

# Comparison of in-house SARS-CoV-2 genome extraction procedures. A need for COVID-19 pandemic

Gabriel Martin (✉ [gabrielmartinrguez1994@gmail.com](mailto:gabrielmartinrguez1994@gmail.com))

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0304-6250>

**Susana Rojo-Alba**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Cristian Castelló-Abietar**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Fátima Abreu-Salinas**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Isabel Costales**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Jose Antonio Boga**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Santiago Melón**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

**Marta Elena Álvarez-Argüelles**

Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19, genome extraction, In-house protocol, Heat extraction

**Posted Date:** March 15th, 2021

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-214704/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Virological Methods on December 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2021.114415>.

**Comparison of in-house SARS-CoV-2 genome extraction procedures.  
A need for COVID-19 pandemic**

**Gabriel Martín, Susana Rojo-Alba, Cristian Castelló-Abietar, Fátima Abreu-Salinas, Isabel Costales, Jose Antonio Boga, Santiago Melón, Marta Elena Álvarez-Argüelles.**

Servicio de Microbiología. Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias (HUCA) and Grupo de Investigación Microbiología Traslacional, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria del Principado de Asturias (ISPA), Oviedo. Spain.

**Word count:** 1757 words

Correspondence:

.....

Servicio de Microbiología.  
Hospital Universitario Central de Asturias  
Avenida de Roma s / n 33011 Oviedo.  
Telephone 985108720  
Email: [martaalvarez@gmail.com](mailto:martaalvarez@gmail.com)

## **Abstract**

**Purpose:** Among the methods used to diagnose COVID-19, those based on genomic detection by q(RT)-PCR are the most sensitive. To perform these assays, a previous genome extraction of the sample is required. The dramatic increase in the number of SARS-CoV-2 detection assays has increased the demand for extraction reagents hindering the supply of commercial reagents. Homemade reagents and procedures could be an alternative.

**Methods:** Nasopharyngeal samples were extracted by seven different methods as well as the automatic method MagNaPure96, to detect SARS-CoV-2.

**Results:** All protocols show sensitivity higher than 87%, in comparison with reference method, for detecting SARS-CoV-2 as well as human  $\beta$ - globin.

**Conclusions:** Our results support that these procedures, using common and cheap reagents, are effective to extract RNA (from SARS-CoV-2) or DNA (from human  $\beta$ -globin) genome from nasopharyngeal swabs. Furthermore, these procedures could be easily adopted by routine diagnostic laboratories to implement detection methods to help to fight against COVID-19 pandemic.

## **Keywords**

SARS-CoV-2; COVID-19; genome extraction; In-house protocol; Heat extraction.

1 **Introduction**

2 In December 2019, Chinese health authorities identified the new betacoronavirus SARS-  
3 CoV-2 as the cause of the respiratory illness COVID-19, which was declared pandemic by  
4 World Health Organization in January 2020 (1, 2). High sensitivity diagnostic methods to  
5 detect and contain potential outbreaks are required to fight against this pandemic.

6 Among these methods, those based on genomic detection by quantitative  
7 retrotranscriptase (RT)-PCR have been proved to be the best for a quick and sensitive  
8 detection of COVID-19 infected patients. To perform these assays, a previous genome  
9 extraction of the sample is required. This step is essential since both quantity and quality  
10 of the genome obtained could affect the further amplification process (3). Because of  
11 that, some studies comparing different commercial and/or manual procedures for  
12 genome extraction from different type of samples, such as fecal, blood or respiratory  
13 specimens have been reported (4-6).

14 The current global health emergency due to SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has caused a  
15 dramatic increase in the number of detection assays performed by diagnostic  
16 laboratories and, therefore, a huge demand for extraction reagents making difficult the  
17 supply of commercial reagents. Homemade reagents and manual procedures are an  
18 alternative. Our team has conducted a comparative study between seven manual  
19 procedures with commercial and homemade reagents.

20 The aim was to evaluate alternative protocols to commercial genome extraction  
21 procedures to be used for SARS-CoV-2 detection by routine diagnostic laboratories.

22

23 **Material and methods**

24 **Samples:** A total of 58 nasopharyngeal samples from patients with suspicious of SARS-  
25 CoV-2 infection were collected. The original volume of each sample (200 µL) was diluted  
26 8 times to a final volume of 1.6 mL to get enough volume to perform all extraction  
27 procedures.

