Disease progression patterns of brain morphology in schizophrenia: More progressed stages in treatment-resistance
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Abstract

Given the heterogeneity and possible disease progression in schizophrenia, identifying the neurobiological subtypes and progression patterns in each patient may lead to the development of clinically useful biomarkers. In this cross-sectional study, we adopted data-driven machine-learning techniques to classify and stage the progression patterns of brain morphological changes in schizophrenia and investigate the association with treatment resistance. We included 177 patients with schizophrenia, characterized by treatment response or resistance, with 3D T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging from 3 institutions. Cortical thickness and subcortical volumes calculated by FreeSurfer were converted into Z-scores using 73 healthy controls data. The Subtype and Stage Inference (SuStaIn) algorithm was used for unsupervised machine-learning classification and staging. As a result, SuStaIn identified three different subtypes: 1) subcortical volume reduction (SC) type (73 patients, 47.4%), in which volume reduction of subcortical structures occurs first and moderate cortical thinning follows, 2) globus pallidus hypertrophy and cortical thinning (GP-CX) type (42 patients, 27.3%), in which globus pallidus hypertrophy initially occurs followed by progressive cortical thinning, 3) cortical thinning (pure CX) type (39 patients, 25.3%), in which thinning of the insular and lateral temporal lobe cortices primarily happens. The remaining 23 patients were assigned to baseline stage of progression (no change). SuStaIn also found 84 stages of progression, and treatment-resistant schizophrenia showed significantly more progressed stages of progression than treatment-responsive cases (p=0.001). The GP-CX type presented in earlier stages than the pure CX type (p=0.009). In conclusion, the brain morphological progressions in schizophrenia can be classified into three subtypes by SuStaIn algorithm. Treatment resistance was associated with more progressed stages of the disease, which may suggest a novel biomarker for schizophrenia.

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a common psychiatric disorder presenting with psychotic symptoms as well as negative and cognitive symptoms. Despite longstanding and continuous efforts, we have not identified any distinct pathophysiology or established objective biomarkers in schizophrenia. While the diagnosis of schizophrenia is still based on psychiatric symptoms, patients with schizophrenia often show heterogeneous symptoms and treatment response, calling into question whether it represents a single disease, particularly in terms of neurotransmitter systems. In addition to symptom heterogeneity, treatment response is also diverse. For example, treatment-resistant schizophrenia (TRS) defines a distinct subpopulation showing poor response to conventional pharmacological treatment and, as a result, a form of the illness associated with serious social and economic burden. The neurobiological basis of TRS remains to be elucidated, despite numerous strategies including neuroimaging studies.

To address this disease heterogeneity, studies have proposed schizophrenia subtypes based on symptoms as well as brain structures. In the latter, for example, each individual's brain structural abnormality is categorized into two distinct subtypes by machine learning; however, to date such brain
morphological subtypes have shown little relationship with clinical symptoms\textsuperscript{12}. In employing such a strategy, it is important to acknowledge that such brain morphological abnormalities may be progressive and involve cortical thinning in the temporal or frontal lobes\textsuperscript{13,14}. TRS has been associated with longer duration of untreated psychosis\textsuperscript{15,16}, raising the possibility that TRS may be caused by more disease progression.

In light of the above, categorization that incorporates staging may prove valuable in our understanding of treatment resistance in schizophrenia. In this regard, machine learning analysis has been increasingly applied to uncovering patterns in clinical parameters that may translate to personalized, more reliable biomarkers\textsuperscript{17,18}. Subtype and Stage Inference (SuStaIn) is an unsupervised machine learning algorithm to uncover data-driven disease phenotypes with temporal progression patterns, and it has been widely utilized to identify disease subtypes and stages\textsuperscript{19–22}.

Here, we applied the SuStaIn algorithm to classify disease progression patterns and staging of brain morphology in schizophrenia, with the goal of identifying distinct biological subtypes in the context of illness progression and associations with clinical measures. We hypothesized that TRS may be associated with more progressed disease staging; in addition, we investigated the consistency of anatomical subtype categorizations with previously published data\textsuperscript{12} as well as relationship with other clinical characteristics.

