

# Evaluation the relationship of left ventricular global longitudinal strain and laboratory parameters in discharged patients with COVID-19: A follow-up study

Derya BAYKIZ (✉ [derya.baykiz@hotmail.com](mailto:derya.baykiz@hotmail.com))

Istanbul Universitesi Istanbul Tip Fakultesi <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0666-6631>

Elif AYDUK GOVDELI

Istanbul Üniversitesi: Istanbul Universitesi

Pelin KARACA OZER

Istanbul Üniversitesi: Istanbul Universitesi

Ekrem Bilal KARAAYVAZ

Istanbul Üniversitesi: Istanbul Universitesi

Yunus CATMA

Istanbul Üniversitesi: Istanbul Universitesi

Alpay MEDETALIBEYOGLU

Istanbul Üniversitesi: Istanbul Universitesi

Atahan CAGATAY

Istanbul University: Istanbul Universitesi

Berrin UMMAN

Istanbul University: Istanbul Universitesi

Tufan TUKEK

Istanbul University: Istanbul Universitesi

Zehra BUGRA

Istanbul University: Istanbul Universitesi

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Left ventricular global longitudinal strain, Ferritin, Lactate dehydrogenase, Inflammation

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-233011/v1>

**License:**  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

## Abstract

# Background

The novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19) disease has spread rapidly and posed a great threat to global public health. The laboratory parameters and clinical outcomes of the disease in discharged patients remain unknown. In this study, we aimed to investigate the laboratory and echocardiographic findings of patients with COVID-19 after discharge and the relation between left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) and inflammatory parameters in discharged patients.

## Methods

A total of 75 patients recovering from COVID-19 as the study group were prospectively recruited from the COVID-19 outpatient clinic for their follow-up visits at a median 6 months after discharge. Patients were classified into groups according to pneumonia severity and impairment in LVGLS. Laboratory findings of patients both at admission and after discharge were evaluated and the relation with pneumonia severity at admission and LVGLS after discharge were analyzed.

## Results

Serum ferritin, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide (pro-BNP) levels after discharge were significantly higher in the study group than the control group (n = 44). Ferritin was found to be related to pneumonia severity. Serum ferritin and LDH values after discharge were significantly higher in patients with impaired LVGLS than those with preserved. There was a significant correlation between LVGLS, serum ferritin and LDH values after discharge ( $r = -0.252$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ;  $r = -0.268$ ,  $p = 0.005$ , respectively).

## Conclusions

Clinicians should pay close attention to the serum ferritin and LDH levels in discharged patients for predicting the severity of COVID-19 disease and early identification of subclinical left ventricular myocardial dysfunction.

## Introduction

The new severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which is the etiological agent of novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19) disease caused a worldwide pandemic. The first known COVID-19 case appeared in Wuhan, China, in Dec 2019, then in March 2020, COVID-19 was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO). It is still spreading rapidly around the world and threatening global health [1]. COVID-19 infection may exhibit several clinical manifestations varying from mild respiratory illness to severe pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). The most common symptoms seen in patients with novel COVID-19 pneumonia are fever, cough, dyspnea and fatigue [2].

In COVID-19 infection, monocyte and macrophage systems are activated by rapid viral replication of SARS-CoV-2 and release cytokines and chemokines. The release of excessive pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines triggers inflammatory responses and leads to cytokine storms. Due to inflammatory cytokine storm, the disease progresses to ARDS, being generally fatal and it also causes multiorgan failure.

Many studies have shown that inflammatory responses play a pivotal role in the severity and prognosis of COVID-19 disease [3]. Several inflammatory markers have been found to be related to disease severity and mortality in hospitalized patients. These inflammatory markers such as procalcitonin (PCT), C-reactive protein (CRP), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), serum ferritin, and interleukin-6 (IL-6) have been observed to be significantly associated with the development of severe COVID-19 disease [4, 5]. Additionally, lymphocytopenia is common in COVID-19 infection and thought to be associated with disease severity and mortality [6].

Although COVID-19 affects multiple organ systems, the involvement of the cardiovascular system is of high importance to predict morbidity and mortality [2]. Prior studies have confirmed that COVID-19 generates acute myocardial injury and consequently cardiovascular complications [7]. The mechanism of acute myocardial injury in COVID-19 is not clear, however it can be explained by several mechanisms such as ischaemic myocardial injury, myocarditis, microvascular damage, hypoxemia, arrhythmias, cytokine-related injury, or even stress cardiomyopathy [7, 8].

To show the degrees of myocardial injury, elevated cardiac biomarkers and/or abnormalities on transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) can be used. Although TTE is the initial imaging modality to detect myocardial damage of COVID-19, speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) which tracks unique speckle pathways during the cardiac cycle provides an objective measurement of myocardial deformation and strain values. Left ventricular global longitudinal strain (LVGLS) measured by STE, has been shown to have prognostic implications independent of LV ejection fraction (LVEF) in many cardiovascular diseases [9].

We consider that the evaluation of the inflammatory markers in COVID-19 course is critically important in both diagnosis and follow-up. Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate the laboratory examination of patients recovered from COVID-19 both at hospital admission and after discharge and mainly analyzed the association of the inflammatory markers with the severity of pneumonia at admission and LVGLS after discharge. To the best of our knowledge, in the literature, there is no study investigating this relationship in discharged patients from COVID-19.

## Methods

### Study Population

A total of 75 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 via positive result of real time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for SARS-CoV-2 RNA between April 2020 and June 2020 were prospectively recruited to the study from our outpatient clinic. A total of 44 individuals matching the study group in age, gender and comorbidities were included as the control group. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the enrollment. The study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki, and this single-center, prospective, observational study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Istanbul University (2020/09/1185).

Patients with acute coronary events within 3 months, coronary artery disease, severe valvular heart disease, patients with an EF of < 50%, stage 2-3 hypertension, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus (HBA1c  $\geq$ 8), chronic renal failure (estimated GFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>), severe chronic lung or chronic liver disease, chronic inflammatory diseases, rheumatic diseases, the history of myocarditis, malignancy, patients younger than 18 years of age, thyroid disorder and poor echogenicity were excluded from the study.

### Study Protocol

Demographic, laboratory and clinical data at hospital admission were obtained from the hospital electronic medical records and carefully reviewed. Additionally, the control laboratory findings of the patients were recorded and a detailed transthoracic echocardiographic examination was performed in all patients when they come to have their follow-up visits to our COVID-19 outpatient clinic at a median 6 months after discharge.

