

Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation Immediately After Trauma Predicts a Poor Prognosis in Severely Injured Patients

Takeshi Wada (✉ twada1@med.hokudai.ac.jp)

Division of Acute and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, Hokkaido University Faculty of Medicine

Atsushi Shiraishi

Emergency and Trauma Center, Kameda Medical Center

Satoshi Gando

Department of Acute and Critical Care Medicine, Sapporo Higashi Tokushukai Hospital

Kazuma Yamakawa

Department of Emergency Medicine, Osaka Medical College

Seitaro Fujishima

Center for General Medicine Education, Keio University School of Medicine

Daizoh Saitoh

Division of Traumatology, Research Institute, National Defense Medical College

Shigeki Kushimoto

Division of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine

Hiroshi Ogura

Department of Traumatology and Acute Critical Medicine, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine

Toshikazu Abe

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Tsukuba Memorial Hospital

Toshihiko Mayumi

Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Occupational and Environmental Health

Junichi Sasaki

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Keio University School of Medicine

Joji Kotani

Division of Disaster and Emergency Medicine, Department of Surgery Related, Kobe University Graduate School of Medicine

Naoshi Takeyama

Advanced Critical Care Center, Aichi Medical University Hospital

Ryosuke Tsuruta

Advanced Medical Emergency & Critical Care Center, Yamaguchi University Hospital

Kiyotsugu Takuma

Emergency & Critical Care Center, Kawasaki Municipal Hospital

Norio Yamashita

Department of Emergency & Critical Care Medicine, School of Medicine, Kurume University

Shin-ichiro Shiraishi

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Aizu Chuo Hospital

Hiroto Ikeda

Department of Emergency Medicine, Trauma and Resuscitation Center, Teikyo University School of Medicine

Yasukazu Shiino

Department of Acute Medicine, Kawasaki Medical School

Takehiko Tarui

Department of Trauma and Critical Care Medicine, Kyorin University School of Medicine

Taka-aki Nakada

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine

Toru Hifumi

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, St. Luke's International Hospital

Kohji Okamoto

Department of Surgery, Center for Gastroenterology and Liver Disease, Kitakyushu City Yahata Hospital

Yuichiro Sakamoto

Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Saga University Hospital

Akiyoshi Hagiwara

Center Hospital of the National Center for Global Health and Medicine

Tomohiko Masuno

Department of Emergency and Critical Care Medicine, Nippon Medical School

Masashi Ueyama

Department of Trauma, Critical Care Medicine, and Burn Center, Japan; Community Healthcare Organization, Chukyo Hospital

Satoshi Fujimi

Division of Trauma and Surgical Critical Care, Osaka General Medical Center

Yutaka Umemura

Division of Trauma and Surgical Critical Care, Osaka General Medical Center

Yasuhiro Otomo

Trauma and Acute Critical Care Center, Medical Hospital, Tokyo Medical and Dental University

Research Article

Keywords: Trauma patients, Disseminated intravascular coagulation, poor prognosis, severely injured patients

Posted Date: February 12th, 2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-199070/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Trauma patients die from massive bleeding due to disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) with a fibrinolytic phenotype in the early phase, which transforms to DIC with a thrombotic phenotype in the late phase of trauma, contributing to the development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) and a consequently poor outcome. This is a sub-analysis of a multicenter prospective descriptive cross-sectional study on DIC to evaluate the effect of a DIC diagnosis on the survival probability and predictive performance of DIC scores for massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital death in severely injured trauma patients. A DIC diagnosis on admission was associated with a lower survival probability (Log Rank $P < 0.001$), higher frequency of massive transfusion and MODS and a higher mortality rate than no such diagnosis. The DIC scores at 0 and 3 h significantly predicted massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital death. Markers of thrombin and plasmin generation and fibrinolysis inhibition also showed a good predictive ability for these three items. In conclusion, a DIC diagnosis on admission was associated with a low survival probability. DIC scores obtained immediately after trauma predicted a poor prognosis of severely injured trauma patients.

Introduction

The two major insults of trauma and sepsis induce systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), which affects a patient's outcome due to multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS)¹. In addition to SIRS, the influence of a compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome on the development of organ dysfunction development has been detected². However, all randomized controlled trials targeting SIRS failed, so another hypothesis for improving the outcome of these patients began to be explored at the end of the 1990s³.

Tight molecular links between inflammation and coagulation have been described⁴, and crosstalk between innate immune inflammation and coagulation has been considered a leading cause of MODS⁵. Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), defined as dysregulated inflammatory and coagulofibrinolytic responses to insults such as trauma and sepsis, can give rise to MODS via the bidirectional interplay between SIRS and systemic thrombin generation associated with endothelial injury⁶. Thus, DIC is a representative pathological syndrome embodying the close association of inflammation with coagulation.

