

Efficacy of air purifier therapy for patients with allergic asthma

Jiaying LUO (✉ 84152405@qq.com)

Guangzhou Medical University <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1130-3288>

Zhao Chen

Guangzhou Medical University

Li-li Ou

Guangzhou Medical University

Xin-yuan Lin

Guangzhou Medical University

Hai-cheng Liu

Guangzhou Medical University

Li-min Fan

Guangzhou Medical University

Bao-qing Sun

First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University

Research article

Keywords: Allergic asthma, Air purifier, House dust mite, Particulate matter, Fractional exhaled nitric oxide

Posted Date: July 10th, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.11196/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at *Allergologia et Immunopathologia* on September 1st, 2021. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.15586/aei.v49i5.146>.

Abstract

Background and Objectives: The prevalence of allergic disease, which has a severely negative effect on patients' quality of life, has been increasing in recent years. Environmental intervention is internationally regarded as a feasible method for controlling asthma. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of air purifier therapy for patients with allergic asthma. **Methods:** In total, 38 subjects were divided into a treatment group and a control group. All subjects were under the age of eighteen years and had been clinically diagnosed with allergic asthma. The treatment group used High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) air purifiers for six consecutive months, and the control group did not use the air filters. Particulate matter (PM) data and dust samples (bedding and a static point) were collected from the subjects' bedrooms before they started to use the air purifiers and each month thereafter. Simultaneously, the subjects were asked to complete a questionnaire for the Asthma Control Test (ACT) or Childhood Asthma Control Test (C-ACT). Fractional exhaled nitric oxide (FENO) tests were performed at the start and end of the study. The concentrations of Der p1 and Der f1 were measured in the dust samples. **Results:** 1. After utilizing an air purifier, the concentrations of house dust mite (HDM) allergens (Der p1+ Der f1) in the bedding and static samples decreased by 68.3% and 71.0%, respectively. In addition, the PMindoor/outdoor levels significantly decreased. 2. The ACT and C-ACT scores in the treatment group maintained a steady significant upward trend. 3. At the end of the study, the FENO levels in both groups were lower, although the differences were not significant. **Conclusions:** HEPA air purifiers are able to decrease indoor HDM allergen and PM levels and improve quality of life in allergic asthma patients.

Background

Allergic asthma is the most common clinical chronic airway allergic disease. This disease leads to insomnia and daily tiredness, decreasing activity levels. Worldwide, 339 million people suffer from asthma [1], and nearly 41% of asthma patients suffer from allergic asthma [2]. Epidemiological investigations have demonstrated that the prevalence of allergic asthma continues to increase [1]. A large number of children suffer from asthma [3]. Therefore, this research mainly focused on children and teenagers under eighteen years of age.

Allergic asthma is induced by exposure to environmental triggers, including air pollution and house dust mites (HDMs) [1]. Research by *Falcon-Rodriguez CI et al* [4], showed that particulate matter (PM), exposure to which increases oxidative stress, leading to asthma, is the main component of air pollution. Some studies have shown that the allergen can trigger allergic asthma is not only from house dust mite but also from other allergen source such as pollen, pet dander, pet hair, bug etc. House dust mites were the most prevalent allergens in patients with asthma and/or rhinitis in China [5]. And HDM constitute the main allergen affecting teenage asthma patients in Guangzhou [6], specially Der f1 is the predominant mite allergen in dust with very high levels in bedding [7]. Thus, decreasing the levels of PM and HDMs may be a feasible method of controlling asthma symptoms.

Some studies have shown that HEPA air purifiers can trap air pollutants [8]. However, whether avoiding exposure to environmental allergens asthma patients' symptoms remains unclear [9]. This research aimed to determine the long-term efficacy of HEPA air purifiers for reducing PM and HDM allergens and to evaluate the effect of air purifier use on subjects' asthma symptoms.

Methods

Subject enrolment

Subjects (the treatment and control groups) were enrolled in this research at The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1. Subjects were less than eighteen years old. 2. Subjects had skin prick tests that were positive for Der p1 and Der f1 allergens. ImmunoCAP was performed to confirm the presence of Der p-specific IgE and Der f-specific IgE in positive subjects (defined as ≥ 0.35 KU/L). 3. According to the 2018 Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention issued by the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) [9], asthma can be classified according to severity. Subjects enrolled in this study were diagnosed with mild asthma (acute exacerbations could be alleviated by a short term β_2 receptor agonist without the need for maintenance medication). 4. During the study, the subjects were not allowed to change their place of residence. Subjects needed to adhere well to the instructions provided pertaining to the experiment (the treatment group needed to use the air purifier, complete the questionnaire, return for a follow-up visit, and allow the collection of samples; the control group needed to complete the same steps, with the exception of using the air purifier). All subjects signed an informed consent form.

