal{L}_{X_t} \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2) \), the space of all continuous maps from \([0, T]\) to \( \mathcal{P}_2 \) under the weak topology. In this case, let \( P_t^* \nu = \mathcal{L}_{X_t} \), for the solution with \( \mathcal{L}_{X_0} = \nu \), and define

\[
P_tf(\nu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\nu) dP_t, \quad \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2, t \in [0, T], f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

We investigate the log-Harnack inequality

\[
(1.8) \quad P_t \log f(\nu_1) \leq \log P_t f(\nu_2) + \frac{c}{t} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu)^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d), t \in (0, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

where \( c > 0 \) is a constant, and \( \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d) \) is the set of all positive functions in \( \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d) \). By the definition of \( \text{Ent} \) and Young’s inequality [2, Lemma 2.4], (1.8) is equivalent to the entropy-cost inequality

\[
\text{Ent}(P_t^* \nu | P_t^* \mu) \leq \frac{c}{t} \mathcal{W}_2(\mu, \nu)^2, \quad t \in (0, T], \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

When the noise is distribution free, i.e. \( \sigma(t, x, \mu) = \sigma(t, x) \) does not depend on the distribution argument \( \mu \), (1.8) has been established in [8, 10, 15, 22, 24] under different conditions, see also [6, 7, 23] for extensions to the infinite-dimensional and reflecting models.

However, if the noise coefficient is also distribution dependent, the coupling by change of measures applied in the above references does not apply. Recently, for \( \sigma(t, x, \mu) = \sigma(t, \mu) \) independent of the spatial variable \( x \), (1.8) has been established in [11] by using a noise decomposition argument, see also [3] for the study on a special model.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we are able to establish (1.8) for (1.7) with distribution dependent multiplicative noise. For any \( \mu \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{P}_2) \), let

\[
a^\mu(t, x) := \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \sigma^*)(t, x, \mu_t), \quad b^\mu(t, x) := b(t, x, \mu_t), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Correspondingly to \((A_1)\) and \((A_2)\), we make the following assumption.

\((B)\) There exists a constant \( K > 0 \) such that \( a^\mu \) and \( b^\mu = b^{\mu,0} + b^{\mu,1} \) satisfy the following conditions.
Theorem 1.2. Assume (2.2) \( d \) holds. To estimate \( \text{Ent}(\cdot) \), let
\[
X_t = b(t, X_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t)dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2.
\]
For any \( s \in [0, T) \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), let \( X_{s,t}^{i,x} \) be the unique solution for \( t \in [s, T] \) with \( X_{s,s}^{i,x} = x \). Then \( (X_{s,t}^{i,x})_{(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \) is the diffusion process generated by \( (L_t^{a_i,b_i})_{i\in[1,2]} \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

For fixed \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \), let \( X_t^{i,x} \) solve (2.1) for \( X_t^{i,x} = x_i \) and \( \sigma_i := \sqrt{2a_i}, i = 1, 2 \). We have
\[
P_t^{i,x} := \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{i,x}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad t \in (0, T].
\]
To estimate \( \text{Ent}(P_t^{1,x_1} | P_t^{2,x_2}) \) for some \( t_1 \in (0, T) \), we choose \( t_0 \in (0, 1/2 t_1] \) and construct a bridge diffusion process \( X_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}} \) starting at \( x_1 \) which is generated by \( L_t^{a_1,b_1} \) for \( t \in [0, t_0] \) and \( L_t^{a_2,b_2} \) for \( t \in (t_0, t_1] \). More precisely, let
\[
b^{(t_0)}(t, \cdot) := 1_{[0,t_0]}(t)b_1(t, \cdot) + 1_{(t_0,t_1]}(t)b_2(t, \cdot),
\]
\[
\sigma^{(t_0)}(t, \cdot) := 1_{[0,t_0]}(t)\sigma_1(t, \cdot) + 1_{(t_0,t_1]}(t)\sigma_2(t, \cdot), \quad t \in [0, t_1].
\]
We consider the interpolation SDE
\[
dX_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}} = b^{(t_0)}(t, X_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}})dt + \sigma^{(t_0)}(t, X_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}})dW_t, \quad X_0^{x_1} = x_1, \quad t \in [0, t_1].
\]
Let \( P_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}} := \mathcal{L}_{X_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}}} \). We will deduce from (1.1) a finite upper bound for \( \text{Ent}(P_t^{1,x_1} | P_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}}) \), where the singularity at \( t = 0 \) disappears since the distance of diffusion coefficients vanishes for \( t \in [0, t_0] \). Moreover, we will estimate the moment for the density of \( P_t^{(t_0)_{x_1}} \) with respect to \( P_{t_1}^{2,x_2} \), so that by the following Lemma 2.1, we derive the desired upper bound on \( \text{Ent}(P_t^{1,x_1} | P_t^{2,x_2}) \).
Lemma 2.1. Let \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) and \( \mu \) be probability measures on a measurable space \( (E, \mathcal{B}) \). Then for any \( p > 1 \),

\[
\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) \leq p \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) + (p-1) \log \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2,
\]

where the right hand side is set to be infinite if \( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_1} \) or \( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \) does not exist.