28 **Genome extraction procedures:** The automatic extraction method MagNa Pure 96  
29 System (Roche, Ginebra, Switzerland), which is usually developed in the laboratory, was  
30 taken as reference. Seven different procedures were carried out manually. MagNA Pure  
31 96 System and MagNA Pure 32 System (Roche) were performed using commercial  
32 reagents following manufacturer's instructions. Other four protocols named as One-  
33 Step Method A (OSM-A), One-Step Method B (OSM-B), Two-Step Method (TSM) and  
34 "Bikop" method, were performed using common homemade reagents. The last  
35 protocol, which is based on heat treatment was performed in a SureCycler 8800 (Agilent  
36 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and no reagents were necessary. Protocols and reagents  
37 of the different genome extraction procedures were tested one time for each sample  
38 and are shown in Table 1.

39 **qRT-PCR:** all extracted samples were tested with a multiple qRT-PCR directed to two  
40 regions of the SARS-CoV 2 genome (Orf1ab and N gene), as well as the human β- globin  
41 gen. Briefly, 5 µl of sample, previously extracted by any of the tested methods, were  
42 added to 10 µl of TaqMan Fast 1-Step Master Mix (Life technologies, Carlsbad, CA)  
43 supplemented with a mixture of primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and  
44 taqman MGB probes (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) (Table 2). Amplification and  
45 subsequent analysis were carried out using the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR

46 System (Applied Biosystems). The cycling protocol was as follows: (50°C, 20 min; 95°C,  
47 5 min; 45 cycles of 95°C, 10 sec; 55°C, 15 sec and 60°C, 30 sec).

48 **Statistical studies:** A T-Student test, whose null hypothesis was that in-house protocols  
49 works in the same way that the reference method, with a p-value of 0.05, was  
50 performed.

51

## 52 **Results**

53 Nasopharyngeal samples were extracted by seven different manual methods, as well as  
54 by the automatic extraction method MagNA Pure 96 used as reference, and amplified  
55 by qRT-PCR. According to the reference automatic method,  $\beta$ -globin gene was detected  
56 in all samples, being 30 of them also positive to SARS-CoV-2 genome. The cycle threshold  
57 (Ct) of each amplification and mean, rank and 95% CI of each method are shown in tables  
58 3 and 4.

59 The sensitivity for SARS-CoV-2 detection of the manual methods was calculated by  
60 comparison to automatic method. For OSM-A sensitivity was 93.3% while for MP32  
61 achieved 100%. The limits of 95% CI were 30.16 and 32.7 in automatic method MP96.  
62 By mean difference, in MP32 were 32.34 and 33.66 and in OSM-A were 33.36 and 34.84.

63 The sensitivity for  $\beta$ -globin detection of the manual methods was also calculated by  
64 comparison to automatic method. For "Bikop" extraction sensitivity was 87.9% while for  
65 OSM-A reached 100%. The limits of 95% CI were 30.16 and 32.38 in automatic method  
66 MP96. By mean difference, in "Bikop" extraction were 34.53 and 36.25 and in MP32  
67 were 35.96 and 37.58.

68 **Discussion**

69 The expansion of the outbreak of the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 and the global  
70 pandemic situation caused by its spread has provoked the need of a quick and efficient  
71 tool for diagnosis of viral disease. Genome amplification based on PCR has been raised  
72 as the best method and as important as the amplification process is the previous  
73 genome extraction step. In this process, the viral genome as well as cellular genome is  
74 purified. Both genomes are used to amplify and quantify the viral genome and the  
75 human gene  $\beta$ -globin, respectively. The ratio between the two values allows calculating  
76 a normalized viral load and determining the sample quality (8, 9).

77 Considering that the use of PCR commercial kits is very usual in most clinical  
78 laboratories, the supply of reagents during pandemic peak was a common problem.  
79 Trying to obtain a solution for future similar situations, several extraction genome  
80 procedures were tested with the idea of obtaining a method to be included in manual  
81 or even automatic diagnostic procedures used routinely in clinical laboratories. Similar  
82 methods were also developed by other authors (10-12). In our study, the sensitivity for  
83 SARS-CoV-2 of the tested procedures was higher than 93%. These results were also  
84 observed in  $\beta$ -globin gene amplification, were all methods showed a sensitivity higher  
85 than 87%. According to these data, any method has enough sensitivity and can be used  
86 routinely in a clinical laboratory.