Cellular injury due to trauma releases mitochondrial damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as mitochondrial DNA, which induce SIRS and then elicits neutrophil-mediated organ injury⁷. Histones are major DAMPs released from injured cells or activated neutrophils, forming neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which lead to inflammation, activation of coagulation, insufficient anticoagulation controls, impaired fibrinolysis and cytotoxic effects causing tissue damage⁸. All of these changes are characteristics of DIC; therefore, histones and NETs are considered major pathomechanisms of DIC^{6,8,9}. Markedly elevated histones immediately after trauma induce proinflammatory cytokine

release, coagulation activation, endothelial damage, and NETs formation, which result in DIC and consequent microvascular thrombosis and MODS¹⁰.

DIC is recognized as thrombohemorrhagic disorder⁶. In clinical settings, two phenotypes⁶ of DIC have been reported: fibrinolytic and thrombotic phenotypes⁹. DIC with a fibrinolytic phenotype at an early phase of trauma contributes to a poor prognosis due to massive bleeding¹¹. During the late period of trauma, the combined activation of coagulation and inflammation in DIC plays an important role in the development of MODS and consequent poor outcome after severe trauma¹². Furthermore, DIC and sustained SIRS for more than 3 days after trauma were found to predict MODS with a likelihood ratio of 11.5 and 6.25, respectively¹³. A database analysis of four published scales showed that the ability to detect polytrauma patients at risk of complications in both the early and late phases could be improved by adding different scales related to hemorrhaging and coagulation¹⁴. This finding suggests the significance of hemorrhaging and thrombosis, namely DIC as a thrombohemorrhagic disorder, for predicting the outcome of trauma patients.

The present study evaluated the utility of the DIC scores for detecting massive transfusion and MODS as well as hospital death as results of these events and investigated the influence of the DIC diagnosis on the survival probability in severely injured trauma patients. The influence of the ability to detect thrombin and plasmin generation and as well as the inhibition of fibrinolysis on these outcomes was also evaluated.

Methods

Study design, setting, and ethical approval. This is a prognostic study performed as a sub-analysis of a multicenter prospective descriptive cross-sectional study conducted by the Japanese Association for Acute Medicine (JAAM) Focused Outcomes Research in Emergency Care in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Sepsis and Trauma (FORECAST) study group¹⁵. The JAAM FORECAST TRAUMA study recruited participants from April 1, 2016, to January 31, 2018, from 39 emergency departments and intensive-care units (ICUs) in tertiary hospitals and was registered at the University Hospital Medical Information Network Clinical Trial Registry. (UMIN-CTR ID: UMIN000019588). This study was approved under the condition that written informed consent should be obtained from either the patient or their next of kin by the JAAM and the Ethics Committee of each hospital (JAAM, 2014-01; Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Head institute of the FORECAST group, 014-0307) and was performed in accordance with Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants. The JAAM FORECAST TRAUMA study enrolled severely injured adult trauma patients (≥ 16 years old) with an Injury Severity Score (ISS) ≥ 16 who were directly transported from the scene by emergency medical services. Patients with a history of cardiac arrest and resuscitation, who were receiving anticoagulants, who had hemorrhagic diathesis or coagulopathy due to any causes, or who had been transferred from other hospitals were excluded before registration. The size of the study population was dependent on the study period. All patients were followed up until discharge.

Aims and outcomes. The primary aim of the present study was to confirm the utility of a DIC diagnosis and DIC scores immediately after trauma for detecting massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital death of severely injured trauma patients. In addition, the influence of the ability to detect thrombin, plasmin and inhibition of fibrinolysis on the outcomes of trauma patients was investigated. The survival probability and all-cause hospital death were used as primary outcomes. Ventilator-free days and ICU-free days were also obtained as secondary outcomes.

Definition and the diagnosis. The severity of injury was assessed on an anatomical and physiological basis according to the ISS and revised trauma score, respectively. Severe trauma was defined as an ISS ≥ 16 . A DIC diagnosis was made based on the JAAM DIC diagnostic criteria¹⁶ (Table S1). In the present study, the prothrombin time International Normalized Ratio (INR) was used as a substitute for the prothrombin time ratio for the diagnosis of DIC. Transfusion of packed red blood cells (PRBCs) more than the estimated circulating blood volume (7.5% of body weight) within 24 h after the presentation to the emergency department (ED) met the definition of massive transfusion. Massive transfusion was also assessed by the rate of transfusion, evaluated by the volume of PRBC administered from the presentation at the ED to 3 h after the admission. Organ dysfunction was evaluated using the sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score¹⁷. To avoid bias arising from overlapping platelet counts in both the SOFA and DIC scores, the original SOFA score and the SOFA score without the coagulation score were calculated. The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) for the SOFA score of the central nervous system was used without manipulation. An individual SOFA score ≥ 2 was considered to indicate dysfunction of each organ, and cases of dysfunction in more than two organs without coagulation dysfunction were defined as MODS. The SIRS criteria were used for the assessment of systemic inflammation¹. A systolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg at the scene or at the ED and lactate levels > 2 mmol/L at the ED were defined as shock. The Charlson index was determined for the assessment of comorbidities¹⁸. Ventilator-free days was defined as the number of days within the first 28 days after admission during which a patient was able to breathe without a ventilator. ICU-free days were calculated in a similar manner.