Complete blood count, including total white blood cell (WBC) counts, neutrophil, and lymphocyte counts, neutrophil and lymphocyte percentages, and biochemical analyses such as renal and liver function parameters, CRP, D-dimer, fibrinogen, PCT, ferritin, LDH and prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide (pro-BNP) both at hospital admission and after discharge were determined for all patients. Besides, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) which is a composite index of systemic inflammation was calculated. The hemogram data of the patients were studied with the Beckman Coulter L780 device and the biochemical tests with the Roche Cobas C501-C601 device.

**TTE and STE:** On the same day, a detailed transthoracic echocardiographic examination and 2D-STE with an iE33xMATRIX ultrasound system (Philips Medical Systems, Andover, Massachusetts) using an X5-1 (1–5 MHz) transducer were performed in all patients by two experienced cardiologists blinded to the study groups. Conventional echocardiographic measurements were performed by the recommendations of the American Society of Echocardiography [10]. Echocardiographic images were obtained in the parasternal and apical views. LVEF, LV end-diastolic volume (EDV), LV end-systolic volume (ESV) were measured using the modified Simpson's biplane method [11]. Left atrial volume was measured using the biplane method in four and two apical chamber views and indexed to body surface area (BSA).

LV diastolic function was assessed by the peak early diastolic filling (E wave) velocity to the late diastolic filling (A wave) velocity as the E/A ratio and transmitral E to the mean of LV septal and lateral early diastolic tissue velocities (mean e') as E/ e' ratio. Right ventricular (RV) diameter was determined from the apical four chamber view. Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) was measured on M-mode. Systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) was calculated by tricuspid regurgitation peak velocity.

### 2D-STE

LVGLS was measured by 2D-STE to determine myocardial deformation. Measurements of LVGLS were performed offline using commercially available software (QLab) program. The endocardial borders were automatically traced by the software program at the end of systole by aortic valve closure. If required, manual adjustments were made by the physician.

The apical four-chamber and two-chamber views were used for LVGLS analysis. The mean GLS was measured by averaging the peak GLS values of apical four-chamber, and two-chamber images. LVGLS analysis was made by a single experienced cardiologist (EAG). The cut-off value of impaired LVGLS was accepted as  $-16\%$  as described in previous studies [12,13]. An equal or lower than  $-16\%$  of LVGLS was determined as impaired LVGLS.

## Grouping

The study population included 75 patients who recovered from COVID-19 infection as the study group and 44 patients who had no COVID-19 infection as the control group. The study group was categorized into 3 groups as those with mild pneumonia, those with severe pneumonia and those without pulmonary involvement based on chest computed tomography (CT) reports at admission.

All patients had an equal or greater than 50% of LVEF. Besides, the study group was classified into 2 groups based on LVGLS values after discharge which were determined to be impaired or preserved. The laboratory findings of patients both at admission and after discharge were assessed between 2 groups.

## Statistical Methods

All statistical tests were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 26.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to analyze the normality of the data. Continuous data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD), and categorical data are expressed as percentages. A chi-square or Fisher's exact test was used to assess the differences in categorical variables between the groups. A Student's t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare unpaired samples as needed. The relationships among the parameters were assessed using Pearson's or Spearman's correlation analysis according to the normality of the data. The primary analysis used ANOVA to compare all reported data for parametric variables, whereas the Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparison among non-parametric variables between the groups. We used Tukey's or Tamhane's post hoc analysis test for significant deviations showed by ANOVA and used Dunn's test for non-parametric pairwise multiple comparisons procedure by the Kruskal–Wallis. A p-value of 0.017 adjusted by the Bonferroni method was used in pairwise comparisons of categorical variables. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, sensitivity, specificity and area under the curve (AUC) were calculated to evaluate the values of biochemical markers in predicting the impairment of LVGLS. Significance was assumed at a two-sided  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Clinical characteristics and laboratory findings

A total of 119 patients were enrolled in the present study. Of 119 patients, 44 patients were the control group and 75 patients consisted the study group (Fig.1). The demographic, clinical data, echocardiographic and laboratory findings of the subjects are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the study group was  $49.6 \pm 13.6$  years and the mean age of the control group was  $44.9 \pm 12.7$  years. Among 119 patients, 50 (42%) were male and 69 (58%) were female. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of age, gender, current smoking, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, resting heart rate and body mass index.

In laboratory findings after discharge; serum ferritin, LDH and pro-BNP levels were significantly higher in the study group compared to the control group. There was no statistical difference between the groups in terms of CRP, D-dimer, fibrinogen, NLR, hematologic and other biochemical parameters.

Baseline and clinical characteristics, the treatment received, echocardiographic parameters and laboratory findings of the study population according to pneumonia severity were described in Table 2A,2B. Serum ferritin values both at admission and after discharge were significantly higher in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia compared to those without pulmonary involvement. Serum LDH levels in both visits tended to be higher in the severe pneumonia group but this finding did not reach statistical significance. Lymphocyte, WBC and platelet counts after discharge were significantly different among the groups. Other inflammatory and hematologic parameters both at admission and after discharge showed no difference between the groups.

### Echocardiographic characteristics

Conventional echocardiography parameters and LVGLS values were compared between the study and control group in follow-up visit (Table 1). Compared with the control group; LVEF values, LV end-diastole diameter (LVEDD), right atrial (RA) diameter, E/e' ratio and left atrial volume index (LAVI) showed no significant difference in the study group. However, LVEDV, LVESV, left atrial (LA) diameter, RV diameter, TAPSE and sPAP were significantly higher and LVGLS values and E/A ratio were significantly lower in the study group compared to the control group (Fig. 2). The LVGLS values of the control and study groups were  $-18.3 \pm 2.3\%$ , and  $-16.7 \pm 3.7\%$ , respectively ( $p=0.01$ ).

In addition, according to the groups of pneumonia severity at admission; LA diameter, E/A ratio, TAPSE, sPAP and LVGLS values showed statistically significant difference among the groups in follow-up (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the comparison of the laboratory findings between the patients with preserved LVGLS  $> -16\%$  and impaired LVGLS  $\leq -16\%$ . Serum ferritin, LDH, pro-BNP and hemoglobin values after discharge were significantly higher in patients with impaired LVGLS compared to those with preserved (Table 3, Fig.3). Platelet counts were found to be lower in patients with impaired LVGLS. There was no significant association between LVGLS and the other laboratory parameters both at admission and after discharge (Table 3).

