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Abstract

Introduction: Various transdiagnostic factors have been associated with insomnia severity. The current study aimed to predict insomnia severity based on a group of transdiagnostic factors including neuroticism, emotion regulation, perfectionism, psychological inflexibility, anxiety sensitivity, and repetitive negative thinking after controlling for depression/anxiety symptoms and demographic characteristics.

Methods: Two hundred patients with chronic insomnia disorder were recruited from a sleep disorder clinic. Participants completed the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI), Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire (CPQ), Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II (AAQ-II), Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 (ASI-3), Repetitive Thinking Questionnaire (RTQ-10), Big Five Inventory (BFI-10), Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ), and Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS-21).

Results: After controlling for the confounding variables (depression/anxiety symptoms and demographic characteristics), hierarchical multiple linear regression suggested the significant association of neuroticism (BFI), cognitive reappraisal (ERQ), personal standards (CPQ), evaluative concerns (CPQ), physical concerns (ASI), cognitive concerns (ASI), and repetitive negative thinking (RTQ) with insomnia severity.

Discussion: The findings support the role of transdiagnostic factors, especially physical concerns, repetitive negative thinking, and neuroticism in chronic insomnia. Future research using longitudinal designs is required to verify the causal status of transdiagnostic variables.

Introduction

Insomnia refers to the dissatisfaction with sleep quality or quantity, leading to clinically significant distress or impairments in significant areas of functioning (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Specifically, this disorder pertains to the difficulty maintaining sleep, characterized by frequent awakenings or problems returning to sleep after awakening (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). High rates of comorbidity between chronic insomnia and other medical and psychiatric disorders have been found (Sarsour et al., 2010; Appleton et al., 2018). Two disorders that commonly occur along with insomnia disorder are anxiety and depression. A prospective study on the general population found that insomnia was closely linked with depression and anxiety (Jansson-Fröjmark and Lindblom, 2008), the result that was replicated in a large body of evidence (e.g., Baglioni et al., 2011; Blake et al., 2018; Hertenstein et al., 2019; Morin et al., 2021; Xiao et al., 2021). As such, the effect of sociodemographic characteristics on insomnia severity has been documented in various studies. For instance, lower education level was shown to be a risk factor for psychological and social stressors and as a result, leads to insomnia (Hale, 2005; Grandner et al., 2010; Al-Smadi et al., 2019). Insomnia was also found to be more common in women and older adults (Suh et al., 2018; e.g., Al-Smadi et al., 2019). From these evidence, one may conclude that the role of psychological transdiagnostic factors on insomnia severity might be affected or confounded by the individual’s level of depression/anxiety symptoms and demographic characteristics.

In recent years, research and treatment has focused more on the transdiagnostic approach (Farchione and Bullis, 2014). Despite the “disorder-focused” approach that focuses exclusively on a single disorder to
understand its etiology and develop its interventional protocol (Gruber et al., 2008), transdiagnostic approach concentrates on factors that are common among comorbid disorders (Harvey, 2009). The common transdiagnostic factors that their association with insomnia were well documented include emotion dysregulation (Zakiei et al., 2020), neuroticism (Duggan et al., 2014), psychological inflexibility (Williams, 2011), perfectionism (Lundh et al., 1994), anxiety sensitivity (Grace, 2020), and repetitive negative thinking (Tousignant et al., 2019).

Maladaptive emotion regulation strategies have been identified as underlying mechanisms in insomnia (Koch et al., 2020). In their overview of literature, Cerolini et al. (2015) found that empirical research highlighted the vital role of emotion dysregulation in the insomnia onset and maintenance, the result that was replicated in another review study (Vanek et al., 2020). Davoodi et al. (2017) also investigated the predictor role of various transdiagnostic factors on insomnia, finding that greater difficulties in emotion regulation was a significant contributor to this sleep-wake disorder.