**Materials And Methods**

**Participants**

We analyzed international, multi-center cross-sectional neuroimaging data comprising 177 patients with schizophrenia and 73 healthy controls (HCs): 54 patients with schizophrenia (24 TRS, 30 non-TRS) and 28 HCs from Komagino hospital\textsuperscript{23}, Tokyo, Japan, 70 patients with schizophrenia (49 TRS, 21 non-TRS) from the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH)\textsuperscript{24}, Toronto, Canada, and 53 patients with schizophrenia (23 TRS, 30 non-TRS) from Shimofusa Psychiatric Medical Center, Chiba, Japan (Table 1). In each cohort, there were no significant differences in age and sex between the schizophrenia and HC groups.
Table 1: Demographics and subtype/staging of participants from the three institutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cohort 1 (Komagino)</th>
<th>Cohort 2 (Toronto)</th>
<th>Cohort 3 (Shimofusa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HC</strong></td>
<td>N = 28</td>
<td>N = 21</td>
<td>N = 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schizophrenia</strong></td>
<td>N = 54</td>
<td>N = 70</td>
<td>N = 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>46.0 (18) †</td>
<td>36.0 (23) †</td>
<td>41.5 (18) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (M:F)</strong></td>
<td>12:16 ‡</td>
<td>15:6 ‡</td>
<td>14:10 ‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRS (N)</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Onset (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>25.0 (11)</td>
<td>23.0 (9)</td>
<td>20.0 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>14.0 (15.5)</td>
<td>20.0 (20.3) *</td>
<td>15.0 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education (yrs)</strong></td>
<td>12.0 (3)</td>
<td>12.5 (2) *</td>
<td>12.0 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antipsychotics (CP)</strong></td>
<td>600 (500)</td>
<td>493.75 (300) *</td>
<td>450 (570)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANSS-P</strong></td>
<td>14.5 (17)</td>
<td>13.0 (10) *</td>
<td>17.0 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANSS-N</strong></td>
<td>22.0 (17)</td>
<td>17.5 (5) *</td>
<td>18.0 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANSS-G</strong></td>
<td>30.5 (29)</td>
<td>32.5 (11) *</td>
<td>36.0 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PANSS-T</strong></td>
<td>67.5 (65)</td>
<td>65.0 (24) *</td>
<td>70.0 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtypes</strong></td>
<td>SC = 15, GP-CX = 19, pure CX = 8, stage-0 = 12 ‡</td>
<td>SC = 31, GP-CX = 13, pure CX = 20, stage-0 = 6</td>
<td>SC = 27, GP-CX = 10, pure CX = 11, stage-0 = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staging</strong></td>
<td>5.0 (9)</td>
<td>7.0 (11)</td>
<td>6.0 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are shown as median (IQR).

* missing in 4 patients.

† No significant differences between HC and Schizophrenia in each cohort (p = 0.395, 0.072, 0.656, respectively, Mann-Whitney U tests).

‡ No significant differences between HC and Schizophrenia in each cohort (p = 0.891, 0.692, 0.767, respectively, χ2 tests).

Participants partly overlapped with previous studies in which the same inclusion/exclusion criteria and clinical evaluations were used\textsuperscript{9,23–27}. Patients were diagnosed with schizophrenia based on the
Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4th Ed (DSM-IV)\textsuperscript{28}. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS)\textsuperscript{29} and the Clinical Global Impression Severity Scale (CGI-S)\textsuperscript{30} were used for assessment of clinical symptoms. TRS was determined by the modified Treatment Response and Resistance in Psychosis (TRRIP) Working Group Consensus criteria\textsuperscript{31}. Treatment response was defined by (i) CGI-S score ≤ 3, (ii) PANSS positive symptom item scores ≤ 3, and (iii) no symptomatic relapse in the previous 3 months. In contrast, inadequate treatment response was defined by (i) CGI-S score ≥ 4, and (ii) ≥ 4 on at least 2 PANSS positive symptom items after adequate antipsychotic trials. Response to past antipsychotic trials was determined based on medical records. We also confirmed no history of psychiatric illness in HCs by using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)\textsuperscript{32}. The following exclusion criteria were applied to all participants: (i) substance abuse or dependance within the past six months; (ii) positive urine drug screen at inclusion or before the MRI scan; (iii) history of head trauma resulting in unconsciousness for > 30min; or (iv) an unstable physical illness or neurological disorder.

