

Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation enhances cell-cell communication for spinal cord injury

Bin Lv (✉ dr_lvbin@sina.com)

The Affiliated People's Hospital of Jiangsu University

Haosheng Wang

Jilin University Second Hospital

shengquan yang



Wuxi People's Hospital

Research

Keywords: biomaterial, MSCs transplantation, spinal cord injury, combinatorial therapy, neuroinflammation

Posted Date: April 8th, 2020

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-20521/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The spinal cord is part of the central nervous system (CNS) and serves to connect the brain to the peripheral nervous system and peripheral tissues. The cell types that primarily comprise the spinal cord are neurons and several categories of glia, including astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia. Ependymal cells and small populations of endogenous stem cells, such as oligodendrocyte progenitor cells, also reside in the spinal cord [1]. Neurons are interconnected in circuits; those that process cutaneous sensory input are mainly located in the dorsal spinal cord, while those involved in proprioception and motor control are predominately located in the ventral spinal cord [2]. Due to the importance of the spinal cord, neurodegenerative disorders and traumatic injuries affecting the spinal cord will lead to motor deficits and loss of sensory inputs. Spinal cord injury (SCI), resulting in paraplegia and tetraplegia as a result of deleterious interconnected mechanisms encompassed by the primary and secondary injury, represents a heterogeneously behavioral and cognitive deficit that remains incurable. Following SCI, various barriers containing the neuroinflammation, neural tissue defect (neurons, microglia, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes), cavity formation, loss of neuronal circuitry and function must be overcome [3]. Notably, the pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects of cell-cell communication networks play critical roles in homeostatic, driving the pathophysiologic and consequent cognitive outcomes. In the spinal cord, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and microglia are involved in not only development but also pathology. Glial cells play dual roles (negative vs. positive effects) in these processes. After SCI, detrimental effects usually dominate and significantly retard functional recovery, and curbing these effects is critical for promoting neurological improvement. Indeed, residential innate immune cells (microglia and astrocytes) and infiltrating leukocytes (macrophages and neutrophils), activated by SCI, give rise to full-blown inflammatory cascades. These inflammatory cells release neurotoxins (proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, free radicals, excitotoxic amino acids, nitric oxide (NO)), all of which partake in axonal and neuronal deficit [4]. Given the various multifaceted obstacles in SCI treatment, a combinatorial therapy of cell transplantation and biomaterial implantation may be addressed in detail here. For the sake of preserving damaged tissue integrity and providing physical support and trophic supply for axon regeneration, MSCs transplantation has come to the front stage in therapy for SCI with the constant progress of stem cell engineering [5]. MSCs transplantation promotes scaffold integration and regenerative growth potential. Integrating into the implanted scaffold, MSCs influences implant integration by improving the healing process [6]. Conversely, biomaterial scaffolds offer MSCs with a sheltered microenvironment from the surrounding pathological changes, in addition to bridging connection spinal cord stump and offering physical and directional support for axonal regeneration. Besides, Biomaterial scaffolds mimic the extracellular matrix to suppress immune responses. Here, we review the advances in combinatorial biomaterial scaffolds and MSCs transplantation approach that targets certain aspects of various intercellular communications in the pathologic process following SCI. Finally, the challenges of biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation and its future direction for neuronal regeneration will be presented.

Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a devastating disorder that affects approximately 18,000 new patients worldwide each year, causing both a high disability and mortality rate [7, 8]. SCI disrupts neuronal circuitry, causing permanent paraplegia or tetraplegia and other distinct implications to the patients (e.g., intractable pain, infections, and pressure sores), generating severe economic and social burdens on the people and their families. Traumatic injury to the spinal cord can be caused by compressions, lacerations, and contusions, which lead to a spectrum of neurological symptoms depending on the level and the severity of the injury such as motor/sensory dysfunction, autonomic deficits, neuropathic pain, autonomic dysreflexia, and bowel/bladder dysfunction [9]. The processes occurring within the SCI can be divided into acute (< 48 h), subacute (48 h to 14 days), intermediate (14 days to 3 months), and chronic phases (> 3 months).

Primary and secondary death is involved in the pathological process of nerve injury. The former is the direct death of nerve cells caused by immediate physical injury and is irreversible. The latter is caused by subsequent pathological changes, including necrosis, apoptosis, necrosis, autophagy, and pyrolysis. The pathological process caused by traumatic SCI involves vascular, neural, and immune systems, including the disruption of the blood supply, neuron death, a growth-inhibitory microenvironment, cyst formation, scar formation, and demyelination [10].

The traumatic injury damages the membranes of cells and causes primary injuries, which include cell death and blood vessel rupture [11]. Most neurotrophic drugs are widely used in experiments to alleviate secondary cell death, but because of the blood-brain and blood-spinal cord barrier, many drugs may not reach capable target cells. Thus, due to the particularity and complexity of the nervous system, no reliable neurotrophic drug has been identified.

In addition, there is a substantial cost burden associated with medical expenses each year for the treatment of nerve injury. Researchers are therefore trying to investigate effective neuroprotective measures, such as drug pretreatment [12], ischemic post/pre-conditioning or hypothermia [13], and exosomes [14]. However, the effects of these treatments are limited because the central nervous system is inaccessible to these treatments. Various nanomaterials, including liposomes [15, 16], polymeric micelles [17], carbon nanotubes [18], dendrimers [19], inorganic particles [20] and silica-based materials [21] have been used as targeted carriers. Recently, hydrogels hold promise for delivering treatments to nerve injury and targeting neurons to enhance axon regeneration and synaptogenesis. Drug delivery particles or tubes can extend the release of a drug but typically require a hydrogel to keep the biomaterial within the lesion cavity or to prolong release further. While hydrogels and particles/tubes do not effectively guide the regeneration of white matter tract axons, guidance conduits or fibers can effectively direct regeneration. Overall, an opportunity exists to develop drug delivery biomaterial approaches that provide aligned topography, appropriate mechanical characteristics, and specific drug release profiles to more successfully treat SCI.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that diverse biomaterials are potential delivery vehicle options for cells, bioactive molecules and drugs in different models of SCI[22]. Biomaterials-based cell therapy

transplant various cell types into the damaged area to address the issues of cell viability, cell retention at the lesion site, supportive physical matrix, filling of the lesion cavity as well as mediating directed growth. Among the various types of stem cells, MSCs are commonly used for cell therapy owing to many advantages, such as their capacity for self-renewal and differentiation into multi-lineage without ethical issues. Moreover, MSCs advantageously have low immunogenicity and diverse sources. Biomaterials, such as hydrogels, provide a mimic of a three-dimensional (3D) in vivo microenvironment to maintain MSCs viability and to induce the MSCs fate to differentiate into multi-lineage.

The encapsulation of MSCs, by entrapping the viable cells in hydrogels, is considered to have the potential for the amelioration of micro-environment in the treatment of the nervous injury. Prior studies prove that MSCs' encapsulation technologies maintain MSCs viability and function and provide optimal structural support for MSCs infiltration and proliferation [23]. Then encapsulated MSCs can differentiate into neuron [24], intervertebral disc [25], bone [26], and articular cartilage [27]. Therefore, the encapsulation of MSCs into hydrogels for local cell secretion is a very effective and exciting strategy for the treatment of SCI.

Main Text

Different phases in neuroinflammation are critical for neuroprotection after SCI

Traumatic SCI can cause destructive events, such as neural cell death, tissue destruction, vascular rupture, and leakage, leads to an innate immune response characterized by persistent neuroinflammation (as a type of microglia activation) which exerts a crucial role in the pathological process of the secondary phase of SCI [28]. The expression of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), which are a diverse set of host molecules such as proteins and nonproteins, are responsible for initiating innate immune activation, including homing of inflammatory cells, after SCI [29]. However, neuroinflammatory processes appear as a double-edged sword by being either deleterious through the production of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) by neurons and glial cells which results in pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines production, blood-brain barrier (BBB) disruption, infiltration of leukocytes from the peripheral blood into the infarcted area, and further exacerbation of tissue damage or beneficial through promoting tissue repair process [30]. Immune cells also infiltrate an injury site through ruptured blood vessels to encounter the DAMP-positive microenvironment and exacerbate the inflammatory milieu [31]. Concerning SCI, DAMPs induce pro-inflammatory activation in microglia, astrocytes, and neurons. The pathological inflammation wave as part of secondary injury processes is critical to debris clearance, phagocytes are of dying neurons, and regulation of angiogenesis following SCI, particularly with unregulated inflammatory cytokine signaling [32, 33].

SCI is characterized both by the acute and focal contusion, as well as by an extensive secondary injury composed of ischemia, excitotoxicity, and uncontrolled neuroinflammation response [34]. The initial, acute phase of neuroinflammation is characterized by rapid infiltration of neutrophils, monocytes, and

lymphocytes at the site of damaged tissue after a more extended period, accompanied by a continuous generation of cytotoxic molecules, including reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species (RNS), proteinase 3, cathepsin G and elastase5, which are likely to contribute significantly to secondary tissue degeneration [35]. Therefore, peripheral immune cells significantly and persistently contribute to the neuroinflammation microenvironment after SCI. After traumatic SCI, the role of circulating levels of the chemokines CCL2 and CXCL10 mediates macrophage migration and activation for repair in the subacute stage [36]. Macrophage chemoattractant protein (MCP-1) and other cytokines present in the damaged tissue promote recovery after SCI by altering macrophage polarity [37]. The cells, such as microglia, astrocytes, neurons, and oligodendrocytes, up-regulate IL-1b and TNFa within hours [38]. After the initial inflammatory phase, induction of anti-inflammatory and reparative-phase is regulated by inflammatory protein and chemokine receptors in macrophage and regulatory T lymphocytes [39, 40]. Myelin debris, engulfed by microvascular endothelial cells, may promote macrophage recruitment and change phenotypic and functional of macrophage after neural injury [41].

In the secondary phase of neuroinflammation, lymphocytes drive incessant degeneration that occurs within several months. The infiltrating peripheral myeloid cells play an essential role in the secondary phase of SCI. A reduction in neutrophils present at the contusion site promotes recovery, while depletion of macrophages via clodronate liposomes reduces tissue damage. These myeloid cells may be driven toward a more inflammatory phenotype by the presence of cytokines such as TNF- α and IL-1b and free radicals. Besides, the spontaneous demyelination was caused by increased expression of TNF- α via activation of macrophages and microglia [42]. After SCI, there is no efficiently regulated induction of an anti-inflammatory and reparative phase, resulting in a chronic cytotoxic inflammatory state that contributes to secondary degeneration, thereby limiting repair and functional restoration [43, 44]. In the spinal cord, infiltrating monocytes and polarizing the differentiation of resident microglia toward an M2 or another reparative macrophages phenotype could promote restoration of SCI while restricting secondary inflammatory-mediated injury [32]. Examination of spinal cord tissue showed the increased inflammatory cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers and persistent presence of inflammatory cells [45].

It seems that an elevated TNF- α level after SCI is responsible for initiating the neuroinflammatory response. In the initial period after SCI, TNF- α is produced by neurons and in a subacute period by microglia, astrocytes, lymphocytes, and macrophages derived from the peripheral blood. The increase of TNF- α in the blood and cerebrospinal fluid after the onset of SCI causes apoptosis of neurons and the increase of neurological deficits and induces the migration of leukocytes from the vascular bed to the vicinity of the lesion.