Another transdiagnostic factor implicated in insomnia is neuroticism, also known as negative affectivity (Wilamowska et al., 2010), which refers to the tendency to experience negative affects, so that people with high neuroticism can hardly maintain their calmness when they are emotionally aroused (Hisler et al., 2020). A study on general population indicated that a greater level of neuroticism in young adults is longitudinally related to the onset of insomnia (Nordfjærn et al., 2013). Gurtman et al. (2014) showed that the intensity of insomnia increases with the increase in neuroticism.

Psychological inflexibility can also play a role in the onset and maintenance of insomnia (Williams, 2011). Psychological inflexibility is characterized as “the rigid dominance of psychological reactions over chosen values and contingencies in guiding action” (Bond et al., 2011). Kato (2020) found that psychological inflexibility contributes to insomnia severity, beyond the effect of neuroticism, and could mediate the relation between neuroticism and insomnia severity.

Perfectionism is another transdiagnostic factor associated with insomnia disorder. Maladaptive or clinical perfectionism is characterized by the fear of making mistakes (personal standards), perceiving unrealistic expectations by others and the fear of negative evaluation and rejection by society associated with not being perfect (evaluative concerns) (Fairburn et al., 2003). Individuals with chronic insomnia are likely to show more maladaptive perfectionism than healthy controls (Vincent and Walker, 2000). In addition, greater levels of perfectionism were found to have a positive link with insomnia severity in university students (Schmidt et al., 2018).

Another important transdiagnostic factor associated with insomnia is anxiety sensitivity, which refers to the trait-like fear of feelings and sensations related to anxiety (Boswell et al., 2013). A higher level of anxiety sensitivity has been associated with longer sleep onset latency (i.e., longer time taken to fall asleep) (Hoge et al., 2011). The physical concerns dimension of anxiety sensitivity, which refers to the belief that any bodily sensation due to emotional arousal can lead to physical diseases, was shown to have a moderating role in the relationship of sleep anticipatory anxiety with sleep onset latency (Babson et al., 2008). The cognitive concerns dimension of anxiety sensitivity, defined as the belief that physical symptoms of anxiety lead to
serious psychological disorders, was associated with daytime impairments such as fatigue, in people with chronic insomnia (Vincent and Walker, 2001).

Repetitive negative thinking is another important transdiagnostic factor, present across various disorders, including insomnia (Drost et al., 2014; Tousignant et al., 2019; Hummel et al., 2021). Repetitive negative thinking refers to the process in which cognitive representation of one or multiple psychological stressors becomes chronically or repetitively activated (McEvoy et al., 2017). Rumination and worry are the two best-known aspects of repetitive thought (Riemann et al., 2010), that have significant associations with polysomnographic indices of disrupted sleep (Galbiati et al., 2018), and sleep disturbances, both in healthy people (Clancy et al., 2020), and in insomnia patients (Lemyre et al., 2020).

There is clear evidence to support the relationships between the transdiagnostic factors and chronic insomnia (Williams, 2011; Duggan et al., 2014; Grace, 2020); however, the extent to which each of these factors can affect insomnia remains unclear. Assessing such transdiagnostic factors together is helpful to estimate the contribution of each of these factors, among others, on chronic insomnia. Hence, the current study aimed to examine the prediction of insomnia severity based on the six candidate transdiagnostic factors including 1) clinical perfectionism, 2) psychological inflexibility, 3) anxiety sensitivity, 4) repetitive negative thinking, 5) neuroticism, and 6) emotion dysregulation, after controlling for the confounding effects of demographic characteristics and depression/anxiety symptoms. Based on established research findings, we hypothesized that the abovementioned transdiagnostic factors would be positively associated with insomnia severity. However, no directional hypothesis was given on the magnitude of the contribution of each factor, since no previous study had included the present variables at the same time in a predictive model of insomnia severity, making it difficult to say which one has a larger weight in association with insomnia.

