Patient-level factors influencing hypertension control in adults in Accra, Ghana

Darlene Esinam Okai
   University of Ghana School of Public Health

Abubakar Manu
   University of Ghana School of Public Health

Emefa Modey Amoah
   University of Ghana School of Public Health

Amos Laar
   University of Ghana School of Public Health

Joseph Akamah
   University of Ghana School of Medicine and Dentistry

Kwasi Torpey
   University of Ghana School of Public Health

Research article

Keywords: hypertension, blood pressure control, pill burden, Ghana, developing countries.

Abstract

Background : Effective control of blood pressure is necessary to avert the risk of cardiovascular diseases from uncontrolled hypertension. Despite evidence on the benefits of hypertension control, rates of control in Ghana remain low. This study assessed the patient-level factors that influence hypertension control among adults in Accra, Ghana. Methods: A total of 360 hypertensive patients from two hospitals in Accra, Ghana were enrolled in the study. Client socio-demographic characteristics were tabulated and associations between client characteristics and hypertension control were estimated using chi-square tests and logistic regression. Results: The client's sex [AOR=3.53 (95% CI:1.73-7.25], educational at junior high school [AOR=3.05(95% CI 1.40-6.67)], senior high school [AOR=2.67 (95% CI 1.05-6.76)] and presence of a comorbidity [AOR=2.41 (95% CI 1.32; 4.42)] predicted BP control among clients. An increased pill burden, however, was associated with reduced BP control [AOR=0.32(95% CI: 0.18-0.56)]. The majority of patients reported forgetfulness, side effects of medication and high pill burden as reasons for missing their medications. Conclusion: Knowledge of hypertension among patients is low. Sex, formal education and the presence of comorbidity and more specifically dyslipidaemia influences blood pressure control. A high pill burden negatively affects the attainment of blood pressure control.

Introduction

Hypertension is recognized as a public health issue worldwide. Despite the global policy agenda set to address this challenge (1), blood pressure control remains elusive and hypertension is the leading cause of cardiovascular disease (CVD) worldwide(2). Although there is evidence to show that awareness, treatment, and adherence to antihypertensive medication are essential for hypertension control(3), all three factors remain low across different countries and various settings (4). Africa, compared to the rest of the world, has the highest hypertension prevalence with 46% of adults above 25 years being hypertensive (5).

Unlike more developed countries of the world, low and middle-income countries (LMICS) continue to experience significant mortalities from infectious diseases (6). The epidemiologic transition in developing countries leaves in its wake a myriad of chronic diseases. The occurrence of CVDs in the presence of prevalent infectious diseases contributes to increased mortality and places many developing countries into a double burden of disease (7).

In Ghana, the Ghana Health Service (GHS) 2014 Annual Report attributed the highest outpatient cases between 2011 and 2014 to hypertension. New outpatient cases of hypertension diagnosed within that same period, showed the Greater Accra and Ashanti region recording the highest number of cases of 152,545 and 140,947 respectively. In Ghana, 63% of women and 86% of men that are hypertensive reported being unaware of their condition according to the Ghana Demographic Health Survey 2014 (8). As a preventative measure, the number and proportion of facilities running NCD Clinics and providing screening services for hypertension and diabetes were increased (GHS, 2015).

Despite the existence of extensive evidence on the benefits of hypertension treatment, control has been unsatisfactory (2, 9, 10). Worldwide, assessments show less than 50% of treated hypertensive patients reach their blood pressure goals; increasing their risk of hypertension-induced complications (11). Recent studies on hypertension control have shown that client, clinician as well as the health environment/health system factors all influence the attainment of hypertension control (12-14).

Control rates of hypertension vary widely across and within populations. Various studies have reported rates of 32.9%-49%among similar population groups (2, 14-16). In Ghana, however, control rates reported are relatively lower and range from 24% to 42% (17-19). The available studies that have established the high prevalence of uncontrolled hypertension in Ghana, but found inconsistent associations between socio-demographic factors and blood pressure control(18, 19). Where client level factors have been assessed, evidence shows poor control associated with the number of hypertensive medications, duration of diagnosis and access to medication (19).