All participants provided written informed consent, and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics committees at each institute.

\textbf{MRI acquisition and preprocessing}

Participants underwent 3D T1-weighted structural MRI scans on the following protocols: (i) at the Komagino Hospital, 3 T Signa HDxt scanner (GE Healthcare) with an eight-channel head coil (BRAVO, echo time [TE] = 2.8 ms, repetition time [TR] = 6.4 ms, inversion time [TI] = 650 ms, flip angle = 8°, field of view [FOV] = 230 mm, matrix size = 256 x 256, slice thickness = 0.9 mm), (ii) at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, a 3 T GE Discovery R750 scanner (GE Healthcare) with an eight-channel head coil (BRAVO, TE = 3 ms, TR = 6.74 ms, TI = 650 ms, flip angle = 8°, FOV = 230 mm, matrix size = 256 x 256, slice thickness = 0.9 mm), (iii) at the Shimofusa Psychiatric Medical Center, a 1.5 T Signa Explorer (GE Healthcare) with a 12-channel head coil (FSPGR, TE = 5.1ms, TR = 12.2ms, TI = 913ms, flip angle = 25°, FOV = 256mm, matrix size = 256x256, slice thickness = 1.0mm).

We used FreeSurfer software (v.6.0, https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) to calculate cortical thickness (CT) and subcortical gray matter (GM) volumes of the whole cerebrum as well as the intracranial volumes (ICV) based on the 3D T1-weighted images of all the participants. Image processing included the removal of non-brain tissues with a hybrid watershed/surface deformation procedure, automated Talairach transformation, and segmentation of the subcortical structure and cortex based on the Desikan-Killiany Atlas. We confirmed segmentation accuracy in all subjects with visual inspection.

\textbf{Subtype and Stage Inference (SuStaIn) analysis}

Firstly, the subcortical GM volumes were corrected for individual’s ICV, and then all CT and subcortical GM volumes were corrected for age and sex. As SuStaIn uses Z-scores for the machine learning analysis\textsuperscript{19}, we calculated Z-scores for each cohort. In other words, Z-score calculations were performed using each HC cohort with the same scanner and protocol at each institute.
It is also necessary to select the relevant regions of interest (ROIs) for obtaining reliable results by machine learning; we chose all ROIs with significant changes in the multi-center mega analysis by ENIGMA consortium\textsuperscript{33, 34}, one of the most reliable strategies in evaluating brain morphological alteration in schizophrenia. The detailed list of 28 identified ROIs is shown in Supplementary Table S1. Since globus pallidus (GP) may show increased volumes\textsuperscript{33}, we converted the Z-score of GP by multiplying (-1) to reflect hypertrophy, while the Z-score of the other ROIs represented cortical thinning or GM volume loss.

Finally, the Z-scores of the 28 ROIs for the 177 patients with schizophrenia were entered into the SuStaIn algorithm (https://github.com/ucl-pond/SuStaInMatlab). As SuStaIn represents an unsupervised machine learning strategy, any other information than the Z-scores, e.g., the anatomy of each ROI or clinical data, were not taken into account. The linear Z-score model and mathematical model underlying the SuStaIn algorithm are described in the previous study\textsuperscript{19}; steps included model-fitting, convergence, uncertainty estimation, cross-validation, and similarity between subtypes. As described previously\textsuperscript{19, 21, 22}, SuStaIn categorized individuals into subtypes and estimated the most likely sequence in which selected ROIs reach different progression stages over time.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS (IBM Corp. Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Parametric or non-parametric distributions of variables were examined by Shapiro-Wilk test, and the null hypothesis of normal distribution was rejected in all the clinical variables in this study. On the other hand, the corrected CT and subcortical GM volumes in HCs were normally distributed, which should justify the conversion process to Z-score for SuStaIn.