87 Data were a little bit worse when Cts of SARS-CoV-2 were compared with reference  
88 methods, Also, higher Cts were observed in  $\beta$ -globin detection. Because sensitivity was  
89 decreased in both targets (SARS-CoV-2 and  $\beta$ -globin), viral load was minimally  
90 underestimated, no more than 0.5 log considering that each 3 Cts means a difference in  
91 the viral load of 1 log. A possible explanation to these results is that manual processing

92 is less precise and more prone to error than automatic methods using a robot.  
93 Automatization of these procedures can solve this situation.

94 One limitation of the tested procedures can be that they are not quick enough. A  
95 possible alternative is cut time at least in half for washing steps. In preliminary studies  
96 in our laboratory changes in results were not observed. The main advantage is the use  
97 of common reagents making possible these procedures can be easily adapted by any  
98 other laboratory directly or changing the reagents for other with similar properties.  
99 Furthermore, these procedures could also be used for the extraction of RNA or DNA  
100 genome of other viruses.

101 Heat extraction method, whose sensitivities for SARS-CoV-2 and  $\beta$ -globin were 96.7%  
102 and 87.9%, respectively, can be used for a quick screening of infected patients with  
103 suspicious of a high viral load. Recent reports using a similar method support the viability  
104 of this technology to SARS-CoV-2 genome extraction (13, 14). Considering that this is the  
105 quickest (only 15 minutes), cheapest and easiest (only need a thermoblock) protocol of  
106 all the tested procedures, it appears as a clear alternative to be implemented in small  
107 diagnostic laboratories, favoring a decentralization of SARS-CoV-2 diagnostic and  
108 desaturating central hospitals. In this method, Cts were similar than in others, and good  
109 enough for using when samples level is high.

110 In summary, in-house procedures evaluated can substitute commercial techniques  
111 performing a successful SARS-CoV-2 genome extraction using common and cheap  
112 reagents. On the other hand, human genome can also be successfully extracted allowing  
113 the use of  $\beta$ -globin gene as sample quality control and for normalized viral load calculus.

114 These procedures could be easily adopted by clinical laboratories and be used to extract  
115 human samples with suspect of any viral presence. It is worth to note that Heat



116 extraction is a quick, cheap, and easy diagnostic method, which could be used in small  
117 diagnosis laboratories.

118

119

## 120 **Acknowledgments**

121 To ASCOL (Asturiana de Control Lechero) for partially financing this project, supporting  
122 research as the key to overcoming the pandemic.

123

## 124 **Declarations**

125 **Funding:** this research was partially granted by ASCOL (Asturiana de Control Lechero).

126 **Conflict of interest statement:** the authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

127 **Availability: of data and material:** Not applicable

128 **Code Availability:** Not applicable

## References

1. Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 2020 Jan 29]. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):470-473. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9
2. WHO web page <https://www.who.int/es/news-room/detail/27-04-2020-who-timeline---covid-19> (06/12/2020)
3. Rodríguez A, Duyvejonck H, Van Belleghem JD, et al. Comparison of procedures for RNA-extraction from peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(2):e0229423. Published 2020 Feb 21. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229423
4. Verheyen J, Kaiser R, Bozic M, Timmen-Wego M, Maier BK, Kessler HH. Extraction of viral nucleic acids: comparison of five automated nucleic acid extraction platforms. *J Clin Virol*. 2012;54(3):255-259. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2012.03.008
5. Mengelle C, Mansuy JM, Da Silva I, Davrinche C, Izopet J. Comparison of 2 highly automated nucleic acid extraction systems for quantitation of human cytomegalovirus in whole blood. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2011;69(2):161-166. doi:10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2010.08.011
6. Yang G, Erdman DE, Kodani M, Kools J, Bowen MD, Fields BS. Comparison of commercial systems for extraction of nucleic acids from DNA/RNA respiratory pathogens. *J Virol Methods*. 2011;171(1):195-199. doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.10.024
7. CDC <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/lab/rt-pcr-panel-primer-probes.html>
8. Lescure FX, Bouadma L, Nguyen D, et al. Clinical and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series [published correction appears in *Lancet Infect*