Data collection and measurements. Immediately after arrival at the ED (0-h timepoint) and 3 h after admission (3-h timepoint), 15 mL of blood was collected in citrated tubes at each sampling point. The samples were immediately centrifuged at 4°C in the laboratories of each hospital, and the obtained plasma was stored at -80°C. All plasma samples were measured at the center laboratory of the LSI Medience Corporation (Tokyo Japan). We measured the following molecular markers: 1) soluble fibrin (marker of direct thrombin generation) (LA, IATRO SFII; LSI Medience, Tokyo, Japan), 2) plasmin and α 2-plasmin inhibitor (antiplasmin) complex (marker of plasmin generation) (LPIA, LPIA-ACE PPI II; LSI Medience, Tokyo, Japan), 3) plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) (marker of inhibition of fibrinolysis) (LA, LPIA+tPAI test; LSI Medience, Tokyo, Japan), and 4) D-dimer (marker of fibrinolysis) (LPIA, LPIA GENESIS D-dimer; LSI Medience, Tokyo, Japan). In addition to routine laboratory tests and blood gas analysis, measurements of platelet counts, prothrombin time (sec, INR), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), fibrinogen, fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products (FDP), and the FDP/D-dimer ratio were performed at 0-, 3-, and 24-h timepoints after the arrival at the ED. The SIRS criteria and DIC scores were

calculated at the 0-, 3-, and 24-h timepoints, and SOFA scores were obtained at 24 h after admission to the ED.

Statistical methods. Measurements are expressed as the median with the 25th -75th interquartile range or number (percentage). Missing values were used without manipulation. Differences in demographics and measured parameters between two groups (DIC vs. non-DIC) were compared with the Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables, and either the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was used for nominal variables when required. Time courses of DIC scores, platelet counts, and global markers of coagulation and fibrinolysis (0 h vs. 3 h and 24 h) were also evaluated using the Mann-Whitney U-test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was used to assess the predictive ability of DIC scores for massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital death. Survival probability curves with and without a DIC diagnosis were derived based on the Kaplan-Meier method. Differences with a two-tailed *P* value of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The IBM SPSS 26.0 for MAC OSX software program (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the statistical analyses and calculations.

Results

Characteristics of the participants. A total of 295 patients were registered. After the assessment of eligibility, 276 enrolled patients were divided into 121 DIC and 155 non-DIC patients based on the data obtained immediately on presentation to the ED (0-h timepoint). The flow diagram showing patient screening and registration is presented as Fig. S1. Demographics of the patients are provided in Table 1. Almost all DIC patients (110/121, 90.9%) met the definition of SIRS. Higher ISS and revised trauma scores, and a higher prevalence of shock were observed in DIC patients than in non-DIC patients. The abbreviated injury scale (AIS) for individual organs and the GCS at 0, 3, and 24 h are shown in Table S2. No marked differences in the AIS values for the head were noted, but DIC patients had a lower GCS than non-DIC patients at presentation to the ED, which markedly worsened at 3 and 24 h after presentation. The serial changes in DIC scores, platelet counts, and global markers of coagulation and fibrinolysis are shown in Table S3. DIC patients showed lower platelet counts, a prolonged prothrombin time and APTT, lower fibrinogen levels, and higher FDP levels and FDP/D-dimer ratios than non-DIC patients at 0, 3, and 24 h after presentation.

Outcomes of the participants. DIC patients were transfused with larger volumes of platelet concentrate, fresh-frozen plasma (FFP), and PRBCs at 3 and 24 h after admission to the ED than non-DIC patients (Table 1). As a result, the frequency of massive transfusion in DIC patients was higher than that in non-DIC patients (*P* < 0.001) (Table 2). The total SOFA scores, SOFA scores without coagulation scores, and all individual organ SOFA scores were significantly higher in DIC patients than in non-DIC patients. DIC patients showed a higher incidence of MODS than non-DIC patients (*P* = 0.021) and DIC patients showed more organs with dysfunction than non-DIC patients (*P* < 0.001). The mortality rate was higher (*P* < 0.001) and the duration of both ventilator use and ICU stay was shorter in the DIC patients than in the non-DIC patients. No patients died within 3 h after admission. All 9 patients who died within 24 h had a DIC

diagnosis, and their DIC score deteriorated from 0 to 3 h. The frequencies of shock and massive transfusion in these 9 patients at 3 h were 55.6% and 33.3%, respectively (Table S4).