As a primary analysis, we investigated the relationships of TRS with disease subtypes or staging derived from the SuStaIn analysis. The categorical relationship, i.e., TRS/non-TRS vs. disease subtypes, was analyzed by $\chi^2$ test, and the estimated stages between TRS and non-TRS were compared by Mann-Whitney U test. For more exploratory analyses, we examined the association of the subtypes and staging with other clinical characteristics, including onset age, disease duration, medication dose, or PANSS scores. Among the subtypes, continuous variables were compared by Kruskal-Wallis tests, while $\chi^2$ tests were used for categorical variables. Regarding the staging, Spearman's rank correlation tests were used to reveal relationships with other variables. A $p < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

**Validation for reproducibility**

To confirm the reproducibility of the subtype and staging categorization, we repeated the SuStaIn analysis in each cohort separately. The subtypes and staging results from each additional analysis were compared with the main original results from all the patients, using $\chi^2$ test and Spearman's rank correlation test.

**Results**
Estimated subtypes, stages, and treatment-resistance

SuStaIn identified three different subtypes of brain morphological changes in schizophrenia (Fig. 1) i.e., i) subcortical volume reduction (SC) type (73 patients), ii) globus pallidus hypertrophy and cortical thinning (GP-CX) type (42 patients), iii) cortical thinning (pure CX) type (39 patients). In the SC type, subcortical volume loss, particularly the hippocampi and thalami, initially occurs and cortical thinning follows (left in Fig. 1). In the GP-CX type, the globus pallidus hypertrophy initially happens, followed by cortical thinning with no severe atrophy of other subcortical structures (middle in Fig. 1). In the pure CX type, cortical thinning, particularly in the lateral temporal and insular cortices, mainly occurs and subcortical volumes are not severely affected (right in Fig. 1). The remaining 23 patients were assigned to baseline stage of progression (no change) and not categorized into any subtypes.

SuStaIn also found 84 stages of progression (Fig. 1). The histograms of disease stages of each participant in the TRS and non-TRS groups are presented in Fig. 2. The TRS group showed significantly more progressed disease stages than non-TRS (p = 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test). With regard to subtype results, GP-CX type showed significantly less progressed stages than pure CX type (p = 0.009), and a similar trend was found in comparison to SC type (Table 2) although there was no direct association of subtypes with TRS.
Table 2
Clinical features among the three subtypes derived from SuStaIn analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SC type (N = 73)</th>
<th>GP-CX type (N = 42)</th>
<th>pure CX type (N = 39)</th>
<th>p-val.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>44.0 (22)</td>
<td>40.0 (20)</td>
<td>44.0 (14)</td>
<td>0.870†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M:F)</td>
<td>48:25</td>
<td>24:18</td>
<td>21:18</td>
<td>0.415††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRS (N)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.986††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset (yrs)</td>
<td>21.0 (13) *</td>
<td>24.0 (8) **</td>
<td>23.0 (8)</td>
<td>0.950†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (yrs)</td>
<td>15.0 (20) *</td>
<td>19.0 (15.5) **</td>
<td>19.0 (18)</td>
<td>0.673†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (yrs)</td>
<td>12.0 (2) *</td>
<td>12.0 (4) **</td>
<td>12.0 (2)</td>
<td>0.480†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics (CP)</td>
<td>474 (430.5) *</td>
<td>581.25 (467) **</td>
<td>450 (375)</td>
<td>0.419†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-P</td>
<td>15.0 (11) *</td>
<td>14.0 (16) **</td>
<td>14.0 (14)</td>
<td>0.862†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-N</td>
<td>18.5 (9) *</td>
<td>19.0 (13) **</td>
<td>18.0 (12)</td>
<td>0.852†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-G</td>
<td>35.0 (15) *</td>
<td>32.0 (20) **</td>
<td>32.0 (18)</td>
<td>0.662†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-T</td>
<td>69.0 (28) *</td>
<td>67.0 (48) **</td>
<td>68.0 (43)</td>
<td>0.755†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staging</td>
<td>8.0 (14)</td>
<td>6.0 (7) †††</td>
<td>10.0 (11)</td>
<td>0.010†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables are shown as median (IQR).