The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (JNC 7) recommends that all patients be classified as normal (120/90), pre-hypertensive (120-80 – 139/89), or hypertensive based on the level of the systolic and/or diastolic Blood Pressure (BP). Hypertension control is therefore achieved when a hypertensive individual attains blood pressure targets of less than 140/90 mmHg. For patients with co-morbidities such as diabetes and/or CKD, targets are set even lower at < 130/80 mmHg for beneficial treatment outcomes (20).

Target 6 of the nine endorsed global NCDS targets, call for member states to achieve a 25% reduction in elevated blood pressure within their specific settings (21). Reducing hypertension-related mortality, therefore, requires that the proportion of clients with controlled hypertension increases. This study, therefore, focuses on patient-level factors influencing blood pressure control among hypertensive adults in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana. Data from this study will support calls to develop comprehensive policies that will inch the country closer to achieving the global targets of control of the condition and reducing the resulting mortalities.

Methods

Study Design

This was a cross-sectional survey of adult hypertensive patients attending two hospitals in the Greater Accra Region of Ghana.

Participants

A total of 360 participants were interviewed in this study – 213 from the first and 147 from the second hospital respectively. Only adult (18 years and above) subjects were included in the study.

Inclusion criteria: Hypertensive patients who have been on treatment for not less than a year.

Exclusion criteria: Pregnant women, patients who were taking drugs that could increase blood pressure and clients diagnosed with psychiatric conditions were excluded from the study.

Sampling procedure

A list of hypertensive patients given appointments for each day was obtained from the records department of both facilities. Numbers were assigned to the individuals on the list. The numbers were randomly selected using the Excel random number generation. An average of 50 to 60 patients were booked for each clinic day for both facilities with the facility with a higher caseload contributing 30-35 per day.

Data collection Method/ Technique and Tools

Data was collected using a structured questionnaire composed of four (4) different sections. The first section of the questionnaire recorded a minimum of two (2) and a maximum of five (5) BP readings inclusive of previous visits to the hospital. This section was completed after accessing this information from the individual patient folders. The BP readings recorded were taken by the healthcare provider as part of the routine care provided at the clinic. Blood pressure readings were taken in the non-dominant arm using an automated sphygmomanometer with the patient in an upright sitting position after having rested for at least 10 minutes. Blood pressure classification for patients with more than one visit was based on the averaging of 2-5 recorded readings (20, 22)

The second section consisted of questions on the socio-demographics of participants including age, sex, marital status, level of education, income level, occupation, and area of residence. Section three examined patients' knowledge about hypertension, its causes, and complications as well as comorbidities and the associated medications. Information about the taking of non-prescribed drugs was also obtained. A final knowledge score was obtained for each respondent out of 13. The respondents were scored on their knowledge of a normal adult blood pressure and the number of causes and complications of hypertension they knew of. Scores of 9 -13 were considered as having "Good knowledge", scores of 5 -8 were categorized as "Moderate knowledge" and scores of 1 – 4 were termed "Poor knowledge".

The next section assessed patients' perceptions about the control status of their hypertension as well as their perception of the efficacy of antihypertensive medications being taken. The final section sought to assess client level factors such as adverse effects experienced from the use of antihypertensive medication, high pill burden /polypharmacy, cost of medications, forgetfulness, and belief in divine intervention or belief in being cured of hypertension.

Data Analysis

Cross-tabulations of client socio-demographic variables, factors influencing hypertension control were undertaken. A descriptive analysis was conducted using Pearson's χ^2 and fishers exact where

appropriate. The hypertension control status of each client was determined by calculating the average of all SBP and DBP readings recorded. Binary logistic regression was conducted on socio-demographic and client level factors to determine their influence on hypertension control. All analyses were conducted in STATA and statistical significance was set at a 95% confidence interval.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical clearance was sought from the Ghana Health Service Ethical Review Committee with approval number (GHS-ERC: 037/12/17). Permission to conduct research at Ghana Health Service facilities was also sought from the Regional Directorate of Ghana Health Service, Greater Accra region and subsequently from the Municipal Directorates of both facilities.

