| **Section/topic**  | **Item #**  | **Checklist item**  | **Page** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**  |  |
| **Title**  | **1** |
|   **Identification**  | 1a  | Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review  | ✓ |
|   **Update**  | 1b  | If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such  | X |
| **Registration**  | 2  | If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number  | X |
| **Authors**  | **1** |
|   **Contact**  | 3a  | Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author  | ✓ |
|   **Contributions**  | 3b  | Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review  | ✓8 |
| **Amendments**  | 4  | If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments  | X |
| **Support**  |  |
|   **Sources**  | 5a  | Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review  | 8 |
|   **Sponsor**  | 5b  | Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor  | X |
|   **Role of sponsor/funder**  | 5c  | Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol  | X |
| **INTRODUCTION**  |  |
| **Rationale**  | 6  | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known  | 2 |
| **Objectives**  | 7  | Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)  | 3 |
| **METHODS**  |  |
| **Eligibility criteria**  | 8  | Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review  | 3 |
| **Information sources**  | 9  | Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage  | 3 |
| **Search strategy**  | 10  | Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated  | 3 |
| **Study records**  |  |
|   **Data management**  | 11a  | Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review  | 4 |
|   **Selection process**  | 11b  | State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)  | 3 |
|   **Data collection process**  | 11c  | Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators  | 4Figure1 |
| **Data items**  | 12  | List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications  | Table1 |
| **Outcomes and prioritization**  | 13  | List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale  | 4 |
| **Risk of bias in individual studies**  | 14  | Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis  | 5 |
| **Data**  |  |
| **Synthesis**  | 15a  | Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized  | 5 |
| 15b  | If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., *I*2, Kendall’s tau)  | 4 |
| 15c  | Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)  | 5 |
| 15d  | If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned  | 4 |
| **Meta-bias(es)**  | 16  | Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)  | 4 |
| **Confidence in cumulative evidence**  | 17  | Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)  | 5 |