- Dis. 2020 May 19;:] [published correction appears in *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020 Jun;20(6):e116]. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020;20(6):697-706. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30200-0
9. Gómez-Novo M, Boga JA, Álvarez-Argüelles ME, et al. Human respiratory syncytial virus load normalized by cell quantification as predictor of acute respiratory tract infection. *J Med Virol*. 2018;90(5):861-866. doi:10.1002/jmv.25020
  10. Yamada O, Matsumoto T, Nakashima M, et al. A new method for extracting DNA or RNA for polymerase chain reaction. *J Virol Methods*. 1990;27(2):203-209. doi:10.1016/0166-0934(90)90136-4
  11. Arruda E, Hayden FG. Detection of human rhinovirus RNA in nasal washings by PCR. *Mol Cell Probes*. 1993;7(5):373-379. doi:10.1006/mcpr.1993.1055
  12. He H, Li R, Chen Y, et al. Integrated DNA and RNA extraction using magnetic beads from viral pathogens causing acute respiratory infections. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:45199. Published 2017 Mar 23. doi:10.1038/srep45199
  13. Merindol N, Pépin G, Marchand C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 detection by direct rRT-PCR without RNA extraction. *J Clin Virol*. 2020;128:104423. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104423
  14. Mancini F, Barbanti F, Scaturro M, et al. Laboratory management for SARS-CoV-2 detection: a user-friendly combination of the heat treatment approach and rt-Real-time PCR testing. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2020;9(1):1393-1396. doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1775500

**Table 1: Extraction procedures steps**

Steps	MagNa Pure 96 <sup>1</sup> (MP96)	MagNa Pure 32 <sup>1</sup> (MP32)	One-step method A (OSM-A)	One-step method B (OSM-B)	Two-steps method (TSM)	"Bikop" method	Heat Extraction
1	Lysis buffer MP96 Incubate (10' - RT*)	Lysis buffer MP32 Incubate (10' - RT)	Lysis buffer 1 <sup>2</sup> Incubate (10' - RT)	Lysis buffer 1 <sup>3</sup> Incubate (10' - RT)	Lysis buffer 1 <sup>4</sup> Incubate (10' - RT)	Lysis buffer 1 <sup>2</sup> Incubate (10' - RT)	Hot Spot (10' - 95°C)
2					Lysis Buffer 2 <sup>2</sup> Incubate (10' - RT)		Freeze (5' - 4°C)
3	Binding Buffer MP96 Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize* & Remove SN*	Binding Buffer MP32 Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Binding Buffer <sup>5</sup> Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Binding Buffer <sup>5</sup> Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Binding Buffer <sup>5</sup> Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Binding Buffer <sup>5</sup> Incubate in shaker (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	
4	Wash Buffer 1 MP96 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 1 MP32 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 1 <sup>6</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 1 <sup>6</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 1 <sup>6</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 1 <sup>6</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	
5	Wash Buffer 2 MP96 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 2 MP32 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 2 <sup>7</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 2 <sup>7</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 2 <sup>7</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 2 <sup>7</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	
6	Wash Buffer 3 MP96 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 3 MP32 Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 3 <sup>8</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 3 <sup>8</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN	Wash Buffer 3 <sup>8</sup> Incubate (10' - RT) Magnetize & Remove SN		
7	Elution Buffer MP96 Magnetize & Collect SN	Elution Buffer MP32 Magnetize & Collect SN	Elution Buffer <sup>9</sup> Magnetize & Collect SN	Elution Buffer <sup>9</sup> Magnetize & Collect SN	Elution Buffer <sup>9</sup> Magnetize & Collect SN	Elution Buffer <sup>9</sup> Magnetize & Collect SN	
<b>Time (estimate)</b>	<b>50'</b>	<b>50'</b>	<b>50'</b>	<b>50'</b>	<b>60'</b>	<b>40'</b>	<b>15'</b>

\*RT: Room Temperature

\*Using a 96R Ring Magnet Plate (Alpaqua, Beverly, MA)

\*SN: supernatant

<sup>1</sup> Reagents and volumes suggested by manufacturer were used in these protocols.