Survival probability and outcome prediction. The survival probability of the patients diagnosed with DIC immediately after presentation to the ED was significantly lower than that in those without DIC (Log Rank $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 2, the DIC scores immediately after presentation to the ED (0-h timepoint) and at 3 h after admission (3-h timepoint) showed a significant predictive ability for hospital death (Fig. 2A), massive transfusion (Fig. 2B) and the development of MODS (Fig. 2C) at 24 h after admission.

The levels of soluble fibrin and plasmin antiplasmin complex at the 0- and 3-h timepoints and PAI-1 at the 3-h timepoint are shown as box plots in Fig. 3. The values were higher in DIC patients than in non-DIC patients. The AUCs of soluble fibrin ($P = 0.001$) and plasmin antiplasmin complex ($p < 0.001$) at the 0- and 3-h timepoints and PAI-1 ($P = 0.015$) at the 3-h timepoint for predicting hospital death were all significant (Fig. 4). In addition, the levels of soluble fibrin at the 0-h timepoint, plasmin antiplasmin complex at the 0-h timepoint, and PAI-1 at the 3-h timepoint showed a significant predictive performance for massive transfusion and MODS at 24 h after admission (Table S5).

Discussion

This sub-analysis of a multicenter prospective study demonstrated the significant performance of DIC scores immediately after trauma for predicting a poor prognosis in severely injured trauma patients. The markedly low survival probability of DIC patients diagnosed immediately after trauma was also confirmed. The massive generation of thrombin and plasmin followed by the inhibition of fibrinolysis by PAI-1 in DIC patients also showed marked predictivity for a poor prognosis.

DIC immediately after trauma represents a fibrinolytic phenotype^{6,9}. In this phenotype of DIC, trauma patients need massive transfusion due to trauma-related bleeding as well as DIC-induced oozing-type bleeding at surgical-site wounds, mucosal lesions, serosal surfaces, and the sites of indwelling catheters, among other points. Massive thrombin formation-induced consumption coagulopathy and the time difference between immediate plasmin generation due to tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA) release from the Weibel-Palade bodies and the expression of PAI-1 mRNA leading to plasmin-mediated fibrin(ogen)olysis are main causes of bleeding immediately after trauma^{6,9}. Markedly high levels of soluble fibrin in DIC patients, as well as a reduction in platelet counts, low levels of fibrinogen, and prolonged prothrombin time and APTT, foster consumption coagulopathy. Low fibrinogen levels as well as elevated FDP levels with high FDP/D-dimer ratios in DIC patients suggest fibrin(ogen)olysis. Immediate increases in the plasmin levels followed by an increase in the PAI-1 levels at 3-h timepoints indirectly support the notion of a time difference between the immediate t-PA release and the PAI-1 mRNA expression. The administration of a median 0 mL of intravenous fluids prior to ED presentation and significant decreases in platelet counts and fibrinogen levels from the 0- to 3-h timepoints despite a large volume of platelet concentrate and FFP transfusion in DIC patients deny dilution coagulopathy.

The robustness of the present study is based on the confirmation of the results of previous studies using two prospectively obtained data points immediately after trauma. A single-center retrospective study showed that DIC with a fibrinolytic phenotype diagnosed within 4 h after arrival at the ED contributed to a poor prognosis due to massive transfusion¹¹. A multicenter retrospective study concluded that DIC diagnosed immediately after presentation to the ED could be used to predict massive transfusion for 24 h after admission, with an association between DIC and massive transfusion within 24 h also observed (odds ratio, 4.607, $P=0.001$)¹⁹. The Kaplan-Meier curves for the time from presentation to the ED to reaching critical thresholds of routine parameters for massive bleeding showed that the platelet counts, prothrombin time, and fibrinogen levels in DIC patients reached their critical level significantly earlier than those in non-DIC patients²⁰. In addition, the time taken from the arrival at the ED to meet the definition of massive transfusion was shorter in DIC patients than in non-DIC patients. Hayakawa et al.²¹ showed that DIC at an early phase of trauma associated with consumption coagulopathy and increased fibrinolysis required more blood transfusions than was noted in non-DIC patients. Given these previous findings, the present results showing a good performance of DIC scores immediately after trauma to predict massive transfusion seem very reasonable.