Secretome of MSCs and suppression of neuroinflammation

A type of anti-inflammatory cell therapy is the transplantation of stem cells that activate downstream cellular pathways and promote the infiltration of endogenous NSC to the site of stroke injury. This involves the transplantation of stem cells, which have either been differentiated from iPSCs, ESCs, MSCs, or BMSCs to a neural progenitor state or are un-differentiated. Despite some differences in cell sources,

MSCs still exhibit a remarkable autocrine and paracrine activity that can stimulate proliferation and differentiation of other cells and themselves, which is the dominating mechanism of MSCs' participation in tissue regeneration and functional restoration following SCI. MSCs can secrete a variety of soluble molecules include tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- β 1, interleukin (IL)-13, IL-18 binding protein, ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), neurotrophin 3 factor (NT-3), IL-10-, IL-12p70, IL-17E, IL-27 to exert anti-inflammatory potential[46]. Furthermore, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as interferon, TNF, and IL-10 can also be inhibited by MSCs to modulated cytokine production of the host.

Further, cells produce a wide variety of growth-promoting molecules, including brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), CNTF, glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), nerve growth factor (NGF), and neurotrophin 3 (NT-3), and ECM proteins like laminin, fibronectin, and collagen I/III and IV [47]. One of the essential methods by which MSCs secrete biological factors is via extracellular vesicles (EVs), which are divided into either microvesicles or exosomes. Extracellular vesicles are packed with thousands of proteins, 27 messenger RNA, and microRNA, many of which are enriched in EVs and have been reported to enhance neuronal growth in the SCI model [48, 49]. Given that much of MSCs paracrine actions are mediated via EVs, these subcellular packages are being developed as a cell-free biological therapeutic in their own right, which would obviate the theoretical teratogenic concerns of cell therapy. MSCs may improve neuronal health by donating their mitochondria[50]. This mechanism of mitochondrial transfer has been observed between astrocytes and neurons in a stroke model. Through this mechanism, MSCs conceivably could improve neuronal health by donating healthy mitochondria to neurons that harbored functional mitochondria.

From a neurodegenerative perspective, it has become increasingly recognized that neuroinflammation plays a significant pathomechanistic role [51]. Neuroinflammation in this context is defined as the negative contribution of nonneuronal cells (e.g., immune cells, glial cells) to neurodegenerative disease. Many repair mechanisms rely on beneficial aspects of inflammation, yet certain aspects of inflammation cause damage to spared tissue. MSCs can also modify the immune response after injury by elevating levels of anti-inflammatory and reducing levels of proinflammatory cytokines when transplanted 3 or 7 d after SCI. The delivery of neural progenitor cells to the site of injury triggers recovery through reducing inflammation and reactive gliosis as well as promoting angiogenesis [52] without the heightened risk of tumorigenesis. Cell therapies are commonly administered through i.v. Injection, requiring cells to cross the BBB. Much larger doses of cell transplantation are needed to treat the restrictions of selective permeability of the endothelium on cell infiltration. To circumvent this limitation, dual therapies including stem cells administered with the biomaterial, astrocyte-derived conditioned medium or drugs that transiently open the BBB have been considered [53]. Antagonizing the inductive effect of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), MSCs-derived exosomes suppress neuroinflammation and show anti-neuroinflammatory and autoimmune suppressive characteristics after SCI. Also, MSCs-derived exosomes decrease the frequency of inflammatory microglia in the damaged regions [54]. Therefore, MSCs-derived exosomes may exert their beneficial roles in modulation of microglia through suppression of neuroinflammatory feedbacks. Notably, MSC migration can also be influenced by the release of cytokines, interleukins, and growth factors via an autocrine loop. Moreover, the MSCs secretome can also

exert immunomodulatory, anti-inflammatory, neurotrophic/neuroprotective, and angiogenetic effects on the host microenvironment[55].

However, maintaining the retention and stability of exosomes over time and resist of microenvironment variation in vivo after transplantation is a significant barrier to enhance the therapeutic efficacy in the clinical application of MSC-derived secretions [56, 57]. Tan et al.[58] demonstrated that therapeutic molecules such as neurotrophin (BDNF) could be effectively encapsulated and delivered to the target tissue of SCI in a sustained manner with retained biological activity via biomaterials, reducing undesirable biological side effects in non-target regions.

MSCs Transplantation Modulates The Immune System

Extensive literature exists on the benefits of immune cells for CNS remyelination. In culture, Th2, rather than Th1, cells promoted OPC maturation[68]. The transfer of myelin-reactive Th17 cells was found to attenuate remyelination after demyelination, although the infiltration of myelin-specific T cells was shown to enhance oligodendrogenesis. Spontaneous remyelination of genetically deficient regulatory T cells was attenuated after SCI and was rescued by subsequent adoptive transfer of T regulatory cells, which deliver an oligodendrocyte trophic factor, CCL3 [69].

MSCs effectively modulate the immune system and can aid neurological restoration by mediating their immunomodulatory effects via direct cell-cell interactions [70, 71]. However, few studies dissect the direct interactions between transplanted cells and immune cells; instead, they measure global changes in cytokine release or the abundance of immune cells after SCI. The immunomodulation is realized thanks to the expression of the major histocompatibility complex-I on the MSC surface, in this way preventing T-cell recognition and induction of a host immune response. Unlike most other allogeneic cell therapies in clinical development, allogeneic MSCs therapies may be used without concomitant immunosuppression because of their paucity of dominant histocompatibility complex class II proteins and decreased propensity to trigger an immune response [72, 73]. Moreover, MSCs can inhibit the proliferation, activation, and differentiation of T cells. Besides, MSCs transplantation can provide benefits through immunomodulation via the production of different cytokines, growth factors, and immunomodulatory factors (for example, nitric oxide, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, TGF β) that can inhibit T-cell proliferation, induce tolerogenic dendritic cells or prevent/polarise T-cell activation [74]. Transplanted cells might alter inflammation indirectly by dampening tissue damage. In addition, MSCs modulate neurodegenerative disorders via the paracrine conversion of CCL2 from agonist to antagonist of CD4 Th17 cell function [75]. For example, MSCs transplantation robustly decreased CD4 T cell infiltration of the spinal cord along with reduced plasma levels of IL-17 and TNF- level. Both allogeneic and autologous MSCs therapies are in development.

Communication between macrophages and MSCs

Extensive research has shown that the behavior of MSCs has immunoregulatory potential following activation by macrophages [76, 77]. The directionality of the communications between macrophages and MSCs reveals a strictly controlled reciprocal relationship for modulation of tissue repair and regeneration. Previous research has established that macrophages promote healing in various tissue types via bidirectional crosstalk with MSCs [78, 79].

1) Macrophages influence MSCs

Macrophage-derived cytokines and inflammatory environment influence the MSCs secretomes, migratory, and immunomodulatory capacity [77, 80]. Peng et al. demonstrated that HIV-1-infected and immune-activated MDM could affect neurogenesis through induction of NPC proliferation, inhibition of neurogenesis, and activation of gliogenesis [81]. Redondo and colleagues found interleukin-1 primes MSCs towards an anti-inflammatory and pro-trophic phenotype in vitro [82]. Additionally, Hayakawa et al. showed that CD200 restrains macrophage attack on oligodendrocyte precursors via toll-like receptor four downregulation in the nervous system [83].

More importantly, this work introduces the potential of ILP/ISP as a viable strategy for modulating the immune response following SCI and other neuroinflammatory conditions of the central nervous system. Considering the sequence of macrophage phenotypes during SCI, it is clear that the potential of inflammatory cytokines in the microenvironment was introduced as a feasible strategy for modulating the immune response and neuroinflammatory conditions [84]. Further research into anti-inflammatory and immunomodulatory mechanisms of MSCs transplantation could promote the development of therapies that harness the reparative and modulatory potential of macrophages and MSCs to construct the reparative microenvironment for the SCI.

2) MSCs modulate macrophage polarization

On the other side, the accumulated evidence in the literature so far reveals that MSCs support a shift among macrophages from the M1- to M2-like phenotypes. It was found that in vitro MSC secretomes stimulate the migration of RAW264.7 macrophages and recruit immune cells in the spinal cord of mice 1 to 7 days after SCI [85]. Various immunosuppressive cytokines secreted by MSCs switch macrophages to anti-inflammatory phenotypes by inducing modifications in the metabolism of macrophages [86, 87].

MSC-conditioned medium favors tissue repair by inducing the M1-to-M2 switch, and enhancing M2 macrophage features, reducing the secretion of the inflammatory cytokines, IL1 β , IL6, and TNF α [88]. Macrophages in culture with MSC spheroids, which secrete enhanced levels of PGE2, or with conditioned medium thereof, polarize from M1-like to M2-like macrophages [89]. Systemic administration of MSCs-derived exosome possesses practical anti-inflammatory effects by endorsing anti-inflammatory macrophages [90].

Transplantation of MSCs into the contused spinal cord in adult rats was found to result in a decrease in TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β and an increase in IL-4 and IL-13 accompanied by tissue sparing, axon preservation,

decreased scar formation and improved functional outcomes [91]. Treatment of the adult mice contused spinal cord with conditioned medium of embryonic MSCs resulted in the restoration of the macrophage phenotype after the inflammatory phase and improved locomotor recovery, indicating that soluble factors are essential in MSC immunomodulation. The scaffold could efficaciously deliver CCL2 secreted by human MSCs, which acts a part in not only recruiting macrophages but also driving their transformation to an M2 neuroprotective phenotype, to preserve motor neurons and myelin in the lesion [92].

Communication between microglia and MSCs

In general, brain injury results in microglial activation (either classical or alternative), which can be beneficial or detrimental for different types of CNS diseases [58]. Directed modification of the microglial activation status could positively influence the disease course, thereby offering great potential as a therapeutic strategy. Moreover, this approach also holds promise for altering the immunological responses observed after stem cell trans-plantations, intending to decrease neurodestructive immune responses at the site of injection [93]. In order to use the adequate approach (stimulation or inhibition of neuroinflammation and neuroprotection), it is imperative to start by defining the activation status of microglia and their function in CNS disease or following stem cell application. The exact specification of the type of microglia activation may prove challenging, as it is highly dependent on the type of stimulated PRR, the location of microglia in the CNS, and the time point during the disease course. Applying this concept to an example such as stroke, cytotoxic microglia is observed in the striatum, whereas subventricular zone microglia promote neurogenesis [59]. Furthermore, mouse models for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis exhibit neuroprotective microglia at disease onset, which is gradually transformed into cells with a more neurotoxic phenotype at the end of the disease [94]. Therefore, therapeutic intervention at the right place and time is vital to achieving success. Strategies can either focus on the inhibition of microglia activation by maintaining tissue homeostasis, or the modification of the activation status (classical or alternative activation or acquired deactivation) of microglia in order to attain neuroprotection. Based on the complex microglial activation signaling, modulation of neuroinflammation can be exerted at different levels of the signaling pathway: PPARs, TLRs, NF- κ B signaling, JAK/STAT signaling, ATP signaling via purinoreceptors [95].