Grouping and processing

This research utilized a random number sequence to assign individuals to the treatment group and control group; the treatment group used air purifiers, while the control group did not.

Air purifier intervention in the treatment group

This research provided a new HEPA air purifier (BA1030/1045, BRI air purifier, Xiamen, China) to every subject in the treatment group; it was placed in the bedroom. Subjects were asked to utilize the air purifier for six consecutive months (August 2016 to February 2017). Before the subjects used the air purifiers, the subjects were taught how to use the air purifier correctly by one of the researchers. Researchers checked the air purifiers when they collected samples each month. The filter of each air purifier was cleaned after three months.

Air purifier usage

The air purifier was located at the head of each subject's bed. The air flow was carefully noted to prevent obstruction, and humidifiers were not permitted to be used at the same time. The doors and windows were kept closed when the air purifier was functioning. Moreover, the subjects were asked to use the air purifier every day and were not allowed to clear the air filter themselves. The mean duration of daily HEPA air purifier use was 9.6 ± 3.3 hours and fairly consistent during the course of the study.

Return visit

The researcher confirmed the air purifier placement and evaluated the subjects' correct usage of the air purifier on a monthly basis. Incorrect usage was corrected. The control group did not receive any environmental interventions.

Sample collection

The researcher collected dust samples from the treatment and control groups before the air purifiers were provided and on a monthly basis after the use of the air purifiers was implemented.

Bedding dust sample collection

Glass fibre filters and vacuum cleaners (Haier, ZW1401B) were the main tools used to collect dust samples from bedding. The glass fibre filter was set on the top of the collector and fixed there with a rubber band; the vacuum cleaner was used directly on the bedding. The duration of the sample collection was 15 min.

Static dust collection

Through natural subsidence of dust particles, dust samples were collected in a static location by a glass fibre filter. Each glass fibre filter was placed in a 60-mm culture dish. The dish was placed in a location with open exposure to the room and could not be moved or covered during the month.

PM data collection

The researchers utilized a PM detector (DT-9881M, Huasheng Qi Xieco. Ltd., Shenzhen, China) to collect indoor and outdoor PM (2.5, 10) concentrations. The machine was used for five points collections (four corners of the room and the middle) indoors. Outdoor collections were performed in triplicate on the balcony or out of the window. The $PM_{\text{indoor/outdoor}}$ ratio was recorded.

Sample processing and extraction

Every dust sample was weighed and recorded when it was collected. Then, the glass fibre filter was cut into pieces and placed on a 10 ml syringe. Then, 1 ml (containing 1% BSA (bovine serum albumin) and 0.05% tween-20) of PBST was added, and the sample was extracted overnight at 4°C with shaking. The extracted mixture was removed from the injector and centrifuged at 4°C and 3000×g for 30 min. The supernatant was removed and stored at -20°C.

HDM allergen content in dust

This research utilized a double antibody sandwich enzyme-linked immunoassay (Indoor Biotechnologies, Charlottesville, VA, USA-ELISA) to test for two key HDM allergens, Der p1 and Der f1. According to the manufacturer's instructions, the concentrations of Der p1 and Der f1 were tested in each sample. The weight per gram of Der p1 and Der f1 were calculated from the concentrations of Der p1 and Der f1 and the weight of HDM.

Evaluation of subjects

ACT and C-ACT were used to evaluate the subjects' asthma control, while FENO was used to evaluate the ability of the air purifiers to improve inflammation levels in the asthma patients.

ACT

ACT, which was designed by Nathan RA [10], is commonly used in asthma control research. It is an ideal method of evaluating asthma control. The questionnaire was completed by the subjects or their parents during each dust collection visit; ACT is only valid for adolescents aged 12 years or above.

C-ACT

C-ACT, designed by Liu AH [11], is often used in asthma control research. The questions are answered by the subjects' parents. The higher the score, the better the level of asthma control is. A score greater than 20 indicates that asthma is well controlled, while a score less than 20 indicates that it is not well controlled.