The key point of DIC after trauma is that thrombin generation always underlies the changes in fibrinolytic systems as shown in Fig. 4^{6,9,22}. Regardless of the phenotype, DIC is always associated with massive thrombin generation; DIC with a fibrinolytic phenotype progresses to thrombotic phenotype along with the elevation of PAI-1 levels^{21,23}. The dynamics of soluble fibrin, plasmin and antiplasmin complex and PAI-1 observed in the present study suggest that this progression occurs approximately 3 h after trauma. Despite equal severity of brain injury (as assessed by the head AIS) between the patients with and without DIC, the aggravation of the GCS during the 24-h time period in DIC patients may suggest DIC-induced secondary brain injury due to the progression of intracranial hemorrhaging or microvascular thrombosis.

The present study is significant for its confirmation that a DIC diagnosis affected the survival probability of severely injured trauma patients. Furthermore, DIC scores obtained immediately after trauma (median 49 min from injury) were proven to predict late-phase MODS and a poor outcome. MODS with a high mortality rate is characteristic of DIC^{6,8}. Rapid progression of DIC from the fibrinolytic to the thrombotic phenotype by 3 h after arrival to the ED may be the reason for the development of MODS within 24 h after admission. Cabrera et al.²⁴ showed that trauma patients complicated with MODS had a specific gene expression within 120 min from injury, implicating the participation of innate immune cells, such as neutrophils at this stage of trauma. In addition, previous studies showing immediate changes in mitochondrial DAMPs, histones, neutrophils, and coagulation after trauma may support the results of the present study highlighting the predictive ability of rapid-onset DIC for the later prognosis of patients^{7,8,10}.

Another novel finding of the present study was that soluble fibrin, plasmin and antiplasmin complex, and PAI-1 were able to predict massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital mortality of severe trauma patients. DIC patients were associated with significantly higher levels of soluble fibrin, plasmin and antiplasmin

complex and PAI-1 than non-DIC patients. In the main study of our series, increased levels of soluble thrombomodulin, a marker of endothelial injury, were observed in DIC patients¹⁵. Previous studies showed that MODS was more likely when markers of endotheliopathy, e.g. soluble thrombomodulin, were increased immediately after trauma²⁵. The generation of thrombin and plasmin, inhibition of fibrinolysis by PAI-1, and endothelial injury are the main pathomechanisms of DIC^{6,8}, supporting the significant utility of DIC for predicting a poor outcome of trauma patients in the present study.

Trauma-induced coagulopathy is defined as a pre-stage of DIC and progresses to DIC as a result of dysregulated inflammatory and coagulofibrinolytic responses to trauma²⁶. When the trauma is sufficiently severe, therefore, DIC develops immediately after trauma without passing through the trauma-induced coagulopathy stage¹⁵. Previous studies have shown that trauma-induced coagulopathy was a significant risk factor of MODS²⁷ and an independent predictor of MODS and mortality in cases of severe trauma^{28,29}. These studies indirectly strengthen the present finding of the significant performance of DIC in predicting MODS and hospital mortality.

Several limitations associated with the present study warrant mention. Although this study was a sub-analysis of prospectively collected data, the data were retrospectively analyzed and included missing values. The use of tranexamic acid was equally distributed between the two groups, but the effects of tranexamic acid on the obtained results were not elucidated. The present study was a single national study conducted in a developed country, which may limit the global generalization of the obtained results.

Conclusions

The present study showed that the diagnosis of DIC immediately after trauma was associated with a lower survival probability and higher mortality rate than no such diagnosis. DIC scores obtained immediately after presentation to the ED were able to predict massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital death of severe trauma patients. Substantial thrombin and plasmin generation followed by elevation of the PAI-1 levels, the main pathomechanisms of DIC, also predicted massive transfusion, MODS, and hospital mortality. Therefore, the recognition of DIC at a very early phase of trauma is important in order to predict the outcome of severely injured trauma patients.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the JAAM and the Ethics Committee of each hospital waiving written informed consent (JAAM, 2014-01; Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Head institute of the FORECAST group, 014-0307).

Data availability

The dataset used and/or analyzed during current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

The JAAM FORECST Study Group thanks Shuta Fukuda for his special assistance in completing the study. This study was supported by the JAAM.

