

# Ontogeny of hepatic metabolism in mule ducks highlights different gene expression profiles between carbohydrate and lipid metabolic pathways

**William Massimino**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE

**Stéphane Davail**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour Institut Universitaire de Technologie des pays de l'Adour

**Aurélie Seccula**

INRAE

**Charlotte Andrieux**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE

**Marie-Dominique Bernadet**

INRAE

**Tracy Pioche**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE

**Karine Ricaud**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE

**Karine Gontier**

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, INRAE

**Mireille Morisson**

INRAE

**Anne Collin**

INRAE

**Stéphane Panserat**

INRAE

**Marianne Houssier** (✉ [marianne.houssier@univ-pau.fr](mailto:marianne.houssier@univ-pau.fr))

Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5329-4597>

---

## Research article

**Keywords:** liver, embryogenesis, transcriptome

**Posted Date:** April 6th, 2020

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20988/v1>

**License:** © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published on October 27th, 2020. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-07093-w>.

# Abstract

**Background :** The production of foie gras involves different metabolic pathways in the liver of overfed ducks such as lipid synthesis and carbohydrates catabolism, but the establishment of these pathways has not yet been described with precision during embryogenesis. The early environment can have short- and long-term impacts on the physiology of many animal species and can be used to influence physiological responses that is called programming. This study proposes to describe the basal hepatic metabolism at the level of mRNA in mule duck embryos in order to reveal potential interesting programming windows in the context of foie gras production. To this end, a kinetic study was designed to determine the level of expression of selected genes involved in steatosis-related liver functions throughout embryogenesis. The livers of 20 mule duck embryos were collected every four days from the 12 th day of embryogenesis (E12) until 4 days after hatching (D4), and gene expression analysis was performed. The expression levels of 50 mRNAs were quantified for these 7 sampling points and classified into 4 major cellular pathways.

**Results :** Interestingly, most mRNAs involved in lipid metabolism are overexpressed after hatching (FASN, SCD1, ACOX1), whereas genes implicated in carbohydrate metabolism (HK1, GAPDH, GLUT1) and development (HGF, IGF, FGFR2) are predominantly overexpressed from E12 to E20. Finally, regarding cellular stress, gene expression appears quite stable throughout development, contrasting with strong expression after hatching (CYP2E1, HSBP1, HSP90AA1).

**Conclusion :** For the first time we described the kinetics of hepatic ontogenesis at mRNA level in mule ducks and highlighted different expression patterns depending on the cellular pathway. These results could be particularly useful in the design of embryonic programming for the production of foie gras.

## Background

In the context of foie gras production, better knowledge of the establishment of hepatic metabolic pathways during embryogenesis could be of particular interest to modulate the individual response to force-feeding. Indeed embryogenesis is a period of development with high plasticity which can be disturbed by environmental stimuli leading to a modification of certain physiological responses in adulthood (1,2). Purposefully using this process, called “embryonic programming”, can improve animal performances when a specific challenge is encountered later in life. In mule ducks, we recently demonstrated for the first time that a thermal stimulus over a period covering approximately 50% of the incubation improves the production of foie gras at the age of three months (3). However some negative effects have also been observed (decrease in hatchability, slight decrease in quality of the final product) showing that a better understanding of the metabolism at the embryonic stage in ducks is needed. Therefore, even if duck embryogenesis has been well described in terms of overall morphogenesis (4–6), the specific characterization of hepatic ontogenesis at the metabolic level remains to be explored.

Liver fattening involves the activation of several metabolic pathways. First, hepatocytes must absorb circulating carbohydrates from cornstarch and catabolize glucose (7) to provide substrates for lipid synthesis via the lipogenesis pathway (8,9). These newly formed lipids can then be exported to the general circulation and absorbed by the peripheral tissues (10), or recaptured by the liver, thus amplifying the capacity of this organ to gain fat (11).

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to analyze a wide range of genes involved in liver development, cell stress, lipid and carbohydrate metabolisms throughout embryogenesis in mule ducks to better understand the ontogeny of pathways related to liver fattening.

Since liver sampling was only possible from the 12th day of embryogenesis (E12), we analyzed hepatic gene expression at 7 sampling points every 4 days from this point up to 4 days post-hatch (D4) and revealed different patterns of expression depending on the cellular pathway.

Interestingly, carbohydrate-related genes appear to be highly expressed at the start of kinetics, while most lipid-related genes are overexpressed after hatching, revealing greater sensitivity to the food transition that occurs at this stage.

## Results

### Liver development-related gene expression

The relative expressions of genes related to development in the liver are illustrated in figure 1. The heatmap representation (fig. 1.1) clearly divided the profiles into two or even three distinct parts, the peak of expression occurring for most genes between the embryonic day 12 (E12) and the embryonic day 20 (E20) (see statistical summary in table 1). The lowest expression level appeared mainly on the first day after hatching (D1), before a slight increase observed for most genes on the 4<sup>th</sup> day after hatching (D4). Most of these genes are involved in the processes of cell proliferation (IGF1, FGFR2), differentiation (PROX1, NR5A2) and liver development (GATA6, HGF, PROX1) (see supplemental table 1) and their expression predominantly arose at the beginning of the kinetics.