Materials And Methods

2.1. Participants

Two hundred and seventeen patients with chronic insomnia disorder were recruited from sleep disorders clinic of Rasoul Akram Hospital in Tehran. Inclusion criteria included: a) a minimum age of 18 years, b) fluency in Persian language, and c) meeting the diagnostic criteria for chronic insomnia disorder (such as difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep, early-morning awakening with inability to fall asleep again, and the presence of the sleep disturbance for at least 3 months) based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fifth Edition (DSM-5; American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The exclusion criteria were having: a) severe mental disorders (e.g., bipolar disorder and psychosis), b) brain injury, c) substance abuse, d) other sleep disorders (e.g., sleep apnea and restless legs syndrome), and e) a rotating shift work within the last year Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 Axis I Disorders (SCID-5) were used to determine the presence of insomnia. In the case of a suspicion of an occult sleep disorder, individuals underwent polysomnography.

2.2. Measures

Due to the large number of included variables in the present regression model, the following battery of well-established and widely used brief measures were selected since they have shown that could maintain the validity and reliability of their long versions.
2.2.1. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 Axis I Disorders (SCID-5). The SCID-5 (First et al., 2015) is a standardized diagnostic interview for classifying mental disorders according to DSM-5 criteria. The Persian version of the SCID-5 had adequate test–retest reliability, ranging from .60 to .79, and the Kappa reliability was between .57 and .72 (Mohammadkhani et al., 2020).

2.2.2. Insomnia Severity Index (ISI). This is a seven-item self-report index developed by Bastien et al. (2001) to assess insomnia severity and its three empirically derived subscales of impact, intensity, and satisfaction during the past two weeks. Items are scored on a 0–4 scale, with the total ISI ranging from 0 to 28. A score of 0–7 demonstrates “no clinically significant insomnia”; 8–14 shows “subthreshold insomnia”; 15–21 demonstrates “moderate clinical insomnia”; and 22–28 shows “severe clinical insomnia”. The Cronbach's alpha of the Persian version of the ISI in patients with insomnia has been reported as .82 (Yazdi et al., 2012), and was .71 in this study.

2.2.3. Depression Anxiety Stress Scale (DASS-21). This 21-item scale (Henry and Crawford, 2005) is a short version of the DASS-42 (Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995); it was developed to measure the severity of depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms during the previous week, with 7 items for each subscale. Answers are scored on a four-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 (did not apply to me at all) to 3 (applied to me very much or most of the time). In the Iranian population, the test–retest coefficients have been reported as .85 for stress, .77 for depression, and .89 for anxiety, with respective internal consistency values of .90, .91, and .84 (Asghari et al., 2008). In this study, the Cronbach's alpha for depression, anxiety, and stress subscales were .80, .75, and .77, respectively.

2.2.4. Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ). The ERQ was designed by Gross and John (2003) to evaluate two emotion regulation strategies, namely expressive suppression (four items) and cognitive reappraisal (six items). Answers are based on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). In the Iranian population, the internal consistency for cognitive reappraisal and suppression has been reported as .76 and .72, respectively (Foroughi et al., 2021). In the present study, Cronbach's alphas were .77 for expressive suppression and .84 for cognitive reappraisal.

2.2.5. Big Five Inventory (BFI-10). This 10-item inventory was developed by Rammstedt and John (2007) to measure 5 major personality traits: extroversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness, with 2 items for each subscale. It is measured on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The Persian version of the BFI-10 has appropriate test–retest reliability (r = .84) and acceptable internal consistency (Mohammad Zadeh and Najafi, 2010). The Cronbach's alpha of .45 was obtained for BFI-10 in this study.

2.2.6. Acceptance and Action Questionnaire- II (AAQ-II). This seven-item questionnaire (Bond et al., 2011) was designed to assess psychological inflexibility or rigid reactions, such as avoiding or suppressing undesirable thoughts and feelings, or evaluating feelings negatively. Answers are based on a seven-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (never true) to 7 (always true), where higher scores indicate less psychological flexibility. Split-half coefficients and Cronbach's alpha values of the Persian version of the AAQ-II ranged between .71 and .89 in different samples (Abasi et al., 2012). Cronbach's alpha for the AAQ-II was .63 in this study.
2.2.7. Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire (CPQ). CPQ (Fairburn et al., 2003) is a 12-item questionnaire developed to measure clinical perfectionism, by determining the extent to which the person's lives are affected by perfectionism. This questionnaire has two subscales: personal standards and evaluative concerns. Scoring is based on four-point Likert scales, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 4 (all the time). In an Iranian sample, the Omega reliability of CPQ was between .73 and .86 for men and women (Moloodi et al., 2021). We found satisfactory internal consistency for the CPQ total score (α = .57), personal standards (α = .62), and evaluative concerns (α = .60).