The microglia plays a role in regenerative functions for supporting the regeneration of myelin after SCI, a process that is critical for axonal health. How remyelination is controlled and how microglia are involved are not entirely understood. Both the M1- and M2-like macrophage/microglia phenotypes have roles in remyelination. In a postdemyelination state in animals, pro-inflammatory (M1) macrophages/microglia predominate at early stages, and the depletion of these cells impairs OPC proliferation; at later stages of postdemyelination, regulatory (M2) macrophages/microglia predominate, and the depletion of these cells inhibits OPC differentiation [96]. The types of molecules affected by the predominant subset in a particular injury microenvironment is likely an important determinant of whether overall harm or beneficial results.

Mediators of inflammatory response such as cytokines and chemokines are also observed in the lesion area. These cytokines and chemokines are secreted by activated cells present in the spinal cord tissue, such as neurons and neuroglial cells, and peripheral blood cells after an occurrence of hypoxia-ischemia. Interleukin-1 (IL-1) is the primary inflammatory cytokine mainly produced by microglia, astrocytes, and neurons following SCI [97]. In addition, it affects endothelial cells mainly by increasing the expression of intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecules 1 (VCAM-1), which initiates neutrophil penetration into the damaged tissue [98]. In the spinal cord tissue, IL-6, produced by microglia, macrophages, astrocytes, and neurons, was regarded as a pro-inflammatory cytokine and was conducive to the SCI by activating lymphocyte and acute-phase proteins [99]. Neuroprotective IL-6 enhanced to strengthen the activity of IL-1, and IL-1 conversely inhibited its proinflammatory effect through its receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) [100].

Intraspinal transplantation of MSCs 1 week after SCI is associated with a polarization of macrophages toward an M2 phenotype and away from an M1 phenotype, which is correlated with increased spared white matter⁵⁵. Despite a lack of cell entry into the spinal cord, intravenous delivery of MSCs 1 d after contusive SCI is associated with increased forelimb–hindlimb coordination and improved micturition, which correlates with increased spared tissue and an elevation in M2 markers⁵⁷. There is also decreased proinflammatory cytokine production in the spleen and blood, indicating a systemic change in the inflammatory state. Importantly, there is no need for neural integration of MSCs: conditioned medium from MSCs can improve motor function after SCI, suggesting that cell transplantation may not be required to achieve functional benefits. Prior study has demonstrated that MSCs make less microglial infiltration and decreased levels of IL-6, TNF- α , and p-STAT 3 in dogs with acute SCI [101]. Hideaki et al. suggested that MSCs transplantation modified the inflammatory microenvironment by shifting the macrophage phenotype from M1 to M2 and that this may significant increases in IL-4 and IL-13 levels, and reductions in TNF- α and IL-6 levels [102]. The cytokines produced by MSCs affect neuroinflammation, both on the level of inhibiting microglial activation as well as modulation of microglia activation. Under steady-state conditions, microglia display a ramified morphology and exert surveillance of the CNS in order to accurately respond to danger signals [103]. Different mechanisms involving microglia-neuron signaling can maintain these steady-state conditions, while the disruption of this cross-talk results in microglial activation [104]. Activated microglia, astrocytes, and T cells can interact and increase neuronal death due to proinflammatory and reactive oxygen species production[105].^{22,23} Interestingly, MSCs may be either anti-inflammatory or proinflammatory, depending on the milieu within which they exist. When entering an inflammatory milieu (interferon- γ , tumor necrosis factor- α), MSCs become anti-inflammatory, wherein they secrete transforming growth factor b1, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, and prostaglandin E2 and can convert macrophage/microglia from the pro-inflammatory M1 to the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype[106].

Communication between astrocytes and MSCs

After SCI, astrocytes undergo significant morphological, molecular, and functional changes represented by hypertrophy, increased proliferation and up-regulation of intermediate filaments glial fibrillary acidic

protein (GFAP), vimentin, and nestin—hallmarks of reactive astrogliosis. Furthermore, reactive astrogliosis is known for the production of proteoglycans, various cytokines, and chemokines. Notably, astrocytes exist in two distinct reactive states, such as A1 neuroinflammatory reactive astrocytes, of which complement component C3 is specifically upregulated, and ischemia-induced A2 neuroprotective reactive astrocytes [107]. A1 reactive astrocytes, induced by microglial activation after SCI, causes death of axotomized neurons [108]. The astrocyte activation and the glial scarring at the lesion site remain a barrier for axonal regeneration and the remyelination of uninjured axons following SCI. For example, Liu et al. were the first to demonstrate that intravenous injection of MSCs-derived exosome after SCI leads to a decreased number of C3 + /GFAP + astrocytes in the damaged region in acute period. They ascribe these results to the secretion of TSG-6 by MSCs and consequently decreased NF- κ B signaling, which induces A1 neuroinflammatory reactive astrocytes [109, 110]. Studies designed to estimate the efficacy of MSCs is intended for neuroregeneration in SCI concentrate on the state of reactive astrocytes and extracellular matrix in the glial scar. Typically, the role of the glial barrier and reactive astrocytes is considered a negative one despite a known decisive role in maintaining the tissue structural integrity and the process of neuroregeneration during an early post-SCI period [111, 112]. Studies with biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation after SCI provide evidence to reduced glial scar and astrocyte activation both in acute [113], subacute [114], and chronic periods following SCI [115]. The decreased expression level of GFAP and less that of proteoglycans are estimated in the damaged region, which means that the glial barrier is reduced and axonal growth results [116, 117]. However, in addition to the perplexing and multicomposition structure such as reactive microglia/macrophages and astrocytes, microglia precursors, fibroblasts, and perivascular cells made up the glial barrier, penetrating into a scar [118].

Transplanted MSCs typically differentiate into astrocytes, and only a small portion of MSCs give rise to neurons or oligodendrocytes [119, 120], with some neurological restoration being observed. Pluripotent cells are differentiated into specific neuronal lineages (such as motor neurons) or progenitor cells are combined with neurotrophic proteins to promote directional growth to replace and integrate neurons. Besalti et al. demonstrated that an early intravenous administration of allogenic MSCs would up-regulate the expression of GFAP by seven days after injury [121]. MSCs transplantation contributes to the formation of a neuroprotective microenvironment by GFAP-expressing astrocytes for neurogenesis [53]. It has been shown that reactive and hypertrophic astrocytes start expressing COX-2 within the area of ischemia in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli [122]. The possibilities of preventing astrogliosis are attributed to the ability of transplanted MSCs to decrease cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) [102, 123] and IL-6 cytokine levels [124]. In animal models of SCI, culture conditions of transplanted MSCs, such as neurotrophin-3 and brain-derived neurotrophic factor [BDNF], in combination with glial progenitor cells have been demonstrated to promote functional restoration. For example, astrocytes derived from glial progenitor cells specific culture conditions, such as bone morphogenetic protein 4, exert beneficial effects in SCI. The restricted range of cell types and the limited availability of multipotent neural progenitor cells may limit their utility. Whether transplanted MSCs can migrate to a distant location from the lesion site and be readily differentiated into neurons and astrocytes remains controversial. Therefore, we don't

anticipate that transplanted MSCs would mediate any beneficial effect by incorporating into the lesion site of spinal cord to replace dying neurons, which may be effective in neural stem cell therapy.

While the biomaterial scaffolds and MSCs transplantation have each reported beneficial effects as monotherapies, many studies demonstrated the synergistic enhancements elicited by combinational therapy. When injected into the SCI, this combined strategy inhibited neuronal cell apoptosis, neuroinflammation, and astrogliosis. The combinational of biomaterial injection and MSCs transplantation improved MSCs engraftment, reduced astrogliosis, and glial-secreted chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) deposition, and increased synaptic connectivity compared to monotherapies [125]. There is also a considerable possibility for an implanted or injected material to reduce astrocyte reactivity and inhibitory CSPG deposition, either through intrinsic material properties or the release of therapeutic agents. However, without the use of a carrier device (e.g., hydrogel), the lifespan and localization of MSCs are limited (transfusions of MSCs tend to localize to lung tissue).

Oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells: development and role following MSCs transplantation.

In the context of SCI, functional capacity is lost due to the death of neurons and oligodendrocytes. Regeneration of adult CNS neurons is not a one-step process rather, and it requires that the neuron survives (inhibits apoptosis), extends its processes towards its original neuronal target (site-directed migration), remyelination and forms functional synapses. MSCs can differentiate into neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocytes upon exposure to physical and chemical cues. Although CNS fibers regenerated into the grafts, they failed to re-enter the host spinal cord [126]. In addition, the corticospinal tract (CST), which is responsible for the majority of voluntary movement, failed to regenerate and enter into Schwann cell or peripheral nerve grafts. Attempts to overcome the immunogenicity while maintaining its core function include site-directed mutagenesis. Cell-material hybrids elicit an adaptive immune reaction that further influences the host response to the material [127].

Combinatorial strategies positively influence the poor microenvironment for cell survival, neuronal differentiation, and maturation following SCI, which is an area of intense research.

Myelin sheaths are distinctive membranes with high lipid content and few proteins that surround the axons, protecting them by releasing trophic factors and increasing signal transduction velocity. Myelin is an essential neural circuit structure whose regeneration remains a challenging issue in SCI. [128]. Moreover, secondary demyelination is caused by myelin degradation due to neuronal or axonal loss. Within 24 hours of spinal cord trauma, neuron and myelin debris and loss of myelin proteins have been observed in areas of white matter damage, myelin debris accumulates within the first week of injury and persists in the chronic SCI. The sustained presence of myelin debris inhibits axon regeneration, oligodendrocyte differentiation, and remyelination. Following a demyelinating incident, remyelination does not occur from existing myelinating oligodendrocytes but from a reservoir of previously quiescent and mature NG2 glia, which differentiate into new myelinating oligodendrocytes. Activated microglia and astrocytes are responsible for prompting recruitment, proliferation, and transformation of inert precursor cells into differentiating NG2 glia in neuroinflammatory conditions. Demyelination and axonal injury, a

part of a process of secondary degeneration, remyelination employ mature oligodendrocytes which originate from OPC to create new myelin sheaths around the axons [129]. Axon myelination facilitates neuronal performance by increasing the speed of nervous impulse, and therefore, damage to myelin and oligodendrocytes can cause severe dysfunction [130]. Like macrophages/microglia, oligodendrocytes are also implicated in remyelination. An examination of these samples documented that the density of O4-positive oligodendrocyte precursor cells was associated with the density of macrophages/microglia. Oligodendrocytes succumb to apoptotic or necrotic death via several post-SCI processes: ischemia and oxidative damage, with oligodendrocytes being particularly sensitive; glutamate-or ATP-elicited excitotoxicity, which can elicit in oligodendrocytes toxic accumulation of exorbitant calcium; pathologic lipid signaling, which can activate cell death pathways; and an extreme inflammatory environment, which includes cytokines that can kill oligodendrocytes directly or indirectly. Oligodendrocytes in the spinal cord are responsible for generating myelin. Oligodendrocyte precursor cells (OPCs) proliferate, differentiate and migrate to damaged sites in order to remyelinate in response to demyelination events, but this procedure usually is faulty [131]. OLs provide axonal support via insulating myelin, but they also serve a broader role. It is possible that OLs provide metabolic support to axons all along their length. The diffusion barrier to metabolites posed by the myelin, as well as an absence of glycogen in OLs rules out an isolated glycolysis support mechanism, and In addition, it was found that certain parts of the myelin sheath that wrap the axon were formed by NSC-derived myelinating cells. Stimulated by various factors, oligodendrocytes upregulate proinflammatory cytokines, cytokines, IFN- γ , and several chemokines. These immune receptors and cytokines could modulate activation of adjacent glial cells [132]. In a rat model of noncompressive lumbar disk herniation-induced spinal cord damage, IL-33/ST2 expression in the oligodendrocytes modulates MAPK and NF- κ B activation and inflammatory mediator expression [133].