### Carbohydrate-related gene expression

The second figure depicts the relative expression of carbohydrate-related genes. Again, the weakest expression appeared on D1, as illustrated by the heatmap (figure 2.1), while the mRNA level was significantly higher between E12 and E20 than at the end of kinetics for most genes (table 2). Nonetheless, compared to development-related genes, the major peak seemed to be tighter around E20. Only the transcription factor ChREBP seemed time-shifted, with a trough at the very beginning of kinetics and a peak at E28. Genes involved in the transport of glucose (GLUT1, GLUT2) or glycolysis (GAPDH,

HK1) were mainly expressed at the beginning of kinetics, the maximal expression occurring at E20 (see statistical summary in table 2).

### Lipid-related gene expression

The third figure reveals the expression profiles of lipid-related genes from E12 to D4. As demonstrated by the heatmap (figure 3.1), a clear cut appeared for all gene expressions with a sharp increase on D4 compared to the rest of the kinetics, with the exception of DGAT2 and ACSS1 which displayed a profile close to that of the genes related to carbohydrate metabolism. Several other genes related to lipid metabolism also present high expression at the beginning of the kinetics, such as ACAT1, FAT/CD36, or CPT1A (figure 3.2).

It is noteworthy that the correlation matrix (figure 4) revealed a significant negative link between a group of carbohydrate-related genes and a second group related to lipids. Indeed, ACOX1, SCD1, FASN, LDLR4, ACLY and CEPT1 appeared to be strongly negatively correlated to CREB2/ATF2, DGAT2, GAPDH, GLUT2, GLUT1 and HK1.

### Stress-related gene expression

The last figure represents the relative expression of stress-related genes. The heatmap (figure 5.1) underlined a peak of expression after birth for most of the genes, particularly on day 4 (figure 5.2 and table 4). Several of these genes are related to heat stress (HSP90AA1 or HSBP1) or cellular detoxification (CYP2E1, GSTT1 or GSTK1).

## **Discussion**

The description of gene expression profiles in duck embryonic liver is particularly informative to understand the establishment of hepatic metabolism pathways.

However, since the size of the livers did not allow sampling before E12, it is impossible to conclude on the specifically hepatic expression of developmental genes before this stage. Data on early chicken embryogenesis suggest that hepatic induction of the anterior endoderm via an interaction with the “cardiac” mesoderm(12) involves many of the pathways depicted in Fig. 1 from the very beginning of ontogeny (13,14). Nevertheless, although much of the cell proliferation and hepatic differentiation arise at the earliest stages of liver development (15), our results suggest that these signaling pathways are still strongly involved in ducks between E12 and E20, in morphogenetically distinct livers. Consequently, an environmental stimulus occurring during this period could potentially influence the proliferation and differentiation of hepatocytes, thereby causing a modification in the final number of cells in the mature organ, as previously shown for chicken muscle cells (16,17). Therefore, even though hyperplasia does not

seem to be involved in fatty liver enlargement during overfeeding (18), it is conceivable that an increase in the number of hepatocytes at birth may enhance the fattening of the liver during force-feeding, since the ability of each cell to expand (hyperphagia) may not be affected. Moreover, recent studies (3,19) suggest that the histological structure of the liver after overfeeding, particularly the number and size of cells, may play a role in the final quality of the product, mainly indicated by fat loss after cooking. It would therefore be very interesting to determine the precise impact of the embryonic thermal stimulus on the number of hepatic cells at birth and after overfeeding in order to accurately modulate the final yield of fatty liver through a specific programming protocol.

In oviparous animals, the nutrition of the developing embryo depends entirely on the resources from yolk and albumen. Despite the low amount of carbohydrate in the egg (20,21), glycolysis has been described as an extremely important source of energy during the first third of chicken embryogenesis (22) and hatching (23). The present results highlight that expression of carbohydrate-related genes is strongly committed up to E20 in mule duck embryos (Fig. 2), confirming the major role of the liver in systemic glucose homeostasis throughout embryogenesis (24,25). Lastly, the drop in carbohydrate-related gene expression observed at D1 might reflect the decline of endogenous resources after hatching, a process involving high energy demand. Since carbohydrate metabolism is a major pathway involved in fattening the liver during overfeeding, the present results showing high expression of carbohydrate-related genes around E20 may explain phenotypic differences observed in our previous study (3). Indeed, based on several embryonic thermal programming data in chickens (26,27) and Pekin ducks (28,29), we applied a thermal stimulus between E13 and E27, covering about 50% of the total incubation period, and showed that this programming induced an increase in fatty liver production after overfeeding at the age of three months (3). However, with the exception of ChREBP, the present results suggest that the programming period that may have an impact on carbohydrate metabolism could be reduced to a window surrounding E20. Nevertheless, it is still possible that the thermal stimulus applied up to E27 had an impact on the resulting activity of ChREBP. As a major transcription factor playing a key role in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (30,31), it cannot be ruled out that the rise in temperature during its peak of expression made an important contribution in the enhanced response measured after overfeeding in the thermally programmed groups. Only new programming experiments with different thermal stimulus protocols and an in-depth analysis of the impact on ChREBP mRNA and protein expressions, or activity could provide a definitive answer about its specific role and that of other carbohydrate-related genes.