Proof. It suffices to prove for the case that \( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_1} \) and \( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \) exist such that the upper bound is finite. In this case, we have

\[
\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) - \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) = \int_E \left\{ \log \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2} - \log \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu} \right\} d\mu_1
\]

\[
= \int_E \left\{ \log \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right\} d\mu_1 = \frac{p-1}{p} \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2.
\]

Combining with the Young inequality [2, Lemma 2.4], we obtain

\[
\text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) - \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu) \leq \frac{p-1}{p} \text{Ent}(\mu_1|\mu_2) + \frac{p-1}{p} \log \int_E \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\mu_2} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} d\mu_2.
\]

By Lemma 2.1, for any \( p > 1 \) we have

\[
(2.3) \quad \text{Ent}(P^{1,x_1}_{t_1}|P^{2,x_2}_{t_1}) \leq p \text{Ent}(P^{1,x_1}_{t_1}|P^{(t_0)x_1}_{t_1}) + (p-1) \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{dP^{(t_0)x_1}_{t_1}}{dP^{2,x_2}_{t_1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dP^{2,x_2}_{t_1}.
\]

Noting that \( a(t, \cdot) - a_1(t, \cdot) = 0 \) for \( t \in [0, t_0] \), we may apply (1.1) to derive a non-trivial upper bound on the first term in the right hand side of (2.3), see Proposition 3.1 for details. So, in the following, we only estimate the second term.

Proposition 2.2. Assume \((A_1)\) and \((A_2)\). Then there exist constants \( p = p(K,T,d) > 1, \varepsilon = \varepsilon(K,T,d) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \) and \( c = c(K,T,d) > 0 \), such that for any \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d, t_1 \in (0, T] \) and \( t_0 = \varepsilon t_1 \),

\[
\log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{dP^{(t_0)x_1}_{t_1}}{dP^{2,x_2}_{t_1}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dP^{2,x_2}_{t_1} \leq \frac{c}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \|a_1 - a_2\|^2_{1,\infty} + \|b_1 - b_2\|^2_{1,\infty} \right) dt.
\]

Proof. (a) Let

\[
P^{(t_0)}_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X^{(t_0)x}_t)], \quad P^{(2)}_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X^{2,x}_t)], \quad f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

By first taking \( f := n \wedge \left( \frac{dP^{(t_0)x_1}_{t_1}}{dP^{2,x_2}_{t_1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \) then letting \( n \to \infty \), we see that the desired estimate follows from

\[
(2.4) \quad \left| P^{(t_0)}_t f(x_1) \right|^p \leq \left( P^{(2)}_t \left| f \right|^p \right)(x_2)
\]

\[
\times \exp \left[ \frac{c(p-1)}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \|a_1 - a_2\|^2_{1,\infty} + \|b_1 - b_2\|^2_{1,\infty} \right) dt \right], \quad f \in B_b(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]
Let \((P_{s,t}^{(2)})_{0 \leq s \leq t \leq T}\) be the semigroup generated by \(L_t^{a_1,b_1}\), i.e.

\[
P_{s,t}^{(2)} f(x) := \mathbb{E}[f(X_{s,t}^{2,x})], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),
\]

where \((X_{s,t}^{2,x})_{t \in [s,T]}\) solves

\[
dX_{s,t}^{2,x} = b_2(t, X_{s,t}^{2,x})dt + \sigma_2(t, X_{s,t}^{2,x})dW_t, \quad X_{s,s}^{2,x} = x, \quad t \in [s,T].
\]

By the Markov property and the SDE (2.2), we obtain

\[
P_{t_1}^{(t_0)} f(x_1) = \mathbb{E}[(P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f)(X_{t_0}^{1,x_1})], \quad P_{t_1}^{(2)} f(x_2) = \mathbb{E}[(P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f)(X_{t_0}^{2,x_2})].
\]

By [14, Theorem 2.2] which applies to a more general setting where \(b_2^{(0)}\) only satisfies a local integrability condition, there exists constants \(p_1 = p_1(K,T,d) > 0\) and \(c_1 = c_1(K,T,d) > 0\) such that

\[
|P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f(x)|^{p_1} \leq (P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} |f|^{p_1}(y)) e^{\frac{c_1|x-y|^2}{t_1}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Combining this with (2.5) and Jensen’s inequality, for \(p := 2p_1\) we obtain

\[
|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)} f(x_1)|^p = \mathbb{E}[|P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f(X_{t_0}^{1,x_1})|^{2p_1}] \leq \bigg( \mathbb{E}[|P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f|^{p_1}(X_{t_0}^{1,x_1})] \bigg)^2 \exp \left( \frac{2c_1|x_{t_0}^{1,x_1} - x_{t_0}^{2,x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \bigg( \mathbb{E}[|P_{t_0,t_1}^{(2)} f|^{2p_1}(X_{t_0}^{2,x_2})] \bigg) \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2c_1|x_{t_0}^{1,x_1} - x_{t_0}^{2,x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right) \right] \leq (P_{t_1}^{(2)} |f|^{p_1}(x_2)) \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{2c_1|x_{t_0}^{1,x_1} - x_{t_0}^{2,x_2}|^2}{t_1} \right) \right].
\]

Thus, to prove (2.4), it remains to estimate the expectation term in the upper bound.