In correlation analysis; LVGLS was negatively correlated with age, pneumonia severity, ferritin and CRP levels at hospital admission ( $r = -0.270$ ,  $p = 0.004$ ;  $r = -0.352$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ;  $r = -0.300$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ; and  $r = -0.280$ ,  $p = 0.01$ , respectively). There was a significant correlation between LVGLS values, serum ferritin and LDH levels after discharge ( $r = -0.252$ ,  $p = 0.012$ ;  $r = -0.268$ ,  $p = 0.005$ , respectively) (Table 4, Fig.4)

According to ROC analysis; serum ferritin values at hospital admission predict impaired LVGLS with 67.9% sensitivity and 67.4% specificity with a cutoff of 113.05 ng/ml ( $p=0.004$ , AUC 0.698, 95% CI 0.572-0.824). Serum ferritin values after discharge predict impaired LVGLS with 54.8% sensitivity and 67.9% specificity with a cutoff of 56 ng/ml ( $p=0.005$ , AUC 0.668, 95% CI 0.562-0.773) (Fig.5).

A cutoff value of 22.42 mg/l of serum CRP levels at admission resulted in a 56.7% sensitivity and 79.2% specificity for predicting impaired LVGLS ( $p=0.006$ , AUC 0.684, 95% CI 0.560-0.808).

Serum LDH values after discharge predict impaired LVGLS with 68.2% sensitivity and 66.2% specificity with a cutoff of 188.5 U/l ( $p=0.001$ , AUC 0.693, 95% CI 0.594-0.792).

## Discussion

In this study, we analyzed the laboratory examination of COVID-19 patients, especially hematological and inflammatory parameters both at admission and after discharge and evaluated the relationship with LVGLS in follow-up. The remarkable findings of our study are as follows:

(i) Among the inflammatory markers, only serum ferritin levels both at hospital admission and after discharge were found to be significantly associated with the severity of pneumonia.

(ii) LVGLS values after discharge were significantly lower in the group with severe pneumonia than those without pulmonary involvement (see Table 2).

(iii) As the most interesting findings of our study, the patients recovering from the COVID-19 infection who had higher serum ferritin and LDH levels in control laboratory analysis after discharge demonstrated reduced LVGLS values in follow-up visits. We consider that these patients may benefit from close monitoring of long term outcomes such as heart failure and left ventricular dysfunction. Therefore, clinicians should pay close attention to early identification of subclinical myocardial injury by measurements of LVGLS in patients with high serum ferritin and LDH levels after discharge.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to specifically demonstrate the relationship between the biochemical, hematological parameters and LVGLS in patients recovered from COVID-19 in follow-up visits.

COVID-19 infection may present various hematological and biochemical changes according to the severity of inflammatory response. Wan et al demonstrated that cytokine storm is crucial in the progression from mild to severe disease, leading to ARDS and even death. [14].

Due to the monocyte and macrophage system activation and inflammatory cytokine storm, marked variabilities are observed in inflammatory parameters. Therefore, it is crucial to identify the inflammatory markers associated with the prognosis and outcomes of the disease.

Zeng et al found that inflammatory markers, particularly CRP, PCT, IL-6 and ferritin were positively correlated with the severity of COVID-19 [15]. In a meta-analysis by Henry et al, in particular, IL-6 and serum ferritin were strong discriminators for severe and fatal COVID-19 disease [16]. Likewise, Qin et al found CRP, ferritin and LDH higher in severe and critically ill patients than those with non-severe [17]. In another study, Guan et al. presented several biochemical findings so that CRP and elevated LDH showed a more marked increase in more severe cases compared with the non-severe ones [2].

Serum ferritin is a key mediator in immune system by pro-inflammatory effects. It increases in inflammation and contributes to the cytokine storm [18]. Prior studies found serum ferritin levels associated with disease severity and mortality in COVID-19 infection [19, 20]. Besides, Wu

et al. found high serum ferritin levels associated with the development of ARDS in COVID-19 [21].

LDH is an intracellular enzyme and is present in cells in almost all organ systems with highest levels in heart, lungs, liver, kidneys, muscles and blood cells. LDH has been used as a marker of acute and chronic tissue damage and is considered an inflammatory marker [22]. Previous studies demonstrated that there was an association between LDH values and worse outcomes in COVID-19 patients. Li et al found that elevated serum LDH at admission remained a more independent risk factor among the other inflammatory markers for COVID-19 severity and mortality [23]. Therefore, we suggest that elevated serum LDH levels in both acute and chronic phase may be used as an important tool in determining clinical outcomes and prognosis in COVID-19.

In the present study, there was a significant association between the COVID-19 pneumonia and serum ferritin levels both at admission and after discharge. Serum ferritin increased in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia compared to those without pulmonary involvement. Although serum LDH levels in both visits were higher in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia, statistical significance was weak. Serum ferritin and LDH levels after discharge were significantly higher in the study group than the control group. CRP, D-dimer, PCT and fibrinogen have been found significant markers in assessing disease severity in many studies, whereas we found no significant association between them in our study. These findings may be related to the small sample size, especially in the severe pneumonia group. We suggested that serum ferritin may be a more sensitive and potent inflammatory marker in the prediction of the disease severity among the others in both acute and chronic stages of the disease.

Neutrophilia and lymphocytopenia occur as physiological responses of the innate immune system to systemic inflammation. In COVID-19 disease, inflammatory cytokines may accelerate lymphocyte apoptosis [24] and further trigger the migration of blood lymphocytes to lymph nodes and into inflamed tissues; leading to inflammatory lymphopenia. Recently, NLR, a composite index of systemic inflammation has been proposed as a novel prognostic marker in both cardiac and non-cardiac diseases [25, 26]. In many studies, NLR was found to be significantly higher in severe COVID-19 disease [27]. NLR has been suggested as an independent risk factor for predicting COVID-19 infection and it may determine the prognosis [27].

However, our study demonstrated no statistical difference between NLR and pneumonia severity in both visits. On the other hand, lymphocyte and WBC counts slightly increased in patients with severe pneumonia in follow-up visits. This was an interesting finding in the present study and this may potentially suggest that the increase in WBC and lymphocyte counts may have occurred as a compensatory response to systemic inflammatory changes in the chronic phase.

COVID-19 disease can affect multiple organ systems, however the higher mortality in patients with COVID-19 may be attributed to cardiovascular involvement with worsening prognosis. The mechanisms of cardiovascular injury may be due to multiple factors.

Myocardial injury may occur through direct and indirect mechanisms in COVID-19 patients. Direct cardiotoxicity may occur by viral infiltration of myocardium as direct mechanism. Indirect mechanisms may involve stress-induced cardiomyopathy through respiratory failure and hypoxemia and myocardial inflammation by pro-inflammatory cytokines [28]. Activation of the immune response with cytokine storm leads to myocardial inflammation and myocardial suppression [29]. Moreover, hypercytokinemia causes endothelial damage, generating a state of hypercoagulability, plaque instability and thromboembolic events [29, 30]. However, the main components of the myocardial injury are inflammatory mechanisms in COVID-19 [28].