FENO

FENO is a marker of airway inflammation. Subjects underwent FENO tests (NIOX, Aerocrine, Sweden) [12] at The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 19.0 (SPSS INC, Chicago, IL, USA) was utilized to record and analyse data from the treatment and control groups. Descriptive analysis was used to analyse the subjects' basic characteristics (gender, age, slgE levels), the monthly HDM allergen concentrations, the PM levels and the ACT and C-ACT scores. Normally distributed data are expressed as the *mean*±*SD*, and non-normally distributed data are expressed as the median (P_{50} (P_{25} , P_{75})). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was utilized to analyse the HDM allergen results. For normally distributed data, we used two independent samples for a nonparametric test analysis. According to the data distribution model, a two-tailed t test or non-parametric test was used to compare the HDM allergen concentrations and FENO rates before and after using an air purifier. Moreover, repeated ANOVA was used to analyse the monthly HDM allergen concentrations, PM ratios, ACT scores and C-ACT scores. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Basic characteristics of the subjects

Thirty-eight subjects were enrolled in this study. Both the treatment group and the control group had 19 subjects. These groups did not have any significant differences in basic characteristics. ($P>0.05$) (Table 1)

House dust mite concentration in the subjects' bedrooms

The HDM concentration of bedding in the treatment group was 938 ng / g, while that in the control group was much lower, 231 ng / g. In addition, in the static samples, The HDM concentration in the treatment group was similar to that in the control group, with 225 ng / g and 213 ng / g as follow.

There were no significant differences between the treatment group and the control group in the Der p1 and Der f1 concentrations in the bedding and static samples. ($P>0.05$) The static dust samples from the treatment and control groups were mainly composed of Der p1. This finding demonstrated statistical significance. The concentration of Der p1 was greater than that of Der f1 in the bedding samples of the treatment group, while the concentration of Der p1 was less than that of Der f1 in the bedding samples of the control group; however, this difference was not statistically significant. ($P>0.05$) (Table 2)

The influence of the air purifier on house dust mites

Repeated ANOVA showed that the Der f1 concentrations in bedding and static dust samples and the concentration of Der p1 in static dust samples changed significantly over time. ($P<0.05$) However, the increasing temporal trend of the Der p1 concentration in bedding dust samples did not reach statistical significance. ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 1) Compared with the baseline values, the HDM allergen concentrations in bedding and static dust samples after using an air purifier were lower by 68.3% and 71.0%, respectively. This finding was statistically significant. In the control group, the HDM allergen concentrations in bedding and static dust samples did not change consistently over time (Fig. 1 (A, B, C, D)) and had not significantly decreased six months after the baseline. ($P>0.05$) the data point shown on the Figures is mean of each group.

Air purifier effect on PM

After using an air purifier, the $PM_{indoor/outdoor}$ ratio significantly decreased. ($P<0.05$) Compared with the ratio after five months of using the air purifier, the $PM_{indoor/outdoor}$ ratio recorded after the sixth month was slightly higher. However, the $PM_{indoor/outdoor}$ ratio in the control group demonstrated a nonsignificant increasing trend. ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 1 (E, F))

Evaluation of symptom improvement

ACT scores

As shown in Fig. 2, after using an air purifier, the ACT scores of the treatment group increased ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 2 (A)), while those of the control group did not increase, indicating that the air purifier contributed to the control of asthma symptoms in the treatment group. Subjects in the treatment group experienced fewer asthma symptoms and instances of medication use at night compared with the baseline levels. (Fig. 3)

C-ACT scores

After utilizing an air purifier, the C-ACT scores of the treatment group increased. ($P<0.05$) (Fig. 2 (B)) Moreover, the frequency of asthma symptoms at night also significantly decreased. (Fig. 4) However, the scores of the control group did not significantly increase. (Fig. 2 (B))

Variation in FENO level

After using the air purifier, the FENO values of the treatment group and the control group were 41 ± 36 and 52 ± 36 , respectively, which were non significantly lower than those at the baseline. ($P>0.05$) (Fig. 2 (C, D))

Discussion

This research found that air purifiers were able to effectively improve asthma subjects' symptoms and facilitate asthma control by means of environmental intervention. This was determined by measuring the HDM and PM concentrations in asthma patients' bedrooms as well as their symptoms and medication use.

After utilizing the air purifier, HDM allergen concentrations in the bedding and static dust samples significantly decreased. The decreases in HDM allergen concentrations in the static dust and bedding samples may be the result of the airflow generated by the air purifier and the filtering functionality.