Author contributions

T.W., A.S., and S.G. analyzed study results, interpreted the data, drafted the manuscript and equally contributed to this study. A.S. checked the statistical methods and results. A.S., S.G., K.Y., S.F., D.S., S.K., H.O., T.A., T.M., J.S., J.K., N.T., R.T., K.T., N.Y., S.S., H.I., Y.S., T.T., T.N., T.H., K.O., Y.S., A.H., T.M., M.U., S.F., Y.U., and Y.O. planned the study, decided the methods, developed web-based registration system, discussed the obtained results and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests

Atsushi Shiraishi reported receiving personal fees from CSL Behring outside the submitted work. Satoshi Gando reported receiving personal fees from Asahi Kasei Pharma America Inc. and Asahi Kasei Pharma Japan Inc. outside the submitted work. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

JJAAM FORECAST Trauma investigators

Osaka City University Hospital (Yasumitsu Mizobata); National Hospital Organization Sendai Medical Center (Yasuo Yamada); Saitama Medical University Saitama Medical Center (Satoru Sugiyama); Fukui Prefectural Hospital (Hiroshi Ishida); Sapporo Medical University (Eichi Narimatsu); Fukuyama City Hospital (Koji Miyasho); Hiratsuka City Hospital (Toshio Kanai); Saiseikai Utsunomiya Hospital (Satoru Miyatake); Japanese Red Cross Society Kyoto Daini Hospital (Ryouji Iiduka); Shinsyu University School of Medicine (Hiroshi Imamura); Rinku General Medical Center (Yasuaki Mizushima); Subaru Health Insurance Society Ota Memorial Hospital (Yoshitake Sato); Saitama Medical University International Medical Center (Manabu Nemoto); Aomori Prefectural Central Hospital (Hiroyuki Hanada); National Hospital Organization Hokkaido Medical Center (Yasuo Shichinohe); Saga-ken Medical Centre Koseikan (Kenji Hirahara); Hachinohe City Hospital (Akihide Kon); Juntendo University Nerima Hospital (Manabu Sugita); Kawaguchi Municipal Medical Center (Yasutaka Naoe); Kakogawa West City Hospital (Manabu Kirita); Osaka National Hospital (Daikai Sadamitsu); Kouseiren Takaoka Hospital (Masahiro Yoshida), Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine (Takeshi Wada); Kameda Medical Center (Atsushi Shiraishi); Sapporo Higashi Tokushukai Hospital (Satoshi Gando); Osaka Medical College (Kazuma Yamakawa); Keio University School of Medicine (Seitaro Fujishima, Junichi Sasaki); National Defence Medical College (Daizoh Saitoh); Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine (Shigeki Kushimoto), Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine (Hiroshi Ogura); Tsukuba Memorial Hospital (Toshikazu Abe); University of Occupational and Environmental Health (Toshihiko Mayumi); Kobe University

Graduate School of Medicine (Joji Kotani); Aichi Medical University Hospital (Naoshi Takeyama); Yamaguchi University Hospital (Ryosuke Tsuruta); Kawasaki Municipal Hospital (Kiyotsugu Takuma); Kurume University (Norio Yamashita); Aizu Chuo Hospital (Shin-ichiro Shiraishi); Teikyo University School of Medicine (Hiroto Ikeda); Kawasaki Medical School (Yasukazu Shiino); Kyorin University School of Medicine (Takehiko Tarui); Chiba University Graduate School of Medicine (Takaaki Nakada); St. Luke's International Hospital (Toru Hifumi); Kitakyushu City Yahata Hospital (Kohji Okamoto); Saga University Hospital (Yuichiro Sakamoto); Center Hospital of the National Center for Global Health and Medicine (Akiyoshi Hagiwara); Nippon Medical School (Tomohiko Masuno); Community Healthcare Organization, Chukyo Hospital (Masashi Ueyama); Osaka General Medical Center (Satoshi Fujimi, Yutaka Umemura), Tokyo Medical and Dental University, (Yasuhiro Otomo)

References

1. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference: definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. *Crit Care Med.* **20**, 864-874 (1992).
2. Bone, R. C. Sir Isaac Newton, sepsis, SIRS, and CARS. *Crit Care Med.* **24**, 1125-1128 (1996).
3. Nasraway, S. A., Jr. Sepsis research: we must change course. *Crit Care Med.* **27**, 427-430 (1999).
4. Esmon, C. T. *et al.* Inflammation, sepsis, and coagulation. *Haematologica.* **84**, 254-259 (1999).
5. Esmon, C. T., Xu, J. & Lupu, F. Innate immunity and coagulation. *J Thromb Haemost.* **9 Suppl 1**, 182-188 (2011).
6. Gando, S., Levi, M. & Toh, C. H. Disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Nature reviews. Disease primers.* **2**, 16037 (2016).
7. Zhang, Q. *et al.* Circulating mitochondrial DAMPs cause inflammatory responses to injury. *Nature.* **464**, 104-107 (2010).
8. Alhamdi, Y. & Toh, C. H. Recent advances in pathophysiology of disseminated intravascular coagulation: the role of circulating histones and neutrophil extracellular traps. *F1000Research.* **6**, 2143 (2017).
9. Gando, S. & Otomo, Y. Local hemostasis, immunothrombosis, and systemic disseminated intravascular coagulation in trauma and traumatic shock. *Crit Care.* **19**, 72 (2015).
10. Abrams, S. T. *et al.* Circulating histones are mediators of trauma-associated lung injury. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* **187**, 160-169 (2013).
11. Sawamura, A. *et al.* Disseminated intravascular coagulation with a fibrinolytic phenotype at an early phase of trauma predicts mortality. *Thromb Res.* **124**, 608-613 (2009).
12. Gando, S. *et al.* Combined activation of coagulation and inflammation has an important role in multiple organ dysfunction and poor outcome after severe trauma. *Thromb Haemost.* **88**, 943-949 (2002).