(b) Since the exponential term is symmetric in \((X_{t_0}^{1,x_1}, X_{t_0}^{2,x_2})\), without loss of generality, in \((A_2)\) we may and do assume that \(|b_1^{(0)}|_{0 \rightarrow T, \varphi} \leq K\). We shall use Zvonkin’s transform to kill this non-Lipschitz term. By [27, Theorem 2.1], for fixed \(p, q \in (2, \infty)\) with \(\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1\), there exist constants \(c_1 = c_1(K,T,d,p,q) > 0\) and \(\beta = \beta(p,q) \in (0,1)\) such that for any \(\lambda > 0\), the PDE

\[
(\partial_t + L_t^{a_1,b_1} - \lambda)u_t = -b_1^{(0)}(t, \cdot), \quad t \in [0,T], u_T = 0
\]

has a unique solution satisfying

\[
\lambda^\beta \left( \|u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} + \|\nabla u\|_{0 \rightarrow T, \infty} \right) + \|\partial_t u\|_{\tilde{L}_q} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{\tilde{L}_q} \leq c_1,
\]

where

\[
\|f\|_{\tilde{L}_q} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^T \|1_{B(z,1)} f(t, \cdot)\|_q^{\tilde{L}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]
Let \( P_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)} \) be the Markov semigroup generated by \( L_t^{a_1,b_1(1)} \), and let \( p_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)} \) be the heat kernel with respect to the Lebesgue measure. By Duhamel’s formula, we have

\[
(2.11) \quad u_s = \int_s^T e^{-\lambda(t-s)} P_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)} \{ \nabla b_i(0) u_t + b_i(0) (t, \cdot) \} dt, \quad s \in [0, T].
\]

On the other hand, let \( \nabla^2 x \) be the Hessian operator in \( x \). By [12, Theorem 1.2], under \((A_1)\) we find a constant \( \delta = \delta(K, T, d) > 1 \) such that

\[
|\nabla^2 x P_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)}(x, y)| \leq \frac{\lambda}{t-s} g_\delta(t-s, x, y), \quad 0 \leq t < T, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d
\]

holds for

\[
g_\delta(r, x, y) := (\pi \delta r)^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\theta_{s,t}(x) - y|^2}{\delta}}, \quad r > 0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]

where \( \theta : [0, T] \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \) is a measurable map. So, letting

\[
(2.12) \quad h_t(y) := \nabla b_i(0)(t, y) u_t(y) + b_i(0)(t, y),
\]

we obtain

\[
(2.13) \quad |\nabla^2 x u_s(x)| \leq \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} |\nabla^2 x P_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)}(h_t - h_t(z))(x)|_{z=\theta_{s,t}(x)} dt
\]

\[
\leq \int_s^T e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla^2 x P_{s,t}^{a_1,b_1(1)}(x, y)| \cdot |h_t(y) - h_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| dy.
\]

By \((A_2), (2.9)\) for \( \lambda \geq 1, \) and 

\[
(2.14) \quad |h_t(y) - h_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))| \leq (1 + c_1)|b_i(0)(t, y) - b_i(0)(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| + K |\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(\theta_{s,t}(x))|.
\]

In the following, we estimate these two terms respectively.

Since \( \phi \) is concave, we find a constant \( c_2 = c_2(K, T, d) > 0 \) such that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i(0)(t, y) - b_i(0)(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy
\]

\[
\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(|y - \theta_{s,t}(x)|) g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy
\]

\[
\leq K \phi \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |y - \theta_{s,t}(x)| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy \right) \leq c_2 \phi \left( \sqrt{t-s} \right), \quad 0 \leq s < t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Hence,

\[
(2.15) \quad \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |b_i(0)(t, y) - b_i(0)(t, \theta_{s,t}(x))| g_\delta(t-s, x, y) dy
\]

\[
\leq c_2 \int_0^T \frac{e^{-\lambda t} \phi(t^\frac{1}{2})}{t-s} dt =: \varepsilon_1,
\]
where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\lambda, K, T, d, \varphi)$ goes to 0 as $\lambda \to \infty$.

On the other hand, let $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{q} \in (0, 1)$ and denote $z = \theta_{s,t}(x)$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ depending on $p$ and $d$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(z)\| \leq c_0|y - z|^\alpha\|1_{B(z,1)}\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \text{if } |y - z| < 1.$$  

Since (2.9) implies $\|\nabla u_t\| \leq c_1$ when $\lambda \geq 1$, we find a constant $c_3 = c_3(K, T, d) > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(x_1(x))\| \leq c_3|y - x_1(x)|\|1_{B(z,1)}\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$  

Noting that $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ and $\alpha = 1 - \frac{d}{p}$ imply $(1 - \alpha)\frac{q}{q-1} < 1$, we find a constant $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(\lambda, K, T, d, p, q) > 0$ which goes to 0 as $\lambda \to \infty$, such that

$$\int_s^T \frac{e^{-\lambda(t-s)}}{t-s}dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u_t(y) - \nabla u_t(x_1(x))|g_d(t-s,x,y)dy$$

$$\leq c_3 \left( \int_s^T e^{-\lambda(t-s)}(t-s)^{(1-\alpha)\frac{q}{q-1}}dt \right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon_2, \quad s \in [0, T].$$

