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Abstract

Background Bacteria are prokaryotic which causes a wide range of diseases in human and animals as well, thus, early diagnosis of bacterial infection is an important step for their management. The vast majority of bacteria are harmless to human and some strains are even beneficial. However, some strains cause infections including pneumonia, meningitis and urinary tract infection...etc. The present study aimed to describe and determine the prevalence rate of pathogenic bacteria in different clinical samples from Duhok city, Kurdistan region of Iraq, as there are no available data of bacterial infections.

Methods Totally, 200 adult patients enrolled in the present study during July 2017-July 2018. We collected different clinical specimens including (SFA, Bronchial Lavage, catheter, cerebrospinal fluid, body fluids, blood, semen, stool pus, urine, sputum and ear swabs). Standard microbiological techniques used for isolation and identification. All samples collected before antibiotic uses by specialized physician.

Results The result reported that forty-six samples (23%) obtained from male and one hundred fifty-four samples (77%) obtained from females. A high diversity of pathogens was found but Staphylococcus aureus recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%) which observed in 32 urine samples by and followed by E. coli (27.3%) and Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci (25.3%), which observed in 36 and 29 urine samples, respectively. Alternatively, Klebsiella, Streptococcus, Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were founded in few samples.

Conclusion The highest diversity of potential pathogens observed in urine samples was E.coli. Over all, Staphylococcus aureus was predominant and recorded the highest prevalence rate by (33.3%) in 50 samples which followed by E. coli recorded in 41 samples (27.3%) and Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci observed in 38 samples (25.3%).

Background

The isolation of microorganism is an important step in diagnosis and analyses of microbial virulence (1). Additionally, isolation and culturing enable the investigation of antibiotic sensitivity for selecting the best effective treatment (2, 3), genome sequencing (4, 5) and disease diagnosis (6). Robert Koch, proposed that a pure culture is the foundation of all research in case of infectious diseases (7, 8, 9).

Recently, many researches attempt in determining the common pathogenic bacteria and normal flora which cause diseases in human. Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli and Streptococcus sp. have been widely studied due to their drug resistance and diverse pathogenic features (10) and (12).

The present study aimed to determine and list the bacterial prevalence in different human samples from Duhok city - Kurdiastan region of Iraq, for this purpose statistical analysis performed for easy data analysis.

Methods
Sample collection

Totally, 200 adult patients enrolled in the present study during July 2017- July 2018. Different clinical specimens collected depend on patient symptoms including SFA, Bronchial Lavage, catheter, (cerebrospinal fluid) CSF, body fluids, blood, semen, stool pus, urine, sputum and swabs from ear, throat and eyes. All samples collected before antibiotic uses by specialized physician.

Isolation and Identification

Diagnosis of bacterial isolated conducted with the aid of standard microbiological techniques and collected data were analyzed using SPSS stastical software (SPSS 25.0, 2017), for easy data expression, p value was P<0.05. The swabs were streaked onto nutrient agar, blood agar, MacConkey agar, Mannitol salt agar and Chocolate agar plates in two replications for minimizing the rate of contamination. The inoculated plates were incubated separately in two group of aerobic and anaerobic conditions for 20-24 hours at 37 °C (13). Bacterial isolates defined by observing colony morphology, pattern and size. However, Gram staining techniques used for subgrouping the colonies into Gram negative and Gram positive groups. Additionally, the process of identification further confirmed by biochemical studies which included IMViC test, oxidase test, catalase test, coagulase test and urease test.

Results

During 2017-2018, a total of 200 samples obtained from suspected patients from Dhuok city for bacterial infections. All samples were isolated and identification conducted with standard microbiological techniques. The result reported that forty-six samples (23%) obtained from male and one hundred fifty-four samples (77%) obtained from females, also result declared that bacterial growth were positive for 106 samples (53%) while no bacterial growth observed from the reset 94 samples as shown in Table 1.

The frequency of collected samples listed according to the type of specimens as shown in Table 2. The most collected samples were urine in which 144 urine samples collected and followed by vagina, pus, sputum, blood and swabs samples by 14, 11, 9, 7 and 6 respectively. However, collected samples were at low percentage for CSF, SFA, wound, fluid, catheter and bronchial lavage.

The highest frequency rate of bacterial isolate recorded in 79 urine samples (39.5%) and followed by 6 vagina samples and 5 sputum samples, by (3%) and (2.5%), respectively. Notably, few bacterial growths observed in samples such as blood and CSF by 1.5% and 1%, respectively. However, bacterial growth observed only in one sample of (swab, SFA, catheter, fluid, wound and bronchial lavage) by 0.5 %, as shown in Table 3.

The prevalence rates of bacterial isolates have been listed according to the type of specimens as shown in Table 4. The result determined that Staphlococcus aureus recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%) in 50 samples and observed in 32 urine samples. E. coli recorded second highest prevalence rate
by (27.3%) which observed in 36 urine samples and followed by Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci which recorded in by (25.3%) and observed in 29 urine samples.

Alternatively, Klebsiella, Streptococcus, Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa were founded in 9,8,3 and 1 samples, respectively. The percentage of bacterial infection in the present study has been shown in Figure 1.