Within 24 h after a contusion injury, necrosis/hemorrhage induced-demyelination occurs in primary white matter due to direct insult is observed. Similarly, humans with chronic SCI present with variable amounts of demyelination in the lesion penumbra [205]. Therefore, demyelination of spared axons in the lesion border could restrict axon conduction and contribute to post-SCI functional deficits. It is also possible that benefits following myelinating cell transplantation are conferred by mechanisms other than remyelination. Transplantations with enhanced oligodendrocyte differentiation over naïve NPCs have been associated with improved myelination and locomotor function, indicating forming oligodendrocyte lineage cells may be particularly advantageous. Oligodendrocytes also provide trophic signals to nearby neurons and synthesize defined growth factors. Whether oligodendrocytes enhance spared white matter via direct trophic support of axons remains uncertain. Transplanted cells may also spur endogenous cell remyelination [134], which may be causal in locomotor improvements.

Combinations that target the host rather than the cell graft ideally target an aspect of the injury that is not already influenced by the transplant to maximize the effect of the combinatorial strategy. Hence, a multitude of regenerative (cell growth and survival) as well as non-regenerative (physical and biochemical) events need to function in tandem to restore functionality of the damaged neuron. While biomaterials have had widespread use in an attempt to promote neural regeneration within the injured central and peripheral nervous system, oligodendrocyte regeneration using similar materials-based

approaches are not as common or understood. The chemical composition of the substrate can have distinct modulating effects on NG2 glial maturation and differentiation. The MSCs transplantation into the damaged area with the aim of producing new oligodendrocytes or Schwann cells has been frequently pursued to improve remyelination. Human ESC-derived oligodendrocyte progenitor transplants were able to restore locomotor function after SCI, which was potentially related to remyelination of spared axons. MSCs are transplanted into the lesion and differentiate into neurons and glial cells [28]. For example, chitosan-based biomaterials have been used in the past to promote oligodendrocyte survival and attachment [234], as well as differentiation into oligodendrocytes [234–237]. Materials that influence oligodendrogenesis from oligodendrocyte precursors include fibrin, HA-methylcellulose, poly(lactic-co-glycolic) acid (PLGA), and methacrylimide, while materials that promote myelination from mature oligodendrocytes include fibrin, PLGA, chitosan, and HA-gelatin [238]. Replacement of lost or damaged neurons or oligodendrocytes, which can facilitate myelination of spared axons, is an essential goal of stem cell transplantation. In addition, MSCs transplantation facilitates myelination by producing soluble factors [135]. For example, reducing the expression of anti-oligomeric dendrogenic determinant Id2 and increasing the expression of pro-oligomeric dendrogenic factor Olig2 in neural progenitor cells, MSCs induce oligodendrogenesis by producing microRNAs and delivering them via exosome [136, 137]. MSCs-derived soluble factors have been previously shown to prime neural stem/progenitor cells (NSCs) towards oligodendroglial fate by reducing the anti-oligodendrogenesis determinant Id2 and increasing the pro-oligodendrogenic factor Olig2 expression [138]. It was shown in vitro that MSCs could not only direct proliferating NPCs toward an oligodendrocyte fate but also induce oligodendrocyte differentiation. An intravenous injection of MSCs in the early post-injury period elevated the expression of GalC, regulating oligodendrocytes differentiate into mature oligodendrocytes [137]. However, the expression of an oligodendrocyte transcription factor (Olig2), which regulates oligodendrocyte differentiation does not seem to change, since the mRNA analysis of the Olig2 gene shows no significant differences with control groups.

The influx and proliferation of Schwann cells can also be attributed to MSC secretion of BDNF, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and other unknown inducing factors. BDNF was shown to promote a significant expansion in the number of Schwann cells at three weeks after SCI, with VEGF stimulating their proliferation. In co-culture, the MSCs lead not only to improved survival and proliferation of Schwann cells but promote increased expression of BDNF, nerve growth factor (NGF), and its high- and low-affinity receptors (TrkA and LNGFR) in these cells [139, 140]. MSCs transplantation could elicit the influx of Schwann cells into the astrocyte-devoid lesion site and improve their survival rate. Numerous trophic factors, such as NGF, BDNF, GDNF, and CNTF, are secreted by transplanted MSCs [141], while can also remyelinate injured axons [142], facilitate the SCs into the SCI segments [143] or guide regenerating axons [144]. However, it seems that the transplanted SCs can promote the growth of axons to the grafted mass, when axons have to re-enter the caudal or rostral spinal cord tissue outside the transplant, due to the inhibition of peripheral glial scar caused by a strong astrocyte response and increased synthesis of CSPG. Transplantation of Schwann cells, OPCs, or NSPCs capable of producing oligodendrocytes can be used to enhance myelin regeneration after SCI. Transplanted OPCs or NSPCs are likely to compete with

endogenous remyelinating cells for denuded axons and thus remyelination as a therapeutic approach after SCI is contentious. The differentiation of transplanted cells into oligodendrocytes does not always result in improved motor recovery, mainly if the level of remyelination is not sufficient to promote an overall increase in the number of myelinated fibers¹¹². Transplanting Schwann cells in the acute or subacute setting after rodent thoracic SCI increases the number of axons with peripheral myelin and is often correlated with modest improvements in forelimb–hindlimb coordination during open-field testing. Transplanted Schwann cells can myelinate axons and enhance endogenous Schwann cell myelination. At the same time, Schwann cells were shown to migrate from peripheral nervous structures resulting from a disruption of the barrier integrity, to participate not only in remyelination of central axons in the area of injury but also in the restoration of conduction [145–148]. Therefore, it is not known to what extent spared axons are remyelinated by transplanted Schwann cells, nor is the contribution of this myelin to functional improvements proven. Transplantation of Schwann cells incapable of producing myelin, such as cells derived from trembler (Pmp22^{Tr}) mutant mice, may be useful in establishing a causal relationship between myelin regeneration and functional improvements. Several MSC transplantations demonstrate an increase of myelin retention and the number of myelinated axons in the lesion site during a chronic post-injury period [63]. Thus, Schwann cell coculture improves the therapeutic effect of bone marrow stromal cells on recovery in spinal cord-injured mice. SC grafts may be considered for the focal reconstitution of a gap created in the injured spinal cord, but reproducible studies testing the combination of therapies involving SC transplants are still needed to assess their potential for a comprehensive SCI repair outcome.

MSCs transplantation stimulates angiogenesis, neurogenesis, and synapse formation

The mechanisms that underlie the beneficial effects of MSCs transplantation include differentiation into neuronal and endothelial lineage as well as the induction of neurogenesis, angiogenesis, and synapse formation in rodents [149]. There is widespread blood vessel loss after SCI, which induces local hypoxia within and adjacent to the injury site. The proliferation of endothelial cells, or angiogenesis, occurs as early as third and stops by 10 d after SCI, but only after 14 d does vessel perfusion recover to baseline levels. MSCs can also induce angiogenesis, an essential process by which new vasculature sprouts from pre-existing blood vessels; to this aim, MSCs secrete the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1, vascular endothelial growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), IL-6, and IL-8. The production of these factors is particularly important for supporting wound healing processes.

Transplant-derived secreted molecules might promote blood vessel protection and regeneration. MSCs transplantation restore function by repopulating the necrotic cavity present within the ischemic region [150]. Both histology and MRI revealed that the beneficial effects of MSCs therapy result from improved revascularization in injured ischemic tissues [151]. Fetal tissue grafts re-establish oxygen levels within the graft and adjacent to the injury site³⁹, which is correlated with elevated blood vessel density in and around the transplant site.

Angiogenesis that is induced by MSCs transplantation promotes endogenous neurogenesis, which could enhance functional rehabilitation after ischemia-reperfusion injury in rats with SCI [152]. Newly studies demonstrated that MSCs-derived exosomes promoted neurogenesis in animal models of SCI. MSCs were manipulating transcriptional regulators, supplementing various types of growth factors, and acting intervention on pharmacological pathways targeting diverse aspects of the endogenous neurogenic responses that influence adult neurogenesis following SCI. In addition, several latest studies indicated that MSCs effectively promoted neurogenesis in experimental models of SCI. However, the predominant mechanisms by which MSCs participate in neurogenesis after SCI are not their cell replacement effects but likely their secretion-based paracrine effects. To exert neuroprotection, MSCs secrete several neurotrophic factors, such as brain-derived growth factor (BDNF), glial-derived growth factor (GDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF), NT-1, NT-3, CNTF, and primary fibroblast growth factor (bFGF); through these factors, MSCs can, on one side, prevent nerve degeneration and apoptosis, and, on the other, support neurogenesis, axonal growth, remyelination, and cell metabolism. However, the concrete cellular and molecular mechanism of this neurogenic process are still unclear. Indeed, prior studies have shown that MSCs transplantation protects against ischemia injury by upregulating IL10 expression [153], suggesting the activation of endogenous neurotrophins.

Combination Of Biomaterial And MSCs Improve The Microenvironment

Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation that includes the controlled and sustained delivery of therapeutic biomolecular and cell replacement offers a potential strategy to achieve tissue regeneration in the damaged region. Two main mechanisms are underlying this strategy for SCI repair, differentiation into neurons or glial cells for replacing the lost cells and secretion of neurotrophic factors for protection of neurons. Donor cells may replace lost glial cells and neurons, contribute to the re-establishment of new functional local circuits, and remyelination spared axons [59]. Besides, To encourage stem cell–scaffold interactions, many immobilized biological components are often used to decorate materials. In addition to the nature of the scaffold material, antibodies, protein modifications-including with epidermal growth factor (EGF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3), and glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF)-can be applied to the scaffold to regulate stem cell fate [60]. They can provide an avenue for continuous growth factor delivery, which can alter the environment, making it more conducive for regeneration. Biomaterials can provide sustained release and protect growth factors or other drugs from degradation, potentially avoiding wash away of transplanted cells [61].

The lack of neurotrophic factors and glial scarring, together with the neuroinflammation, is considered to be crucial targets for the reconstruction of functional connections [62]. Co-transplantation of biomaterial and MSCs, which are manipulated or genetically edited to express certain proteins, exerts neuroprotective, and anti-inflammatory effects to induce anti-inflammatory mechanisms [63]. Biomaterials themselves can have anti-inflammatory properties. For example, MSCs synthesize low levels of permissive growth factors and deliver a variety of neurotrophic factors to promote axonal regeneration [64]. Furthermore,

MSCs show anti-inflammatory properties by interactions with host immune cells and producing immunoregulatory cytokines, including interleukins and TGF- β . In addition, MSCs transplantation reduced reactive astrocyte proliferation and microglial cell activation. In addition to the low cell survival after transplantation, an increased neuroinflammatory response, characterized by microgliosis and astrogliosis, was observed after MSC transplantation in healthy CNS [65, 66]. Microglia can recognize the presence of autologous MSCs and become activated in an ideal way, demonstrated by the expression of inducible nitrogen oxide synthase.