By (2.9), and combining this with (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15), we find large enough $\lambda = \lambda(K, T, P, \varphi) > 0$ such that $\|\nabla^2 u\|_{0,T,\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Combining this with (2.9), we may choose large enough $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{0,T,\infty} \vee \|\nabla u\|_{0,T,\infty} \vee \|\nabla^2 u\|_{0,T,\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$  

In particular, letting

$$\tilde{X}_t^{i,x_i} := X_t^{i,x_i} + u_t(X_t^{i,x_i}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |X_t^{1,x_1} - X_t^{2,x_2}| \leq |\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}| \leq 2|X_t^{1,x_1} - X_t^{2,x_2}|.$$  

Hence, to bound the exponential moment in (2.7), it suffices to estimate the corresponding term for $|\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}|$ replacing $|X_t^{1,x_1} - X_t^{2,x_2}|$.

(c) Let $I_d$ be the $d \times d$ identity matrix. By (2.8), (2.17) and Itô’s formula, we obtain

$$d\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} = \{\lambda u_t + b_1^{(1)}(t, \cdot)\} (X_t^{1,x_1}) dt + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^{1,x_1})\} \sigma_1(t, X_t^{1,x_1}) dW_t,$$

$$d\tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2} = \{\lambda u_t + (L_t a_2^{b_2} - L_t a_1^{b_1}) u_t + (b_2 - b_1^{(0)})(t, \cdot)\} (X_t^{2,x_2}) dt$$

$$+ \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^{2,x_2})\} \sigma_2(t, X_t^{2,x_2}) dW_t.$$  

By (A1), (2.16), (2.18), and Itô’s formula, we find $k_1 = k_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ such that

$$d|\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}|^2 \leq k_1 (|\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}|^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2) dt + dM_t, \quad t \in [0, t_0],$$

where $M_t$ is a martingale satisfying

$$d\langle M \rangle_t \leq k_1 |\tilde{X}_t^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_t^{2,x_2}|^2 dt.$$
For any $n \geq 1$, let
\[ \tau_n := t_0 \land \inf \{ t \geq 0 : |\tilde{X}_{t}^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_{t}^{2,x_2}| \geq n \}, \quad \gamma_n := \sup_{t \in [0, \tau_n]} |\tilde{X}_{t}^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_{t}^{2,x_2}|^2. \]

By (2.18) we have
\[ |\tilde{X}_{0}^{1,x_1} - \tilde{X}_{0}^{2,x_2}|^2 \leq 4|x_1 - x_2|^2, \]
which together with (2.20), (2.21) and BDG’s inequality implies that for some constant $k_2 = k_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 1$,
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n}{t_1}}\right] \leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1}} \left[|x_1 - x_2|^2 + f_0(t_1)(\|a_1 - a_2\|_{\infty} + b_1 - b_2\|_{\infty})^2\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n k_2}{t_1}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n t_1}{t_1}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Taking $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2k_2(1+1)}$, for any $t_0 := \varepsilon t_1$ and $t_1 \in (0, T]$ we have
\[ (k_2 t_0) \lor \frac{k_2 t_0}{t_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \]
so that
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n}{t_1}}\right] \leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1}} \left[|x_1 - x_2|^2 + f_0(t_1)(\|a_1 - a_2\|_{\infty} + b_1 - b_2\|_{\infty})^2\right] \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n}{t_1}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n t_1}{t_1}}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Since $\gamma_n$ is bounded, this implies
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n}{t_1}}\right] \leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1}} \left[|x_1 - x_2|^2 + f_0(t_1)(\|a_1 - a_2\|_{\infty} + b_1 - b_2\|_{\infty})^2\right], \quad n \geq 1. \]

Therefore, by Fatou’s lemma and (2.18),
\[ \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{2k_1|x_1^{1,t_0,x_1} - x_2^{1,x_2}|^2}{t_1}}\right] \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{2k_1|x_1^{1,t_0,x_1} - x_2^{1,x_2}|^2}{t_1}}\right] \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\frac{8\gamma_n}{t_1}}\right] \leq e^{\frac{k_2}{t_1}} \left[|x_1 - x_2|^2 + f_0(t_1)(\|a_1 - a_2\|_{\infty} + b_1 - b_2\|_{\infty})^2\right]. \]

This together with (2.7) implies (2.4) for some constant $c = c(K, T, d, \varphi)$, and hence finishes the proof.

\[ \square \]

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By (2.3) and Proposition 2.2, to estimate $\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{2,x_2})$, we apply (1.1) to $\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1} | P_{t_1}^{(0),x_1})$.

To this end, we present the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume $(A_1)$. Then the following assertions hold.
(1) There exists a constant $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$ such that

\begin{equation}
\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla p_{s,x}^{1,x} \right|^2 (y) dy \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

(2) If $|b_1| \leq C(K)$ for some constant $C(K) > 0$, then for some constant $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$,

\begin{equation}
\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla p_{s,x}^{1,x} \right|^2 (y) dy \leq c \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

(3) If (1.5) holds, then there exists a constant $c = c(K, T, d) > 0$ such that

\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla p_{r,x}^{1,x} \right|^2 (y) dy \leq \frac{c}{t}, \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

In the following two subsections, we prove this result and Theorem 1.1 respectively.