<sup>2</sup> Lysis Buffer 1: 200µL GIT (GIT 4M + sarcosyl 0,5% + sodium citrate 25mM) and 10µL pK (10µg/µL)

<sup>3</sup> Lysis Buffer 2: 200µL GIT, 10 µL pK and 200 µL SDS (SDS 0,5% + Tris (pH 8) 10mM + EDTA 1mM)

<sup>4</sup> Lysis Buffer 3: 200µL SDS (SDS 0,5% + Tris (pH 8) 10mM + EDTA 1mM)

<sup>5</sup> Binding Buffer: 200µL magnetic beads in Isopropanol (1,5g/mL), (Roche, Ginebra, Switzerland)

<sup>6</sup> Wash Buffer 1: 200µL isopropanol

<sup>7</sup> Wash Buffer 2: 200µL EtOH 80%

<sup>8</sup> Wash Buffer 3: 200µL NaCl 0,5M

<sup>9</sup> Elution Buffer: 100 µL water

**Table 2:** Primers and taqman MGB probes used to detect SARS-CoV2 and human  $\beta$ -globin.

Design	Gen	Function	Name	Sequence (5'-3')
In-house	ORF1ab	Forward primer	CoV-2-OVI-S	ATCAAGTTAATGGTTACCCTAACATGT
	SARS-CoV2	Reverse primer	CoV-2-OVI-A	AACCTAGCTGTAAAGGTAAATGGTACC
		MGB FAM probe		CoV-2-OVI-FAM
CDC <sup>1</sup>	N	Forward primer	2019-nCoV-N1-F	GACCCCAAATCAGCGAAAT
	SARS-CoV2	Reverse primer	2019-nCoV-N1-R	TCTGGTTACTGCCAGTTGAATCTG
		MGB VIC probe		2019-nCoV-N1-P-VIC
In-house	$\beta$ -globin	Forward primer	Beta-TR-S	ACACAACGTGTTCCTACTAGC
	Human	Reverse primer	Beta-TR-A	CCAACCTCATCCACGTTCCACC
		MGB Cy5 probe		Beta-Cy5

<sup>1</sup> Sequences published by Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (7)

Table 3: Results of amplification of SARS-CoV-2 and human  $\beta$ -globin (Ct SARS-CoV-2/Ct  $\beta$ -globin)