With regard to the lipid metabolism, the significant overall change occurring on the 4th day after birth suggests that unlike the genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism, the expression of lipid-related genes could be strongly affected by first meals. Indeed, ducklings sampled on D1 were slaughtered before the first meal, while the ducklings sampled on D4 were all fed *ad libitum* since day 2. The use of yolk lipids during the development of avian embryos has been well described in a previous review (32). These lipids are the main source of energy during the last week of embryogenesis, when the embryos exhibit an exponential growth (22,33). Therefore, the starting diet, mainly composed of wheat and corn, can be interpreted as a nutritional transition since the ducklings move from an energy source consisting primarily of lipids from egg yolk to an exogenous diet with high carbohydrate content (34). This crucial

transition phase is also accompanied by a major change in the metabolism of the liver that acquires the ability to synthesize its own lipids (35). The present results, like previous studies on chickens (36,37), illustrate this modification of hepatic lipid metabolism by highlighting the sharp increase in the expression of lipogenic genes such as SCD1 (Fig. 3.2.a) or FASN (Fig. 3.2.t) at D4 in mule ducklings. These genes are involved in the *de novo* lipogenesis pathway (38,39) which reflects the ability to store carbohydrate sources as lipids (40). In a context of nutritional change with a sudden high intake of carbohydrates, it is consistent to stimulate their storage by increasing the expression of genes involved in lipid synthesis, the liver being the predominant site of lipogenesis in birds (41,42).

However, we observe that the pathway of lipid catabolism is also still engaged at D4, with high expression of ACOX1, ACAD11, ACAA2, CPT1A, suggesting that energy metabolism depends on the use of both carbohydrates and lipid at this stage in mule ducks. Therefore, environmental programming during this critical period could be particularly interesting to study in the context of the response to overfeeding and the production of foie gras. Finally, several genes mainly involved in lipid catabolism (ACAA2, CPT1A, PPARA, ACSS1, ACAT1) also showed high expression at the beginning of the kinetics, between E12 to E20. Indeed, beta-oxidation of fatty acids provides a large part of the energy demand during embryogenesis (32). Consequently, the application of a thermal stimulus during this period could potentially program a differential response to force-feeding and thus participate in the phenotypic differences observed in our previous study (3).

However, the negative correlation measured between the expression of several carbohydrate and lipid-related genes during embryogenesis suggest that these two pathways, which seem to work in mirror mode during development (22,32), could be affected differently by early-life programming. Targeting both with a thermal stimulus around E20, where most carbohydrate-related genes and some of the genes related to lipid catabolism are strongly expressed, seems to be the most appropriate choice. Nevertheless, these results also open a new programming window, around the first meals and specific to lipid-related genes, which could be interesting to explore in the context of the production of foie gras.

The overall increase in stress-related gene expressions occurred after the transfer of ducklings from the hatchery to the breeding facility, resulting in a significant temperature change from 37.3 °C to 26–28 °C. It is interesting to note that a change in the ambient temperature induced a significant increase in the hepatic expression of heat-sensitive genes involved in protein folding (43–45) (supplemental table 4). If the thermal stimulus applied during embryogenesis induced a direct modification of their expression, it might be of interest to use them as positive markers of stimulation. Since the products of these genes are involved in the folding of different types of proteins, a change in their expression profiles could have an impact on several enzymatic activities, even those involved in metabolic processes. To answer this question, an upcoming study will focus on the immediate impact of the thermal change during embryogenesis on the expression level of these genes.

The hatching process represents a major challenge in terms of nutritional regulation, control of body temperature, but also of transition from chorioallantoic to pulmonary respiration (32,37). This abrupt

metabolic change with the sudden onset of elevated oxygen levels may result in an increase in oxidative stress that must be controlled to maintain overall cellular homeostasis. The enhanced expression of several genes involved in cellular detoxification such as GSTK1 (46), GSTT1 (47) or CYP2E1 (48) in the liver of newborn ducklings may be a reflection of this control system. Finally, these expression patterns confirm that hatching is certainly the most brutal challenge a bird faces throughout its life and suggest that embryonic thermal stimulus could be specifically traced by some of these stress-related biological markers.

## Conclusion

These results highlight a wide range of gene expressions during liver ontogenesis in mule ducks and describe for the first time the embryonic establishment of carbohydrate and lipid metabolisms. In the context of foie gras production, the identification of these embryonic expression profiles could be of interest in order to design new programming protocols.