### 3.1 Proof of Proposition 3.1

We first present a lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume (A$_1$) with the condition on $\|\nabla a_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty}$ replacing by the weaker one: there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|a_1(t, x) - a_1(t, y)\| \leq K|x - y|^\beta, \quad t \in [0, T], x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$ 

Then the following assertions hold.

(1) There exists a constant $c = c(K, T, d, \beta) > 0$ such that

\begin{equation}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy \right| \leq c \log(1 + t^{-1}), \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

(2) If $|b_1| \leq C(K)$ for some constant $C(K) > 0$, then

\begin{equation}
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_r^{1,x} \log p_r^{1,x})(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y) dy \right| \\
\leq c \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** (1) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\theta_t(x)$ solve

\begin{equation}
\partial_t \theta_t(x) = b_1(t, \theta_t(x)), \quad \theta_0(x) = x, \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{equation}

By [12, Theorem 1.2], there exists a constant $c_0 = c_0(K, T, d) > 1$ such that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{c_0} e^{-c_0|\theta_t(x) - y|^2} \leq p_t^{1,x}(y) \leq \frac{c_0}{t^2} e^{-\frac{|\theta_t(x) - y|^2}{c_0 t}}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in (0, T].
\end{equation}
Consequently,

\begin{equation}
(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y)dy \leq \log[c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{1,x}(y)dy = \log[c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}}], \quad t \in (0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, by Jensen’s inequality and (3.7), we find a constant $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d) > 0$ such that

\begin{align*}
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (p_t^{1,x} \log p_t^{1,x})(y)dy &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t^{1,x}(y) \log\{p_t^{1,x}(y)\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}dy \\
&\leq 2 \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{p_t^{1,x}(y)\}^{\frac{1}{2}}dy \\
&\quad \leq 2 \log \left[ c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{4}} (\pi c_0 t)^{\frac{d}{2}} \right] \leq \log[c_1 t^{\frac{d}{4}}].
\end{align*}

This together with (3.8) implies (3.4).

(2) For any $0 < r \leq t \leq T$, we have

\begin{align*}
I(r, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r \log \rho_r)(y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_t \log \rho_t)(y)dy &= I_1(r, t) + I_2(r, t), \\
I_1(r, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \rho_r \log \frac{\rho_r}{\rho_t} \right)(y)dy, \quad I_2(r, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)(y) \log \rho_t(y)dy.
\end{align*}

If $b_1$ is bounded, then (3.6) implies

$$|\theta_t(x) - \theta_r(x)| \leq c_1(t - r)$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$, so that by (3.7), we find a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that

\begin{equation}
(3.10) \quad I_1(r, t) \leq \log \left[ c_0^2 \left(\frac{t}{r} \right)^{-\frac{d}{4}} \right] + \frac{c_0^2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta_t(x) - y|^2 r^{-\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{|\rho_r(x) - y|^2}{c_0 r}} dy \\
\quad \leq c_2 \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T.
\end{equation}

On the other hand, by (3.7), we find a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that

\begin{align*}
I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ (\rho_r - \rho_t)^+ \log \rho_t \}(y)dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ (\rho_r - \rho_t)^- \log \rho_t \}(y)dy \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ (\rho_r - \rho_t)^+(y) \log \left[ c_0 t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right] - (\rho_r - \rho_t)^-(y) \log \left[ c_0^{-1} t^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right] \right\}dy \\
&\quad + \frac{c_0}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)^-(y)|\theta_t(x) - y|^2 dy \\
&\quad \leq \log[t^{-\frac{d}{4}}] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\rho_r - \rho_t)(y)dy + (\log c_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\rho_r - \rho_t|(y)dy \\
&\quad + \frac{c_0}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\theta_t(x) - y|^2 \rho_t(y)dy \leq c_3.
\end{align*}

Combining this with (3.9) and (3.10), we derive (3.5). \qed
Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be fixed, and simply denote $\rho_t := \rho_t^{1,x}$.

(a) We first consider the smooth case where

\[(3.11) \quad \|\nabla^i b_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty} + \|\nabla^i a_1\|_{0 \to T, \infty} < \infty, \quad i \geq 1.\]

By [12, Theorem 1.2], there exist a constant $\lambda > 1$ and a measurable map $\theta : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

\[(3.12) \quad \lambda^{-1} t^{-\frac{d+4}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda \theta - y^2}{t}} \leq |\nabla^i \rho_t|(y) \leq \lambda t^{-\frac{d+4}{2}} e^{-\frac{\theta - y^2}{\lambda t}}, \quad t \in (0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^d, i = 0, 1, 2.\]

Moreover, by the Kolmogorov forward equation and integration by parts formula, we have

\[(3.13) \quad \partial_t \rho_t = \text{div} \left[ a_1(t, \cdot) \nabla \rho_t + \rho_t \{ \text{div} a_1(t, \cdot) - b_1(t, \cdot) \} \right], \quad t \in (0, T].\]