2.2.8. Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 (ASI-3). This 18-item Index (Taylor et al., 2007) measures three dimensions of anxiety, namely physical (α = .84), cognitive (α = .77), and social concerns (α = .84), with 6 items per subscale. Participants are asked to rate their concerns regarding the potential negative consequences of anxiety-related experiences, using five-point Likert scales ranging from 0 (very little) to 4 (very much). The internal consistency of the ASI-3 in the Iranian population was previously reported as .90 (Foroughi et al., 2019). In this study, these values were .84, .77, and .84 for physical, cognitive, and social concerns, respectively.

2.2.9. Repetitive Thinking Questionnaire (RTQ-10). The RTQ-10 (McEvoy et al., 2014) is a unidimensional scale for measuring repetitive thinking. The RTQ-10 is rated on a 5-point scale, where 1 represents "not at all true", 3 indicates "somewhat true", and 5 reflects "very true". The Persian version of the RTQ-10 was reported as having high internal consistency (α = .77; Hasani et al., 2021). The RTQ-10 also had adequate internal consistency (α = .89) in the present study.

2.3. Procedure

The sample consisted of individuals who were seeking treatment at the sleep disorders clinic of Rasoul Akram hospitals in Tehran. Individuals who volunteered for the study were first asked to fill written informed consent forms. They were informed that their participation in the study was completely voluntary. In addition, they were assured about the confidentiality and that their data would only be used for research purposes. Then, they underwent a structured clinical interview by a sleep clinician to verify inclusion criteria. Patients with the probability of an occult sleep disorder, underwent PSG to screen the physiological parameters related to a wide range of sleep disturbances (exclusion criterion "d"). Those who were not excluded during this phase, were asked to complete the following questionnaires: ISI, DASS-21, ERQ, BFI-10, CPQ, AAQ-II, ASI, and RTQ-10. Responding to the battery of questionnaires took 20-30 minutes and the order of questionnaires was varied randomly among respondents (questionnaire rotation) in order to increase the response accuracy. This study was approved by the ethics committee at the Iran University of Medical Sciences (approval code = IR.IUMS.REC.1397.1346).

2.4. Statistical Strategies

The data screening included consistency checks and descriptive and graphical analysis checks. We decided whether to remove or keep outliers using the comparison between the mean and the 5% trimmed mean (p > .05). The substantive main study findings were not affected by the outliers’ presence or absence. Hence, the data of all participants were preserved and the original data were analyzed (Tabachnick et al., 2007; Pallant, 2020). For data entry and statistical analyses, SPSS version 28 software was used. The Pearson correlation
coefficient was used to indicate the relationship between variables. Hierarchical multiple linear regression was subsequently employed to indicate the predictive power of the included variables. Correlations were interpreted as having small (.10), medium (.30), large (.50), or very large (.70) effect sizes (Cohen, 2013).

Results

The majority of participants were 18–45-year-old females (90.8%; Mean age = 29.67, SD = 12.41). Regarding marital status, 62.2% were single, 33.6% were married, and 4.2% were divorced/widowed. Regarding education level, 10.1% had completed elementary school, 12.9 had a diploma, 60.8% had a bachelor’s degree, and 16.1% had a master’s and/or PhD degree.