In addition to providing a favorable microenvironment for establishment of functional neural connections, combination transplantation provides a potential therapeutic option for SCI that inhibits secondary glial scarring and ultimately promotes axonal regeneration. Inhibition of the glial scarring that impedes axonal regeneration is proposed to exert a beneficial effect on functional restoration. Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation diminishes fibrosis during the early process of secondary SCI and further attenuates secondary glial scarring [67]. Subsequently, biomaterial-supported MSCs that were transplanted into the damaged region prevented the accumulation of CSPGs (the composition of a glial scar) and significantly promoted myelination of axon fibers and synapse formation. The MSCs can cooperate with biomaterials to support the growth of stem cells and endogenous neuronal cells by bridging the gap.

Conclusions And Future Perspectives

In the current review, we have outlined controlled stem cell therapies using biomaterial focusing on MSCs transplantation. After a brief description of the multiple cellular roles, such as macrophages, microglia, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and their communication with MSCs after SCI. The design and development of new biodegradable materials capable of entrapping stem cells and therapeutic drugs could enhance this combinatorial approach, providing the highest chance of tissue renovation and maximal functional restoration in the clinic. We speculated that the therapeutic combination of biomaterials and MSCs transplantation would positively improve their performance in SCI.

Recently, significant progress has been made to elucidate the pathophysiology of SCI and to uncover the mechanisms that make the injured spinal cord refractory to regeneration. Despite an incredible body of knowledge, few studies on the strategy that combines many different approaches, such as the combination of biomaterials and MSCs transplantation in SCI, have been conducted, which offers opportunities for tissue repair and regenerative medicine. As more biomaterials are developed and combined with different treatment strategies, researchers should investigate how biomaterial-based treatments alter the progression of subsequent events, which include macrophage activation and inflammation, reduce oxidative stress, restore the BSCB, reduce glial scar inhibition, and guide neurite outgrowth. Various materials address multiple areas of secondary damage, which can reduce inflammation in neural electrodes and increase neurite outgrowth. By modulating inflammation, repopulating lost neural cells through transplantation, improving the local environment by implanting biomaterial scaffolds with growth factors, and implementing strategies to break down the inhibitory

barriers, impressive recovery has been demonstrated in animal models of SCI. The efficacy of these combined strategies has been explored in vitro and in vivo models showing reductions in neuroinflammatory responses and promoted functional restoration, which has shown greater therapeutic effectiveness than those involving single treatments. This highlights our incomplete understanding of CNS physiology following injury, which poses a massive barrier to developing therapeutic strategies for complete functional regeneration.

Promotion of axon regeneration and cell replacement after SCI utilizing biomaterial scaffolds has been addressed through a variety of strategies. Embedding and subsequent secretion-based paracrine effects of MSCs cell release, the use of neurotrophic factors, and small drug molecules are a unique tactic to overcome graft rejection. Beneficial properties such as biocompatibility, long-term stability in vivo, or their suitability to be chemically modified with appropriate ligands, make these materials promising scaffolds for embedding drugs, nerve cells, growth factors, etc. The combination provides a transplanted microenvironment, mimicking the MSCs niche in vivo, to influence the intercellular communication through biophysical signals from biomaterials and further facilitate tissue renovation. These pre-clinical studies build the scientific foundation to progress accelerate the translation of MSCs transplantation therapies toward clinical applications. In particular, the use of biomaterials as anti-inflammatory agents—or as vehicles for controlled release of anti-inflammatory agents—in the form of NPs or hydrogels present as attractive candidates for promoting the efficacy of SCI therapies. The development and administration of biomaterials with appropriate physical properties in combination with MSCs transplantation to treat post-SCI inflammation are crucial. Astrocyte and microglial activation result in distinct functions by being activated in different ways after autologous transplantation of MSCs into the SCI.

Abbreviations

CNS: central nervous system;SCI: Spinalcord injury; MSCs: Mesenchymal stem cells; SDF-1 α : Stromal cell-derived factor-1 α ; NO: nitric oxide; DAMPS: damage-associated molecular patterns; BBB: blood-brain barrier;ROS: reactive oxygen species; RNS: reactive nitrogen species;MCP-1: Macrophage chemoattractant protein;CNTF: ciliary neurotrophic factor;TNF: tumor necrosis factor; BDNF: brain-derived neurotrophic factor; GDNF: glial-derived neurotrophic factor; LIF: leukemia inhibitory factor; NGF: nerve growth factor;EVs: extracellular vesicles; MOG: myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; EGF: epidermal growth factor; ICAM-1: intercellular adhesion molecule 1; VCAM-1: vascular cell adhesion molecules 1; GFAP: glial fibrillary acidic protein; COX-2: cyclooxygenase-2; CST: corticospinal tract;

Declarations

Acknowledgements

None.

Funding

This work is supported by the hospital level project of the Affiliated Peoples Hospital of Jiangsu University (Grant No. 699012).

Availability of data and material

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

HZ and JSY brought forward the subject and guided the writing. BL drafted the manuscript. XS, RYL, and HSW performed the critical editing and participated in the constructive outline, discussions, and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

1. Stenudd M, Sabelstrom H, Frisen J. Role of endogenous neural stem cells in spinal cord injury and repair. *JAMA Neurol.* 2015;72:235–7.
2. Giboin LS, Sangari S, Lackmy-Vallee A, Messe A, Pradat-Diehl P, Marchand-Pauvert V. **Corticospinal control from M1 and PMv areas on inhibitory cervical propriospinal neurons in humans.** *Physiol Rep* 2017, 5.
3. Wu Q, Wang Q, Li Z, Li X, Zang J, Wang Z, Xu C, Gong Y, Cheng J, Li H, et al. Human menstrual blood-derived stem cells promote functional recovery in a rat spinal cord hemisection model. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9:882.
4. Anwar MA, Al Shehabi TS, Eid AH. Inflammogenesis of Secondary Spinal Cord Injury. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2016;10:98.

5. Fakiruddin KS, Ghazalli N, Lim MN, Zakaria Z, Abdullah S. **Mesenchymal Stem Cell Expressing TRAIL as Targeted Therapy against Sensitised Tumour.** *Int J Mol Sci* 2018; 19.
6. Zhang X, Li J, Ye P, Gao G, Hubbell K, Cui X. Coculture of mesenchymal stem cells and endothelial cells enhances host tissue integration and epidermis maturation through AKT activation in gelatin methacryloyl hydrogel-based skin model. *Acta Biomater.* 2017;59:317–26.
7. Nieto-Diaz M, Esteban FJ, Reigada D, Munoz-Galdeano T, Yunta M, Caballero-Lopez M, Navarro-Ruiz R, Del Aguila A, Maza RM. MicroRNA dysregulation in spinal cord injury: causes, consequences and therapeutics. *Front Cell Neurosci.* 2014;8:53.
8. Schug SA, Parsons B, Almas M, Whalen E. Effect of Concomitant Pain Medications on Response to Pregabalin in Patients with Postherpetic Neuralgia or Spinal Cord Injury-Related Neuropathic Pain. *Pain Physician.* 2017;20:E53–63.
9. Furlan JC, Verocai F, Palmares X, Fehlings MG. Electrocardiographic abnormalities in the early stage following traumatic spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2016;54:872–7.
10. Silva NA, Sousa N, Reis RL, Salgado AJ. From basics to clinical: a comprehensive review on spinal cord injury. *Prog Neurobiol.* 2014;114:25–57.
11. Chhabra HS, Sarda K. Clinical translation of stem cell based interventions for spinal cord injury - Are we there yet? *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2017;120:41–9.
12. Li K, Li W, Yin H, Cheong YK, Ren G, Yang Z. Pretreatment-Etidronate Alleviates CoCl₂ Induced-SH-SY5Y Cell Apoptosis via Decreased HIF-1 α and TRPC5 Channel Proteins. *Neurochem Res.* 2019;44:428–40.
13. X Z TRDBZBKJFJ. A M, V V, M B, DM H: Very Delayed Remote Ischemic Post-conditioning Induces Sustained Neurological Recovery by Mechanisms Involving Enhanced Angiogenesis and Peripheral Immunosuppression Reversal. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience.* 2018;12:383.
14. Shiue SJ, Rau RH, Shiue HS, Hung YW, Li ZX, Yang KD, Cheng JK. Mesenchymal stem cell exosomes as a cell-free therapy for nerve injury-induced pain in rats. *Pain.* 2019;160:210–23.
15. Shi D, Mi G, Shen Y, Webster TJ. Glioma-targeted dual functionalized thermosensitive Ferri-liposomes for drug delivery through an in vitro blood-brain barrier. *Nanoscale.* 2019;11:15057–71.
16. Zhan W, Wang CH. Convection enhanced delivery of liposome encapsulated doxorubicin for brain tumour therapy. *J Control Release.* 2018;285:212–29.
17. Lu Y, Li C, Chen Q, Liu P, Guo Q, Zhang Y, Chen X, Zhang Y, Zhou W, Liang D, et al. Microthrombus-Targeting Micelles for Neurovascular Remodeling and Enhanced Microcirculatory Perfusion in Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Adv Mater.* 2019;31:e1808361.
18. Oprych KM, Whitby RL, Mikhalovsky SV, Tomlins P, Adu J. Repairing Peripheral Nerves: Is there a Role for Carbon Nanotubes? *Adv Healthc Mater.* 2016;5:1253–71.
19. Sharma A, Sharma R, Zhang Z, Liaw K, Kambhampati SP, Porterfield JE, Lin KC, DeRidder LB, Kannan S, Kannan RM. Dense hydroxyl polyethylene glycol dendrimer targets activated glia in multiple CNS disorders. *Sci Adv.* 2020;6:eaay8514.

20. Wang W, Itoh S, Yamamoto N, Okawa A, Nagai A, Yamashita K. Enhancement of nerve regeneration along a chitosan nanofiber mesh tube on which electrically polarized beta-tricalcium phosphate particles are immobilized. *Acta Biomater.* 2010;6:4027–33.
21. Gonzalez Porras MA, Durfee PN, Gregory AM, Sieck GC, Brinker CJ, Mantilla CB. A novel approach for targeted delivery to motoneurons using cholera toxin-B modified protocells. *J Neurosci Methods.* 2016;273:160–74.
22. Wang K, Lin S, Nune KC, Misra RD. Chitosan-gelatin-based microgel for sustained drug delivery. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed.* 2016;27:441–53.
23. I JC, VX DSS, JS T, JE F. F: In situ miRNA delivery from a hydrogel promotes osteogenesis of encapsulated mesenchymal stromal cells. *Acta biomaterialia.* 2020;101:249–61.
24. Bahrami N, Bayat M, Mohamadnia A, Khakbiz M, Yazdankhah M, Ai J, Ebrahimi-Barough S. Purmorphamine as a Shh Signaling Activator Small Molecule Promotes Motor Neuron Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells Cultured on Nanofibrous PCL Scaffold. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2017;54:5668–75.
25. Anderson DG, Markova D, An HS, Chee A, Enomoto-Iwamoto M, Markov V, Saitta B, Shi P, Gupta C, Zhang Y. Human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells in the cultured rabbit intervertebral disc: a novel cell source for disc repair. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;92:420–9.
26. Dulk M, Kudlik G, Fekete A, Ernszt D, Kvell K, Pongracz JE, Mero BL, Szeder B, Radnai L, Geiszt M, et al. The scaffold protein Tks4 is required for the differentiation of mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) into adipogenic and osteogenic lineages. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:34280.
27. Alsalameh S, Amin R, Gemba T, Lotz M. Identification of mesenchymal progenitor cells in normal and osteoarthritic human articular cartilage. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;50:1522–32.
28. Zhou K, Shi L, Wang Y, Chen S, Zhang J. Recent Advances of the NLRP3 Inflammasome in Central Nervous System Disorders. *J Immunol Res.* 2016;2016:9238290.
29. Braun M, Vaibhav K, Saad NM, Fatima S, Vender JR, Baban B, Hoda MN, Dhandapani KM. White matter damage after traumatic brain injury: A role for damage associated molecular patterns. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis.* 2017;1863:2614–26.
30. Zendedel A, Monnink F, Hassanzadeh G, Zaminy A, Ansar MM, Habib P, Slowik A, Kipp M, Beyer C. Estrogen Attenuates Local Inflammasome Expression and Activation after Spinal Cord Injury. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2018;55:1364–75.
31. Tator CH. Update on the pathophysiology and pathology of acute spinal cord injury. *Brain Pathol.* 1995;5:407–13.
32. Kigerl KA, Gensel JC, Ankeny DP, Alexander JK, Donnelly DJ, Popovich PG. Identification of two distinct macrophage subsets with divergent effects causing either neurotoxicity or regeneration in the injured mouse spinal cord. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29:13435–44.
33. Rowe RK, Harrison JL, Zhang H, Bachstetter AD, Hesson DP, O'Hara BF, Greene MI, Lifshitz J. Novel TNF receptor-1 inhibitors identified as potential therapeutic candidates for traumatic brain injury. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2018;15:154.