By (3.12), (3.13) and integration by parts formula, we obtain

\[(3.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho_r \log \rho_r \}(y) dy = \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{(1 + \log \rho_s) \partial_s \rho_s \}(y) dy = - \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle a_1(s, \cdot) \nabla \log \rho_s + \text{div} a_1(s, \cdot) - b_1(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy.\]

Since $a_1 \geq K^{-1} I_d$, this implies

\[(3.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{ \rho_t \log \rho_t - \rho_r \log \rho_r \}(y) dy + \frac{1}{K} \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\nabla \rho_s}{\rho_s}(y) dy \leq - \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle \text{div} a_1(s, \cdot) - b_1(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy = \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle [b_1^{(0)} - \text{div} a_1] (s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy + \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle b_1^{(1)}(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy.\]

By (3.11), (3.12) and Lemma 3.2, we derive

\[(3.16) \quad \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\nabla \rho_s}{\rho_s}(y) dy < \infty.\]

Noting that (A1) implies $|b_1^{(0)} - \text{div} a_1| \leq 2K$, so that

\[
\int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle [b_1^{(0)} - \text{div} a_1] (s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy \\
\leq \frac{1}{2K} \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\nabla \rho_s}{\rho_s}(y) dy + 2K \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(y) dy \\
= \frac{1}{2K} \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\nabla \rho_s}{\rho_s}(y) dy + 2K^3(t - r).\]

Moreover, by the integration by parts formula, (3.12) and $\|\nabla b_1^{(1)}\|_{0 \to T, \infty} \leq K$, we obtain

\[
\int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\langle b_1^{(1)}(s, \cdot), \nabla \rho_s \right\rangle(y) dy = - \int_t^r ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{div} \{b_1^{(1)}(s, y)\} \rho_s(y) dy \leq K(t - r).\]
Combining these with (3.15) and (3.16), we derive
\[
\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s|^2}{\rho_s}(y)dy \\
\leq 2K \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \rho_r \log \rho_r - \rho_t \log \rho_t \right\}(y)dy + 2K^2(2K^2 + 1)(t - r).
\]

(b) In general, let 0 ≤ \(\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) such that \(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x)dx = 1\), and define the smooth mollifier \(\mathcal{S}_n\):
\[
\mathcal{S}_n f(x) := n^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y)\psi(ny)dy, \quad n \geq 1, f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]
Let \(b_1^{(n)}(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{S}_n b_1(t, \cdot)\), \(a_1^{(n)}(t, \cdot) := \mathcal{S}_n a_1(t, \cdot)\), \(n \geq 1\). Then \((a_1^{(n)}, b_1^{(n)})\) satisfies (3.11) and \((A_1)\) for the same constant \(K\). So, by step (a) and Lemma 3.2, the density function \(\rho_t^{(n)}\) for the diffusion process generated by \(L_t^{(n)}\) satisfies
\[
\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^{(n)}|^2}{\rho_s^{(n)}}(y)dy \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, n \geq 1
\]
for some constant \(c = c(K, T, d) > 0\). Equivalently, for any \(f \in C_0^{0,2}([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d) := \{ f \in C_0([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d) : \nabla f, \nabla^2 f \in C_0([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \}\), we have
\[
\left| \int_{[r,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s^{(n)}(y) \Delta f_s(y) ds dy \right|^2 = \left| \int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ (\nabla \log \rho_s^{(n)}, \nabla f_s) \rho_s^{(n)} \right\}(y)dy \right|^2 \\
\leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}) \int_{[r,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_s|^2(y) \rho_s^{(n)}(y) ds dy, \quad n \geq 1.
\]
By [16, Theorem 11.1.4],
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s^{(n)}(y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(y)g(y)dy, \quad g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad s \in [r, t].
\]
So, the above estimate implies
\[
\left| \int_{[r,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} \rho_s(y) \Delta f_s(y) ds dy \right|^2 \leq c \log(1 + r^{-1}) \int_{[r,t] \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla f_s|^2(y) \rho_s(y) ds dy
\]
for any \(f \in C_0^{0,2}([r, t] \times \mathbb{R}^d)\). Therefore, (3.1) holds.

(c) If \(|b_1| \leq C(K)\) for some constant \(C(K) > 0\), then (3.5) holds, so that instead of (3.18) we have
\[
\int_r^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla \rho_s^{(n)}|^2}{\rho_s^{(n)}}(y)dy \leq c \log \left(1 + \frac{t}{r}\right), \quad 0 < r \leq t \leq T, n \geq 1.
\]
Then the above argument implies (3.2).