## Methods

### Number of animals and method of euthanasia

In accordance with Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010, all the animals were slaughtered by decapitation (as birds weighting less than 250g), and the number of animals was reduced to the maximum by setting the power of the test at 80% and the alpha risk at 5% using a bilateral test. From previous studies of gene expression, we expected a coefficient of variability around 50%, and wanted an inter group variation of 50%. These parameters lead us to calculate  $n=16$  animals per group. Given the fertility and hatchability rates (estimated at 90% and 80% respectively), we chose to incubate 160 eggs (to assure 7 sample points of 16 individuals), and we were finally able to sample 20 individuals per group.

### Animal and sample collections

A total of 160 mule duck eggs, from mothers aged 46 weeks (genotype H85, provided by Grimaud Frères Selection Company, Roussay, France), were kept at room temperature during 3 days, prior to incubation at 37.6°C, and 60% average relative humidity (RH) during the whole incubation period. All eggs were turned through 90° every 3 hours. Temperature and hygrometry were continuously measured by a sensor (KIMO). Unfertilized eggs were excluded by candling at E10, with a sliding of remaining eggs to prevent local temperature disturbances caused by the appearance of holes. At E27, all eggs were placed in the same hatcher at 37.3°C and 80% RH. On day 2, the ducklings were transferred to a rearing room where the ambient temperature was adjusted to 26-28°C and the starting diet (PALMA07, Maïsadour, France) was available *ad libitum*. Livers from 20 randomly selected animals were sampled every 4 days from 12<sup>th</sup> embryonic day (E12) to the 4<sup>th</sup> day after birth (D4).



Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen for RNA analysis. Total RNA was isolated from frozen tissue according to the Ribozol method (VWR Life Science). Total RNA concentration was measured by spectrophotometry (optical density at 260 nm) using a Biotek EPOCH 2 microplate reader with [Take3 Plate](#), and all the samples were normalized at 500 ng/  $\mu$ l. The integrity of total RNA was analyzed by electrophoresis. An amount of 3  $\mu$ g RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA with Iscript Reverse Transcription Supermix for RTqPCR (Bio-Rad, USA) with duplicates of samples. The absence of DNA contamination was prevented by DNase treatment. Reverse transcription reaction was done in CFX384 (Bio-Rad, USA) according to this program: 25 °C/5 min, 46 °C/20 min, 95 °C/1 min.

#### qPCR EvaGreen using BioMark

The mRNA levels of 50 genes coding for proteins involved in lipid metabolism, carbohydrate metabolism, stress and development were quantified. The primer sequences (listed in supplemental tables 1 to 4) used in the qPCR assays were created first by aligning the protein sequences of humans, mice and ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) on MultAlin (49) to identify the best-preserved exon. These exons were then treated on Primer3 (50,51) to build specific primers. Validation of their efficiency ranged between 1.90 and 2 was carried out by using cascade dilution of a cDNA pool. High throughput real-time quantitative PCR was performed using the Biomark microfluidic system from Fluidigm (GeT-PlaGe platform, Castanet-Tolosan, France) in which every sample-gene combination is quantified using a 96.96 Dynamic Array™ IFCs (BMK-M-96.96, Fluidigm,). Pre-amplification of the samples, chip loading and real time quantitative PCR were performed according to manufacturer's protocol. Real time quantitative PCR results were analyzed using the Fluidigm real-time PCR analysis software v.4.1.3.

Firstly, 6.5 ng of each cDNA were initially preamplified (10 min 95°C activation and 14 PCR cycles (15 sec 95°C and 4 min 60°C) with PreAmp Master Mix (100-5581, Fluidigm) and a pool containing all the primers targeting all the genes (200nM), excluding the 16S rRNA primer sets. Preamplified sample were diluted at 1/5 after an exonuclease treatment (M02935, NEB). In order to prepare samples for loading into the integrated fluidic circuits (IFC), a mix was prepared consisting of 440  $\mu$ L 2X TaqMan Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, 4369016), 44  $\mu$ L 20 $\times$  DNA Binding Dye Sample Loading Reagent (100-7609, Fluidigm), 44  $\mu$ L 20X Evagreen (31000, Biotium) plus 132  $\mu$ L TE, and 6  $\mu$ L of this mix was dispensed to each well of a 96-well assay plate. 2  $\mu$ L of preamplified and diluted cDNA sample was added to each well and the plate was briefly vortexed and centrifuged. For the assays, 5  $\mu$ L of each Assay (5  $\mu$ M each primer in primer-mix (2X assay loading reagent (100-7611, Fluidigm) and Tris EDTA) were dispensed to each Detector Inlet of the 96.96 IFC. Following priming of the IFC in the IFC Controller HX, 5  $\mu$ L of the cDNA sample + reagent mix and 5  $\mu$ L of Assay were dispensed to each Sample Inlet of the 96.96 IFC. After loading the assays and samples into the IFC in the IFC Controller HX, the IFC was transferred to the BioMark and PCR was performed using the following thermal protocol : Thermal Mix of 50 °C, 2 min; 70 °C, 30min; 25°C, 10min, Hot Start at 50 °C, 2 min; 95°C, 10 min, PCR Cycle of 35 cycles of (95 °C, 15 s;

60 °C, 60 s), and Melting analysis (60°C, 30s; 95°C,1°C/3s). Results were analyzed using the Fluidigm real-time PCR analysis software v.4.1.3.