Controlling asthma patients' symptoms is helpful in preventing asthma-related mortality and comorbidities. However, with the deteriorating quality of the environment, it is difficult to control the symptoms of patients with allergic asthma [13, 14]. Although environmental intervention has been used as an adjuvant therapeutic method [9], it was based on subjects' medication use, making it difficult to define its mechanism of action. Our research has demonstrated that air purifiers, representing a type of environmental intervention, can effectively decrease the concentrations of HDM allergens and PM [15]. However, this experiment still did not measure asthma symptoms. Moreover, the observation time was short. Furthermore, in this study, all the subjects had mild symptoms and did not need to take maintenance medications. Asthma patients with mild symptoms tend to be controlled by traditional Chinese medicine in China [16-18]. If air purifiers represent an effective adjuvant therapeutic method, it will become easier to control allergic asthma symptoms and prevent exacerbation of the disease. Although FENO values in this research did not significantly change, there was a decreasing trend, which indicates that this method has a certain effect on asthma-related airway inflammation. According to the ACT/C-ACT scores, the subjects' frequency of waking up at night decreased with the use of the air purifier, which indicated that subjects' asthma symptoms at night improved. Asthma symptoms at night are one of the main problems faced by those with asthma; at night, mild asthma can be exacerbated. Moreover, asthma patients are more likely to develop obstructive sleep apnoea than those without asthma [19]. In

addition, the ACT/C-ACT scores showed that subjects' daily limitations and medicine use frequency decreased after using the air purifier. The increased ACT/C-ACT scores demonstrated that the subjects' asthma symptoms were better controlled after using the air purifier. *Xiang L et al.* [20] remonstrated that exposure to Der p1 and Der f1 and ACT/C-ACT scores are negatively correlated. It is possible that air purifier decreased HDM allergen concentration in the bedroom, thereby improving the subjects' asthma symptoms. After the air purifier was used for six months, the $PM_{2.5_{outdoor/indoor}}$ and $PM_{10_{outdoor/indoor}}$ ratios significantly decreased. This demonstrated that the HEPA air purifier is able to improve the air quality. However, although this research utilized the ratio of indoor and outdoor PM concentrations to avoid the effect of variable outdoor PM concentrations, it cannot be ignored that the result may have been affected by external environmental factors. At the same time, this research also cannot exclude the effects of deviations in subjects' medication usage.

Paulin LM et al. [21] indicated that the efficiency of purification will decrease after utilizing an air purifier for three months. However, it is still unclear whether the efficiency decreases because the filter element's efficiency decreases or because the filter screen's and filter element's efficiencies decrease at the same time; therefore, this study tried to explore this problem by cleaning the filter screen in the third month without replacing the filter element. The HDM allergen concentration in the bedding dust samples increased after three months, suggesting that the purification efficiency of the air purifier was decreasing. After the filter screen was cleaned, the bedding HDM allergen concentration decreased again. However, we did not find similar results in the other metrics used in this study. It is worth mentioning that the HDM allergen concentration in the bedding dust samples and the PM ratio increased after the sixth month of using the air purifier at the sixth month compared with the values after the fifth month. This finding may show that the air purifier's filter screen accumulates too much dust every three months, causing a decrease in the filter efficiency. The decrease in the filter efficiency may cause a decrease in the efficiency of the filter element, and, consequently, a decrease in the overall purification efficiency of the device. Thus, preserving good conditions for the filter element by cleaning the filter screen every three months can help maintain the efficiency of the air purifier; air purification can be regarded as a feasible method of controlling asthma symptoms.

In general, this research found that HEPA air purifiers can effectively decrease indoor house dust mite allergen and PM levels and control allergic asthma symptoms. Moreover, the subjects' quality of life can be improved. However, this research did not use a placebo. Future research should use a placebo to further explore the effectiveness of air purifiers as a method of environmental intervention.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University (No. GYFYY-2015-47). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of all children before they participated in this study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and material

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Funding

This study was supported by the Medical and Health Science and Technology Project in Guangzhou (No. 20161A011066)

Authors' contributions

Luo JY, Chen Z and Ou LL contributed equally to this study. Sun BQ is the corresponding author for this study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are deeply grateful to the patient for their excellent cooperation and many other researchers for their assistance. Some of the preliminary results of the study were presented as a poster at the ERS2016 meeting held in England on September 3, 2016, by Luo JY. We are grateful for all the suggestions provided by experts. What's more, we would like to express our great appreciation to editor and reviewers.