The early identification of myocardial injury is crucial to prevent poor clinic outcomes and mortality [31]. LVGLS analysis by STE demonstrates myocardial deformation earlier and may determine subclinical left ventricular myocardial dysfunction in case of preserved LVEF. Recently, GLS analysis using STE is a sensitive and reliable method for determining occult myocardial injury and has additional prognostic information [32, 33]. For this reason, both in the acute setting and the follow-up period, LVGLS analysis may be an important imaging tool for management, risk stratification and prognosis of COVID-19 patients.

In this study, the patients with a low LVEF were excluded and subclinical myocardial injury was determined by LVGLS analysis in patients affected by COVID-19 with preserved LVEF.

The present study showed that serum ferritin, LDH and pro-BNP values after discharge were significantly higher in patients with impaired LVGLS. There was a significant correlation between serum ferritin, LDH levels and LVGLS at a median 6 months after discharge. Serum ferritin and LDH levels were negatively correlated with LVGLS values in patients recovered from COVID-19 in follow up visits. We found no statistical difference between LVGLS and the other inflammatory markers both at admission and after discharge. Additionally, we found higher hemoglobin values and lower platelet counts in patients with impaired LVGLS than those with preserved. These results may be related to the outcomes of the severe COVID-19 disease in the chronic settings.

Herein, we may deduce that patients- once recovered from the COVID-19 infection with higher serum ferritin and LDH levels after discharge should be observed closely for future cardiac events such as left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure or arrhythmia in long term follow-up.

## Limitations Of The Study

This study has several limitations. First, the sample size of the study groups was relatively small since it is a single center study. Second, we used inflammatory markers such as ferritin, CRP, PCT, NLR, D-Dimer and LDH levels to represent inflammation. However, it would be better to measure interleukins such as IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-alpha which play an important role in inflammatory response in COVID-19 to have more validated results. Third, we have no endpoints such as mortality, or prognosis of patients affected by COVID-19. Long term follow-up is needed to show the impact of reduced LVGLS for future cardiac events.

## Conclusion

In this study, inexpensive and easily available clinic parameters/inflammatory markers are investigated for predicting the severity of COVID-19 pneumonia, occult myocardial injury and prognosis in follow-up. In conclusion, serum ferritin and LDH values are found to be statistically significant and would provide important clues for clinicians to pay close attention to the management of the disease and the early identification of left ventricular dysfunction and myocardial injury in follow up visits. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm our results.

## Declarations

**Acknowledgements:** We thank Yunus Govdeli for English grammar editing.

**Funding:** None.

**Declaration of Conflicting Interests:** The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## References

1. Arshad Ali S, Baloch M, Ahmed N et al (2020) The outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)-an emerging global health threat. *J Infect Public Health* 13:644–646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2020.02.033>
2. Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y et al (2020) Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med* 382:1708-1720. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032>.
3. Zhou Y, Fu B, Zheng X et al (2020) Pathogenic T cells and inflammatory monocytes incite inflammatory storm in severe COVID-19 patients. *Natl Sci Rev* 13:nwaa041. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa041>
4. Cheng K, Wei M, Shen H et al (2020) Clinical characteristics of 463 patients with common and severe type coronavirus disease (In Chinese). *Shanghai Med J* 1–15.
5. Gao Y, Li T, Han M et al (2020) Diagnostic utility of clinical laboratory data determinations for patients with the severe COVID-19. *J Med Virol*;
6. Chan JF, Yuan S, Kok KH et al (2020) A familial cluster of pneumonia associated with the 2019 novel coronavirus indicating person-to-person transmission: a study of a family cluster. *Lancet* 395:514–523. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30154-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30154-9)
7. Guo T, Fan Y, Chen M et al (2020) Cardiovascular implications of fatal outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *JAMA Cardiol*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamacardio.2020.1017>.
8. Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY et al (2020) COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 17:259–260.
9. Biering-Sorensen T, Biering-Sorensen SR, Olsen FJ et al (2017) Global longitudinal strain by echocardiography predicts long-term risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in a low risk general population: the Copenhagen City Heart study. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 10(3), e005521
10. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB et al (2005) Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 18:1440-1463.
11. Schiller NB, Acquatella H, Ports TA et al (1979) Left ventricular volume from paired biplane two dimensional echocardiography. *Circulation* 60:547–555

12. Yingchoncharoen T, Agarwal S, Popovic ZB et al (2013) Normal ranges of left ventricular strain: a meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 26:185-191.
13. Yang H, Wright L, Negishi T et al (2018) Research to Practice: Assessment of Left Ventricular Global Longitudinal Strain for Surveillance of Cancer Chemotherapeutic-Related Cardiac Dysfunction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 11:1196-1201.
14. X. Wan, Q.J. Yi, S.B. Fan et al (2020) Characteristics of lymphocyte subsets and cytokines in peripheral blood of 123 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (NCP), medRxiv, <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.10.20021832>.
15. Zeng F, Huang Y, Guo Y et al (2020) Association of inflammatory markers with the severity of COVID-19: A meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 96:467-474
16. M. Henry, M.H.S. de Oliveira, S. Benoit et al (2020) Hematologic, biochemical and immune biomarker abnormalities associated with severe illness and mortality in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a meta-analysis *Clin Chem Lab Med* [j/cclm.ahead-ofprint/https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2020-0369](https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2020-0369).
17. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z et al (2020) Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020;
18. Abbaspour N, Hurrell R, Kelishadi R (2014) Review on iron and its importance for human health. *Research J Med Sci* 19: 164–174.
19. Tural Onur S, Altın S, Sokucu SN et al (2020) Could ferritin level be an indicator of COVID-19 disease mortality? *J Med Virol*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.26543>.
20. Tao Liu, Jieying Zhang, Yuhui Yang et al (2020) The potential role of IL-6 in monitoring severe case of coronavirus disease 2019. medRxiv 2020.03.01.20029769; <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.01.20029769>
21. Wu C, Chen X, Cai Y et al (2020) Risk factors associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA Intern Med*. <https://doi.org/1001/jamainternmed.2020.0994>. [Epub ahead of print]
22. Sepulveda (2013) Challenges in Routine Clinical Chemistry Analysis: Proteins and Enzymes. Editor(s): A. Dasgupta, J. L. Sepulveda, Chapter 9, *Accurate Results in the Clinical Laboratory*. Elsevier 131-148.
23. Li C, Ye J, Chen Q et al (2020) Elevated Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) level as an independent risk factor for the severity and mortality of COVID-19. *Aging* 12:15670-15681
24. Chan PK, Chen GG (2008) Mechanisms of lymphocyte loss in SARS coronavirus infection. *Hong Kong Med J* 14: 21–26.
25. Balta S, Demirkol S, Unlu M et al (2013) Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio may be predict of mortality in all conditions. *Br J Cancer* 109: 3125.
26. IJsselmuiden AJ, Musters RJ, De Ruyter G et al (2008) Circulating white blood cells and platelets amplify oxidative stress in heart failure. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 5: 811.
27. Yufei Y, Mingli L, Xuejiao L et al (2020) Utility of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and C-reactive protein level for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Scand J Clin Lab Invest* 80:536-540
28. Akbarshakh A, Marban E (2020) COVID-19 and the heart. *Circ Res* 126: 1443–1455
29. Prabhu SD (2004) Cytokine-induced modulation of cardiac function. *Circ Res* 95: 1140–1153.
30. Levi M, van der Poll T, Büller HR (2004) Bidirectional relation between inflammation and coagulation. *Circulation* 109:2698–2704.
31. Zhou B, She J, Wang Y et al (2020) The clinical characteristics of myocardial injury in severe and very severe patients with novel coronavirus disease. *J Infect*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.021>.
32. Potter E, Marwick TH (2018) Assessment of left ventricular function by echocardiography: the case for routinely adding global longitudinal strain to ejection fraction. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 11:260–274
33. Jung IH, Park JH, Lee JA et al (2020) Left ventricular global longitudinal strain as a predictor for left ventricular reverse remodeling in dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Cardiovasc Imaging* 28:137–149