### Data pre-processing

The first part of the analysis is to clean up the data with the Fluidigm real-time PCR analysis software v.4.1.3. Data were pre-processed for expression analysis as follows: the cycle threshold (Ct) values registered from amplifications that generated melting curves with aberrant T<sub>m</sub> (melting temperature) or with products giving rise to a double peak in melting curves (corresponding to a mixture of expected and aberrant PCR products) were removed.

### Gene expression analysis

The selectHKgenes function with the "Vandesompele" method of the SLqPCR package was used with RStudio (Version 1.2.1335) to choose the five most stable housekeeping genes. The five housekeeping genes for the relative quantification of mRNA levels of target genes were SDHA, GLUT8, PDHA1, POL2 and Luciferase. Luciferase is an exogenous RNA (Promega), added to each sample during the reverse transcription (100 pg per inch) to allow normalization of the data, as previously described (52,53). The slope of a standard curve using serial dilutions of cDNA measured the efficiency (E) of PCR. In all cases, PCR efficiency values ranged between 1.90 and 2. The analyses were done with RStudio (54,55) with:

[Please see the supplementary files section to view the equations.]

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were done using the Graphpad Prism version 8 for Windows (GraphPad software, La Jolla California USA, [www.graphpad.com](http://www.graphpad.com) (serial number *GP8-1598457-RJQD-5E2EC*)). Data are presented with a box-and-whisker plot, boxes ranging from the 25th to the 75th percentiles, and whiskers ranging from the lowest to the highest value. When the data set presented a Normal distribution (assessed by Shapiro–Wilk test), parametric variance analysis (ANOVA) was performed followed by a Dunnett's multiple comparison test as post hoc analysis. When normal distribution was not demonstrated, the Kruskal–Wallis non-parametric test was performed followed by a Dunn's test as post hoc analysis. In every case, differences between the groups were considered statistically significant if the value of  $P < 0.05$ .

The heatmap.2 function from the gplots package was used to draw heatmaps with RStudio. The **corrplot** package was used to draw the correlation matrix; this package contains algorithms to reorder the matrix according to the degree of correlation between the variables.

# List Of Abbreviations

ANOVA: analysis of variance; Ct: cycle threshold; D4: day 4 after hatching; E12: embryonic day 12; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; Tm: melting temperature.

All gene symbols are listed in the supplemental figures.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All experimental procedures were in accordance with the French national guidelines for the care of animals for research purposes. The protocols were approved by the committee of the Care and Use of Animals of the Grand Sud-Ouest (n°73) under the file reference APAFIS14196-201805250850236-v3. The present study was carried out in the certified Experimental Station for Waterfowl Breeding (INRA, Artiguères, France, which received the accreditation number B40-037-1.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable

### Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its additional files].

### Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted with the partial support of the “Comité Interprofessionel des Palmipèdes à Foie gras”(CIFOG).

### Funding

This work was supported by grants from the French Departemental Council of Landes (CD40) which financed the salary for a thesis and the “Comité Interprofessionel des Palmipèdes à Foie gras”(CIFOG) which provided the funds for the incubation of eggs and the amount of molecular analyzes.

## Author's Contributions

M.H., S.P., A.C., S.D., M.M. and W.M. conceived and designed the study. W.M. conducted all the experiments and analyses with the help of A.S., M.H., T.P., K.R. and K.G. M.D.B supervised the whole breeding, overfeeding and slaughtering phases. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the “Comité Interprofessionnel des Palmipèdes à *Foie gras* (CIFOG) and from “Comité Départemental des Landes” (CD40). We thank all the technicians of the Experimental Station for Waterfowl Breeding (INRAE, Artigueres, France) for the daily care given to the animals and the monitoring of the good conditions for the experiments.