Results

Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents

A total of 360 clients participated in the study. By sex, 105 males and 255 females were interviewed with the mean age of 61.9 (± 10.7) with ages ranging from 27 to 94 years. More than half of the respondents were aged over 61years. Respondents were mostly married, and few had attained tertiary education (4.7%) (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Characteristic	Frequency (n=360)	Relative Frequency (%)
Sex		
Male	105	29.17
Female	255	70.83
Age		
Mean age (± SD)	61.9	10.7
27-45	19	5.28
46-60	142	39.44
61-94	199	55.28
Marital Status		
Single	20	5.56
Married	243	67.50
Divorced	20	5.56
Widowed	77	21.39
Educational Status		
No formal education	92	25.56
Primary	109	30.28
Junior high	85	23.61
Secondary	57	15.83
Tertiary	17	4.72
Income(US $)		
Less than $40	209	58.06
$40- $120	112	31.11
$120-$210	30	8.33
>$210	9	2.50

Respondents' knowledge about hypertension

Approximately 73.3% of respondents showed poor knowledge of hypertension, 25.6% showed moderate knowledge and only 1.1% showed good knowledge of hypertension (Results not shown).

Reasons for missing medication among respondents

Table 2 presents the reported reasons for missed medication among the respondents. The majority (70.5%) cited forgetfulness as the reason for missing their medication. Another reason that was prominently cited was the high pill burden.

Table 2: Reasons for missed medication among respondents (n=291[a])

Reason	Frequency	Percentage
Forgetfulness	205	70.45
High pill burden	78	26.80
Side effects of medication	69	23.71
Cost of medication	12	4.12
Does not believe in orthodox medicines	16	5.50
Believed was cured	9	3.09
Believe in divine intervention	1	0.34

[a] *Available for 291 participants who responded to reason for missing medication*

Hypertension control among respondents

In total, less than a third of clients had achieved hypertension control (23.3%). Various socio-demographic characteristics were assessed against hypertension control. By sex, among female respondents, 69 (27.1%) had achieved hypertension control and 15 (14.3%) of male respondents had achieved hypertension control. This association was found to be significant ($p < 0.009$). Table 3 contains further details on hypertension control across various socio-demographic factors.

Table 3: Socio-demographic factors and Hypertension control

Variable	Controlled BP		χ2	p-value
	No n (%)	Yes n (%)		
Age				
Mean age (± SD)	61.86±0.56			*0.012*
Age categories				
27-45	15(78.95)	4(21.05)	0.7991	0.671
46-60	112(78.87)	30(21.13)		
61-94	149(74.87)	50(25.13)		
Sex				
Male	90(85.71)	15(14.29)	6.7832	0.009
Female	186(72.94)	69(27.06)		
Marital Status				
Single	15(75.00)	5(25.00)	5.9367	0.115
Married	195(80.25)	48(19.75)		
Divorced	13(65.00)	7(35.00)		
Widowed	53(68.83)	24(31.17)		
Educational Status				
No formal education	74(80.43)	18(19.57)	8.4273	0.077
Primary	90(82.57)	19(17.43)		
Junior high	56(65.88)	29(34.12)		
Secondary	43(75.44)	14(24.56)		
Tertiary	13(76.47)	4(23.53)		
Income				
Less than $40	163(77.99)	46(22.01)	1.8755	0.599
$40- $120	84(75.00)	28(25.00)		
$120-$200	21(70.00)	9(30.00)		
>$200	8(88.89)	1(11.11)		
Total	**276 (76.67)**	**84 (23.33)**		

n: Frequencies, %:row percentages.

Associations between client factors and hypertension control

Assessing patients by comorbidity showed that 18% of patients who had no comorbidities had achieved hypertension control. However, more patients with some comorbidity had achieved hypertension control (23.3%). Among patients with dyslipidaemia, 8.9% had controlled hypertension (p<0.006). Table 4 has further details of factors associated with hypertension control.