References

1. The Global Asthma Report 2018. Auckland, New Zealand: Global Asthma Network, 2018
[<http://www.globalasthmareport.org/>]

2. Romanet-Manent S, Charpin D, Magnan A, Lanteaume A, Vervloet D: **Allergic vs nonallergic asthma: what makes the difference?** *Allergy* 2002, **57**(7):607-613.
3. **World Health Organization Asthma.** [(accessed on 16 October 2016)]
[<https://www.who.int/respiratory/asthma/en/>]
4. Falcon-Rodriguez CI, Osornio-Vargas AR, Sada-Ovalle I, Segura-Medina P: **Aeroparticles, Composition, and Lung Diseases.** *Frontiers in immunology* 2016, **7**:3.
5. Li J, Sun B, Huang Y, Lin X, Zhao D, Tan G, Wu J, Zhao H, Cao L, Zhong N: **A multicentre study assessing the prevalence of sensitizations in patients with asthma and/or rhinitis in China.** *Allergy* 2009, **64**(7):1083-1092.
6. Li J, Wang H, Chen Y, Zheng J, Wong GW, Zhong N: **House dust mite sensitization is the main risk factor for the increase in prevalence of wheeze in 13- to 14-year-old schoolchildren in Guangzhou city, China.** *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2013, **43**(10):1171-1179.
7. Zhang C, Gjesing B, Lai X, Li J, Spangfort MD, Zhong N: **Indoor allergen levels in Guangzhou city, southern China.** *Allergy* 2011, **66**(2):186-191.
8. Kajbafzadeh M, Brauer M, Karlen B, Carlsten C, van Eeden S, Allen RW: **The impacts of traffic-related and woodsmoke particulate matter on measures of cardiovascular health: a HEPA filter intervention study.** *Occupational and environmental medicine* 2015, **72**(6):394-400.
9. **Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2018.**
Available from: www.ginasthma.org [<https://ginasthma.org/gina-ebooks/>]
10. Nathan RA, Sorkness CA, Kosinski M, Schatz M, Li JT, Marcus P, Murray JJ, Pendergraft TB: **Development of the asthma control test: a survey for assessing asthma control.** *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2004, **113**(1):59-65.
11. Liu AH, Zeiger R, Sorkness C, Mahr T, Ostrom N, Burgess S, Rosenzweig JC, Manjunath R: **Development and cross-sectional validation of the Childhood Asthma Control Test.** *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2007, **119**(4):817-825.
12. Dweik RA, Boggs PB, Erzurum SC, Irvin CG, Leigh MW, Lundberg JO, Olin AC, Plummer AL, Taylor DR: **An official ATS clinical practice guideline: interpretation of exhaled nitric oxide levels (FENO) for clinical applications.** *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2011, **184**(5):602-615.
13. Park S, Lee EH, Kho Y: **The association of asthma, total IgE, and blood lead and cadmium levels.** *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2016, **138**(6):1701-1703.e1706.
14. Sierra-Heredia C, North M, Brook J, Daly C, Ellis AK, Henderson D, Henderson SB, Lavigne E, Takaro TK: **Aeroallergens in Canada: Distribution, Public Health Impacts, and Opportunities for Prevention.** *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2018, **15**(8).
15. Jia-Ying L, Zhao C, Jia-Jun G, Zi-Jun G, Xiao L, Bao-Qing S: **Efficacy of air purifier therapy in allergic rhinitis.** *Asian Pacific journal of allergy and immunology* 2018, **36**(4):217-221.