3.1. Correlation of Insomnia Severity with Demographic and Transdiagnostic Factors

As shown in Table 1, ISI total score had a significant positive correlation with age ($r = .14, p < .05$), depression (DASS-21) ($r = .58, p < .01$), anxiety (DASS-21) ($r = .76, p < .01$), cognitive reappraisal (ERQ) ($r = .73, p < .01$), expressive suppression (ERQ) ($r = .75, p < .01$), neuroticism (BFI) ($r = .70, p < .01$), AAQ total score ($r = .80, p < .01$), CPQ total score ($r = .13, p < .05$), personal standards (CPQ) ($r = .86, p < .01$), evaluative concerns (CPQ) ($r = .86, p < .01$), physical concerns (ASI) ($r = .47, p < .01$), cognitive concerns (ASI) ($r = .61, p < .01$), social concerns (ASI) ($r = .63, p < .01$), and RTQ total score ($r = .80, p < .01$). In addition, total ISI score had a significant negative correlation with education level ($r = -.21, p < .01$).

3.2. Prediction of Insomnia Severity Based on Demographic and Transdiagnostic Factors

A hierarchical linear multiple regression model was performed to test the contribution of demographic and transdiagnostic factors in the prediction of insomnia severity. Demographic characteristics such as gender, age, education level, job status, and marital status were considered as the first block, with depression and anxiety as the second block. The third block comprised of emotion regulation strategies, neuroticism, psychological inflexibility, clinical perfectionism subscales, anxiety sensitivity dimensions, and repetitive negative thinking.

The first hierarchical regression analysis model ($R^2 = .08$), performed to assess the predictability of insomnia severity based on demographic characteristics, showed that only education level ($\beta = .20, p < .01$) was significantly able to predict insomnia severity. Gender ($\beta = -.06, p > .05$), age ($\beta = .04, p > .05$), job status ($\beta = -.13, p > .05$), and marital status ($\beta = .01, p > .05$) did not significantly predict insomnia severity. After controlling for demographic in the second model ($R^2 = .10, R^2\Delta = .007$), depression ($\beta = .10, p > .05$) and anxiety ($\beta = .03, p > .05$) were not significant predictors of insomnia severity. In the third model, depression was revealed as a significant predictor of insomnia severity ($\beta = .34, p < .001$). Finally, as seen in Table 2, after controlling for demographic characteristics, depression and anxiety in the third model ($R^2 = .40, R^2\Delta = .30$), the following transdiagnostic variables significantly predicted insomnia severity: cognitive reappraisal (ERQ) ($\beta = .19, p < .01$), neuroticism (BFI) ($\beta = .24, p < .001$), evaluative concerns (CPQ) ($\beta = .16, p < .05$), personal standards (CPQ) ($\beta = .22, p < .01$), physical concerns (ASI) ($\beta = .34, p < .001$), cognitive concerns (ASI) ($\beta = .18, p < .05$), and repetitive negative thinking ($\beta = .33, p < .001$). However, expressive suppression (ERQ) ($\beta = .13, p
>.05), psychological inflexibility ($\beta = .07, p > .05$), and social concerns (ASI) ($\beta = -.02, p > .05$) did not significantly predict insomnia severity.

**Discussion**

The present study sought to identify the best predictive transdiagnostic factors of chronic insomnia in a sample of patients with chronic insomnia. The results demonstrated that all of the transdiagnostic variables evaluated in the current study had moderate to high correlations with insomnia severity, with the exception of clinical perfectionism ($r = .13$). Demographic characteristics, depression, and anxiety symptoms accounted for 10% of the variance of the ISI. Furthermore, 30% of insomnia severity variance was explained by the transdiagnostic factors (in order of highest to lowest coefficients) of physical concerns (ASI), repetitive negative thinking (RTQ), neuroticism (BFI), personal standards (CPQ), cognitive reappraisal (ERQ), cognitive concerns (ASI), and evaluative concerns (CPQ). In addition, physical concerns (ASI) and repetitive negative thinking (RTQ) served simultaneously as the most significant contributing variables for insomnia severity.

Both cognitive reappraisal (ERQ) and expressive suppression (ERQ) indicated significant correlations with insomnia severity, which is consistent with previous findings (Harvey, 2001; Palagini et al., 2019). However, only cognitive reappraisal (ERQ) played a significant role in insomnia severity, which emphasizes the exclusive effect of emotion regulation strategies on insomnia severity. One possible explanation might be that although cognitive reappraisal during the day can regulate emotions via problem solving and negative affect management, it may play a negative role at bedtime by increasing sleep onset latency and reducing sleep quality (Wicklow and Espie, 2000).