Table 4 Associations between other client factors and hypertension control

Variable	Controlled BP		χ2	p-value
	No n(%)	Yes n(%)		
Comorbidity				
No	217(81.89)	48(18.11)	15.2969	<0.001
Yes	59(62.11)	36(23.33)		
Diabetes				
No	147(74.62)	50(25.38)	1.0195	0.313
Yes	129(79.14)	34(20.86)		
CKD				
No	262(75.72)	84(24.28)		0.047[+]
Yes	14(100.00)	0(0.00)		
Dyslipidaemia				
No	225(74.01)	79(25.99)	7.6921	0.006
Yes	51(91.07)	5(8.93)		
Stroke				
No	262(76.83)	79(23.17)	0.0997	0.752
Yes	14(73.68)	5(26.32)		
Pills taken for comorbidity				
1-2	84(80.77)	20(19.23)		0.725[+]
3-6	130(83.87)	25(16.13)		
7-13	3(75.00)	1(25.00)		
Non-Prescribed Med[b].				
No	209(76.56)	64(23.44)	0.0076	0.930
Yes	67(77.67)	20(22.99)		
Total	**276 (76.67)**	**84 (23.33)**		

n: Frequencies ,%:row percentages.

[a] Co-morbidity was defined as the presence of diabetes, chronic kidney disease or dyslipidaemia.

[b]Non prescribed medication refers to any drug the client is currently taking that is not prescribed by the attending physician

[+] Fishers' exact value

Determinants of hypertension control

Table 5 shows the determinants of hypertension control among clients. Females were 3.13 times more likely to have their BPs controlled compared to men [AOR=3.13(95% CI 1.48-6.62)]. A 70% reduction in the odds of having controlled hypertension was identified among patients who suffered from dyslipidaemia as comorbidity, compared to those who did not suffer this comorbidity [AOR=0.27 (95% CI 0.09, 0.84)].

Taking a higher number of antihypertensive pills per day was also associated with a reduced likelihood of attaining hypertension control. Given that a respondent took 3-4 antihypertensive pills per day, the odds

of having a controlled BP was reduced by 58% [AOR=0.42 (95% CI 0.23, 0.77)] compared to those who took 1 to 2 pills. (Table 5).

Table 5: Socio-demographic determinants of hypertension control among respondents

Characteristic	UOR [95% CI]	AOR [a] [95% CI]
Age	1.03[1.00-1.05]	
27-45	Ref	
46-60	1.00 [0.31- 3.25]	1.41[0.38-5.33]
61-94	1.25[0.40-3.97]	1.45 [0.38-5.54]
Sex		
Male	Ref	Ref
Female	2.23[1.21-4.11]*	3.33[1.63-6.80]**
Education		
No formal Education	Ref	Ref
Primary	0.88[0.42-1.77]	1.32[0.59-2.93]
Junior High	2.13[1.08-4.21]*	2.93[1.36-6.33]*
Secondary	1.34[0.61-2.96]	2.51[1.01-6.24]*
Tertiary	1.27[0.37-4.34]	1.84[0.47-7.12]
Length of diagnosis (years)		
1	Ref	Ref
2 - 5	0.33[0.14-0.77]*	0.63[0.19-2.08]
6 - 10	0.48[0.21-1.11]	0.88[0.26-2.93]
11- 40	0.88[0.37-2.05]	1.12[0.34-4.08]
Comorbidity		
No comorbidity	Ref	Ref
Comorbidity present	2.76[1.64-4.64]*	2.66[1.46-4. 83]**
Dyslipidaemia		
Not present	Ref	Ref
Present	0.28[0.11-0.72]**	0.30[0.10-0.85]*
Stroke		
Not present	Ref	Ref
Present	1.18[0.41-3.34]	1.82[0.54-6.06]
No. BP pills taken per day		
1-2 pills	Ref	Ref
3-4 pills	0.37[0.22-0.61]***	0.33[0.19-0.56]**