13. Gando, S., Nanzaki, S. & Kemmotsu, O. Disseminated intravascular coagulation and sustained systemic inflammatory response syndrome predict organ dysfunctions after trauma: application of clinical decision analysis. *Ann Surg.* **229**, 121-127 (1999).
14. Halvachizadeh, S. *et al.* How to detect a polytrauma patient at risk of complications: A validation and database analysis of four published scales. *PLoS One.* **15**, e0228082 (2020).
15. Gando, S. *et al.* A multicenter prospective validation study on disseminated intravascular coagulation in trauma-induced coagulopathy. *J Thromb Haemost* (2020).
16. Gando, S. *et al.* Natural history of disseminated intravascular coagulation diagnosed based on the newly established diagnostic criteria for critically ill patients: results of a multicenter, prospective survey. *Crit Care Med.* **36**, 145-150 (2008).
17. Ferreira, F. L., Bota, D. P., Bross, A., Melot, C. & Vincent, J. L. Serial evaluation of the SOFA score to predict outcome in critically ill patients. *JAMA.* **286**, 1754-1758 (2001).
18. Charlson, M. E., Pompei, P., Ales, K. L. & MacKenzie, C. R. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis.* **40**, 373-383 (1987).
19. Oshiro, A. *et al.* Hemostasis during the early stages of trauma: comparison with disseminated intravascular coagulation. *Crit Care.* **18**, R61 (2014).
20. Hayakawa, M. *et al.* Fibrinogen level deteriorates before other routine coagulation parameters and massive transfusion in the early phase of severe trauma: a retrospective observational study. *Semin Thromb Hemost.* **41**, 35-42 (2015).
21. Hayakawa, M. *et al.* Disseminated intravascular coagulation at an early phase of trauma is associated with consumption coagulopathy and excessive fibrinolysis both by plasmin and neutrophil elastase. *Surgery.* **149**, 221-230 (2011).
22. Gando, S., Wada, H. & Thachil, J. Differentiating disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) with the fibrinolytic phenotype from coagulopathy of trauma and acute coagulopathy of trauma-shock (COT/ACOTS). *J Thromb Haemost.* **11**, 826-835 (2013).
23. Murakami, H. *et al.* Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) at an early phase of trauma continuously proceeds to DIC at a late phase of trauma. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* **18**, 364-369 (2012).
24. Cabrera, C. P. *et al.* Signatures of inflammation and impending multiple organ dysfunction in the hyperacute phase of trauma: A prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med.* **14**, e1002352 (2017).
25. Naumann, D. N. *et al.* Endotheliopathy of Trauma is an on-Scene Phenomenon, and is Associated with Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome: A Prospective Observational Study. *Shock.* **49**, 420-428 (2018).
26. Moore, H. B. *et al.* Defining trauma-induced coagulopathy with respect to future implications for patient management: Communication from the SSC of the ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost.* **18**, 740-747 (2020).
27. Balvers, K., Wirtz, M. R., van Dieren, S., Goslings, J. C. & Juffermans, N. P. Risk factors for trauma-induced coagulopathy- and transfusion-associated multiple organ failure in severely injured trauma

patients. *Frontiers in medicine*. **2**, 24 (2015).

28. Nair, A., Flori, H. & Cohen, M. J. Characterization of organ dysfunction and mortality in pediatric patients with trauma with acute traumatic coagulopathy. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open*. **5**, e000382 (2020).
29. Peltan, I. D., Vande Vusse, L. K., Maier, R. V. & Watkins, T. R. An International Normalized Ratio-Based Definition of Acute Traumatic Coagulopathy Is Associated With Mortality, Venous Thromboembolism, and Multiple Organ Failure After Injury. *Crit Care Med*. **43**, 1429-1438 (2015).