Neuroticism was shown to be another factor that had a key role in the etiology of insomnia severity; which is in line with previous findings (see Chauvin et al., 2015; Stephan et al., 2018). High levels of neuroticism reflects negative emotions, guilt, moodiness, and poor active control in a person, leading to pre-sleep cognitive distortions, such as worrying, and active thinking at bedtime, which can be associated with over-excitation and hypersensitivity (Gurtman et al., 2014). This lack of capability to stop or manage thoughts at night can lead to insomnia (Harvey, 2002).

Psychological inflexibility indicated a high significant correlation with insomnia severity ($r = .80$), which is consistent with previous studies (Kato, 2016; Sands, 2020). Active “experiential avoidance” from experiencing symptoms or cognitions related to sleep problems may lead to increase in pre-sleep arousal and delay in falling asleep (Zakiei et al., 2021). Nevertheless, our study indicates that in the presence of other transdiagnostic factors which may be better risk factors of insomnia severity, psychological inflexibility could not be significantly predict insomnia.

This study, in line with previous studies (Johann et al., 2017; Akram et al., 2020), found a significant but weak association between perfectionism and insomnia severity. Also, its two components of personal standards and evaluative concerns significantly contributed to insomnia severity. Maladaptive perfectionism may lead to heightened insomnia through its association with excessive arousal (Trudel-Fitzgerald et al., 2017) and chronic fatigue (Dittner et al., 2011), which are thought to be amplifying factors for insomnia (Lichstein et al., 1997; Schiel et al., 2020). Furthermore, perfectionists with chronic insomnia unrealistically expect to have
excellent quality sleep and become extremely anxious or frustrated with any sleep deprivation. This may cause them to experience negative emotions, leaving them emotionally aroused, which can interfere with their sleep (Schmitz et al., 2000).

The present findings suggest that two anxiety sensitivity dimensions – namely physical and cognitive concerns – could predict insomnia severity, with physical concerns (ASI) carrying the highest weight among all the present transdiagnostic variables. This association is consistent with previous studies (Leyro et al., 2014; Lauriola et al., 2019). According to Harvey (2002)’s cognitive model, people with elevated anxiety sensitivity are more alert to the physical and cognitive signs and symptoms associated with poor sleep and are more likely to discover these signs and symptoms. As a result, they catastrophize these cues as predictors of insufficient sleep, an inability to fall asleep, or low levels of daily performance, which in turn, heighten a person’s arousal and increase the likelihood of sleep disturbance. In contrast to physical and cognitive concerns, social concerns failed to contribute to insomnia severity. In general, the previous findings on the sub-dimension of social concerns are scarce, perhaps because the body of research has concentrated only on physical and cognitive concerns (e.g., Babson et al., 2008).

The study findings indicated that repetitive negative thinking had a strong correlation with insomnia severity. It was also a significant risk factor of insomnia severity, which is consistent with previous studies (Sandru and Voinescu, 2014; Galbiati et al., 2018). According to Harvey (2002)’s cognitive model of insomnia, excessive worry and rumination (two components of repetitive negative thinking) about sleep, as well as long-term unresolved problems experienced during the day and night, result in attentional bias to sleep-related negative information, which trigger psychological arousal, and subsequently delay falling asleep.