## Tables

**Table 1.** Baseline, clinical characteristics, laboratory and echocardiographic findings of study and control groups

	Total patients (n=119)	COVID-19 (n=75)	Control (n=44)	p-value
Age (year)	48 ± 13,4	49,6 ± 13,6	44,9 ± 12,7	0,072
Gender, Male, n(%)	50 (42%)	36 (48%)	14 (31,8%)	0,084
Female, n(%)	69 (58%)	39 (52%)	30 (68,2%)	
HR (bpm)	79,5 ± 13,8	80,9 ± 13,6	76,7 ± 14	0,146
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28 ± 5	28,5 ± 4,7	27,2 ± 5,4	0,162
HT, n(%)	33 (27,7%)	23 (30,7%)	10 (22,7%)	0,350
DM, n(%)	18 (15,1%)	13 (17,3%)	5 (11,4%)	0,380
Smoking, n(%)	33 (27,7%)	17 (22,7%)	16 (36,4%)	0,107
<b>Laboratory Findings After Discharge</b>				
Ferritin (ng/ml)	49,8 (3-1010)	53,5 (7-1010)	32 (3-212)	0,034*
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	333,5 (180-648)	328 (180-511)	344,5 (287-648)	0,105
CRP (mg/l)	2 (0-38,8)	2,3 (0,1-38,8)	2 (0-24)	0,747
D-dimer (µg/L)	340 (170-1910)	340 (170-1910)	470 (210-1330)	0,343
Hgb (gr/dl)	13,2 (8,5-17)	13,2 (8,5-16,4)	13 (11-17)	0,097
RBC (10 <sup>9</sup> /µl)	4,7 (0,2-5,7)	4,7 (0,2-5,7)	4,6 (3,6-5,7)	0,579
Hematocrit (%)	40 (19-49,2)	40,8 (19-48,3)	40 (33,2-49,2)	0,871
Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio	1,8 (0,6-7,6)	1,8 (0,6-7,6)	1,9 (0,6-3,8)	0,969
Neutrophil (10 <sup>9</sup> /µl)	3,7 (1,4-16,6)	3,5 (1,4-16,6)	3,9 (1,6-7,8)	0,205
Lymphocyte (10 <sup>9</sup> /µl)	2,2 (0,5-6,9)	2,1 (0,5-4,1)	2,4 (1,1-6,9)	0,105
WBC (10 <sup>9</sup> /µl)	6,7 (2,4-19,6)	6,1 (2,4-19,6)	7 (4,4-13,5)	0,149
Lymphocyte %	32,9 ± 7,7	32,7 ± 7,7	33,2 ± 7,8	0,721
Neutrophil %	57 ± 8,1	57 ± 8,3	57,1 ± 7,9	0,925
Platelet (10 <sup>9</sup> /µl)	239,5 (39,6-409)	236 (39,6-409)	241 (179-373)	0,305
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	43 (4-1258)	57 (5-1258)	34 (4-44)	0,005*
AST (U/l)	18,8 (9,3-237)	19,1 (10,4-237)	17,6 (9,3-82,9)	0,126
ALT (U/l)	18,4 (4,9-205)	19,2 (4,9-205)	18,2 (8-80,3)	0,770
BUN (mg/dl)	13,2 (5,9-44,3)	13,8 (6-37,1)	11,9 (5,9-44,3)	0,230
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0,8 (0,4-1,7)	0,8 (0,4-1,7)	0,8 (0,5-1,2)	0,372
LDH (U/l)	189,4 ± 39,6	195,4 ± 34,3	176,3 ± 47,3	0,019*
Uric acid (mg/dl)	5,2 ± 1,6	5,3 ± 1,7	4,9 ± 1,6	0,175
Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dl)	92,5 (69-268)	94 (69-268)	92,5 (69-159)	0,883
<b>Echocardiographic Findings</b>				
LVEDV (ml)	135,8 ± 24,4	138,9 ± 24,1	129,9 ± 24,2	0,050*
LVESV (ml)	53,5 ± 12,1	54,8 ± 12,1	51,2 ± 11,9	0,036*
LVEF (%)	64,8 ± 4,6	64,8 ± 4,9	65 ± 3,9	0,841
LVEDD (mm)	45 ± 3,9	45,5 ± 3,9	44,1 ± 4	0,055
LA (mm)	34,7 ± 4,5	36 ± 4,3	32,4 ± 4,1	<0,001*
RV (mm)	26,6 ± 2,4	26,9 ± 2,1	25,9 ± 2,8	0,020*
RA (mm)	31,3 ± 3,1	31,6 ± 3,2	30,8 ± 2,8	0,207
E/A ratio	1 ± 0,4	0,9 ± 0,3	1,2 ± 0,4	<0,001*
E/e' ratio	8,8 ± 3	8,9 ± 3,2	8,5 ± 2,6	0,782
LAVI (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )	18,6 ± 6,3	18,8 ± 6,6	18,3 ± 5,9	0,586
TAPSE (mm)	21,9 ± 3,5	21,5 ± 3,5	22,9 ± 3,4	0,046*
sPAP (mmHg)	22,8 ± 6,3	26 ± 5,1	17,8 ± 4,5	<0,001*
LVGLS (%)	-17,3 ± 3,4	-16,7 ± 3,7	-18,3 ± 2,3	0,010*