* Missing in 2 patients

** Missing in 1 patient

† Kruskal-Wallis test

†† χ² test

††† Significantly lower than pure CX type (p = 0.009, post-hoc Dunn test with Bonferroni correction) and a trend toward lower than SC type (p = 0.076). No significance between pure CX and SC types (p = 0.766).

Associations with other clinical characteristics

As shown in Table 2, there were no significant relationships between the three subtypes and other clinical characteristics. The proportion of TRS also did not significantly differ across the three subtypes (Table 2). Of 23 patients in the baseline stage, 10 subjects (43%) were TRS. In addition, the estimated stages were
not correlated with most of other clinical variables except for the PANSS positive and total scores (uncorrected $p < 0.05$, Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spearman’s $rs$</th>
<th>$p$-val.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex ($M = 1, F = 2$)</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-P</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-N</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-G</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS-T</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold font denotes uncorrected $p < 0.05$.

Reproducibility analysis

The results of the reproducibility analysis are shown in Fig. 3. Although the subtype patterns were generally consistent with the main analysis, SuStaIn did not identify a pure CX type in cohort 2 (Fig. 3-A). Therefore, 18 of 20 patients in cohort 2 with pure CX type in the main analysis were classified into GP-CX type (Fig. 3-B). Otherwise, patients were categorized into the same subtype groups ($p < 10^{-43}$, $\chi^2$ test). The stage of disease progression was well reproduced (Spearman’s $rs = 0.985, p < 10^{-134}$, Fig. 3-C).

Discussion

The current study applied the unsupervised machine learning model to data of brain morphology in patients with schizophrenia and identified three subtypes with the following progression patterns: SC type, in which subcortical volume loss is more dominant; GP-CX type, in which GP increase initially occurs and cortical thinning follows; and, pure CX type, in which cortical thinning mainly occurs. Furthermore, more patients with TRS were at the progressed disease stages compared to those with non-TRS. Additionally, GP-CX type was associated with less progressive stages. These brain morphological subtypes and staging may, in turn, lead to the development of clinically useful individualized biomarkers.
There have been various attempts to identify subtypes and staging in neurodegenerative diseases by SuStaIn. In frontotemporal dementia, four distinct subtypes with progression patterns were detected using structural MRI, which were consistent with genetic variance\(^\text{19}\). Another study applied SuStaIn to tau-PET images of Alzheimer’s disease and identified four distinct spatiotemporal trajectories of progression\(^\text{21}\). In the present study, we applied SuStaIn to schizophrenia and found three subtypes with distinct features in terms of anatomical patterns. SuStaIn represents an unsupervised algorithm, and the neuroanatomical information of each ROI and other clinical data were not incorporated into the analysis. Nevertheless, the three subtypes were anatomically consistent; cortical and subcortical patterns could be separated and the left/right sides generally changed simultaneously (Fig. 1). Such anatomical consistency would support the validity of the SuStaIn classification.