4.1. Study Limitations and Future Directions for Research

Although our study provides new insights into the transdiagnostic factors that play crucial roles in insomnia severity, several limitations should be noted when drawing conclusions from our data. First, self-report measures are exposed to bias, owing to common method variance (CMV; Kato, 2016). Other methods, including actigraphy or daily sleep diaries, are suggested as alternatives, as well as conducting longitudinal studies to limit the effect of potential CMV biases (see Podsakoff et al., 2012). Second, examining a clinical sample limits the generalizability to other samples; thus, the findings must be interpreted cautiously. It is also preferable to compare the results between an insomniac and a non-clinical sample. Third, the majority of study participants were women. Although insomnia is more common in women than in men (Suh et al., 2018), we suggest that future studies consider equal number of men and women in their sample. This may increase the generalizability of their results to both male and female patients with insomnia and also provide the opportunity to explore the potential gender differences. Forth, low Cronbach’s alpha estimates for AAQ-II, CPQ, and BFI-10 and questionable test-retest reliability for some parts of the Persian version of the SCID-5 may raise doubt about the reliability of these measures. Therefore, the finding related to these constructs must be interpreted cautiously. Fifth, in comparison to previous studies, our yielded correlations among the transdiagnostic factors and insomnia severity were relatively high (Table 1). Given their high standard deviations and the existence of a wide range of insomnia symptoms in the clinical sample, the utility of a correlation matrix to draw conclusions might be criticized. However, since the application of the correlation coefficient test on insomniac patients has not been widely accepted, our conclusions were derived from the
results of the regression analysis (Table 2), rather than the correlation matrix. Finally, the cross-sectional design used in this study prevents any causal conclusion. Research with longitudinal design is needed to see what factors predict increases and/or the maintenance of symptom severity or what predicts remission of insomnia symptoms. Longitudinal research adds to our results and help designing an empirical framework to develop more accurate scientific and practical interventions for treating sleep disorders.

Conclusion

Our findings underlined the importance of transdiagnostic factors of (in descending order) physical concerns (ASI), repetitive negative thinking (RTQ), neuroticism (BFI), personal standards (CPQ), cognitive reappraisal (ERQ), cognitive concerns (ASI), and evaluative concerns (CPQ) in predicting insomnia severity among patients with chronic insomnia. The transdiagnostic factors of physical concerns (ASI) and repetitive negative thinking (RTQ) accounted for the most significant degree of variance in predicting insomnia severity after controlling for demographic characteristics, depression, and anxiety symptoms. Our findings can be applied for the development of intervention programs targeting transdiagnostic factors that have a greater weight in the augmentation of insomnia severity.
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Tables

Table 1

*Descriptive Statistics and Correlations of Insomnia Severity with Demographics, Characteristics, and Transdiagnostic Vulnerability factors in Insomnia*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N/ Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>Range (min-max)</th>
<th>Insomnia severity</th>
<th>Impact- ISI</th>
<th>Severity- ISI</th>
<th>Satisfaction- ISI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(years)</td>
<td>29.67 (12.41)</td>
<td>.14*</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (0 = Female, 1 = Male)</td>
<td>197, 20</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (1 = Married, 2 = Single, 3 = Divorced/ Widowed)</td>
<td>135, 73, 9</td>
<td>-.05</td>
<td>-.07</td>
<td>-.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level (0 = Elementary School, 1 = College, 2 = School, 3 = Master PhD)</td>
<td>22, 28, 132, 35</td>
<td>-.21**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
<td>.15*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status (0 = Unemployed, 1 = Employed)</td>
<td>112, 105</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td>-.14*</td>
<td>-.20**</td>
<td>-.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfectionism (BFI-10)</td>
<td>5.90 (2.22)</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>8 (2-10)</td>
<td>.70**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global concerns (3)</td>
<td>14.75 (5.93)</td>
<td>-.7</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>24 (0-24)</td>
<td>.47**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.27**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive concerns (3)</td>
<td>11.59 (5.73)</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>24 (0-24)</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.46**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allostatic concerns (ASI-3)</td>
<td>12.30 (6.23)</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>24 (0-24)</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.55**</td>
<td>.51**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social standards (3)</td>
<td>18.36 (6.29)</td>
<td>-.62</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>26 (1-37)</td>
<td>.86**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.75**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active concerns (3)</td>
<td>11.77 (2.96)</td>
<td>-.92</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>14 (2-16)</td>
<td>.86**</td>
<td>.74**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.76**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active reappraisal (3)</td>
<td>24.77 (9.01)</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>47 (6-53)</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.59**</td>
<td>.57**</td>
<td>.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive suppression (ERQ)</td>
<td>18.11 (5.58)</td>
<td>-.47</td>
<td>-.10</td>
<td>24 (4-28)</td>
<td>.75**</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.65**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction (DASS-21)</td>
<td>12.20 (4.72)</td>
<td>-.28</td>
<td>-.55</td>
<td>21 (0-21)</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety (DASS-21)</td>
<td>14.74 (3.62)</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>20 (1-21)</td>
<td>.76**</td>
<td>.67**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td>.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (DASS-II)</td>
<td>38.59 (13.88)</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>-.70</td>
<td>52 (8-60)</td>
<td>.80**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
<td>.70**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfectionism (CPQ)</td>
<td>32.77 (8.76)</td>
<td>-.52</td>
<td>-.89</td>
<td>33 (12-45)</td>
<td>.80**</td>
<td>.65**</td>
<td>.64**</td>
<td>.72**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** *p < .05, **p < .01, N = Number of participants, SD = Standard deviation, ISI = Insomnia Severity Scale, BFI = Big Five Inventory, ASI-3 = Anxiety sensitivity index-3, CPQ = Clinical Perfectionism, Questionnaire, ERQ = Emotion regulation Questionnaire, DASS = Depression Scale.
Anxiety Stress Scale, AAQ-II = Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II, RTQ = Repetitive Thinking Questionnaire.