## **References**

1. Barker DJP, Medical Research Council (Great Britain), Environmental Epidemiology Unit. Fetal and infant origins of adult disease: papers written by the Medical Research Council Environmental Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton. London: British Medical Journal; 1993.
2. Lucas A. Programming by early nutrition: an experimental approach. J Nutr. févr 1998;128(2 Suppl):401S-406S.
3. Massimino W, Davail S, Bernadet M-D, Pioche T, Tavernier A, Ricaud K, et al. Positive Impact of Thermal Manipulation During Embryogenesis on Foie Gras Production in Mule Ducks. Frontiers in Physiology [Internet]. 12 déc 2019 [cité 13 déc 2019];10. Disponible sur: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fphys.2019.01495/full>
4. Sellier N, Brillard J-P, Dupuy V, Bakst MR. Comparative Staging of Embryo Development in Chicken, Turkey, Duck, Goose, Guinea Fowl, and Japanese Quail Assessed from Five Hours After Fertilization Through Seventy-Two Hours of Incubation. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. juill 2006;15(2):219-28.
5. Lumsangkul C, Fan Y-K, Chang S-C, Ju J-C, Chiang H-I. Characterizing early embryonic development of Brown Tsaiya Ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) in comparison with Taiwan Country Chicken (*Gallus gallus domestics*). Yildirim A, éditeur. PLOS ONE. 9 mai 2018;13(5):e0196973.
6. Li S, Bai S, Qin X, Zhang J, Irwin DM, Zhang S, et al. Comparison of whole embryonic development in the duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and goose (*Anser cygnoides*) with the chicken (*Gallus gallus*). Poultry Science. août 2019;98(8):3278-91.
7. Annabelle T, Karine R, Marie-Dominique B, Stéphane D, Karine G. Kinetics of expression of genes involved in glucose metabolism after the last meal in overfed mule ducks. Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry. juin 2017;430(1-2):127-37.

8. Hérault F, Saez G, Robert E, Al Mohammad A, Davail S, Chartrin P, et al. Liver gene expression in relation to hepatic steatosis and lipid secretion in two duck species. *Anim Genet.* févr 2010;41(1):12-20.
9. Annabelle T, Karine R, Marie-Dominique B, Karine G, Stéphane D. Pre- and post-prandial expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism at the end of the overfeeding period of mule ducks. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry.* janv 2018;438(1-2):111-21.
10. Saez G, Baéza E, Bernadet MD, Davail S. Is there a relationship between the kinetics of lipoprotein lipase activity after a meal and the susceptibility to hepatic steatosis development in ducks? *Poultry Science.* nov 2010;89(11):2453-60.
11. Tavernier A, Davail S, Ricaud K, Bernadet M-D, Gontier K. Genes involved in the establishment of hepatic steatosis in Muscovy, Pekin and mule ducks. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry.* janv 2017;424(1-2):147-61.
12. Fukuda-Taira S. Hepatic induction in the avian embryo: specificity of reactive endoderm and inductive mesoderm. *J Embryol Exp Morphol.* juin 1981;63:111-25.
13. Jung J, Zheng M, Goldfarb M, Zaret KS. Initiation of mammalian liver development from endoderm by fibroblast growth factors. *Science.* 18 juin 1999;284(5422):1998-2003.
14. Zaret KS. Regulatory phases of early liver development: paradigms of organogenesis. *Nature Reviews Genetics.* juill 2002;3(7):499-512.
15. Suksaweang S, Lin C-M, Jiang T-X, Hughes MW, Widelitz RB, Chuong C-M. Morphogenesis of chicken liver: identification of localized growth zones and the role of beta-catenin/Wnt in size regulation. *Dev Biol.* 1 févr 2004;266(1):109-22.
16. Halevy O, Krispin A, Leshem Y, McMurtry JP, Yahav S. Early-age heat exposure affects skeletal muscle satellite cell proliferation and differentiation in chicks. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* juill 2001;281(1):R302-309.
17. Piestun Y, Halevy O, Shinder D, Ruzal M, Druyan S, Yahav S. Thermal manipulations during broiler embryogenesis improves post-hatch performance under hot conditions. *Journal of Thermal Biology.* oct 2011;36(7):469-74.
18. BENARD G, BENGONE T, PREHN D, DURAND S, LABIE C, BENARD P. Contribution à l'étude de la physiologie du canard en gavage: étude de la stéatose hépatique. *Bulletin de l'Académie vétérinaire de France.* 2006;
19. Théron L, Astruc T, Bouillier-Oudot M, Molette C, Vénien A, Peyrin F, et al. The fusion of lipid droplets is involved in fat loss during cooking of duck « foie gras ». *Meat Sci.* déc 2011;89(4):377-83.
20. Thommes RC, Just JJ. ENDOCRINE CONTROL OF YOLK SAC MEMBRANE GLYCOGEN LEVELS IN THE DEVELOPING CHICK EMBRYO. I. GLUCAGON. *Gen Comp Endocrinol.* déc 1964;4:614-23.
21. Yadgary L, Cahaner A, Kedar O, Uni Z. Yolk sac nutrient composition and fat uptake in late-term embryos in eggs from young and old broiler breeder hens. *Poultry Science.* 1 nov 2010;89(11):2441-52.
22. Moran ET. Nutrition of the developing embryo and hatchling. *Poult Sci.* mai 2007;86(5):1043-9.