\*P < 0.05 Between control group and study group. Abbreviations: HR heart rate, BMI body mass index, HT hypertension, DM diabetes mellitus, CRP C reactive protein, Hgb hemoglobin, RBC red blood cell, WBC white blood cell, Pro-BNP prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide, AST aspartate transaminase, ALT alanine transaminase, BUN (blood urea nitrogen), LDH lactate dehydrogenase, LVEDV left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVESV left ventricular end systolic volume, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, LVEDD left ventricular end diastolic diameter, LA left atrium, RV right ventricle, RA right atrium, LAVI left atrium volume index, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, sPAP systolic pulmonary artery pressure, LVGLS left ventricular global longitudinal strain

**Table 2A. Demographic and clinical data, treatment received and echocardiographic findings of the groups**

	Control (n=44)	Without Pulmonary Involvement (n=34)	Mild Pneumonia (n=30)	Severe Pneumonia (n=11)	p-value
Age (year)	44,9 ± 12,7	47,8 ± 13,5	52,2 ± 12,3	48 ± 17,1	0,161
Gender, Male, n(%)	14 (31,8%)	14 (41,2%)	17 (56,7%)	5 (45,5%)	0,205
Female, n(%)	30 (68,2%)	20 (58,2%)	13 (43,3%)	6 (54,5%)	
HR (bpm)	76,7 ± 14	78,9 ± 13	82 ± 11,8	83,8 ± 19,2	0,319
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27,2 ± 5,4	27,4 ± 4,4	29,5 ± 4,1	29,7 ± 6,7	0,180
HT, n(%)	10 (22,7%)	12 (35,3%)	7 (23,3%)	4 (36,4%)	0,528
DM, n(%)	5 (11,4%)	5 (14,7%)	6 (20%)	2 (18,2%)	0,771
Smoking, n(%)	16 (36,4%)	8 (23,5%)	8 (26,7%)	1 (9,1%)	0,277
<b>Treatment</b>					
Hydroxychloroquine, n (%)	-	34 (100%)	30 (100%)	11 (100%)	-
Azithromycin, n (%)	-	11 (32,4%)	13 (43,3%)	6 (54,5%)	0,380
Favipiravir, n (%)	-	5 (14,7%)	5 (16,7%)	4 (36,4%)	0,259
Steroid, n (%)	-	0 (0%) <sup>e</sup>	1 (3,3%)	3(27,3%) <sup>e</sup>	0,002*
Immune modulator, n (%)	-	7 (20,6%)	7 (23,3%)	3 (27,3%)	0,894
Antibiotics, n (%)	-	16 (47,1%)	11 (36,7%)	4 (36,4%)	0,657
Hospital stay (days)	-	3,5 (0-18)	5,2 (0-26)	10,2 (0-33)	0,131
ICU admission, n (%)	-	1 (2,9%)	1 (3,3%)	2 (18,2%)	0,121
NIMV/ intubation, n (%)	-	3 (8,8%)	2 (6,7%)	2 (18,2%)	0,545
<b>Echocardiographic Findings</b>					
LVEDV (ml)	129,9 ± 24,2	139,2 ± 24	139,7 ± 26,6	135,7 ± 18,4	0,266
LESV (ml)	51,2 ± 11,9	54 ± 14,1	55,3 ± 11,5	55,6 ± 6,7	0,140
LVEF (%)	65 ± 3,9	65,2 ± 5,1	64,6 ± 5,2	63,6 ± 3,1	0,569
LVEDD (mm)	44,1 ± 4	45,6 ± 3,9	45,6 ± 4,2	45,1 ± 3	0,282
LA (mm)	32,4 ± 4,1 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	35,3 ± 4,2 <sup>a</sup>	36,2 ± 4,5 <sup>b</sup>	37,5 ± 4,2 <sup>c</sup>	0,001*
RV (mm)	25,9 ± 2,8	26,7 ± 2,2	27,4 ± 2,1	26,5 ± 1,6	0,070
RA (mm)	30,8 ± 2,8	31,1 ± 3,4	32,1 ± 3,3	31,8 ± 2,3	0,398
E/A ratio	1,2 ± 0,4 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	1 ± 0,3 <sup>a,d</sup>	0,9 ± 0,3 <sup>b,d</sup>	0,9 ± 0,3 <sup>c</sup>	<0,001*
E/e' ratio	8,5 ± 2,6	9,1 ± 3,8	9 ± 2,9	8,4 ± 1,4	0,939
LAVI (ml/m <sup>2</sup> )	18,3 ± 5,9	20 ± 7,6	17,9 ± 6,3	17,6 ± 2,2	0,602
TAPSE (mm)	22,9 ± 3,4 <sup>b</sup>	21,9 ± 3,4 <sup>d</sup>	20,1 ± 2,9 <sup>b,d,f</sup>	23,6 ± 4,2 <sup>f</sup>	0,008*
sPAP (mmHg)	17,8 ± 4,5 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	25,7 ± 5,5 <sup>a</sup>	26,4 ± 4,9 <sup>b</sup>	26 ± 4,3 <sup>c</sup>	<0,001*
LVGLS (%)	-18,3 ± 2,3 <sup>b,c</sup>	-18,2 ± 3,7 <sup>d,e</sup>	-15,3 ± 3,3 <sup>b,d</sup>	-15,6 ± 3,4 <sup>c,e</sup>	<0,001*

Abbreviations: HR heart rate, BMI body mass index, HT hypertension, DM diabetes mellitus, ICU intensive care unit, NIMV non invasive mechanical ventilation, LVEDV left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LESV left ventricular end systolic volume, LVEF left ventricular ejection

fraction, LVEDD left ventricular end diastolic diameter, LA left atrium, RV right ventricle, RA right atrium, LAVI left atrium volume index, TAPSE tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, sPAP systolic pulmonary artery pressure, LVGLS left ventricular global longitudinal strain

\*If there is  $p < 0.05$  as the significance level, P<sup>a</sup>: control vs without pulmonary involvement P<sup>b</sup>: control vs mild pneumonia, P<sup>c</sup>: control vs severe pneumonia, P<sup>d</sup>: without pulmonary involvement vs mild pneumonia, P<sup>e</sup>: without pulmonary involvement vs severe pneumonia, P<sup>f</sup>: mild pneumonia vs severe pneumonia

**Table 2B. Comparison of laboratory parameters both at admission and after discharge between the groups according to pneumonia severity**