Table 2
Hierarchical Multiple Linear Regression Analyses for Insomnia Severity in Insomniac Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>Regression 1 β (se)</th>
<th>Regression 2 β (se)</th>
<th>Regression 3 β (se)</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.06 (.12)</td>
<td>-.08 (1.13)</td>
<td>-.04 (.97)</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>.20** (.43)</td>
<td>.19* (.44)</td>
<td>.25** (.44)</td>
<td>.74</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>.04 (.03)</td>
<td>.05 (.38)</td>
<td>.17* (.03)</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job status</td>
<td>-.13 (.62)</td>
<td>.12 (.62)</td>
<td>-.12 (.58)</td>
<td>.96</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>.01 (.55)</td>
<td>.01 (.55)</td>
<td>.05 (.49)</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (DASS-21)</td>
<td>.10 (.08)</td>
<td>.34*** (.08)</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety (DASS-21)</td>
<td>.03 (.09)</td>
<td>-.10 (.09)</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism (BFI-10)</td>
<td>.24*** (.18)</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical concerns (ASI)</td>
<td>.34*** (.06)</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive concerns (ASI)</td>
<td>.18* (.07)</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social concerns (ASI)</td>
<td>-.02 (.06)</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal standards (CPQ)</td>
<td>.22** (.07)</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluative concerns (CPQ)</td>
<td>.16* (.12)</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive reappraisal (ERQ)</td>
<td>.19** (.04)</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive suppression (ERQ)</td>
<td>.13 (.06)</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAQ-II</td>
<td>.07 (.04)</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTQ</td>
<td>.33*** (.05)</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adj R²</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²Δ</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.007</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FΔ</td>
<td>3.15**</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>9.94***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_model</td>
<td>3.15**</td>
<td>2.64**</td>
<td>7.02***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001, β = Standardized regression coefficient, se = Standard error, VIF = Variance inflation factor, DASS = Depression Anxiety Stress Scale, BFI = Big Five Inventory, ASI-3 = Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3, CPQ = Clinical Perfectionism Questionnaire, ERQ = Emotion Regulation Questionnaire, AAQ-II = the Acceptance and Action Questionnaire-II, RTQ = Repetitive Thinking Questionnaire. Dependent Variable = Insomnia severity, In Hierarchical regression procedure, the blocks were applied as = Block 1 = Predictors = Gender, education level, age, job status, and marital status; Block 2 = Predictors = Depression, anxiety, after adjusted for gender, education level, age, job status, marital status; Block 3 = Predictors = Neuroticism, physical concerns, cognitive concerns,
social concerns, personal standards, evaluative concerns, expressive suppression, AAQ, RTQ, after adjusted for gender, education level, age, job status, marital status, depression, anxiety.