34. David S, Greenhalgh AD, Lopez-Vales R. Role of phospholipase A2s and lipid mediators in secondary damage after spinal cord injury. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2012;349:249–67.
35. Pineau I, Sun L, Bastien D, Lacroix S. Astrocytes initiate inflammation in the injured mouse spinal cord by promoting the entry of neutrophils and inflammatory monocytes in an IL-1 receptor/MyD88-dependent fashion. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2010;24:540–53.
36. Mordillo-Mateos L, Sanchez-Ramos A, Coperchini F, Bustos-Guadamillas I, Alonso-Bonilla C, Vargas-Baquero E, Rodriguez-Carrion I, Rotondi M, Oliviero A. Development of chronic pain in males with traumatic spinal cord injury: role of circulating levels of the chemokines CCL2 and CXCL10 in subacute stage. *Spinal Cord.* 2019;57:953–9.
37. Matsubara K, Matsushita Y, Sakai K, Kano F, Kondo M, Noda M, Hashimoto N, Imagama S, Ishiguro N, Suzumura A, et al. Secreted ectodomain of sialic acid-binding Ig-like lectin-9 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 promote recovery after rat spinal cord injury by altering macrophage polarity. *J Neurosci.* 2015;35:2452–64.
38. Bastien D, Bellver Landete V, Lessard M, Vallieres N, Champagne M, Takashima A, Tremblay ME, Doyon Y, Lacroix S. IL-1alpha Gene Deletion Protects Oligodendrocytes after Spinal Cord Injury through Upregulation of the Survival Factor Tox3. *J Neurosci.* 2015;35:10715–30.
39. Ying S, Meng Q, Barata LT, Kay AB. Macrophage inflammatory protein-1alpha and C-C chemokine receptor-1 in allergen-induced skin late-phase reactions: relationship to macrophages, neutrophils, basophils, eosinophils and T lymphocytes. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2001;31:1724–31.
40. Denney L, Kok WL, Cole SL, Sanderson S, McMichael AJ, Ho LP. Activation of invariant NKT cells in early phase of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis results in differentiation of Ly6Chi inflammatory monocyte to M2 macrophages and improved outcome. *J Immunol.* 2012;189:551–7.
41. Zhou T, Zheng Y, Sun L, Badea SR, Jin Y, Liu Y, Rolfe AJ, Sun H, Wang X, Cheng Z, et al. Microvascular endothelial cells engulf myelin debris and promote macrophage recruitment and fibrosis after neural injury. *Nat Neurosci.* 2019;22:421–35.
42. Bsibsi M, Peferoen LA, Holtman IR, Nacken PJ, Gerritsen WH, Witte ME, van Horssen J, Eggen BJ, van der Valk P, Amor S, van Noort JM. Demyelination during multiple sclerosis is associated with combined activation of microglia/macrophages by IFN-gamma and alpha B-crystallin. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2014;128:215–29.
43. David S, Kroner A. Repertoire of microglial and macrophage responses after spinal cord injury. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* 2011;12:388–99.
44. Popovich PG, Guan Z, McGaughy V, Fisher L, Hickey WF, Basso DM. The neuropathological and behavioral consequences of intraspinal microglial/macrophage activation. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2002;61:623–33.
45. Dalkilic T, Fallah N, Noonan VK, Salimi Elizei S, Dong K, Belanger L, Ritchie L, Tsang A, Bourassa-Moreau E, Heran MKS, et al. Predicting Injury Severity and Neurological Recovery after Acute Cervical Spinal Cord Injury: A Comparison of Cerebrospinal Fluid and Magnetic Resonance Imaging Biomarkers. *J Neurotrauma.* 2018;35:435–45.

46. Sobacchi C, Palagano E, Villa A, Menale C. Soluble Factors on Stage to Direct Mesenchymal Stem Cells Fate. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol.* 2017;5:32.
47. Assuncao-Silva RC, Mendes-Pinheiro B, Patricio P, Behie LA, Teixeira FG, Pinto L, Salgado AJ. Exploiting the impact of the secretome of MSCs isolated from different tissue sources on neuronal differentiation and axonal growth. *Biochimie.* 2018;155:83–91.
48. Varcianna A, Myszczyńska MA, Castelli LM, O'Neill B, Kim Y, Talbot J, Nyberg S, Nyamali I, Heath PR, Stopford MJ, et al. Micro-RNAs secreted through astrocyte-derived extracellular vesicles cause neuronal network degeneration in C9orf72 ALS. *EBioMedicine.* 2019;40:626–35.
49. Chaudhuri AD, Dastgheyb RM, Yoo SW, Trout A, Talbot CC Jr, Hao H, Witwer KW, Haughey NJ. TNF α and IL-1 β modify the miRNA cargo of astrocyte shed extracellular vesicles to regulate neurotrophic signaling in neurons. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018;9:363.
50. Gorgey AS, Witt O, O'Brien L, Cardozo C, Chen Q, Lesniewsky EJ, Graham ZA. Mitochondrial health and muscle plasticity after spinal cord injury. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2019;119:315–31.
51. Lampe L, Zhang R, Beyer F, Huhn S, Kharabian Masouleh S, Preusser S, Bazin PL, Schroeter ML, Villringer A, Witte AV. Visceral obesity relates to deep white matter hyperintensities via inflammation. *Ann Neurol.* 2019;85:194–203.
52. Xu ZX, Zhang LQ, Zhou YN, Chen XM, Xu WH. Histological and functional outcomes in a rat model of hemisectioned spinal cord with sustained VEGF/NT-3 release from tissue-engineered grafts. *Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.* 2020;48:362–76.
53. Blasko J, Szekiova E, Slovinska L, Kafka J, Cizkova D. Axonal outgrowth stimulation after alginate/mesenchymal stem cell therapy in injured rat spinal cord. *Acta Neurobiol Exp (Wars).* 2017;77:337–50.
54. Zhao Y, Gan Y, Xu G, Yin G, Liu D. **MSCs-Derived Exosomes Attenuate Acute Brain Injury and Inhibit Microglial Inflammation by Reversing CysLT2R-ERK1/2 Mediated Microglia M1 Polarization.** *Neurochem Res* 2020.
55. Sharma A, Sane H, Gokulchandran N, Badhe P, Pai S, Kulkarni P, Yadav J, Inamdar S. Cellular Therapy for Chronic Traumatic Brachial Plexus Injury. *Adv Biomed Res.* 2018;7:51.
56. X Y, C H, H JL, Q C, Q MXSSTMY. Z, Y L, et al: Uptake of silica nanoparticles: neurotoxicity and Alzheimer-like pathology in human SK-N-SH and mouse neuro2a neuroblastoma cells. *Toxicology letters.* 2014;229:240–9.
57. Liu D, Jiang T, Cai W, Chen J, Zhang H, Hietala S, Santos HA, Yin G, Fan J. An In Situ Gelling Drug Delivery System for Improved Recovery after Spinal Cord Injury. *Adv Healthc Mater.* 2016;5:1513–21.
58. Tan J, Wang Y, Yip X, Glynn F, Shepherd RK, Caruso F. Nanoporous peptide particles for encapsulating and releasing neurotrophic factors in an animal model of neurodegeneration. *Adv Mater.* 2012;24:3362–6.
59. Keirstead HS, Ben-Hur T, Rogister B, O'Leary MT, Dubois-Dalcq M, Blakemore WF. Polysialylated neural cell adhesion molecule-positive CNS precursors generate both oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells to remyelinate the CNS after transplantation. *J Neurosci.* 1999;19:7529–36.

60. Zhou M, Lozano N, Wychowanec JK, Hodgkinson T, Richardson SM, Kostarelos K, Hoyland JA. Graphene oxide: A growth factor delivery carrier to enhance chondrogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells in 3D hydrogels. *Acta Biomater.* 2019;96:271–80.
61. Elazar V, Adwan H, Rohekar K, Zepp M, Lifshitz-Shovali R, Berger MR, Golomb G. Biodistribution of antisense nanoparticles in mammary carcinoma rat model. *Drug Deliv.* 2010;17:408–18.
62. Li X, Wu Q, Xie C, Wang C, Wang Q, Dong C, Fang L, Ding J, Wang T. Blocking of BDNF-TrkB signaling inhibits the promotion effect of neurological function recovery after treadmill training in rats with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2019;57:65–74.
63. Papa S, Vismara I, Mariani A, Barilani M, Rimondo S, De Paola M, Panini N, Erba E, Mauri E, Rossi F, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells encapsulated into biomimetic hydrogel scaffold gradually release CCL2 chemokine in situ preserving cytoarchitecture and promoting functional recovery in spinal cord injury. *J Control Release.* 2018;278:49–56.
64. Harrell CR, Jankovic MG, Fellabaum C, Volarevic A, Djonov V, Arsenijevic A, Volarevic V. Molecular Mechanisms Responsible for Anti-inflammatory and Immunosuppressive Effects of Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Factors. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2019;1084:187–206.
65. Ramadan WS, Abdel-Hamid GA, Al-Karim S, Zakar N, Ellassouli MZ. Neuroectodermal stem cells: A remyelinating potential in acute compressed spinal cord injury in rat model. *J Biosci.* 2018;43:897–909.
66. Wang J, Zou W, Ma J, Liu J. Biomaterials and Gene Manipulation in Stem Cell-Based Therapies for Spinal Cord Injury. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2019;28:239–57.
67. Park SS, Lee YJ, Lee SH, Lee D, Choi K, Kim WH, Kweon OK, Han HJ. Functional recovery after spinal cord injury in dogs treated with a combination of Matrigel and neural-induced adipose-derived mesenchymal Stem cells. *Cytotherapy.* 2012;14:584–97.
68. Lalive PH, Paglinawan R, Biollaz G, Kappos EA, Leone DP, Malipiero U, Relvas JB, Moransard M, Suter T, Fontana A. TGF-beta-treated microglia induce oligodendrocyte precursor cell chemotaxis through the HGF-c-Met pathway. *Eur J Immunol.* 2005;35:727–37.
69. Skihar V, Silva C, Chojnacki A, Doring A, Stallcup WB, Weiss S, Yong VW. Promoting oligodendrogenesis and myelin repair using the multiple sclerosis medication glatiramer acetate. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009;106:17992–7.
70. Bobyleva P, Gornostaeva A, Andreeva E, Ezdakova M, Gogiya B, Buravkova L. Reciprocal modulation of cell functions upon direct interaction of adipose mesenchymal stromal and activated immune cells. *Cell Biochem Funct.* 2019;37:228–38.
71. Heo JS, Choi Y, Kim HO. Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Promote M2 Macrophage Phenotype through Exosomes. *Stem Cells Int.* 2019;2019:7921760.
72. Eliopoulos N, Stagg J, Lejeune L, Pommey S, Galipeau J. Allogeneic marrow stromal cells are immune rejected by MHC class I- and class II-mismatched recipient mice. *Blood.* 2005;106:4057–65.
73. Poncelet AJ, Vercruysse J, Saliez A, Gianello P. Although pig allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells are not immunogenic in vitro, intracardiac injection elicits an immune response in vivo. *Transplantation.*

2007;83:783–90.