UOR: Unadjusted odds ratio [a] Adjusted for all items in the table

AOR: Adjusted odds ratio   * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001

Discussion

The findings of this study are indicative both of low knowledge of hypertension and blood pressure control among clients. Factors that were significantly associated with increased BP control were sex, education and the presence of comorbidity. Females achieved BP control more than their male counterparts, a finding in congruence with recent evidence from the Ghanaian setting (17, 18). This improved control among females could be the result of higher levels of awareness and treatment of

hypertension among females than males (17). It is nonetheless a worrying observation considering the higher incidence of stroke among Ghanaian males than females (23).

Although knowledge of hypertension in this study was critically lower than available estimates, it is in consistent with reports indicating low knowledge of hypertension among Ghanaians (18, 19, 24). These low levels of knowledge are nonetheless comparable to levels recorded in South Africa where only 0.3% of respondents were found to have good knowledge (25). Surprisingly whereas others have found significant associations between knowledge of hypertension and hypertension control (26, 27), no significant association between knowledge and hypertension control was observed in this study.

In this study, blood pressure control was positively associated with junior high and senior high education compared to those with no formal education. A finding in contrast to recent district level findings in Ghana but indicative of opportunities for health education among clients that have attained at least junior secondary education (19).

A larger proportion of clients in this study with comorbidities had achieved BP control than those without comorbidities. It is plausible, that comorbid clients, may have been more adherent to antihypertensive medications as a product of receiving some counselling about the risks associated with their conditions from interactions with their physicians. This awareness of higher risk could have given rise to an increased observance of the therapy prescribed, thus the consequent attainment of BP control. This finding gains traction from studies that suggest that patients who are prescribed multiple pills are motivated to take medication because of the perceived severity of their condition and are less likely to have missed doses (28)

Among clients who report taking 3-4 pills per day, the observed reduction in control may be a result of the stress of a high pill burden. Similar results from more developed settings showed that patients who were on three or more antihypertensive pills were likely to miss their medication or have a treatment gap compared to those who were being treated on two pills (29). Given the recent evidence that also indicates reduced BP control with an increasing number of antihypertensive medications(19), control among such patients could be improved with the use of fixed-dose combination (FDC) poly-pills to simplify treatment (30-32).

The reported reasons for missed medications highlighted forgetfulness as the prime cause. For adult patients, it is plausible that forgetfulness is due to the competing psychosocial demands of daily life. Medication side effects, high pill burden, cost of medication, belief in divine intervention, belief that one is cured and distrust of orthodox medicine were reasons given by respondents for poor adherence. These reasons are nonetheless similar to those identified in a Nigerian study on hypertension medication adherence (16).

Conclusion

This study concludes that knowledge of hypertension among patients is low. Although sex, formal education and the presence of comorbidity and more specifically dyslipidaemia influences blood pressure control. High pill burden negatively affects the attainment of blood pressure control. The study also adds to the growing body of evidence highlighting the consistently low rates of hypertension control in Ghana over the last decade(33).

Limitations

Information concerning knowledge and management of hypertension was self-reported lending itself to recall and information bias. All other client history and comorbidity was reviewed from records.

Recommendation

Physician-patient communication on the importance of treatment for the control and improvement in the condition must be encouraged to foster awareness and adherence. There is also a need for treatment guidelines with an emphasis on the use of polypills to reduce pill burden and improve blood pressure control.

List Of Abbreviations

BP   Blood pressure

CVD   Cardiovascular disease

LMICS   Low and middle-income countries

GHS   Ghana Health Services

NCDs   Non-communicable diseases

LEKMA   Ledzokuku Krowor Municipal assembly

BP   Blood Pressure

CI   Confidence Interval

CKD   Chronic Kidney Disease

CVD   Cardiovascular Disease

DBP   Diastolic Blood Pressure

FDC   Fixed-dose combination

SBP   Systolic Blood Pressure

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical clearance was sought from the Ghana Health Service Ethical Review Committee with approval number (GHS-ERC: 037/12/17). Permission to conduct research at Ghana Health Service facilities was also sought from the Regional Directorate of Ghana Health Service, Greater Accra region and the Municipal Directorates of both facilities. Informed consent was obtained from all participants after the objectives, procedures and potential risks and benefits of the study had been thoroughly explained to them.