In a previous study by machine learning and structural MRI in schizophrenia, two distinct patterns were reported: i.e., (1) widespread GM volume loss in the frontotemporal and insular cortices, thalamus, and nuceas accumbens, and (2) increased subcortical GM volumes with no distinct cortical GM loss\(^{12}\). These findings may partly align with our results in terms of classification into cortical and subcortical patterns. However, given the possible progression of schizophrenia, incorporating disease staging may be desirable. Our results also advance the field incorporating subpopulation data (i.e. TRS and non-TRS) that seems linked to different neurobiological dysfunction\(^4, 35\). Among the identified three subtypes, the SC type showed initial subcortical GM loss and subsequent cortical thinning, whereas both the GP-CX and the pure CX types presented earlier and with more distinct cortical thinning and less evident subcortical GM decrease than the SC type (Fig. 1). The clear difference between the GP-CX and pure CX types was the initial GP volume increase. GP increase in patients with schizophrenia has been consistently reported by multi-center studies at a group-level comparison with HCs\(^{33, 36}\). Although no direct associations with TRS were found, the GP-CX type showed less disease progression than the pure CX type. On the other hand, in the reproducibility analysis, it was difficult to distinguish between GP-CX and pure CX in a smaller cohort (cohort 2, Fig. 3); accordingly, more careful interpretation may be needed. At any rate, the pathological meaning of GP increase in schizophrenia is not well understood, and further investigation is needed to interpret our current model.

Several studies have investigated the neurobiological mechanism of TRS, including involvement of glutamate and GABA systems\(^{23, 24, 27, 37, 38}\) as well as cortical abnormality patterns\(^9, 25\). In the current study, stage progression was associated with TRS but not with disease duration (Table 3). Thus, it does not appear that the disease simply progresses as time progresses. To this point, longer duration of untreated psychosis and treatment non-adherence have been identified as associated factors with TRS\(^\text{16}\). The point has also been made that TRS is associated with central oxidative stress and increased variability of glutathione\(^\text{39}\). Together with our findings, such unfavorable factors may advance the disease stages and pathological changes in TRS. These different influences may, in fact, contribute to the variable outcomes associated with TRS e.g., clozapine response.
The strengths of this study include a novel data-driven approach for individualized subtyping and staging, findings of a significant association between progressed staging and treatment-resistance, and the reproducibility of multi-site data. On the other hand, this study has several limitations. First, it is difficult to demonstrate the validity of current results in the absence of a well-established gold standard. One possible solution is to longitudinally follow these cohorts to confirm whether established subtypes follow specific trajectories. Selection of ROIs may also raise some controversy although we adopted the most reliable evidence from the international mega-analyses\textsuperscript{33,34}. In addition, we did not find any relationships between subtypes and clinical characteristics; however, this issue is consistent with the previous study\textsuperscript{12}. We speculate that this reflects the limitations of diagnoses based solely on symptoms and the assessment of disease status only by scoring. Much more knowledge related to neurobiological mechanisms may serve to address this limitation. Other limitations include sample size, cross-sectional design, and potential effects of medications and previous unreported use of other drugs.

In conclusion, we identified three distinct subtypes based on progression patterns of brain morphology. More progressed disease stages were found in TRS. The GP-CX type reflected an earlier stage of disease, but otherwise the subtypes did not show any relationship with clinical characteristics. Current findings provide new knowledge that may be relevant to the neural basis of TRS and, in so doing, lead to clinically useful personalized biomarkers.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Estimated three patterns of brain morphological disease progression in schizophrenia, namely 1) subcortical volume reduction (SC) type, in which volume reduction of subcortical structures occurs first and moderate cortical thinning follows, 2) globus pallidus hypertrophy and cortical thinning (GP-CX) type, in which globus pallidus hypertrophy initially occurs followed by progressive cortical thinning, and 3)
cortical thinning (pure CX) type, in which thinning of the insular and lateral temporal lobe cortices primarily happens.

Figure 2

Histogram of stage progressions between non-TRS and TRS.
Figure 3

Reproducibility analysis by analyzing each cohort separately. (A) Subtype and staging results of each cohort. (B) Subtype classification between main original analysis and reproducibility analysis. (C) Correlation of stage progressions between main original analysis and reproducibility analysis.
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