74. Pachler K, Ketterl N, Desgeorges A, Dunai ZA, Laner-Plamberger S, Streif D, Strunk D, Rohde E, Gimona M. **An In Vitro Potency Assay for Monitoring the Immunomodulatory Potential of Stromal Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles.** *Int J Mol Sci* 2017, 18.
75. Rafei M, Campeau PM, Aguilar-Mahecha A, Buchanan M, Williams P, Birman E, Yuan S, Young YK, Boivin MN, Forner K, et al. Mesenchymal stromal cells ameliorate experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by inhibiting CD4 Th17 T cells in a CC chemokine ligand 2-dependent manner. *J Immunol.* 2009;182:5994–6002.
76. Ravanidis S, Bogie JFJ, Donders R, Deans R, Hendriks JJA, Stinissen P, Pinxteren J, Mays RW, Hellings N. Crosstalk with Inflammatory Macrophages Shapes the Regulatory Properties of Multipotent Adult Progenitor Cells. *Stem Cells Int.* 2017;2017:2353240.
77. Saldana L, Bensiamar F, Valles G, Mancebo FJ, Garcia-Rey E, Vilaboa N. Immunoregulatory potential of mesenchymal stem cells following activation by macrophage-derived soluble factors. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2019;10:58.
78. Ozdemir RBO, Ozdemir AT, Sariboyaci AE, Uysal O, Tuglu MI, Kirmaz C. The investigation of immunomodulatory effects of adipose tissue mesenchymal stem cell educated macrophages on the CD4 T cells. *Immunobiology.* 2019;224:585–94.
79. Chang J, Koh AJ, Roca H, McCauley LK. Juxtacrine interaction of macrophages and bone marrow stromal cells induce interleukin-6 signals and promote cell migration. *Bone Res.* 2015;3:15014.
80. Anton K, Banerjee D, Glod J. Macrophage-associated mesenchymal stem cells assume an activated, migratory, pro-inflammatory phenotype with increased IL-6 and CXCL10 secretion. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e35036.
81. Peng H, Whitney N, Wu Y, Tian C, Dou H, Zhou Y, Zheng J. HIV-1-infected and/or immune-activated macrophage-secreted TNF-alpha affects human fetal cortical neural progenitor cell proliferation and differentiation. *Glia.* 2008;56:903–16.
82. Redondo-Castro E, Cunningham C, Miller J, Martuscelli L, Aoulad-Ali S, Rothwell NJ, Kielty CM, Allan SM, Pinteaux E. Interleukin-1 primes human mesenchymal stem cells towards an anti-inflammatory and pro-trophic phenotype in vitro. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2017;8:79.
83. Hayakawa K, Pham LD, Seo JH, Miyamoto N, Maki T, Terasaki Y, Sakadzic S, Boas D, van Leyen K, Waeber C, et al. CD200 restrains macrophage attack on oligodendrocyte precursors via toll-like receptor 4 downregulation. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* 2016;36:781–93.
84. Dyck S, Kataria H, Alizadeh A, Santhosh KT, Lang B, Silver J, Karimi-Abdolrezaee S. Perturbing chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan signaling through LAR and PTPsigma receptors promotes a beneficial inflammatory response following spinal cord injury. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2018;15:90.
85. Neirinckx V, Agirman G, Coste C, Marquet A, Dion V, Rogister B, Franzen R, Wislet S. Adult bone marrow mesenchymal and neural crest stem cells are chemoattractive and accelerate motor recovery in a mouse model of spinal cord injury. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2015;6:211.

86. Li N, Hua J. Interactions between mesenchymal stem cells and the immune system. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2017;74:2345–60.
87. Liang H, Huang K, Su T, Li Z, Hu S, Dinh PU, Wrona EA, Shao C, Qiao L, Vandergriff AC, et al. Mesenchymal Stem Cell/Red Blood Cell-Inspired Nanoparticle Therapy in Mice with Carbon Tetrachloride-Induced Acute Liver Failure. *ACS Nano*. 2018;12:6536–44.
88. DI C, MR K, HY J, HC J, MH J, SH Y, YS K. Y A: Mesenchymal stem cells reciprocally regulate the M1/M2 balance in mouse bone marrow-derived macrophages. *Exp Mol Med*. 2014;46:e70.
89. Ylostalo JH, Bartosh TJ, Coble K, Prockop DJ. Human mesenchymal stem/stromal cells cultured as spheroids are self-activated to produce prostaglandin E2 that directs stimulated macrophages into an anti-inflammatory phenotype. *Stem Cells*. 2012;30:2283–96.
90. Lo Sicco C, Reverberi D, Balbi C, Ulivi V, Principi E, Pascucci L, Becherini P, Bosco MC, Varesio L, Franzin C, et al. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles as Mediators of Anti-Inflammatory Effects: Endorsement of Macrophage Polarization. *Stem Cells Transl Med*. 2017;6:1018–28.
91. Urdzikova LM, Ruzicka J, LaBagnara M, Karova K, Kubinova S, Jirakova K, Murali R, Sykova E, Jhanwar-Uniyal M, Jendelova P. Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate inflammatory cytokines after spinal cord injury in rat. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2014;15:11275–93.
92. Zheng G, Ge M, Qiu G, Shu Q, Xu J. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Affect Disease Outcomes via Macrophage Polarization. *Stem Cells Int*. 2015;2015:989473.
93. Schmidt SV, Nino-Castro AC, Schultze JL. Regulatory dendritic cells: there is more than just immune activation. *Front Immunol*. 2012;3:274.
94. Song X, Ma F, Herrup K. Accumulation of Cytoplasmic DNA Due to ATM Deficiency Activates the Microglial Viral Response System with Neurotoxic Consequences. *J Neurosci*. 2019;39:6378–94.
95. Choi MJ, Lee EJ, Park JS, Kim SN, Park EM, Kim HS. Anti-inflammatory mechanism of galangin in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated microglia: Critical role of PPAR-gamma signaling pathway. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2017;144:120–31.
96. Gensel JC, Zhang B. Macrophage activation and its role in repair and pathology after spinal cord injury. *Brain Res*. 2015;1619:1–11.
97. Dawes BE, Gao J, Atkins C, Nelson JT, Johnson K, Wu P, Freiberg AN. Human neural stem cell-derived neuron/astrocyte co-cultures respond to La Crosse virus infection with proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2018;15:315.
98. Hersel U, Dahmen C, Kessler H. RGD modified polymers: biomaterials for stimulated cell adhesion and beyond. *Biomaterials*. 2003;24:4385–415.
99. Nomizu M, Weeks BS, Weston CA, Kim WH, Kleinman HK, Yamada Y. Structure-activity study of a laminin alpha 1 chain active peptide segment Ile-Lys-Val-Ala-Val (IKVAV). *FEBS Lett*. 1995;365:227–31.
100. Vining KH, Mooney DJ. Mechanical forces direct stem cell behaviour in development and regeneration. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2017;18:728–42.

101. Kim Y, Jo SH, Kim WH, Kweon OK. Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects of intravenously injected adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells in dogs with acute spinal cord injury. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* 2015;6:229.
102. Nakajima H, Uchida K, Guerrero AR, Watanabe S, Sugita D, Takeura N, Yoshida A, Long G, Wright KT, Johnson WE, Baba H. Transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells promotes an alternative pathway of macrophage activation and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *J Neurotrauma.* 2012;29:1614–25.
103. LP B, CJ B, EM Y, HB C, L D-O AK, HY JKH. C, B S, BA B, BA M: **Nanoscale Surveillance of the Brain by Microglia via cAMP-Regulated Filopodia.** *Cell reports.* 2019;27:2895–908.e2894.
104. Hansmann F, Zhang N, Herder V, Leitzen E, Baumgartner W. **Delayed Astrogliosis Associated with Reduced M1 Microglia Activation in Matrix Metalloproteinase 12 Knockout Mice during Theiler's Murine Encephalomyelitis.** *Int J Mol Sci* 2019, 20.
105. X XL, QW HQL. Y, J W: Modulators of microglial activation and polarization after intracerebral haemorrhage. *Nature reviews Neurology.* 2017;13:420–33.
106. Kays JS, Yamamoto BK. **Evaluation of Microglia/Macrophage Cells from Rat Striatum and Prefrontal Cortex Reveals Differential Expression of Inflammatory-Related mRNA after Methamphetamine.** *Brain Sci* 2019, 9.
107. Liddelow SA, Barres BA. Reactive Astrocytes: Production, Function, and Therapeutic Potential. *Immunity.* 2017;46:957–67.
108. Liddelow SA, Guttenplan KA, Clarke LE, Bennett FC, Bohlen CJ, Schirmer L, Bennett ML, Munch AE, Chung WS, Peterson TC, et al. Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. *Nature.* 2017;541:481–7.
109. Galarza S, Crosby AJ, Pak C, Peyton SR. Control of Astrocyte Quiescence and Activation in a Synthetic Brain Hydrogel. *Adv Healthc Mater.* 2020;9:e1901419.
110. Shi W, Huang CJ, Xu XD, Jin GH, Huang RQ, Huang JF, Chen YN, Ju SQ, Wang Y, Shi YW, et al. Transplantation of RADA16-BDNF peptide scaffold with human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells forced with CXCR4 and activated astrocytes for repair of traumatic brain injury. *Acta Biomater.* 2016;45:247–61.
111. Adams KL, Gallo V. The diversity and disparity of the glial scar. *Nat Neurosci.* 2018;21:9–15.
112. Zhang R, Wu Y, Xie F, Zhong Y, Wang Y, Xu M, Feng J, Charish J, Monnier PP, Qin X. RGMa mediates reactive astrogliosis and glial scar formation through TGFbeta1/Smad2/3 signaling after stroke. *Cell Death Differ.* 2018;25:1503–16.
113. Schizas N, Konig N, Andersson B, Vasylovska S, Hoeber J, Kozlova EN, Hailer NP. Neural crest stem cells protect spinal cord neurons from excitotoxic damage and inhibit glial activation by secretion of brain-derived neurotrophic factor. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2018;372:493–505.
114. Chen YY, Zhang L, Shi DL, Song XH, Shen YL, Zheng MZ, Wang LL. Resveratrol Attenuates Subacute Systemic Inflammation-Induced Spatial Memory Impairment via Inhibition of Astrocyte Activation