Tables

Table 1. Demographics of the patients on admission to the emergency department, volume of transfusion and outcomes

	Non-DIC	DIC	P-Value
Number of the patients	155	121	
Age (years)	59(41-69)	56(42-78)	0.386
Male gender n (%)	111(71.6)	72(59.5)	0.040
Charlson comorbidity index	0(0-0)	0(0-1)	0.050
Blunt injury n (%)	150(96.8)	118(97.5)	0.856
Isolated brain injury n (%)	25(16.1)	9(7.4)	0.041
DIC score	3(1-3)	4(4-5)	<0.001
Injury Severity Score	24(18-29)	29(24-41)	<0.001
Revised trauma score	7.8(6.9-7.8)	6.9(5.1-7.8)	<0.001
SIRS criteria	2(1-2)	3(3-3)	<0.001
Total SOFA score 24 h after admission	4(2-7)	7(4-9)	<0.001
Central nervous system	0(0-2)	3(1-4)	<0.001
Cardiovascular	0(0-1)	1(0-1)	0.008
Respiration	1(0-2)	1(1-2)	0.034
Coagulation	0(0-1)	1(0-2)	<0.001
Liver	0(0-1)	0(0-1)	0.031
Renal	0(0-0)	0(0-0)	0.001
SOFA score without coagulation	3(2-6)	5(3-7)	<0.001
Shock n (%)	23(14.8)	38(31.7)	0.001
Lactate (mmol/L)	2.3(1.6-3.4)	2.9(2.0-5.0)	0.002
Body temperature (°C)	36.6(36.1-36.9)	36.2(35.6-36.7)	0.002
Tranexamic acid n (%)	69(44.5)	66(54.5)	0.115
Operation within 24h after admission n (%)	77(51.0)	73(61.9)	0.084
Time from injury to ED	45(35-64)	49(32-76)	0.571
Intravenous fluids prior to ED (ml)	0(0-100)	0(0-200)	0.060
3-h transfusion			
Packed red blood cells (ml)	0(0-0)	0(0-840)	<0.001
Fresh frozen plasma (ml)	0(0-0)	0(0-480)	<0.001
Platelet concentrate (U)	0(0-0)	0(0-0)	0.033
24-h transfusion			
Packed red blood cells (ml)	0(0-280)	1120(0-3360)	<0.001
Fresh frozen plasma (ml)	0(0-240)	960(0-2400)	<0.001
Platelet concentrate (U)	0(0-0)	0(0-10)	<0.001
Outcomes			
Massive transfusion n (%)	8(5.3)	22 (18.6)	<0.001
MODS 24h after admission n (%)	28(42.4)	38(57.6)	0.021
Organ dysfunction 24h after admission	1(0-2)	1(1-2)	<0.001
Ventilator-free days	28(23-28)	21(0-28)	<0.001
ICU-free days	24(18-26)	20(10-25)	0.001
Hospital death n (%)	5(3.2)	24(20.2)	<0.001

DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; ED, emergency department; SIRS, systemic inflammatory response syndrome; SOFA, sequential organ dysfunction assessment; ICU, intensive care unit; MODS, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. Missing data of Outcomes from upper to bottom, 5, 95, 95, 8, 35, 3, respectively.

Table 2. Outcomes of the patients

	Non-DIC	DIC	<i>P</i> -Value
Massive transfusion n (%)	8(5.3)	22 (18.6)	<0.001
MODS 24h after admission n (%)	28(42.4)	38(57.6)	0.021
Organ dysfunction 24h after admission	1(0-2)	1(1-2)	<0.001
Ventilator-free days	28(23-28)	21(0-28)	<0.001
ICU-free days	24(18-26)	20(10-25)	0.001
Hospital death n (%)	5(3.2)	24(20.2)	<0.001

DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; ICU, intensive care unit; MODS, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. Missing data from upper to bottom, 5, 95, 95, 8, 35, 3, respectively.

Figures




Figure 1

Kaplan-Meier survival probability curves for mortality during hospitalization. Numbers at risk represent the number of patients with or without disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) at risk of death at the indicated days.




Figure 2

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) scores at 0 and 3 h after presentation to the emergency department (ED) to predict hospital death (A), massive transfusion (B), and multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS) (C) at 24 h after presentation to the ED. The number indicates the area under the ROC curve (AUC) (standard error).




Figure 3

Box plots for soluble fibrin, plasmin and antiplasmin complex (PAC), and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) at 0 and 3 h after presentation to the emergency department. Disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) patients showed significantly higher values of soluble fibrin and PAC at 0 and 3 h and PAI-1 at 3 h than did non-DIC patients. White box, non-DIC patients; grey box, DIC patients. Horizontal bars

in the box indicate the median (middle) and interquartile ranges (upper 25% and lower 75%). Black boxes are mean values. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ vs. non-DIC patients.




Figure 4

Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves of soluble fibrin, plasmin and antiplasmin complex (PAC) and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) at 0 and 3 h after presentation to the emergency department to predict hospital death. The number indicates the area under the ROC curve (AUC) (standard error).

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Supplementaryfile.pdf](#)