(d) If (1.5) holds, then by Malliavin’s calculus, see for instance [13] or [25, Remark 2.1], for any \( v \in \mathbb{R}^d \) with \( |v| = 1 \), there exists a martingale \( M^{1,x,v}_t \) such that

\[
\mathbb{E}[\nabla_v f(X^1_t)] = \mathbb{E}[f(X^1_t)M^{1,x,v}_t], \quad f \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad t \in (0, T]
\]

and \( \mathbb{E}[M^{1,x,v}_t]^2 \leq \frac{c}{t} \) holds for some constant \( c = c(T, K, d) > 0 \) and all \( t \in (0, T] \). This implies

\[
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{v, \nabla_x p^{1,x}_t f\} (y)p^{1,x}_t(y)dy \right|^2 \leq \frac{c}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)^2 p^{1,x}_t(y)dy, \quad f \in C^1_b(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad |v| = 1.
\]

Equivalently,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\nabla p^{1,x}_t|^2}{p^{1,x}_t}(y)dy \leq \frac{cd}{t}, \quad t \in (0, T],
\]

so that (3.3) holds.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

(1) Let \( p > 1 \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}] \) be in Proposition 2.2. By Proposition (3.1) and \((A_1), (H)\) holds for \( \nu = \delta_{x_1} \) and \((a^{(\varepsilon)}, b^{(a)})\) replacing \((a_2, b_2)\). By (1.1) with \( \nu = \delta_{x_1} \) and (3.1), we find a constant \( c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0 \) such that

\[
\text{Ent}(P_{x_1}^1|P_{x_1}^{(a)}) \leq c_1 \left[ \log(1 + t_1^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{x_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t_1, \infty} + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t_1, \infty})dt \right],
\]

\( t_1 \in (0, T], x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d \).

Combining this with (2.3) and Proposition 2.2, we find a constant \( c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0 \) such that for any \( t_1 \in (0, T] \) and \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\text{Ent}(P_{x_1}^1|P_{x_2}^2) \leq I_{t_1}(x_1, x_2) := \frac{c}{t_1} \left( |x_1 - x_2|^2 + \int_0^{t_1} \left\{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{x_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty} + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{x_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty} \right\}ds \right) + c \left[ \log(1 + t_1^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{x_1 \rightarrow t_1, \infty} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t_1, \infty}^2 ds \right].
\]

Equivalently, for any \( t \in (0, T] \) and \( f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log f(y) P_{x_1}^1(dy) \leq \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) P_{x_2}^2(dy) + I_t(x_1, x_2), \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{C}(\nu_1, \nu_2) \) such that

\[
\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x_1 - x_2|^2 \pi(dx_1, dx_2).
\]
we obtain
\[
\text{Ent}(P_{t}^{1,\nu_1}|P_{t}^{2,\nu_2}) = \sup_{0 < c \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \log f(y) \right\} P_{t}^{1,\nu_1}(dy) - \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) P_{t}^{2,\nu_2}(dy) \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} I_t(x_1, x_2) \pi(dx_1, dx_2)
\]
\[
= \frac{c}{t} \left( \mathcal{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \int_0^t \left\{ \|b_1 - b_2\|_{s,\infty}^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{s,\infty}^2 \right\} ds \right)
\]
\[
+ c \left( \log(1 + t^{-1}) \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t^{-1},\infty}^2 + \int_{t^{-1}}^t \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{s,\infty}^2 ds \right).
\]
Hence, (1.3) holds.

(2) Let \(|b_1| \leq C(K)\) for some constant \(C(K) > 0\). By (1.1), (3.2) and noting that \(\frac{t_1}{t_0} = \varepsilon^{-1}\) for \(t_0 = \varepsilon t_1\), we find a constant \(c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0\) such that instead of (3.19),
\[
\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) \leq c_1 \|a_1 - a_2\|_{\varepsilon t_1^{-1},\varepsilon t_1^1}^2 + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1^1}^t \left[ \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \right] dt,
\]
\(t_1 \in (0, T]\), \(x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d\).

By repeating the above argument with this estimate replacing (3.19), we derive (1.4) for some constant \(c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0\).

(3) Let (1.5) hold. By (1.1), (3.3) and \(t_0 = \varepsilon t_1\), we find a constant \(c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0\) such that for any \(t_1 \in (0, T]\) and \(x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d\),
\[
\text{Ent}(P_{t_1}^{1,x_1}|P_{t_1}^{(t_0)x_1}) \leq c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^t \frac{1}{t} \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 dt + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^t \left[ \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \right] dt,
\]
\[
\leq \frac{c_1}{\varepsilon t_1} \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^t \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 dt + c_1 \int_{\varepsilon t_1}^t \left[ \|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t,\infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t,\infty}^2 \right] dt.
\]

Then as explained above that using this estimate to replace (3.19), we derive (1.6) for some constant \(c = c(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0\).

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By (B), for any \(\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2\), \(b^\mu(t, x) := b(t, x, \mu)\) has decomposition \(b^{0,\mu} + b^{1,\mu}\) such that \(b^{1,\mu}\) is locally bounded and
\[|b^{0,\mu}| \vee \|\nabla b^{1,\mu}\| \leq K.\]
Let \(b^{(1)} := b^{1,\delta_0}\), where \(\delta_0\) is the Dirac measure at 0, and let \(b^{(0,\mu)} := b^\mu - b^{(1)}\). Then (B) implies
\[|\nabla b^{(1)}| \leq K, \quad |b^{(0,\mu)}| \leq K + K \mu(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\]

This together with the the condition on \(\sigma\) included in (B) implies assumptions \((A_0)\) and \((A_1)\) in [9] for \(k = 2\). Therefore, by [9, Theorem 1.1], (1.7) is well-posed for distributions in \(\mathcal{P}_2\), and there exists a constant \(c > 0\) such that
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[|X_t|^2] \leq c(1 + \mathbb{E}[|X_0|^2]) < \infty
\]
holds for any solution with $\mathcal{L}_{X_0} \in \mathcal{P}_2$. So, it remains to verify (1.8).