Samples	MP96 (automated)	MP96 (handmade)	MP32	OSM-A	OSM-B	TSM	"Bikop" method	Heat Extraction
1 <sup>o</sup>	24 / 36	30 / 40	30 / 40	31 / 40	30 / 40	29 / 40	29 / 36	31 / 38
2 <sup>o</sup>	24 / 29	30 / 33	30 / 36	35 / 34	31 / 34	29 / 36	27 / 32	30 / 34
3 <sup>o</sup>	25 / 34	29 / 38	31 / 40	31 / 39	31 / 40	32 / 39	27 / 35	27 / 38
4 <sup>o</sup>	26 / 30	31 / 34	31 / 37	31 / 39	33 / 36	30 / 34	30 / 35	32 / 36
5 <sup>o</sup>	27 / 31	31 / 35	30 / 37	32 / 40	32 / 35	31 / 35	34 / 36	33 / 40
6 <sup>o</sup>	27 / 29	33 / 34	32 / 37	33 / 36	34 / 36	31 / 35	31 / 34	31 / 36
7 <sup>o</sup>	28 / 39	33 / 40	30 / 40	32 / 40	32 / 40	31 / 40	32 / 40	30 / 40
8 <sup>o</sup>	30 / 29	34 / 31	33 / 37	36 / 35	32 / 34	33 / 35	31 / 32	34 / 33
9 <sup>o</sup>	30 / 40	31 / 36	32 / 40	31 / 40	31 / 40	30 / 40	31 / 40	32 / 40
10 <sup>o</sup>	31 / 31	33 / 38	33 / 39	33 / 34	34 / 34	34 / 36	34 / 34	34 / 33
11 <sup>o</sup>	32 / 29	33 / 32	34 / 35	34 / 34	33 / 36	33 / 33	33 / 33	33 / 40
12 <sup>o</sup>	32 / 34	37 / 39	34 / 37	36 / 39	35 / 38	39 / 37	37 / 35	36 / 35
13 <sup>o</sup>	32 / 33	34 / 38	31 / 34	33 / 40	32 / 40	32 / 38	33 / 39	34 / 40
14 <sup>o</sup>	32 / 36	31 / 40	32 / 38	33 / 40	32 / 40	32 / 38	32 / 40	34 / 40
15 <sup>o</sup>	33 / 37	33 / 40	34 / 37	39 / 40	38 / 40	33 / 40	33 / 38	34 / 40
16 <sup>o</sup>	33 / 37	34 / 40	33 / 40	36 / 40	36 / 40	0 / 40	33 / 40	34 / 40
17 <sup>o</sup>	33 / 27	34 / 40	33 / 32	34 / 35	35 / 32	33 / 35	33 / 31	0 / 34
18 <sup>o</sup>	33 / 35	36 / 38	35 / 40	35 / 40	35 / 40	36 / 40	0 / 40	37 / 40
19 <sup>o</sup>	0 (31)* / 33	33 / 40	34 / 40	0 / 38	33 / 37	34 / 36	33 / 36	34 / 35
20 <sup>o</sup>	33 / 36	34 / 40	34 / 40	34 / 37	33 / 40	33 / 40	33 / 40	34 / 40
21 <sup>o</sup>	34 / 34	34 / 36	36 / 40	37 / 39	36 / 40	34 / 38	38 / 38	36 / 40
22 <sup>o</sup>	34 / 32	33 / 35	33 / 40	0 / 35	35 / 37	33 / 36	35 / 35	35 / 34
23 <sup>o</sup>	34 / 23	34 / 40	34 / 39	34 / 40	34 / 40	33 / 37	33 / 40	34 / 40
24 <sup>o</sup>	34 / 28	37 / 31	37 / 33	34 / 32	34 / 32	34 / 31	34 / 30	35 / 28
25 <sup>o</sup>	34 / 40	34 / 40	34 / 40	35 / 40	36 / 40	34 / 40	35 / 40	35 / 40
26 <sup>o</sup>	35 / 40	36 / 40	35 / 40	37 / 40	36 / 39	35 / 40	39 / 40	38 / 40
27 <sup>o</sup>	35 / 35	35 / 40	36 / 40	37 / 40	37 / 40	37 / 40	37 / 39	36 / 40
28 <sup>o</sup>	36 / 38	34 / 40	33 / 40	34 / 40	35 / 40	35 / 40	35 / 40	35 / 40
29 <sup>o</sup>	0 (35)* / 27	34 / 30	33 / 31	35 / 31	35 / 32	33 / 30	0 / 32	38 / 31
30 <sup>o</sup>	0 (36)* / 33	0 / 0	33 / 39	33 / 39	0 / 40	35 / 38	34 / 40	35 / 38
31 <sup>o</sup>	- / 22	- / 26	- / 29	- / 28	- / 28	- / 28	- / 26	- / 25
32 <sup>o</sup>	- / 23	- / 0	- / 35	- / 33	- / 31	- / 33	- / 32	- / 33
33 <sup>o</sup>	- / 24	- / 32	- / 36	- / 33	- / 33	- / 32	- / 34	- / 33
34 <sup>o</sup>	- / 25	- / 33	- / 37	- / 34	- / 34	- / 34	- / 35	- / 33
35 <sup>o</sup>	- / 26	- / 31	- / 32	- / 30	- / 31	- / 30	- / 30	- / 31
36 <sup>o</sup>	- / 27	- / 32	- / 35	- / 31	- / 32	- / 32	- / 34	- / 32
37 <sup>o</sup>	- / 27	- / 40	- / 31	- / 31	- / 30	- / 30	- / 30	- / 38
38 <sup>o</sup>	- / 28	- / 32	- / 40	- / 33	- / 34	- / 33	- / 35	- / 34
39 <sup>o</sup>	- / 28	- / 0	- / 40	- / 35	- / 36	- / 32	- / 33	- / 33
40 <sup>o</sup>	- / 28	- / 0	- / 36	- / 32	- / 33	- / 32	- / 35	- / 33
41 <sup>o</sup>	- / 29	- / 32	- / 32	- / 34	- / 35	- / 0	- / 0	- / 0
42 <sup>o</sup>	- / 29	- / 0	- / 40	- / 33	- / 33	- / 35	- / 34	- / 37
43 <sup>o</sup>	- / 29	- / 31	- / 31	- / 32	- / 33	- / 33	- / 0	- / 0
44 <sup>o</sup>	- / 29	- / 33	- / 34	- / 33	- / 33	- / 34	- / 33	- / 32
45 <sup>o</sup>	- / 30	- / 33	- / 33	- / 35	- / 37	- / 33	- / 0	- / 33
46 <sup>o</sup>	- / 30	- / 36	- / 38	- / 34	- / 33	- / 38	- / 35	- / 0
47 <sup>o</sup>	- / 30	- / 34	- / 34	- / 33	- / 32	- / 33	- / 34	- / 31
48 <sup>o</sup>	- / 31	- / 35	- / 35	- / 39	- / 37	- / 35	- / 0	- / 32
49 <sup>o</sup>	- / 31	- / 34	- / 40	- / 34	- / 34	- / 37	- / 35	- / 40
50 <sup>o</sup>	- / 31	- / 33	- / 33	- / 36	- / 36	- / 35	- / 0	- / 0
51 <sup>o</sup>	- / 31	- / 33	- / 39	- / 36	- / 34	- / 36	- / 0	- / 34
52 <sup>o</sup>	- / 32	- / 37	- / 37	- / 33	- / 34	- / 35	- / 33	- / 32
53 <sup>o</sup>	- / 32	- / 33	- / 33	- / 35	- / 35	- / 31	- / 35	- / 36
54 <sup>o</sup>	- / 32	- / 35	- / 35	- / 40	- / 0	- / 35	- / 35	- / 38
55 <sup>o</sup>	- / 33	- / 36	- / 40	- / 37	- / 35	- / 36	- / 36	- / 0
56 <sup>o</sup>	- / 34	- / 38	- / 38	- / 37	- / 0	- / 0	- / 0	- / 37
57 <sup>o</sup>	- / 34	- / 0	- / 0	- / 39	- / 37	- / 36	- / 36	- / 40
58 <sup>o</sup>	- / 34	- / 0	- / 35	- / 39	- / 37	- / 33	- / 35	- / 0