**Discussion**

According to the present study, 106 collected samples showed positive bacterial growth out of 200 samples in which 74 positive samples were collected from female and only 32 positive samples were from male. This finding is in line with previous finding which reported that male was more resistance to gastrointestinal and respiratory tract bacterial infections, while female was more prone to bacterial infections in the genitourinary tract same investigation also declared that such differences for bacterial susceptibility between male and female associated to the sex hormones (13).

From the present study, highest frequency rate of bacteria isolated from urine samples by (39.5%) and followed by vagina and sputum by (3%) and (2.5%), respectively. This result totally agrees with previous finding by, Flores-Mireles and et al. (2015), who considered urinary tract infections (UTIs) as the most common bacterial infections at all ages which affecting around 150 million people worldwide per each year and causes morbidity as well (14). Additionally, vaginal infection considered as the second most common bacterial infection in women which called bacterial vaginosis (BV) and characterized by pyogenic infection (15) and (16). Pus producing infection called pyogenic, Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes are the best known microorganisms which cause pus due to the secretion of toxins which damage neutrophil and surrounding tissue (17). Pseudomonas, Staphlococcus aureus, and Burkholderia cepacia complex identified as the most frequently isolated bacteria from the sputum of patients with chronic chest lesions or pulmonary disease (18), this finding is agree with our finding in which the number of infections were 9 samples. Only 3 blood samples showed positive bacterial growth, the presence of bacteria in the blood is known as bacteremia which has several significant health consequences. (18), bacteria may also spread through the blood to other parts of the body and causes infection away from the original infection site. (19), (20),(21) and (22). Low number of bacterial growth recorded in ear swabs, CSF, SFA, catheter, fluid, wound and bronchial lavage samples. These results agree with many previous published studies which recorded that approximately 65-330 million people worldwide suffer from ear infection and 60% have serious hearing impairment (23, 24), the sperm cell structure and the whole spermatogenetic cycle can be affected by acute and chronic infections system (25, 26, 27, 28). Bacteria can cause wound infection in case of skin defective functions (29, 30), however, wound infection could be a type of nosocomial infection (31).

Staphlococcus aureus, a Gram-positive bacterium which causes a wide range of infectious diseases, including skin infections, bacteraemia, endocarditis, pneumonia and food poisoning (32), the result determined that Staphlococcus aureus recorded the highest infection rate by (33.3%). E. coli, a normal
flora in the intestinal lumen of human which maintaining normal intestinal homeostasis (16, 33). Some strains of *E. coli* varieties may diverge and causes diseases, such strains acquire unique virulence factors by which causes infections (34). *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, accounts as a significant proportion of hospital-acquired infections of the urinary tract, pneumonia, septisemia, and soft tissue (35). In the present study *Klebsiella pneumoniae* represented in 9 patient samples (6%), while *Streptococcus spp.* was represented in 8 patient specimens (5.3%). *Enterobacteriaceae* only observed in urine samples, this result in agreement with previous finding in which concluded that members of *Enterobacteriaceae* causes urinary tract infections (UTI) and diarrhea (35). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, a Gram-negative bacillus which causes hospital acquired infections more commonly in patients with ventilation, burn patients, and chronic debility patients (36), the growth of this bacteria only reported in one sample.

**Conclusion**

*Staphlococcus aureus* recorded the highest prevalence rate by (33.3%) and observed in all samples except swabs. While *E. coli* recorded in 41 samples (27.3%) and followed by *Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci* which observed in 38 samples (25.3%). Additionally, highest bacterial growth reported in urine samples, this finding needs further investigation like antibiotic sensitivity profile study for selecting effective treatment.
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**Tables**

**Table (1):** Distribution of bacterial infection according to the sex of suspected patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>No Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46 (23%)</td>
<td>32 (16%)</td>
<td>14 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>154 (77%)</td>
<td>74 (37%)</td>
<td>80 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200 (100%)</td>
<td>106 (53%)</td>
<td>94 (47%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (2):** Frequency and percentage of collected samples according to the type of specimens
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>144 (72%)</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>14 (7%)</td>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>11 (5.5%)</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>9 (4.5%)</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swabs</td>
<td>6 (3%)</td>
<td>Bronchial Lavage</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3): Frequency rate of bacterial growth according to the type of samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Infected Bacteria</th>
<th>No Growth</th>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Infected Bacteria</th>
<th>No Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>79 (39.5%)</td>
<td>65 (32.5%)</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>6 (3%)</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>5 (2.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>3 (1.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>5 (2.5%)</td>
<td>Bronchial Lavage</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total infected and non-infected samples</td>
<td>200 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Prevalence rate of different bacterial isolates from different samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Staphlococcus aureus</th>
<th>E. coli</th>
<th>Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci</th>
<th>Klebsiella</th>
<th>Streptococcus</th>
<th>Enterobacteriaceae</th>
<th>Pseudomonas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vagina</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swab</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial lavage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catheter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>50 (33.3%)</td>
<td>41(27.3%)</td>
<td>38 (25.3%)</td>
<td>9 (6%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Percentage of bacterial isolates and infection proportion