16. Lin PY, Chu CH, Chang FY, Huang YW, Tsai HJ, Yao TC: **Trends and prescription patterns of traditional Chinese medicine use among subjects with allergic diseases: A nationwide population-based study.** *The World Allergy Organization journal* 2019, **12**(2):100001.
17. Wen MC, Wei CH, Hu ZQ, Srivastava K, Ko J, Xi ST, Mu DZ, Du JB, Li GH, Wallenstein S *et al*: **Efficacy and tolerability of anti-asthma herbal medicine intervention in adult patients with moderate-severe allergic asthma.** *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2005, **116**(3):517-524.
18. Chang TT, Huang CC, Hsu CH: **Clinical evaluation of the Chinese herbal medicine formula STA-1 in the treatment of allergic asthma.** *Phytotherapy research : PTR* 2006, **20**(5):342-347.
19. Wang Y, Liu K, Hu K, Yang J, Li Z, Nie M, Dong Y, Huang H, Chen J: **Impact of obstructive sleep apnea on severe asthma exacerbations.** *Sleep medicine* 2016, **26**:1-5.
20. Xiang L, Fu Y, Wang J, Wang Q: **[The correlation between the seasonal variation of house dust mite allergens exposure level in household and the level of asthma control in asthmatic children].** *Zhonghua er ke za zhi = Chinese journal of pediatrics* 2014, **52**(3):177-183.
21. Paulin LM, Diette GB, Scott M, McCormack MC, Matsui EC, Curtin-Brosnan J, Williams DL, Kidd-Taylor A, Shea M, Breyse PN *et al*: **Home interventions are effective at decreasing indoor nitrogen dioxide concentrations.** *Indoor air* 2014, **24**(4):416-424.

Tables

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the subjects

	Treatment group	Control group
Sex (male)	10 (52.6%)	11 (57.9%)
Age, years	11±5	10±3
Der p sIgE (KU/L)	62±36	64±34
Der f sIgE (KU/L)	57±39	81±32
FEV1	2.59±1.24	1.65±8.00
FVC	2.82±1.19	2.05±0.83
PM2.5 _{indoor/outdoor}	1.04±0.56	0.87±0.36
PM10 _{indoor/outdoor}	1.09±0.54	0.84±0.31
ACT	19.7±2.7	21.4±2.9
C-ACT	21.7±3.9	22.3±4.8
FENO	52±36	60±31

[In addition to "Sex (male)", there are comparison between the treatment group and the control group. *P*>0.05. Normal distributed data are expressed as the mean±SD, and non-normally distributed data are expressed as the

quartile (*P*50 (*P*25, *P*75)).]

Table 2 The level of Der 1 allergen before treatment

		Der p1 (ng/g)	Der f1 (ng/g)
Bedding	Treatment group	541±640 [#]	397±242 [#]
	Control group	48 (12, 305) [#]	183±308 [#]
Static	Treatment group	165 (157,239)*	60 (59, 61)*
	Control group	168±83*	45±35*

[*: *P*<0.05, #: *P*>0.05. Comparison between Der p1 and Der f1 in the same group.]

Figures




Figure 1

The concentration of HDM in the house decreased and the indoor / outdoor ratio of PM decreased significantly. (A-D) Variations in the log10 house dust mite allergen concentrations. (E-F) Variations in the PM indoor/outdoor ratio. *: P<0.05. Comparison of variations in the same group over time




Figure 2

The use of air purifiers helps control asthma symptoms in the treatment group. (A-B) Variations in ACT/C-ACT total scores. *: $P < 0.05$. Comparison of variations in ACT/C-ACT total scores in the same group over time. (C-D) Variations in the FENO level.




Figure 3

The ACT scores of the treatment group increased. (A) The percentages of the answers to the first question of the ACT questionnaire, “Does asthma restrict you in performing your usual daily activities?” (B) The percentages of the answers to the second question of the ACT questionnaire, “How often have you had shortness of breath?” (C) The percentages of the answers to the third question of the ACT questionnaire, “Do asthma symptoms wake you up at night or earlier than usual?” (D) The percentages of the answers to the fourth question of the ACT questionnaire, “Have you used your rescue inhaler or nebulizer medication?” (E) The percentages of the answers to the fifth question of the ACT questionnaire, “Rate your asthma control.”




Figure 4

The C-ACT scores of the treatment group increased. (A) The percentages of the answers to the first question of the C-ACT questionnaire, “How is your asthma today?” (B) The percentages of the answers to the second question of the C-ACT questionnaire, “How much of a problem is your asthma when you run, exercise, or play sports?” (C) The percentages of the answers to the third question of the C-ACT questionnaire, “Do you cough because of your asthma?” (D) The percentages of the answers to the fourth

question of the C-ACT questionnaire, "Do you wake up during the night because of your asthma?" (E) The percentages of the answers to the fifth question the C-ACT questionnaire, "During the last 4 weeks, how many days did your child have any daytime asthma symptoms?" (F) The percentages of the answers to the sixth question the C-ACT questionnaire, "During the last 4 weeks, how many days did your child wheeze during the day because of asthma?" (G) The percentages of the answers to the seventh question of the C-ACT questionnaire, "During the last 4 weeks, how many days did your child wake up during the night because of asthma?"