For $\nu_i \in \mathcal{P}_2$, $i = 1, 2$, and $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, let

\begin{align*}
  a_i(t, x) &:= a(t, x, P_t^*\nu_i) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma\sigma^*)(t, x, P_t^*\nu_i), \\
  b_i(t, x) &:= b(t, x, P_t^*\nu_i), \quad b_i^k(t, x) := b_i^{k, P_t^*\nu_i}(t, x), \quad k = 0, 1.
\end{align*}

(4.2)

By Theorem 1.1, under $(B)$, there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ such that for any $t \in (0, T]$,

\begin{align*}
  \text{Ent}(P_t^*\nu_1|P_t^*\nu_2) &\leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 \\
  \quad + c_1||b_1 - b_2||_{t, \infty}^2 + c_1 \log(1 + t^{-1})||a_1 - a_2||_{t, \infty}^2 + c_1 t\|\text{div}(a_1 - a_2)\|_{t, \infty}^2 \\
  &\leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + c_1 K^2 \{1 + \log(1 + t^{-1}) + t\} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^*\nu_1, P_s^*\nu_2)^2.
\end{align*}

Then there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ such that

\begin{align*}
  \text{Ent}(P_t^*\nu_1|P_t^*\nu_2) \leq \frac{c_1}{t} \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + \frac{c_2}{t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^*\nu_1, P_s^*\nu_2)^2, \quad t \in (0, T].
\end{align*}

Combining this with the following result, we derive (1.8) for some constant $c > 0$, and hence finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** Assume $(B)$. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

\begin{align*}
  \mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2) \leq c \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2), \quad t \in [0, T], \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2.
\end{align*}

**Proof.** Let $a_i$ and $b_i$ be in (4.2), and let $u_t$ be in (2.8) for large enough $\lambda > 0$ such that (2.16) holds. Let $X_0^i, X_0^i$ be $\mathcal{F}_0$-measurable such that

\begin{align*}
  \mathcal{L}_{X_0^i} = \nu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad \mathbb{E}[|X_0^1 - X_0^2|^2] = \mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2.
\end{align*}

(4.3)

Let $X_t^i$ solve (2.1) with initial value $X_0^i$. We have $\mathcal{L}_{X_t^i} = P_t^*\nu_i$, so that

\begin{align*}
  \mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^2], \quad t \in [0, T].
\end{align*}

(4.4)

Let $\tilde{X}_t^i = X_t^i + u_t(X_t^i), i = 1, 2$. Then

\begin{align*}
  \frac{1}{2}|X_t^1 - X_t^2| \leq |\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2| \leq 2|X_t^1 - X_t^2|, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}

(4.5)

and similarly to (2.19), by (2.8), (1.7) for $X_t^i$ and Itô’s formula, we have

\begin{align*}
  d\tilde{X}_t^1 &= \{\lambda u_t + b^{(i)}_1(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^1)dt + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^1)\}\sigma_1(t, X_t^1)dW_t, \\
  d\tilde{X}_t^2 &= \{\lambda u_t + (L^{a_2, b_2}_t - L^{a_1, b_1}_t)u_t + (b_2 - b^{(i)}_1)(t, \cdot)\}(X_t^2)dt \\
  &\quad + \{I_d + \nabla u_t(X_t^2)\}\sigma_2(t, X_t^2)dW_t.
\end{align*}
Combining this with \((B)(1), (2.16), (4.3)\) and Itô’s formula, we find $k_1 = k_1(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ such that
\[
d|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2 \leq k_1(|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2 + \|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t, \infty}^2)dt + dM_t, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Noting that \((B)(3)\) and \((4.2)\) imply
\[
\|a_1 - a_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 + \|b_1 - b_2\|_{t, \infty}^2 \leq 2K^2\xi_t, \quad \xi_t := \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_s^*\nu_1, P_s^*\nu_2)^2,
\]
and due to \((2.16), (4.3)\) and \((4.4)\)
\[
\mathbb{E}[|\tilde{X}_0^1 - \tilde{X}_0^2|^2] \leq 4\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2, \quad \mathbb{E}[|\tilde{X}_t^1 - \tilde{X}_t^2|^2] \geq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}[|X_t^1 - X_t^2|^2] \geq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2)^2,
\]
we find a constant $k_2 = k_2(K, T, d, \varphi) > 0$ such that
\[
\xi_t \leq k_2\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2 + k_2\int_0^t \xi_s ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Since \((4.1)\) implies $\xi_t < \infty$, by Gronwall’s inequality, this implies
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{W}_2(P_t^*\nu_1, P_t^*\nu_2)^2 = \xi_T \leq k_2e^{k_2T}\mathbb{W}_2(\nu_1, \nu_2)^2.
\]
So, the proof is finished.

\[\square\]
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