	Control (n=44)	Without Pulmonary Involvement (n=34)	Mild Pneumonia (n=30)	Severe Pneumonia (n=11)	p- value
<b>Laboratory Findings After Discharge</b>					
Ferritin (ng/ml)	32 (3-212) <sup>b</sup>	33,5 (7-359,5) <sup>d</sup>	81,7 (7,9-1010) <sup>b,d</sup>	51,8 (14-396,2)	0,014*
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	344,5 (287-648)	298 (218-511)	337 (180-472)	347 (302-374)	0,074
CRP (mg/l)	2 (0-24)	1,4 (0,1-17,4)	3,5 (0,4-23,7)	2,3 (0,8-38,8)	0,184
D-dimer (µg/L)	470 (210-1330)	310 (180-920)	340 (170-1910)	390 (270-780)	0,353
Hgb (gr/dl)	13 (11-17)	13 (8,5-15,9)	13,3 (8,8-16,2)	13 (11,4-16,4)	0,345
RBC (10 <sup>6</sup> /µl)	4,6 (3,6-5,7)	4,6 (2,8-5,7)	4,9 (0,2-5,6)	4,6 (3,9-5,3)	0,360
Hematocrit (%)	40 (33,2-49,2)	39,2 (25,8-47,6)	42,2 (19-48,3)	40,9 (33,4-44,8)	0,438
Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio	1,9 (0,6-3,8)	1,9 (1,1-5,5)	1,7 (0,7-7,6)	1,4 (0,6-2,8)	0,348
Neutrophil (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	3,9 (1,6-7,8)	3,5 (1,4-7,7)	3,300 (1,9-16,6)	3,7 (2,5-8)	0,540
Lymphocyte (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	2,4 (1,1-6,9) <sup>a</sup>	1,8 (0,5-3,8) <sup>a,e</sup>	2,2 (1,1-4,1) <sup>f</sup>	2,9 (1,9-4,1) <sup>e,f</sup>	0,002*
WBC (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	7 (4,4-13,5) <sup>a</sup>	5,9 (2,4-10,8) <sup>a,e</sup>	6 (4,1-19,6)	7,3 (5,5-12) <sup>e</sup>	0,025*
Lymphocyte %	33,2 ± 7,8	32 ± 7,1	32,2 ± 8,8	36 ± 6	0,851
Neutrophil %	57,1 ± 7,9	57,5 ± 7,1	57,2 ± 10	54,7 ± 7,5	0,340
Platelet (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	241 (179-373) <sup>b</sup>	260 (155-409) <sup>d</sup>	213 (39,6-362) <sup>b,d</sup>	229 (147-389)	0,020*
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	34 (4-44) <sup>a,b</sup>	55,5 (5-1258) <sup>a</sup>	60,4 (5-621,5) <sup>b</sup>	66,5 (5-257,9)	0,036*
LDH (U/l)	176,3 ± 47,3	190,2 ± 32	199,3 ± 25,4	200,6 ± 57,2	0,087
<b>Laboratory Findings At Hospital Admission</b>					
Ferritin (ng/ml)	-	158 (22-1718) <sup>d,e</sup>	393,2 (81,7-1654) <sup>d</sup>	433,6 (139,8-1396) <sup>e</sup>	0,025*
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	-	468 (293-714)	504 (204-747)	322 (301-587)	0,268
CRP (mg/l)	-	11,6 (0,7-127,3)	36 (9,1-230,2)	30,5 (6,4-162,9)	0,054
Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	-	0 (0-8,6)	0,1 (0-7,7)	0,1 (0-0,1)	0,124
D-dimer (µg/L)	-	450 (270-7340)	790 (320-3440)	1010 (430-18550)	0,134
Hgb (gr/dl)	-	13,1 (8,1-16,6)	13 (8,3-16,8)	11,5 (9,7-14,7)	0,354
RBC (10 <sup>6</sup> /µl)	-	4,5 (2,9-486)	4,7 (3-5,7)	4 (3,3-4,8)	0,349
Hematocrit (%)	-	38,9 (24,6-50,5)	39,3 (24,8-49,7)	34,5 (29,5-41,8)	0,508
Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio	-	2,5 (1-10,5)	3,5 (1,3-43,2)	2,7 (1,9-43,5)	0,556
Neutrophil (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	-	3,6 (1,9-7,9)	3,4(1,6-8,3)	2,9 (2,4-11,3)	0,901
Lymphocyte (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	-	1,5(0,2-2,7)	1,2 (0,8-3)	0,9 (0,2-5)	0,391
WBC (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	-	6 (3,2-9,8)	4,6 (3,9-9,9)	6,2 (3,6-15,9)	0,606

Lymphocyte %	-	14,6 ± 6	21,6 ± 8,4	23,3 ± 12,4	0,650
Neutrophil %	-	63,1 ± 11,7	69,5 ± 8,4	68,9 ± 14,5	0,167
Platelet (10 <sup>3</sup> /μl)	-	218 (94-416)	176 (73-881)	257 (152-1100)	0,450
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	-	80,9 (7,4-711,1)	56,3 (12,7-793,1)	81 (19,2-564,9)	0,654
LDH (U/l)	-	281,7 ± 101,7	270,3 ± 97,3	431,3 ± 245,9	0,089

Abbreviations: CRP C reactive protein, Hgb hemoglobin, RBC red blood cell, WBC white blood cell, Pro-BNP prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide, LDH lactate dehydrogenase

\*If there is  $p < 0.05$  as the significance level, P<sup>a</sup>: control vs without pulmonary involvement P<sup>b</sup>: control vs mild pneumonia, P<sup>c</sup>: control vs severe pneumonia, P<sup>d</sup>: without pulmonary involvement vs mild pneumonia, P<sup>e</sup>: without pulmonary involvement vs severe pneumonia, P<sup>f</sup>: mild pneumonia vs severe pneumonia