- and Enhancement of Synaptophysin Expression in the Hippocampus. *Ann Clin Lab Sci*. 2017;47:17–24.
115. Ruzicka J, Romanyuk N, Jirakova K, Hejcl A, Janouskova O, Machova LU, Bochin M, Pradny M, Vargova L, Jendelova P. The Effect of iPS-Derived Neural Progenitors Seeded on Laminin-Coated pHEMA-MOETACI Hydrogel with Dual Porosity in a Rat Model of Chronic Spinal Cord Injury. *Cell Transplant*. 2019;28:400–12.
 116. Wang L, Pei S, Han L, Guo B, Li Y, Duan R, Yao Y, Xue B, Chen X, Jia Y. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Derived Exosomes Reduce A1 Astrocytes via Downregulation of Phosphorylated NFkappaB P65 Subunit in Spinal Cord Injury. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2018;50:1535–59.
 117. Yang C, Wang G, Ma F, Yu B, Chen F, Yang J, Feng J, Wang Q. Repeated injections of human umbilical cord blood-derived mesenchymal stem cells significantly promotes functional recovery in rabbits with spinal cord injury of two noncontinuous segments. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2018;9:136.
 118. Desclaux M, Perrin FE, Do-Thi A, Prieto-Cappellini M, Gimenez YRM, Mallet J, Privat A. Lentiviral-mediated silencing of glial fibrillary acidic protein and vimentin promotes anatomical plasticity and functional recovery after spinal cord injury. *J Neurosci Res*. 2015;93:43–55.
 119. Enzmann GU, Benton RL, Talbott JF, Cao Q, Whittemore SR. Functional considerations of stem cell transplantation therapy for spinal cord repair. *J Neurotrauma*. 2006;23:479–95.
 120. Hofstetter CP, Holmstrom NA, Lilja JA, Schweinhardt P, Hao J, Spenger C, Wiesenfeld-Hallin Z, Kurpad SN, Frisen J, Olson L. Allodynia limits the usefulness of intraspinal neural stem cell grafts; directed differentiation improves outcome. *Nat Neurosci*. 2005;8:346–53.
 121. Besalti O, Aktas Z, Can P, Akpınar E, Elcin AE, Elcin YM. The use of autologous neurogenically-induced bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of paraplegic dogs without nociception due to spinal trauma. *J Vet Med Sci*. 2016;78:1465–73.
 122. Maslinska D, Wozniak R, Kaliszek A, Modelska I. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 in astrocytes of human brain after global ischemia. *Folia Neuropathol*. 1999;37:75–9.
 123. Sun G, Li G, Li D, Huang W, Zhang R, Zhang H, Duan Y, Wang B. hucMSC derived exosomes promote functional recovery in spinal cord injury mice via attenuating inflammation. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl*. 2018;89:194–204.
 124. Kim S, Kim YE, Hong S, Kim KT, Sung DK, Lee Y, Park WS, Chang YS, Song MR. Reactive microglia and astrocytes in neonatal intraventricular hemorrhage model are blocked by mesenchymal stem cells. *Glia*. 2020;68:178–92.
 125. K Z, CS A, MG YLJW. F: Self-assembling peptides optimize the post-traumatic milieu and synergistically enhance the effects of neural stem cell therapy after cervical spinal cord injury. *Acta biomaterialia*. 2016;42:77–89.
 126. Bregman BS, Coumans JV, Dai HN, Kuhn PL, Lynskey J, McAtee M, Sandhu F. Transplants and neurotrophic factors increase regeneration and recovery of function after spinal cord injury. *Prog Brain Res*. 2002;137:257–73.

127. Le Blon D, Hoornaert C, Daans J, Santermans E, Hens N, Goossens H, Berneman Z, Ponsaerts P. Distinct spatial distribution of microglia and macrophages following mesenchymal stem cell implantation in mouse brain. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2014;92:650–8.
128. Salzer JL, Zalc B: Myelination. *Curr Biol.* 2016;26:R971-r975.
129. Kastri ME, Adameyko I. Specification, plasticity and evolutionary origin of peripheral glial cells. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2017;47:196–202.
130. Rivera FJ, Aigner L. Adult mesenchymal stem cell therapy for myelin repair in multiple sclerosis. *Biol Res.* 2012;45:257–68.
131. Domingues HS, Cruz A, Chan JR, Relvas JB, Rubinstein B, Pinto IM. Mechanical plasticity during oligodendrocyte differentiation and myelination. *Glia.* 2018;66:5–14.
132. Gaudet AD, Fonken LK. Glial Cells Shape Pathology and Repair After Spinal Cord Injury. *Neurotherapeutics.* 2018;15:554–77.
133. Huang SJ, Yan JQ, Luo H, Zhou LY, Luo JG. IL-33/ST2 signaling contributes to radicular pain by modulating MAPK and NF-kappaB activation and inflammatory mediator expression in the spinal cord in rat models of noncompressive lumbar disk herniation. *J Neuroinflammation.* 2018;15:12.
134. Biernaskie J, Sparling JS, Liu J, Shannon CP, Plemel JR, Xie Y, Miller FD, Tetzlaff W. Skin-derived precursors generate myelinating Schwann cells that promote remyelination and functional recovery after contusion spinal cord injury. *J Neurosci.* 2007;27:9545–59.
135. Jalali Monfared M, Nasirinezhad F, Ebrahimi-Barough S, Hasanzade G, Saberi H, Tavangar SM, Asadpour S, Aryan L, Barabadi Z, Ai J. Transplantation of miR-219 overexpressed human endometrial stem cells encapsulated in fibrin hydrogel in spinal cord injury. *J Cell Physiol.* 2019;234:18887–96.
136. Steffenhagen C, Dechant FX, Oberbauer E, Furtner T, Weidner N, Kury P, Aigner L, Rivera FJ. Mesenchymal stem cells prime proliferating adult neural progenitors toward an oligodendrocyte fate. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012;21:1838–51.
137. Li X, Tzeng SY, Zamboni CG, Koliatsos VE, Ming GL, Green JJ, Mao HQ. Enhancing oligodendrocyte differentiation by transient transcription activation via DNA nanoparticle-mediated transfection. *Acta Biomater.* 2017;54:249–58.
138. FX CS, E O D, FJ TFNWP KLA. R: Mesenchymal stem cells prime proliferating adult neural progenitors toward an oligodendrocyte fate. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2012;21:1838–51.
139. Tao J, Zhang J, Du T, Xu X, Deng X, Chen S, Liu J, Chen Y, Liu X, Xiong M, et al. Rapid 3D printing of functional nanoparticle-enhanced conduits for effective nerve repair. *Acta Biomater.* 2019;90:49–59.
140. Bierlein De la Rosa M, Sharma AD, Mallapragada SK, Sakaguchi DS. Transdifferentiation of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)-secreting mesenchymal stem cells significantly enhance BDNF secretion and Schwann cell marker proteins. *J Biosci Bioeng.* 2017;124:572–82.
141. Feng S, Yan Z, Guo C, Chen Z, Zhang K, Mo X, Gu Y. Effects of an avidin-biotin binding system on Schwann cells attachment, proliferation, and gene expressions onto electrospun scaffolds. *J Biomed Mater Res A.* 2011;97:321–9.

142. Chen BK, Madigan NN, Hakim JS, Dadsetan M, McMahon SS, Yaszemski MJ, Windebank AJ. GDNF Schwann cells in hydrogel scaffolds promote regional axon regeneration, remyelination and functional improvement after spinal cord transection in rats. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2018;12:e398–407.
143. Cook PR. Nongenetic transcription, gene regulation and action at a distance. *J Cell Sci*. 2003;116:4483–91.
144. Stierli S, Napoli I, White IJ, Cattin AL, Monteza Cabrejos A, Garcia Calavia N, Malong L, Ribeiro S, Nihouarn J, Williams R, et al: **The regulation of the homeostasis and regeneration of peripheral nerve is distinct from the CNS and independent of a stem cell population.** *Development* 2018, 145.
145. Fan H, Tang HB, Shan LQ, Liu SC, Huang DG, Chen X, Chen Z, Yang M, Yin XH, Yang H, Hao DJ. Quercetin prevents necroptosis of oligodendrocytes by inhibiting macrophages/microglia polarization to M1 phenotype after spinal cord injury in rats. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2019;16:206.
146. Katoh H, Yokota K, Fehlings MG. Regeneration of Spinal Cord Connectivity Through Stem Cell Transplantation and Biomaterial Scaffolds. *Front Cell Neurosci*. 2019;13:248.
147. Lloyd AF, Davies CL, Holloway RK, Labrak Y, Ireland G, Carradori D, Dillenburg A, Borger E, Soong D, Richardson JC, et al. Central nervous system regeneration is driven by microglia necroptosis and repopulation. *Nat Neurosci*. 2019;22:1046–52.
148. Bubak AN, Como CN, Blackmon AM, Jones D, Nagel MA. Varicella zoster virus differentially alters morphology and suppresses proinflammatory cytokines in primary human spinal cord and hippocampal astrocytes. *J Neuroinflammation*. 2018;15:318.
149. Xu L, Ryu J, Hiel H, Menon A, Aggarwal A, Rha E, Mahairaki V, Cummings BJ, Koliatsos VE. Transplantation of human oligodendrocyte progenitor cells in an animal model of diffuse traumatic axonal injury: survival and differentiation. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. 2015;6:93.
150. McQuade A, Coburn M, Tu CH, Hasselmann J, Davtyan H, Blurton-Jones M. Development and validation of a simplified method to generate human microglia from pluripotent stem cells. *Mol Neurodegener*. 2018;13:67.
151. Lin YC, Ko TL, Shih YH, Lin MY, Fu TW, Hsiao HS, Hsu JY, Fu YS. Human umbilical mesenchymal stem cells promote recovery after ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2011;42:2045–53.
152. Chou J, Harvey BK, Chang CF, Shen H, Morales M, Wang Y. Neuroregenerative effects of BMP7 after stroke in rats. *J Neurol Sci*. 2006;240:21–9.
153. Li J, Zhu H, Liu Y, Li Q, Lu S, Feng M, Xu Y, Huang L, Ma C, An Y, et al. Human mesenchymal stem cell transplantation protects against cerebral ischemic injury and upregulates interleukin-10 expression in *Macaca fascicularis*. *Brain Res*. 2010;1334:65–72.

Figures




Figure 1

The effects of biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation on neuroinflammation and microglial polarization after SCI. Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation promote and repress M1 and M2 polarization of microglia, leading to the initiation of angiogenesis, BBB repair, and neurogenesis, and formation of the glial scar.




Figure 2

Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation can target different pathophysiological aspects of SCI. Following SCI, spinal cord experiences neuroinflammation, demyelination, and cystic cavity formation, neuronal death, glial scar formation, and disruption of BSCB. Biomaterial-supported MSCs transplantation can replace the lost neurons, astrocytes, and oligodendrocyte. Besides, they can modulate the immune response and promote demyelination.