23. Freeman BM. The mobilization of hepatic glycogen in *Gallus domesticus* at the end of incubation. *Comp Biochem Physiol.* mars 1969;28(3):1169-76.
24. Ballard FJ, Oliver IT. Glycogen metabolism in embryonic chick and neonatal rat liver. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 4 juin 1963;71:578-88.
25. Yadgary L, Uni Z. Yolk sac carbohydrate levels and gene expression of key gluconeogenic and glycogenic enzymes during chick embryonic development. *Poultry Science.* 1 févr 2012;91(2):444-53.
26. Piestun Y, Shinder D, Ruzal M, Halevy O, Brake J, Yahav S. Thermal Manipulations During Broiler Embryogenesis: Effect on the Acquisition of Thermotolerance. *Poultry Science.* 1 août 2008;87(8):1516-25.
27. Zaboli G-R, Rahimi S, Shariatmadari F, Torshizi MAK, Baghbanzadeh A, Mehri M. Thermal manipulation during Pre and Post-Hatch on thermotolerance of male broiler chickens exposed to chronic heat stress. *Poultry Science.* 1 févr 2017;96(2):478-85.
28. Wang G, Liu J, Xiang S, Yan X, Li Q, Cui C, et al. Influence of in ovo thermal manipulation on lipid metabolism in embryonic duck liver. *J Therm Biol.* juill 2014;43:40-5.
29. Liu H, Liu J, Yan X, Li Q, Zhao Y, Wang Y, et al. Impact of thermal stress during incubation on gene expression in embryonic muscle of Peking ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos domestica*). *Journal of Thermal Biology.* oct 2015;53:80-9.
30. Wang Y, Viscarra J, Kim S-J, Sul HS. Transcriptional regulation of hepatic lipogenesis. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology.* nov 2015;16(11):678-89.
31. Ortega-Prieto P, Postic C. Carbohydrate Sensing Through the Transcription Factor ChREBP. *Frontiers in Genetics* [Internet]. 4 juin 2019 [cité 10 sept 2019];10. Disponible sur: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fgene.2019.00472/full>
32. Speake BK, Murray AM, Noble RC. Transport and transformations of yolk lipids during development of the avian embryo. *Prog Lipid Res.* mai 1998;37(1):1-32.
33. Noble RC, Cocchi M. Lipid metabolism and the neonatal chicken. *Prog Lipid Res.* 1990;29(2):107-40.
34. Noy Y, Sklan D. Energy utilization in newly hatched chicks. *Poult Sci.* déc 1999;78(12):1750-6.
35. Noble RC, Ogunyemi D. Lipid changes in the residual yolk and liver of the chick immediately after hatching. *Biol Neonate.* 1989;56(4):228-36.
36. Richards MP, Proszkowiec-Weglarz M, Rosebrough RW, McMurtry JP, Angel R. Effects of early neonatal development and delayed feeding immediately post-hatch on the hepatic lipogenic program in broiler chicks. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.* déc 2010;157(4):374-88.
37. Cogburn LA, Trakooljul N, Chen C, Huang H, Wu CH, Carré W, et al. Transcriptional profiling of liver during the critical embryo-to-hatchling transition period in the chicken (*Gallus gallus*). *BMC Genomics* [Internet]. déc 2018 [cité 10 sept 2019];19(1). Disponible sur: <https://bmcbgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12864-018-5080-4>

38. Clarke SD. Regulation of fatty acid synthase gene expression: an approach for reducing fat accumulation. *J Anim Sci.* juill 1993;71(7):1957-65.
39. ALJohani AM, Syed DN, Ntambi JM. Insights into Stearoyl-CoA Desaturase-1 Regulation of Systemic Metabolism. *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism.* déc 2017;28(12):831-42.
40. Sanders FWB, Griffin JL. *De novo* lipogenesis in the liver in health and disease: more than just a shunting yard for glucose: Hepatic *de novo* lipogenesis and metabolic disease. *Biological Reviews.* mai 2016;91(2):452-68.
41. Leveille GA, Romsos DR, Yeh Y, O’Hea EK. Lipid biosynthesis in the chick. A consideration of site of synthesis, influence of diet and possible regulatory mechanisms. *Poult Sci.* juill 1975;54(4):1075-93.
42. Ding F, Pan Z, Kou J, Li L, Xia L, Hu S, et al. De novo lipogenesis in the liver and adipose tissues of ducks during early growth stages after hatching. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology.* sept 2012;163(1):154-60.
43. Chen B, Piel WH, Gui L, Bruford E, Monteiro A. The HSP90 family of genes in the human genome: Insights into their divergence and evolution. *Genomics.* déc 2005;86(6):627-37.
44. Shi Z, Zhang J, Zheng S. What we know about ST13, a co-factor of heat shock protein, or a tumor suppressor? *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE B.* mars 2007;8(3):170-6.
45. Baaklini I, Wong MJH, Hantouche C, Patel Y, Shrier A, Young JC. The DNAJA2 Substrate Release Mechanism Is Essential for Chaperone-mediated Folding. *Journal of Biological Chemistry.* 7 déc 2012;287(50):41939-54.
46. Guo ZK, Cella LK, Baum C, Ravussin E, Schoeller DA. De novo lipogenesis in adipose tissue of lean and obese women: application of deuterated water and isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord.* juill 2000;24(7):932-7.
47. Whalen R, Boyer TD. Human glutathione S-transferases. In: *Seminars in liver disease.* © 1998 by Thieme Medical Publishers, Inc.; 1998. p. 345-58.
48. Leung T-M, Nieto N. CYP2E1 and oxidant stress in alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Journal of hepatology.* 2013;58(2):395-8.
49. Corpet F. Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. *Nucleic acids research.* 1988;16(22):10881–10890.
50. Koressaar T, Remm M. Enhancements and modifications of primer design program Primer3. *Bioinformatics.* 15 mai 2007;23(10):1289-91.
51. Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, et al. Primer3—new capabilities and interfaces. *Nucleic Acids Research.* août 2012;40(15):e115-e115.
52. Desvignes T, Fauvel C, Bobe J. The nme gene family in zebrafish oogenesis and early development. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg’s Archives of Pharmacology.* oct 2011;384(4-5):439-49.
53. Marandel L, Labbe C, Bobe J, Le Bail P-Y. Evolutionary history of c-myc in teleosts and characterization of the duplicated c-myca genes in goldfish embryos. *Molecular Reproduction and Development.* févr 2012;79(2):85-96.

54. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  Method. *Methods*. déc 2001;25(4):402-8.
55. Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgomet C, Neuvians TP. Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper–Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnology letters*. 2004;26(6):509-15.


## Tables

Please see the supplementary files section to view the tables.

## Figures



1.



2.





Figure 1

Relative hepatic expression of development-related genes from E12 to D4 1. Heatmap illustration of liver gene expressions at different stages in mule ducks. Low gene expression is indicated in yellow, while high expression is in red, according to the color key. 2. Box-and-whisker plots representations of expression profile of FGFR2 (a), IGF (b), GATA6 (c), HGF (d), PROX1 (e), STAB2 (f), MAPK1 (g), NR5A2 (h), MEF2C (j),

ACTB (j), TUBa (k), RELN (l) in the liver of mule duck during development. The boxes extend from the 25th to the 75th percentiles, and the whiskers range from the lowest value to the highest.

1.



2.




Figure 2

Relative hepatic expression of carbohydrate-related genes from E12 to D4 1. Heatmap illustration of liver gene expressions at different stages in mule ducks. Low gene expression is indicated in yellow, while high expression is in red, according to the color key. 2. Box-and-whisker plots representation of expression

profile of GAPDH (a), HK1 (b), GLUT1 (c), GLUT2 (d), ALDH3A2 (e), ChREBP (f), INSR (g), AMPK (h), CREB2/ATF2 (i), ALDH7A1 (j), AKT (k) in the liver of mule duck during development. The boxes extend from the 25th to the 75th percentiles, and the whiskers range from the lowest value to the highest.




Figure 3

Relative hepatic expression of lipid-related genes from E12 to D4 1. Heatmap illustration of liver gene expressions at different stages in mule ducks. Low gene expression is indicated in yellow, while high

expression is in red, according to the color key. 2. Box-and-whisker plots representations of expression profile of SCD1 (a), CEPT1 (b), ACOX1 (c), ACLY (d), LPC (e), ACSL1 (f), LXRa (g), ACAD11 (h), PPARG (j), LDLR4 (j), FABP4 (k), PPARG (l), ACAA2 (m), FAT/CD36 (n), ACAT1 (o), GPAT1 (p), CPT1A (q), ACSS1 (r), DGAT2 (s), FASN (t) in the liver of mule duck during development. The boxes extend from the 25th to the 75th percentiles, and the whiskers range from the lowest value to the highest.




Figure 4

Correlation matrix of lipid and carbohydrate gene expressions This color-coded correlation matrix illustrates the pairwise correlations between levels of gene expression throughout the kinetics (Pearson method, the presence of colored dot means  $p > 0.01$ ). The color scale on the right indicates the strengths of the correlations (blue for positive correlation, red for negative correlation).




Figure 5

Relative hepatic expression of stress-related genes from E12 to D4 1. Heatmap illustration of liver gene expressions at different stages in mule ducks. Low gene expression is indicated in yellow, while high expression is in red, according to the color key. 2. Box-and-whisker plots representations of expression profile of GSTK1 (a), HSP90AA1 (b), ST13 (c), DNAJA2 (d), GSTT1 (e), HSBP1 (f), CYP2E1 (g) in the liver of mule duck during development. The boxes extend from the 25th to the 75th percentiles, and the whiskers range from the lowest value to the highest.

## Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [TemplatedataMassiminoBMCgenomics2020.xlsx](#)
- [Equations.docx](#)
- [SupplementalFigures.docx](#)
- [Tables.docx](#)