Consent for publication

All personal identifiers were excluded from the data before analyses were performed.

Data Availability

The datasets used and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors Contributions

DEO, KT, and AM conceptualized the research topic. DEO analyzed the data. DEO, AM, EMA, AL, JA, and KT were major contributors in writing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to the management and staff of the La Dadekotopon and Ledzokuku Krowor Municipal Assemblies, the La General and LEKMA hospitals, and the clients for their support during the study.

References

1. Berlowitz DR, Ash AS, Hickey EC, Friedman RH, Glickman M, Kader B, et al. Inadequate management of blood pressure in a hypertensive population. The New England journal of medicine. 1998;339(27):1957-63.

2. Devkota S, Dhungana RR, Pandey AR, Bista B, Panthi S, Thakur KK, et al. Barriers to Treatment and Control of Hypertension among Hypertensive Participants: A Community-Based Cross-sectional Mixed Method Study in Municipalities of Kathmandu, Nepal. Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine. 2016;3:26.

3. Melgarejo JD, Maestre GE, Thijs L, Asayama K, Boggia J, Casiglia E, et al. Prevalence, Treatment, and Control Rates of Conventional and Ambulatory Hypertension Across 10 Populations in 3 Continents. Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979). 2017;70(1):50-8.

4. Khatib R, Schwalm JD, Yusuf S, Haynes RB, McKee M, Khan M, et al. Patient and healthcare provider barriers to hypertension awareness, treatment and follow up: a systematic review and meta-analysis of qualitative and quantitative studies. PloS one. 2014;9(1):e84238.

5. Addo J, Agyemang C, Smeeth L, de-Graft Aikins A, Edusei AK, Ogedegbe O. A review of population-based studies on hypertension in Ghana. Ghana medical journal. 2012;46(2 Suppl):4-11.

6. WHO. Sdg Health and Health-Related Targets. . 2016.

7. Dennis T, Meera N, Binny K, Sekhar MS, Kishore G, Sasidharan S. Medication adherence and associated barriers in hypertension management in India. Journal of Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and control. 2011;6(1):9-13.

8. Ghana Statistical Service, Ghana Health Service, International. I. Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Rockville, Maryland, USA: GSS, GHS, and ICF International. ; 2015.

9. Agyemang C, Nyaaba G, Beune E, Meeks K, Owusu-Dabo E, Addo J, et al. Variations in hypertension awareness, treatment, and control among Ghanaian migrants living in Amsterdam, Berlin, London, and nonmigrant Ghanaians living in rural and urban Ghana—the RODAM study. Journal of Hypertension. 2017;36(1):169-77.

10. Burnier M. Drug adherence in hypertension. . Pathophysiology and Pharmacotherapy of Cardiovascular Disease. 2015; (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15961-4_43):919−33.

11. Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, Muntner P, Whelton PK, He J. Global burden of hypertension: analysis of worldwide data. Lancet (London, England). 2005;365(9455):217-23.

12. Akintunde AA, Akintunde TS. Antihypertensive Medications Adherence Among Nigerian Hypertensive Subjects in a Specialist Clinic Compared to a General Outpatient Clinic. Annals of medical and health sciences research. 2015;5(3):173-8.

13. Ogedegbe G. Barriers to optimal hypertension control. Journal of clinical hypertension (Greenwich, Conn). 2008;10(8):644-6.

14. Oliveria SA, Lapuerta P, McCarthy BD, L'Italien GJ, Berlowitz DR, Asch SM. Physician-related barriers to the effective management of uncontrolled hypertension. Archives of internal medicine. 2002;162(4):413-20.