\*these samples were negative on the MP96 automated extraction, so the Ct before dilution (in parenthesis) was used

**Table 4: Statistic data**

SARS CoV2 samples	MP96 (automated)	MP96 (manual)	MP32	OSM-A	OSM-B	TSM	"Bikop"method	Heat Extraction
<b>Positives (sensitivity)</b>	30 (100%)	29 (96.7%)	30 (100%)	28 (93.3%)	29 (96.7%)	29 (96.7%)	28 (93.3%)	29 (96.7%)
<b>Mean ± σ</b>	31.43 ± 3.54	33.27 ± 2	33 ± 1.86	34.1 ± 2.08	33.79 ± 2.01	33.03 ± 2.26	33.07 ± 2.85	33.82 ± 2.44
<b>Range</b>	[24 – 36]	[29 - 37]	[30 - 37]	[31 - 39]	[30 – 38]	[29 - 39]	[27 – 39]	[27 - 38]
<b>95% IC</b>	[30.16- 32.7]	[32.55- 33.99]	[32.34 – 33.66]	[33.36 – 34.84]	[33.07 – 34.51]	[32.22 – 33.84]	[32.05 – 34.09]	[32.95 – 34.69]
<b>Mean difference<sup>1</sup></b>	-	1.84	1.57	2.67	2.36	1.59	1.64	2.39
<b>p-value</b>	-	0.03	< 0.001	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.27	0.01

  

β-globin samples	MP96 (automated)	MP96 (manual)	MP32	OSM-A	OSM-B	TSM	"Bikop" method	Heat Extraction
<b>Positives (sensitivity)</b>	58 (100%)	51 (87.9%)	57 (98.3%)	58 (100%)	56 (96.6%)	56 (96,6%)	51 (87.9%)	51 (87.9%)
<b>Mean ± σ</b>	31.27 ± 4.31	35.62 ± 3.59	36.77 ± 3.14	36.12 ± 3.35	35.87 ± 3.29	35.5 ± 3.20	35.39 ± 3.32	35.88 ± 3.77
<b>Range</b>	[22 - 40]	[26 - 40]	[29 - 40]	[28 – 40]	[28 - 40]	[28 - 40]	[26 - 40]	[25 - 40]
<b>95% IC</b>	[30.16 – 32.38]	[34.70 – 36.54]	[35.96 – 37.58]	[35.26 – 36.98]	[35.02 – 36.72]	[34.68 – 36.32]	[34.53 – 36.25]	[34.91 – 36.85]
<b>Mean difference<sup>1</sup></b>	-	4.35	5.5	4.84	4.6	4.23	4.12	4.61
<b>p-value</b>	-	0.006	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.01	< 0.001

<sup>1</sup> Difference between means of the manual and automatic methods.