**Table 3. Comparison of laboratory parameters according to impairment in LVGLS**

	Control (n=44)	Preserved LVGLS (n=38)	Impaired LVGLS (n=37)	p-value
<b>Laboratory Findings After Discharge</b>				
Ferritin (ng/ml)	32 (3-212) <sup>b</sup>	45,2 (7-1010) <sup>c</sup>	74,7 (14-420,7) <sup>b,c</sup>	0,008*
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	344,5 (287-648)	322 (180-511)	337 (218-472)	0,138
CRP (mg/l)	2 (0-24)	1,3 (0,1-18,6)	3,2 (0,4-38,8)	0,224
D-dimer (µg/L)	470 (210-1330)	340 (180-1910)	320 (170-1330)	0,638
Hgb (gr/dl)	13 (11-17) <sup>a</sup>	12,8 (8,5-15,9) <sup>a,c</sup>	13,3 (8,8-16,4) <sup>c</sup>	0,019*
RBC (10 <sup>6</sup> /µl)	4,6 (3,6-5,7)	4,7 (2,8-5,7)	4,8 (0,2-5,5)	0,857
Hematocrit (%)	40 (33,2-49,2)	40 (19-47,6)	41 (22-48,3)	0,819
Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio	1,9 (0,6-3,8)	1,9 (0,6-5,5)	1,6 (0,7-7,6)	0,723
Neutrophil (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	3,900 (1,6-7,8)	3,4 (2,4-8)	3,6 (1,4-16,6)	0,446
Lymphocyte (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	2,4 (1,1-6,9)	1,900 (0,8-4,1)	2,200 (0,5-4,1)	0,203
WBC (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	7 (4,4-13,5)	6 (3,4-12)	6,6 (2,4-19,6)	0,302
Lymphocyte %	33,2 ± 7,8	32,9 ± 6,7	32,4 ± 8,7	0,911
Neutrophil %	57,1 ± 7,9	57 ± 7	56,9 ± 9,6	0,992
Platelet (10 <sup>3</sup> /µl)	241 (179-373) <sup>b</sup>	274 (39,6-409) <sup>c</sup>	214,5 (134-327) <sup>b,c</sup>	<0,001*
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	34 (4-44) <sup>a,b</sup>	54,8 (5-1258) <sup>a</sup>	66,5 (5-621,5) <sup>b</sup>	0,017*
AST (U/l)	17,6 (9,3-82,9)	18,8 (12-237)	20,1 (10,4-51,1)	0,255
ALT (U/l)	18,2 (8-80,3)	18 (5,9-205)	23,7 (4,9-77,7)	0,827
BUN (mg/dl)	11,9 (5,9-44,3)	13,5 (6-20,5)	14,1 (7,7-37,1)	0,428
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0,8 (0,5-1,2)	0,8 (0,4-1,7)	0,8 (0,6-1,4)	0,547
LDH (U/l)	176,3 ± 47,3 <sup>b</sup>	183,2 ± 29,8 <sup>c</sup>	208 ± 34,4 <sup>b,c</sup>	0,001*
Uric acid (mg/dl)	4,9 ± 1,6	5,2 ± 1,7	5,4 ± 1,6	0,317
Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dl)	92,5 (69-159)	89,5 (69-165)	95 (76-268)	0,157
<b>Laboratory Findings At Hospital Admission</b>				
Ferritin (ng/ml)	-	216,2 (28,3-1718)	278,6 (22-1654)	0,148
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)	-	479,5 (301-714)	473 (204-747)	0,857
CRP (mg/l)	-	17,6 (0,7-95,1)	30,3 (1,5-230,2)	0,180
Procalcitonin (ng/ml)	-	0,1 (0-29,6)	0,1 (0-77,7)	0,607
D-dimer (µg/L)	-	655 (270-3440)	740 (280-18550)	0,394
Hgb (gr/dl)	-	13,1 (9,7-16,1)	13 (8,1-16,8)	0,830
RBC (10 <sup>6</sup> /µl)	-	4,4 (3-486)	4,7 (2,9-5,8)	0,339
Hematocrit (%)	-	38,3 (26,5-48,3)	39,7 (24,6-50,5)	0,301

Neutrophil/Lymphocyte Ratio	-	3,3 (1-43,2)	2,6 (1,2-43,5)	0,495
Neutrophil (10 <sup>3</sup> /μl)	-	3,5 (1,9-9,7)	3,4 (1,6-11,3)	0,684
Lymphocyte (10 <sup>3</sup> /μl)	-	1,3 (0,8-5)	1,5 (0,200-3)	0,588
WBC (10 <sup>3</sup> /μl)	-	6,2 (3,2-15,9)	5,2 (3,3-12)	0,687
Lymphocyte %	-	24,1 ± 9,8	161 ± 643,4	0,303
Neutrophil %	-	66,6 ± 10,5	65,3 ± 12,1	0,692
Platelet (10 <sup>3</sup> /μl)	-	223,5 (118,5-1100)	182 (73,7-344,1)	0,218
Pro-BNP (pg/ml)	-	46,9 (8,5-415,6)	83,4 (7,4-793,1)	0,141
AST (U/l)	-	27,3 (14-45,9)	29,9 (7,9-76,4)	0,451
ALT (U/l)	-	24,8 (9,6-66)	25,5 (7,8-276)	0,914
BUN (mg/dl)	-	11,7 (5,2-30,8)	13,7 (4,6-36)	0,123
Creatinine (mg/dl)	-	0,8 (0,3-2)	0,8 (0,5-1,5)	0,683
LDH (U/l)	-	275,9 ± 118,5	320,9 ± 147,3	0,371
Uric acid (mg/dl)	-	4,3 ± 1,4	5,3 ± 2,3	0,168
Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dl)	-	104 (90-135,2)	114,7 (84,6-242)	1,000

Abbreviations: CRP C reactive protein, Hgb hemoglobin, RBC red blood cell, WBC white blood cell, Pro-BNP prohormone B-type natriuretic peptide, AST aspartate transaminase, ALT alanine transaminase, BUN blood urea nitrogen, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, LVGLS left ventricular global longitudinal strain

\*If there is p<0.05 as the significance level, P<sup>a</sup>: control vs preserved LVGLS P<sup>b</sup>: control vs impaired LVGLS P<sup>c</sup>: preserved LVGLS vs impaired LVGLS

**Table 4. Correlation of LVGLS with inflammatory markers both at hospital admission and after discharge**

**4A. Correlation of LVGLS with age, pneumonia severity and inflammatory markers at hospital admission**

	Spearman	Age	Pneumonia Severity	Ferritin	CRP	Fibrinogen	Hgb	Lymphocyte	PCT	Neutrophil	NLR
<b>LVGLS (%)</b>	r	-0,270	-0,352	-0,300	-0,280	-0,016	0,045	0,090	0,007	-0,083	-0,170
	p	0,004*	<0,001*	0,010*	0,010*	0,912	0,697	0,429	0,959	0,453	0,147

**4B. Correlation of LVGLS with inflammatory markers after discharge**

	Spearman	Ferritin	CRP	Fibrinogen	Hgb	Lymphocyte	Neutrophil	NLR	LDH
<b>LVGLS (%)</b>	r	-0,252	-0,169	-0,218	-0,191	-0,130	-0,141	0,013	-0,268
	p	0,012*	0,077	0,053	0,044*	0,171	0,138	0,897	0,005*

Abbreviations: CRP C reactive protein, Hgb hemoglobin, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, PCT procalcitonin, NLR Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio, LVGLS left ventricular global longitudinal strain