15. Bhandari S, Sarma PS, Thankappan KR. Adherence to antihypertensive treatment and its determinants among urban slum dwellers in Kolkata, India. Asia-Pacific journal of public health. 2015;27(2):Np74-84.

16. Okwuonu CG, Ojimadu NE, Okaka EI, Akemokwe FM. Patient-related barriers to hypertension control in a Nigerian population. International journal of general medicine. 2014;7:345-53.

17. Awuah RB, Anarfi JK, Agyemang C, Ogedegbe G, Aikins Ad-GJJoh. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in urban poor communities in Accra, Ghana. 2014;32(6):1203-10.

18. Sanuade OA, Boatemaa S, Kushitor MK. Hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment and control in Ghanaian population: Evidence from the Ghana demographic and health survey. PloS one. 2018;13(11):e0205985.

19. Sarfo FS, Mobula LM, Burnham G, Ansong D, Plange-Rhule J, Sarfo-Kantanka O, et al. Factors associated with uncontrolled blood pressure among Ghanaians: Evidence from a multicenter hospital-based study. PloS one. 2018;13(3):e0193494.

20. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr., et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. Hypertension (Dallas, Tex : 1979). 2003;42(6):1206-52.

21. Beaglehole R, Bonita R, Ezzati M, Alleyne G, Dain K, Kishore SP, et al. NCD Countdown 2025: accountability for the 25× 25 NCD mortality reduction target. . The Lancet 2014;Jul 12;((9938)):105-7.

22. Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Bohm M, et al. 2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Journal of hypertension. 2013;31(7):1281-357.

23. Sarfo FS, Acheampong JW, Appiah LT, Oparebea E, Akpalu A, Bedu-Addo G. The profile of risk factors and in-patient outcomes of stroke in Kumasi, Ghana. . Ghana medical journal. 2014; 48 (3):127–34.

24. Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Ghana Health Service (GHS), ICFInternational. Demographic and Health Survey 2014. . Rockville, Maryland, USA: GSS, GHS, and ICF International; 2015.

25. Olowe OA, Ross AJ. Knowledge, adherence and control among patients with hypertension attending a peri-urban primary health care clinic, KwaZulu-Natal. African journal of primary health care & family medicine. 2017;9(1):e1-e7.

26. Abougalambou SS, Abougalambou AS, Sulaiman SA, Hassali MA. Prevalence of hypertension, control of blood pressure and treatment in hypertensive with type 2 diabetes in Hospital University Sains Malaysia. Diabetes & metabolic syndrome. 2011;5(3):115-9.

27. Morisky DE, Ang A, Krousel-Wood M, Ward HJ. Predictive validity of a medication adherence measure in an outpatient setting. Journal of clinical hypertension (Greenwich, Conn). 2008;10(5):348-54.

28. Hashmi SK, Afridi MB, Abbas K, Sajwani RA, Saleheen D, Frossard PM, et al. Factors associated with adherence to anti-hypertensive treatment in Pakistan. PloS one. 2007;2(3):e280.

29. Panjabi S, Lacey M, Bancroft T, Cao F. Treatment adherence, clinical outcomes, and economics of triple-drug therapy in hypertensive patients. Journal of the American Society of Hypertension : JASH. 2013;7(1):46-60.

30. Castellano JM, Sanz G, Penalvo JL, Bansilal S, Fernandez-Ortiz A, Alvarez L, et al. A polypill strategy to improve adherence: results from the FOCUS project. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014;64(20):2071-82.

31. Cimmaruta D, Lombardi N, Borghi C, Rosano G, Rossi F, Mugelli A. Polypill, hypertension and medication adherence: The solution strategy? International journal of cardiology. 2018;252:181-6.

32. Huffman MD, Xavier D, Perel P. Uses of polypills for cardiovascular disease and evidence to date. Lancet (London, England). 2017;389(10073):1055-65.

33. Bosu WK, Aheto JMK, Zucchelli E, Reilly S. Prevalence, awareness, and associated risk factors of hypertension in older adults in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. Systematic reviews. 2017;6(1):192.